UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

JILLIAN GERSTENBERGER, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs. Class Action

BOLUFE ENTERPRISES, INC., a Florida Profit Corporation,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT and JURY DEMAND

This is a class action lawsuit alleging that the Defendant, Bolufe Enterprises, Inc., violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act and implementing regulations by using automatic telephone dialing systems ("ATDS") to send Text Message Advertisements to Plaintiff and the putative class members promoting its used car business without obtaining Prior Express Written Consent. Plaintiff, Jillian Gerstenberger, on behalf of a class of persons similarly situated, seeks statutory damages for each violation.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. The Telephone Consumer Protection Act, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ("TCPA"), is a consumer protection statute that confers on plaintiffs the right to be free from certain harassing and privacy-invading conduct, including, but not limited to, using an automatic telephone dialing system to send text messages to cell phones and other mobile services, and authorizes an award of damages whenever a violation occurs. The TCPA provides a private right of action and statutory damages for each violation. Congress

and the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") created the TCPA and its implementing regulations in response to immense public outcry about unwanted telemarking robo calls and texts. A violation of the TCPA presents a risk of real harm to consumers as each unwanted call or text message can result in the nuisance and invasion of privacy contemplated by Congress by (1) forcing the consumer to incur charges, (2) depleting a cell phone's battery, (3) invasion of privacy, (4) intrusion upon and occupation of the capacity of the cell phone, (5) wasting the consumer's time or causing the risk of personal injury due to interruption and distraction, and (6) shifting the cost of adverting to the consumer in violation of the TCPA.

2. Plaintiff, Jillian Gerstenberger, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, sues the Defendant for violations of the TCPA arising from the use of an ATDS to send text messages that constitute advertisements and telemarketing to cell phones and other mobile services ("Text Message Advertisements"). Two of the Text Message Advertisements sent to Plaintiff are attached hereto as Composite Exhibit 1.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 4. Venue is appropriate in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, as the Text Message Advertisements were sent by or on behalf of Defendant to Plaintiff's cell phone in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff resides in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

6. Defendant Bolufe Enterprises, Inc. 1 is an independent used car dealer having Department of Motor Vehicles license 1011591. Defendant acquires used vehicles to maintain its inventory and sells vehicles from its inventory to customers. Defendant sends illegal Text Message Advertisements to promote the availability and quality of its car buying service ("We pay cash instantly for your car!") and to advertise discounts ("30% Off") of used cars it is selling from inventory.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. On several occasions, including, but not limited to, May 19, 2018, and June 8, 2018, Defendant sent Text Message Advertisements to Plaintiff's cell phone.
- 8. The May 19, 2018, Text Message Advertisement that Plaintiff received appears as follows:



¹ The Text Message Advertisement uses the name Bolufe Auto Sales, but Defendant has not registered the fictitious name with the Florida Division of Corporations.

9. Translated to English, the May 19, 2018, Text Message Advertisement

reads:

We Pay Cash Instantly for your Car!

WANT TO SELL YOUR CAR? LET'S TALK ABOUT IT.

(original English)

Do you want to sell your car? At Bolufe Auto Sales we offer the best sales experience in the market! Visit us and get more for your vehicle than expected in just minutes! Receive your CASH money

instantly!

Call For Details:

305-602-8193

Visit us: 5601 W Flager St,

Miami, FL 33134

10. The May 18, 2018, Text Message Advertisement clearly promotes the

quality and availability of Defendant's car buying service. Defendant touts that it offers

"the best sales experience in the market!" Defendant claims that it will pay "more for your

vehicle than expected in just minutes!" The Text Message Advertisement twice offers to

pay "CASH money instantly" when the recipient brings a car to the dealer.

11. All of these claims are intended to entice recipients to "Visit" Bolufe Auto

Sales and are a part of an overall marketing scheme to buy and to sell cars and also serve

as a prelude to subsequent offers for the sale of Defendant's cars.

12. On June 8, 2018, Plaintiff received one such subsequent offer for the sale

of Defendant's cars that appears as follows:

Mega Ofertas de Verano!



Este verano Vivelo al Maximo con las increibles ofertas de Bolufe Auto Sales. Obtenga hasta un 30% de descuento en la compra de su auto! No tiene credito? Problemas con su credito? Le podemos Ayudar! Informacion: 305-290-3080 Visitenos: 5601 W Flagler St,

Miami, FL 33134

13. Translated to English, the June 8, 2018, Text Message Advertisement reads:

Super Summer Deals!

