**KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC** 1 Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (249203) 2 ak@kazlg.com Matthew M. Loker, Esq. (279939) 3 ml@kazlg.com 245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1 4 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 5 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523 6 7 Clark Ovruchesky (301844) 8 co@colawcalifornia.com 9 750 B. Street, Suite 3300 San Diego, California 92101 10 Telephone: (619) 356-8960 11 Facsimile: (619) 330-7610 12

**HYDE & SWIGART** 

Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (225557) josh@westcoastlitigation.com 2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101 San Diego, CA 92108 Telephone: (619) 233-7770 Facsimile: (619) 297-1022

# LAW OFFICE OF CLARK OVRUCHESKY

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Kellie Gadomski

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT DI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT** EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KELLIE GADOMSKI. 17 IVIDUALLY AND ON **BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS** 18 SIMILARLY SITUATED, 19 Plaintiff, 20

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Defendant.

v.

Case No.:

# **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR VIOLATIONS OF:**

- **1.) THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT, 15 U.S.C. §** 1681, ET SEQ.; AND
- **2.) CALIFORNIA CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT, CAL. CIV. CODE § 1785.1, ET SEQ.**

# JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

#### INTRODUCTION

1. The United States Congress has found the banking system is dependent upon fair and accurate credit reporting. Inaccurate credit reports directly impair the efficiency of the banking system, and unfair credit reporting methods undermine the public confidence, which is essential to the continued functioning of the banking system. Congress enacted the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq. ("FCRA"), to insure fair and accurate reporting, promote efficiency in the banking system, and protect consumer privacy. The FCRA seeks to ensure consumer reporting agencies exercise their grave responsibilities with fairness, impartiality, and a respect for the consumer's right to privacy because consumer reporting agencies have assumed such a vital role in assembling and evaluating consumer credit and other information on consumers. The FCRA also imposes duties on the sources that provide credit information to credit reporting agencies, called "furnishers."

2. There exists today in the United States a pervasive and fundamental misunderstanding about the long-term impact filing a consumer bankruptcy has on a consumer's credit worthiness. Specifically, many consumers believe that because a bankruptcy can be reported on their credit report for ten years their credit worthiness will be ruined for the same length of time. This is not true.

22 3. The *majority* of consumer debtors who actually file consumer bankruptcy do so to *raise* their credit score and remedy their poor credit worthiness.

- 4. It is entirely possible for consumer debtors to have over a 700 FICO Score within as little as 12 months after filing a consumer bankruptcy (Chapter 7 or Chapter 13).
- 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

28 ///

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 3 of 37

5. Creditors and lending institutions are aware of the misconception that filing a consumer bankruptcy destroys a consumer's credit worthiness for ten years.

- 6. In an effort to perpetuate the aforementioned bankruptcy myth, creditors intentionally and routinely ignore credit reporting industry standards for accurately reporting bankruptcies and debts included in those bankruptcies in an effort to keep consumers' credit scores low and their interest rates high.
- 7. Creditors know that by deviating from recognized credit reporting standards consumers will have difficulty raising their credit scores and improving their credit worthiness.
- 8. Plaintiff KELLIE GADOMSKI ("Plaintiff"), through her attorneys, brings this Class Action Complaint for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies on behalf of herself and on behalf of all others similarly situated (the "Class" or "Class Members"), resulting from the illegal actions of Defendant WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. ("Defendant" or "WFBNA"), in negligently or intentionally systematically reporting negative and inaccurate information on consumers' credit reports, as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(g), failing to properly investigate disputes concerning the inaccurate data WFBNA is reporting in consumers' credit files, and failing to correct such, which WFBNA knew or should have known was erroneous and which caused Plaintiff and the Class damages.
  - Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception of allegations that pertain to Plaintiff, or to Plaintiff's counsel, which Plaintiff alleges on personal knowledge.
- 24 10. While many violations are described below with specificity, this Complaint alleges violations of the statutes cited in their entirety.
- 26 11. Any violations by WFBNA were knowing and intentional, and WFBNA did
  27 not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.
- 12 KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 1345 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT DI

- 12. Unless otherwise indicated, the use of WFBNA in this Complaint includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers of WFBNA.
- Unless otherwise stated, all the conduct engaged in by WFBNA occurred in California.