Summer Sale 30% Off (in English)

This summer Live it to the Max with incredible offers from Bolufe Auto Sales. Get up to 30% discount on the purchase of your car! Do not have credit? Problems with your credit? We can help!

Information: 305-290-3080

Visit us: 5601 W Flagler St,

Miami FL 33134

- 14. Defendant clearly promotes the sale of its used cars by offering a 30% discount and help for those who do not have credit, or have problems with their credit. The contact information provided on both Text Message Advertisements is the telephone number and street address of Defendant.
- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant used an ATDS to send the Text Message Advertisements to Plaintiff and other persons and entities.

- 15. Pursuant to the TCPA and its implementing regulations, 47 C.F.R. 64.1200, text messages that constitute advertising or telemarketing that are sent with the use of an ATDS may not be sent to persons or entities without obtaining Prior Express Written Consent.
- 16. However, Defendant sent the Text Message Advertisements despite not obtaining Plaintiff's Prior Express Written Consent.
- 17. Pursuant to the TCPA and its implementing regulations, 47 C.F.R. 64.1200(f)(8), text messages that are telemarketing or advertisements may not be sent to persons or entities without obtaining Prior Express Written Consent of the recipient. The requisite consent is not effectuated without first obtaining a written agreement signed by the person or entity being texted that states: "By executing the agreement, such person authorizes the seller to deliver or cause to be delivered to the signatory telemarketing calls using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice."
- 18. Defendant sent the Text Message Advertisements without obtaining Plaintiff's or the other recipients Prior Express Written Consent to send text messages using an ATDS, and without obtaining the signature of Plaintiff or the other recipients on a written agreement that states: "By executing the agreement, such person authorizes the seller to deliver or cause to be delivered to the signatory telemarketing calls using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice."
- 19. By sending the Text Message Advertisements without Prior Express Written Consent, Defendant harmed Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class by: (1) exposing the consumer to text charges, (2) depleting a cell phone's battery, (3) invasion of privacy, (4) intrusion upon and occupation of the capacity of the cell phone, and (5) wasting the

consumer's time or causing the risk of personal injury due to interruption and distraction, and (6) shifting the cost of adverting to the consumer in violation of the TCPA.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 20. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action, pursuant to Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(3), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for statutory damages on behalf of herself and a class of all persons similarly situated.
- 21. Plaintiff brings this class action pursuant to the TCPA, and is a member of, and seeks to represent, a class of persons and entities ("Plaintiff Class") defined as:
 - "All persons and entities that were sent one or more of the Text Message Advertisements, including, but not limited to those attached as Composite Exhibit 1, on or after June 9, 2014, to recipients that did not provide Defendant with Prior Express Written Consent to send text messages using an ATDS."
- 22. <u>Class Size (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1))</u>: Plaintiff avers that the proposed class is in excess of 50 persons. The class size is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
- 23. <u>Commonality (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2))</u>: There are questions of law and fact common to all members of the class. Common material questions of fact and law include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. whether Defendant (or another on its behalf) used an ATDS to send the Text
 Message Advertisement to Plaintiff and other members of the class;
 - b. whether the Text Message Advertisements constitute Advertisements;
 - c. whether the Text Message Advertisements constitute Telemarking;
 - d. whether the Text Message Advertisements are part of an overall marketing campaign to buy and sell used vehicles;

- e. whether Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act and its implementing regulations by using (or having another on its behalf use) an ATDS to send Text Message Advertisements to Plaintiff and the class members without obtaining Prior Express Written Consent;
- f. whether Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to statutory damages;
- g. whether Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to statutory damages;
- h. whether Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to treble damages; and
- whether Plaintiff and the other members of the Plaintiff class are entitled to treble damages.
- 24. Typicality (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3)): The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of all members of the class. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant sent the same Text Message Advertisements to Plaintiff and the other class members using an ATDS. Plaintiff raises questions of fact and law common to the class members. They share the common injuries of (1) exposing the consumer to incur text charges, (2) depleting a cell phone's battery, (3) invasion of privacy, (4) intrusion upon and occupation of the capacity of the cell phone, and (5) wasting the consumer's time or causing the risk of personal injury due to interruption and distraction, and (6) shifting the cost of adverting to the consumer in violation of the TCPA. Defendant has acted the same or in a similar manner with respect to the each class member.