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 14. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331; 15 U.S.C. §1681p; and, 28 U.S.C. § 1367 for supplemental state claims.
- 15. This action arises out of WFBNA's violations of (i) the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq. ("FCRA") and (ii) the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1785.1. et seq. ("CCCRAA").
- 16. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant as Defendant conducts business within the State of California and has purposefully availed themselves of the laws and markets of the State of California and this district.
- 17. Venue is proper in the United States District Court, Eastern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 for the following reasons: (i) Plaintiff resides in the County of San Joaquin, State of California, which is within this judicial district; (ii) the conduct complained of herein occurred within this judicial district; and, (iii) WFBNA conducted business within this judicial district at all times relevant.

# PARTIES

Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the City of Tracy, County of San Joaquin, in the State of California. In addition, Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by: Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(b) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
Defendant WFBNA is a corporation, whose primary corporate address is in the City of Sioux Falls, in the State of South Dakota.

- 20. WFBNA is a furnisher of information as contemplated by FCRA sections 1681s-2(a) & (b), which regularly and in the ordinary course of business furnishes information to one or more consumer reporting agencies ("CRAs"), about consumer transactions or experiences with any consumer.
- 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that WFBNA, in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on behalf of themselves or others, engages in "debt collection" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(b), and is therefore a "debt collector" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(c).
- 22. Trans Union, LLC ("TransUnion"), Equifax Information Services LLC ("Equifax"), and Experian Information Solutions, Inc. ("Experian") are each a "consumer reporting agency" ("CRAs") as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
- 23. This case involves money, property or their equivalent, due or owing or alleged to be due or owing from a natural person by reason of a consumer credit transaction. As such, this action arises out of a "consumer debt" and "consumer credit" as those terms are defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(f) and a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5).
- The causes of action herein also pertain to Plaintiff's "consumer credit report" 24. as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(d), in that inaccurate representations of Plaintiff's credit worthiness, credit standing, and credit capacity were made via written, oral, or other communication of information by a consumer credit reporting agency, which is used or is expected to be used, or collected in whole or in part, for the purposes of serving as a factor in establishing Plaintiff's eligibility for, among other things, credit to be used primarily for personal, family, household and employment purposes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

# **GENERAL CREDIT REPORTING INDUSTRY ALLEGATIONS**

- 25. Plaintiff alleges that WFBNA is familiar with credit reporting industry standards and subscribes thereto.
- 26. Plaintiff alleges that WFBNA understands that deviation from credit reporting industry standards can and often does result in denial of credit, higher interest rates, and prompts those making credit decisions to draw a more negative inference from the reported data than if WFBNA reported in accordance with the recognized industry standard.
- Plaintiff alleges that all actions alleged herein by WFBNA was done 27. knowingly, intentionally, and in reckless disregard for credit reporting industry standards in an attempt to purposefully undermine Plaintiff's ability to reorganize and repair Plaintiff's FICO Score.
- 28. In the alternative, Plaintiff alleges that WFBNA's actions were the result of reckless policies and procedures that inevitably led to inaccurate, misleading, or incomplete credit reporting.

# a. FICO

- FICO Inc. ("FICO") is a leading analytics software company with its principal 29. headquarters located in San Jose California. FICO has over 130 patents related to their analytics and decision management technology, and regularly uses mathematical algorithms to predict consumer behavior including credit risk.
- The FICO Score has become the standard measure of consumer credit risk in 30. 21 the United States and is used in ninety percent of lending decisions. 22
- 31. A FICO score consists of a three-digit number summarizing a consumer's 23 credit risk or likelihood to repay a loan. FICO periodically updates its scoring 24 models resulting in multiple FICO Score versions. 25
- Base FICO Scores range from 300 to 850, while industry-specific FICO 32. 26 Scores range from 250-900. A higher FICO Score demonstrates lower credit 27 risk or less likelihood of default. 28

2	2 consumer's credit worthiness.						
3	34.	. There are 28 FICO Scores that are commonly used by lenders.					
4	35.	A consumer's FICO Score is calculated based solely on information					
5		consumer credit reports maintained at CRAs.					
6	36.	The three largest CRAs are Equifax, Experian, and TransUnion.					
7	37.	FICO does not control what information is provided on a consumer's cred					
8		report. Instead, the scoring models or algorithms are based on the premise that					
9		information provided by the CRAs is accurate and complies with credit					
10		reporting industry standards.					
11	38. There are five key factors that a FICO Score considers: 1) Payment histo						
<sub>و</sub> 12		Amount of Debt; 3) Length of Credit History; 4) New Credit; and 5) Credit					
, 12 17 13		Mix.					
- 14	39.	Each of the five factors is weighted differently by FICO.					
15	40.	0. 35% of a consumer's FICO Score relates to payment history, 30% relates t					
16		the amount of debt, 15% relates to the length of credit history, 10% relates to					
17		new credit, and the last 10% relates to a consumer's credit mix or the different					
18		types of debts reported.					
19	41.	Payment history refers to whether a consumer has paid their bills in the past,					
20		on time, late or missed payments. The more severe, recent, and frequent the					
21		late payment information, the greater the impact on a FICO Score. Public					
22		record items such as bankruptcy, foreclosure, judgments, and wage					
23		garnishments are also considered part of a consumer's payment history.					
24	42.	In factoring the severity of delinquent payments, a FICO Score considers how					
25		late the payment continues to be, how much is owed, how recently this					
26		occurred, and how many delinquent accounts exist.					
27	43.	Once a delinquent account has been remedied the longer the account stays					
28		current the more a consumer's FICO Score should increase.					
	CLA	SS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES $D_{ACE} = 27$					

Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 7 of 37

Different lenders use different versions of FICO Scores when evaluating a

33.

1

- 44. FICO Scores are entirely dependent upon information provided by Data Furnishers ("DFs") to CRAs.
- 45. The FICO scoring formula treats both Chapter 7 and Chapter 13 Bankruptcies similarly in terms of their impact on one's FICO Score. Specifically, both "Chapters" have the same level of severity with respect to their FICO Score and for both, FICO uses the FILING DATE to determine how long ago the bankruptcy took place.
  - b. Metro 2
- 46. The Consumer Data Industry Association ("CDIA") is an international trade association representing the consumer credit, mortgage reporting, employment and tenant screening and collection service industries.
- 47. The credit reporting industry has adopted a standard electronic data reporting format called the Metro 2 format. The Metro 2 format was developed by the CDIA in an effort to universally report debts in a particular manner that is understood to be the most accurate way in which to report a debt. Specifically, Metro 2 format was designed to allow reporting of the most accurate and complete information on consumers' credit history.
- 18 48. The Consumer Data Industry Association's ("CDIA") Metro 2 format is *the*19 credit industry's standardized, objective reporting format used by furnishers to
  20 provide information about consumer accounts to consumer reporting
  21 agencies.<sup>1</sup>
- 49. Therefore, the credit reporting industry at large depends upon Metro 2 and theCDIA's recommendations for reporting debt accurately.
- 24 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT DI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

- 25 ///
- 26

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> <sup>1</sup> See Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, Key Dimensions and Processes in the U.S. Credit Reporting System, available at: http://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/201212\_cfpb\_credit-reporting-white-paper.pdf

	Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 9 of 37				
1	50. The CDIA is the expert on accurate credit reporting. In support of this				
2	allegation, Plaintiff avers the following:				
3	a. The CDIA offers an FCRA certificate program for all CRAs				
4	b. The CDIA offers an FCRA awareness program for all CRAs.				
5	c. The CDIA offers an FCRA certificate program for DFs.				
6	d. The CDIA offers an FCRA awareness program for DFs.				
7	e. The CDIA offers a Metro 2 Learning system to provide detailed				
8	instructions on the use of Metro 2 format to ensure understanding of				
9	the reporting guidelines for each field of the Metro 2 Format as well				
10	as the relationship between multiple fields.				
ບ 11	f. The CDIA hosts workshops developed and authorized by Equifax,				
	Experian, Innovis, and TransUnion.				
<ul> <li>KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626</li> <li>91 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21</li></ul>	g. The CDIA developed a credit reporting resource guide for accurately				
H LAW ER AVE MESA,	reporting credit.				
FISCHI COSTA	51. The CDIA's Metro 2 is accepted by all CRAs.				
KAZI 245 16	52. The credit reporting accepted industry standards for reporting Metro 2				
17	accurately are found in the CDIA's Credit Reporting Resource Guide				
18	("CRRG").				
19	53. The CRRG outlines the industry standards for most accurately reporting debts				
20	using Metro 2.				
21	54. The CRRG is not readily available to the public. It can be purchased online				
22	for approximately \$229.45.ml				
23	55. Even if a buyer is ready and able to pay for the CRRG, the CDIA will NOT				
24	grant access to the guide unless the buyer represents an organization included				
25	in the Metro 2 Access Policy.				
26	56. When FICO calculates credit scores the algorithms use Metro 2 information				
27	based on industry standards established by the CDIA.				
28					

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 10 of 37

- 57. The algorithms used by FICO in determining a consumer's credit score are premised on the Metro 2 data received comporting with the CDIA's recommendations for accurate credit reporting.
- 58. If the Metro 2 data received by FICO deviates from industry standards an inaccurate or incorrect FICO Score results. If the resulting FICO Score is 5 lower, a consumer will be considered a higher credit risk, resulting in less 6 favorable lending terms. 7
  - 59. E-OSCAR is the web based Metro 2 compliant system developed by the CRAs that enables DFs and CRAs to create and respond to consumer credit disputes.
  - 60. When a consumer sends a dispute letter to a CRA, the CRA then sends an automated credit dispute verification ("ACDV") via e-Oscar to the DF.
  - 61. The ACDV contains within it Metro 2 codes next to certain data fields associated with a credit file, e.g. "Account Type - 07" (07 in Metro 2 refers to a Charge Account).
  - 62. When a consumer files bankruptcy, certain credit reporting industry standards exist.
- 63. Certain Metro 2 data is regularly expected and calculated by FICO when 18 determining a consumer's credit worthiness. 19
- The Consumer Information Indicator ("CII") is a critical status field in the 64. 20 Metro 2 Format that indicates a special condition that applies to a specific 21 consumer. 22
- 65. Under Metro 2, the CII must be reported only on the consumer to whom the 23 information applies. 24
- 66. It is the credit reporting industry standard to report a very specific CII upon 25 the filing of a consumer bankruptcy. 26
- /// 27

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT DI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 11 of 37

- 67. In the consumer bankruptcy context, CII Metro Code "A" denotes that a petition for Chapter 7 has been filed, is active, but no discharge has been entered.
- 68. Such a reporting alert any potential lender that the account is no longer in a collectable status, but is rather being handled by a Chapter 7 trustee.
- The CII Metro 2 Code "E" denotes that a Chapter 7 bankruptcy has been 69. discharged.
- 70. The CII field is a critical field for consumers and directly relates to and 8 impacts a consumer's credit worthiness.
- 71. The lack of a CII reported status makes it appear that a consumer has not 10 addressed outstanding debt obligations through the bankruptcy process. 11
  - The lack of an accurate reporting of the CII field also suggests that creditors 72. are free to collect against a consumer per their pre-bankruptcy contract terms, which is inaccurate and materially misleading due to the effect of the bankruptcy orders, such as the automatic stay of section 362 of Title 11, that exist to prevent post-petition collection activity, and the discharge order which enjoins post-discharge collection upon any *in personam* liability for a claim.
- Therefore, failure to report the correct CII status indicator will prompt those 73. 18 making credit decisions to draw a more negative inference regarding a 19 consumer's credit worthiness. 20

74. Under the FCRA, a bankruptcy can be reported for ten years. 21

The ten-year rule for reporting runs from the date the bankruptcy was *filed*. 75. 22

76. A consumer's FICO Score is directly related to the date on which a petition is 23 filed and acknowledged. 24

77. The more time that has passed since the filing of the bankruptcy, the less negative impact the bankruptcy will have on a consumer's FICO Score.