- 25. Fair and Adequate Representation (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4)): The named Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the class members. Plaintiff is committed to this cause, will litigate it vigorously, and is aware of the fiduciary duties of a class representative. Plaintiff's interests are consistent with and not antagonistic to the interests of the other class members. Plaintiff has a strong personal interest in the outcome of this action and has retained experienced class counsel to represent her and the other class members.
- 26. Class Counsel is experienced in class action litigation and has successfully litigated class claims.
- 27. <u>Predominance and Superiority (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3))</u>: A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and equitable adjudication of the controversy between the parties. Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy because:
 - a. proof of Plaintiff's claims will also prove the claims of the class members without the need for separate or individualized proceedings;
 - b. evidence regarding defenses or any exceptions to liability that Defendant may assert and prove will come from Defendant's records (or that of its agent who transmitted the Text Message Advertisements) and will not require individualized or separate inquiries or proceedings;
 - c. Defendant has acted and may be continuing to act pursuant to common policies or practices by sending the Text Message Advertisements to Plaintiff and the class members.

- d. the amount likely to be recovered by individual class members does not support individual litigation;
- e. a class action will permit a large number of relatively small claims involving virtually identical facts and legal issues to be resolved efficiently in one proceeding based upon common proofs; and
- f. this case is inherently manageable as a class action in that:
 - i. Defendant and/or its agent(s) identified the persons or entities to send the Text Message Advertisements and it is believed that Defendant's computer and business records, or that of its agents, will enable the Plaintiff to readily identify class members and establish liability and damages;
 - ii. liability and damages can be established for the Plaintiff and for the class members with the same common proofs;
 - iii. statutory damages for violation of the TCPA are the same for each class member;
 - a class action will result in an orderly and expeditious administration of claims and will foster economics of time, effort and expense;
 - v. a class action will contribute to uniformity of decisions concerning

 Defendant's practices; and
 - vi. as a practical matter, the claims of the class members are likely to go unaddressed absent class certification.

Count 1 Claim for Relief for Violations of the TCPA

- 28. Plaintiffs reassert and incorporate herein by reference the averments set forth in paragraphs 1 through 27 above.
- 29. Plaintiff brings this action against the Defendant for sending Text Message Advertisements to the class members in violation of the TCPA and its implementing regulations.
- 30. Defendant violated the TCPA and implementing regulation 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(2), by initiating, or authorizing, a call using an ATDS to send Text Message Advertisements to the phone numbers of Plaintiff and the class without receiving Prior Express Written Consent.
- 31. The named Plaintiff and class members are entitled to \$1,500 in statutory damages against Defendant for each Text Message Advertisement that was sent willfully or knowingly using an ATDS to class members without obtaining the requisite Prior Express Written Consent from class members.
- 32. In the alternative, the named Plaintiff and class members are entitled to \$500 in statutory damages against Defendant for each Text Message Advertisement that was negligently sent using an ATDS without obtaining the requisite Prior Express Written Consent from class members.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, demand judgment in its favor and against Defendant and request an order:

A. certifying this action as a class action pursuant to Rule 23, appointing Plaintiff, Jillian Gerstenberger, as the representative of the members of the

- class defined above, and appointing the undersigned as counsel for the members of the class;
- B. finding that Defendant caused the Text Message Advertisements to be sent to Plaintiff and to each class member in violation of the TCPA and its implementing regulations;
- C. finding that Defendant is liable to pay statutory damages of \$1,500 for each Text Message Advertisement that was knowingly and willfully sent to Plaintiff and each class member;
- D. finding that, in the alternative, Defendant is liable to pay statutory damages of \$500 for each Text Message Advertisement that was negligently sent to Plaintiff and to each class member;
- E. entering a judgment in favor of the Plaintiff as representative of the members of the class for the total amount of statutory penalties plus prejudgment interest and allowable costs;
- F. requiring Defendant to pay a court appointed trustee the full amount of the penalties, interest and costs to be distributed to the class members after deducting costs and fees as determined by the Court;
- G. awarding equitable reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection in this action and an incentive bonus to Plaintiff, to be deducted from the total amount of penalties, interest and costs before the pro-rata amounts are distributed by the trustee for the class members; and
- H. granting such other relief as may be appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues that can be heard by a jury.

Respectfully submitted,

Shawn A. Heller, Esq. Florida Bar No. 46346 shawn@sjlawcollective.com Joshua A. Glickman, Esq. Florida Bar No. 43994 josh@sjlawcollective.com

Social Justice Law Collective, PL 974 Howard Ave. Dunedin FL 34698 Tel: (305) 323-6433

Peter Bennett Florida Bar No. 68219 peterbennettlaw@gmail.com Richard Bennett Florida Bar No.150627 richardbennett27@gmail.com

Bennett & Bennett 1200 Anastasia Ave., Ofc 360 Coral Gables, Florida 33134 Tel: (305) 444-5925

By: <u>s/Richard Bennett</u> Richard Bennett

COMPOSITE EXHIBIT 1

May 19, 2018, Text Message Advertisement

Pagamos Cash al Instante Por su Auto!



Quiere vender su auto? En Bolufe Auto Sales ofrecemos la mejor experiencia de venta en el mercado! Visitenos y obtenga por su vehiculo mas de lo esperado en solo minutos! Reciba su dinero CASH al instante!

Llame Para Detalles:

305-602-8193

Visitenos: 5601 W Flagler St,

Miami, FL 33134

June 8, 2018, Text Message Advertisement

Mega Ofertas de Verano!



Este verano Vivelo al Maximo con las increibles ofertas de Bolufe Auto Sales. Obtenga hasta un 30% de descuento en la compra de su auto! No tiene credito? Problemas con su credito? Le podemos Ayudar! Informacion: 305-290-3080 Visitenos: 5601 W Flagler St,

Miami, FL 33134

JS 44 (Rev. 1 Case 1:18-cv-22312-RNS Document 1-2 Entered by Ensemble 2018 Document 1-2 Entered by Ensemble 2018 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

or minuting the errir doener sines	u (BBB IIIBIIIG CIIGIIB GIVI	TEST THEE OF THEFT OTHER,	ito iie zi iii iii ja iii e zi	mareate im ite inte cuses i	2010 		
(a) PLAINTIFFS JILLIAN GERSTENBERGER, and others similarly situated		DEFENDANTS BOLUFE ENTERPRISES, INC.					
 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Miami-Dade (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Richard Bennett, Peter Bennett, Shawn Heller, Joshua Glickman 1200 Anastasia Ave., Ofc 360, Coral Gables, FL 33134, (305) 444-5 			NOTE: Attorneys (If Known)	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C IN LAND CONDEMNATION C THE TRACT OF LAND INVOL	ASES, USE THE LOCATION OF		
(d) Check County Where Action Arose: 🗎 MIAMI-DADE 🗎 MONROE 🎜 BROWARD 🗎 PALM BEACH 🗎 MARTIN 🗎 ST. LUCIE 📑 INDIAN RIVER 🗎 OKEECHOBEE 📑 HIGHLANDS							
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)							
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	_	ersity p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2			
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT CONTRACT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	ly) RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 190 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Other: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	□ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
V. ORIGIN Original Proceeding Proceding Proceding Proceding Proceding Proceeding Proceeding							
RE-FILED CASE(S)	(See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and Write a Brief Statement of Cause (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VII. CAUSE OF ACTION Class Action - Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. 227) LENGTH OF TRIAL via days estimated (for both sides to try entire case)							
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint: Yes No		
ABOVE INFORMATION IS TO DATE 6/9/2018	TRUE & CORRECT TO T		WLEDGE TORNEY OF RECORD	3602			
0/2/2010			X	// ~~			

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT IFP JUDGE MAG JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

and on benair of all others similarly situa	itea,	
Plaintiff,		
vs.		Class Action
BOLUFE ENTERPRISES, INC., a Florida Profit Corporation,		
Defendant.	/	

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

TO: BOLUFE ENTERPRISES, INC.
RAUL L. BOLUFE (Registered Agent)
5601 W. FLAGLER ST
MIAMI, FL 33134

JILLIAN GERSTENBERGER, individually,

A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Richard Bennett, Esq.
Bennett & Bennett
1200 Anastasia Avenue
Suite # 360
Coral Gables, Florida 33134-6340

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

	CELIUI OI COOILI		
Date:			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

CLERK OF COURT

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Used Car Dealer Bolufe Enterprises Hit with Robotext Lawsuit</u>