27

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT DI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

/// 28

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 12 of 37

- 78. Failure to reference the bankruptcy filing and/or discharge in the CII field and/or the correct petition date shall result in a lower FICO Score resulting in those making credit decisions to draw a more negative inference regarding a consumer's credit worthiness.
- 79. As explained in more detail below, WFBNA failed to reference the bankruptcy filing and discharge in the CII field in Plaintiff's Credit Reports in respect to a consumer credit account successfully discharged through Plaintiff's Chapter 7 Bankruptcy.
- 80. Instead, WFBNA reported that the *current* (pay) status of the debts were "Charged Off", i.e. still legally collectable, as opposed to "Discharged in Bankruptcy."
- 81. The "Charge Off" status reported by WFBNA, as opposed to the correct status of "Discharged in Bankruptcy", inaccurately and misleadingly suggested that Plaintiff still has a personal legal liability to pay the alleged Debt, which is the opposite effect of receiving a Chapter 7 bankruptcy discharge.

### **GENERAL CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

82. Plaintiff is among many thousands of persons in the United States who have filed bankruptcies pursuant to Chapters 7 and 13 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and who have been granted orders of discharge by a U.S. Bankruptcy Court. Under federal bankruptcy laws, such an order fully and completely discharges all statutorily dischargeable debts incurred prior to the filing of bankruptcies, except for those that have been: (1) reaffirmed by the debtor in a reaffirmation agreement; or (2) successfully challenged as non-dischargeable by one of the creditors in a related adversary proceeding. Plaintiff and the Class Members are persons for whom the debts at issue have been discharged through bankruptcy.

27 83. WFBNA is a creditor regularly engage in the business of extending credit to28 Plaintiff and other members of the Class.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 13 of 37

84. In the ordinary course of business, WFBNA's debtors who are enduring financial hardship fall behind on their payments of WFBNA credit accounts. Prior to the filing of any personal bankruptcies, WFBNA, its collection agencies and delinquent debt companies that purchase WFBNA's debt, act to collect these past due debts by threatening in dunning letters to place a "charge off" or other similar "past due" notations on the debtors' credit reports. Said letters threaten to ruin the debtors' credit unless they pay the past due debt. WFBNA, its debt collectors and delinquent debt companies that purchase WFBNA's debt also act to collect these past due debts by promising in dunning letters to remove the "charge off" or other "past due" notations on the debtors' credit reports to show that the past due debts have been paid if the debts are paid.

- 85. In the ordinary course of business, WFBNA issues reports to credit reporting agencies as to the current status of debts incurred by individuals whom WFBNA has extended credit. It is also an entity which regularly and, in the ordinary course of business, furnishes information to one of more credit reporting agencies about its transactions and experiences with consumers.
- 18 86. WFBNA has knowledge of when its past due debts are discharged because it receives a discharge notice from the U.S. Bankruptcy Court.

87. Despite the fact that WFBNA has received notice of the discharge of each Class Member's debt to WFBNA, WFBNA has a deliberate policy of not notifying credit reporting agencies that debts formerly owing to WFBNA are no longer "charged off" or currently still due and owing because they have been discharged in bankruptcy. As a result of WFBNA's refusal to make such updates to credit reporting agencies, debts that have been discharged in bankruptcy are instead listed on Class Members' credit reports as "past due" and/or "charged off." These notations clearly indicate to potential creditors, employers, or other third parties that a Class Member still owes a debt and 

that debt may be subject to collection. These notations therefore adversely affect a Class Member's ability to obtain credit or employment and have the inherent coercive effect of inducing Class Members to make payment on the debt.

- 88. Moreover, WFBNA's failure and further refusal to update credit report tradelines for many thousands of consumers to reflect that their debts were, in fact, discharged in Bankruptcy, as opposed to reporting a *current* (pay) status of "charged off" or "past due", runs afoul to Section 727 of the Bankruptcy Code and *the* primary purpose of the protection offered by the Bankruptcy Code—the discharge of a debt. *Marrama v. Citizens Bank of Massachusetts*, 549 U.S. 365, 367 (2007).
- 89. Many debtors whose debts have been discharged in bankruptcy have advised WFBNA, via an FCRA dispute, of its failure to update the information on their credit reports to show that their debts have been discharged through bankruptcy. Said debtors have requested that WFBNA remove the "charged off" statuses from their credit reports. WFBNA has refused to do so. Upon information and belief, WFBNA has a deliberate policy of refusing the debtors' requests to remove "charged off" and other similar "past due" *current* (pay) statuses from the WFBNA debts that were transferred/sold prior to the filing of the bankruptcy from the debtors' credit reports. As a result, the credit reports of these individuals and of all Class Members incorrectly show their indebtedness to WFBNA to be legally collectible.
- 90. Upon information and belief, even in response to notices made pursuant to the
  FCRA §1681i(a)(2), from Class Members that information contained in their
  credit reports was inaccurate, WFBNA has refused to correct erroneous credit
  information despite its affirmative duty to do so under FCRA §1681s-2(b).
- 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT DI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

28 ///

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 15 of 37

- 91. Upon information and belief, WFBNA also received many requests from the CRAs that WFBNA verify that the debt owed by Plaintiff and Class Members were discharged in bankruptcy, to which WFBNA responded to the debts were still due and owing, despite WFBNA's knowledge that such debts have in fact been discharged in bankruptcy.
- 92. WFBNA knew that the existence of such inaccurate information in the Class Members' credit reports would damage the Class Members' credit ratings and their ability to obtain new credit, a lease, a mortgage or employment, all of which may be essential to reestablishing their life after going through bankruptcy.
- 93. WFBNA has chosen not to advise the CRAs of the fact that the Class Members' debts have been discharged because WFBNA continues to receive payment either directly or indirectly on discharged debts. This results from the fact that Class Members, in order to obtain favorable credit or credit at all, often feel it necessary to pay off the debt despite its discharge in order to remove the inaccurate information from their credit reports.
- This belief is intentionally reinforced by WFBNA itself when Class Members 94. contact WFBNA asking WFBNA to correct the erroneous credit information. 18 Not only does WFBNA refuse to make such correction, but it advises Class 19 Members that, so long as the discharged debt remains unpaid, the charge off 20 will remain on their credit report for at least seven years. Thus, when a Class 21 Member needs to rent a car, obtain employment or rent an apartment, or other 22 similar transactions, and they are advised by WFBNA that it will not remove 23 the erroneous information unless they pay the debt, Class Members often pay 24 that debt despite the fact that it has been discharged in bankruptcy. Thus, 25 WFBNA knows that it is obtaining repayment on debts that have been 26 discharged in bankruptcy. 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 16 of 37

- 95. Class Members often believe that they must pay the debt in order to remove it from the credit reports because they are often advised prior to bankruptcy by WFBNA and collection agencies that, if their debt is marked as charged off, it will dramatically affect their credit rating and will severely impact their ability to receive credit in the future.
- 96. WFBNA has adopted a systematic pattern and practice of failing and refusing to update credit information with regard to debts discharged in bankruptcy because it sells those debts and profits by the sale. WFBNA knows that if the credit information is not updated, then many Class Members will feel compelled to pay off the debt even though it is discharged in bankruptcy. Thus, buyers of WFBNA debt know, and are willing to pay more for the fact that, they will be able to collect portions of WFBNA debt despite the discharge of that debt in bankruptcy.
- 97. Upon information and belief, WFBNA receives a percentage fee of the proceeds of each debt repaid to WFBNA and forwarded to the buyer of WFBNA debt. WFBNA therefore has a clear economic incentive to violate the CCCRAA and FCRA.
- 18 98. Buyers of WFBNA consumer accounts that have been "charged off" and sold
  by WFBNA prior to a bankruptcy being filed know that, post-sale, WFBNA
  will refuse to correct the credit report to reflect the consumer's bankruptcy
  discharge, which means that the debtor will feel significant added pressure to
  obtain a "clean" report by paying on a discharged debt.
- 99. Although credit report tradelines list the WFBNA debt as "sold" or "transferred", they fail to identify the purchaser. Therefore, as far as the debtor is concerned, the only creditor to approach to correct the credit reports is WFBNA, which, as a matter of policy, refuses to correct them (while, in addition, retaining a percentage of payments sent to WFBNA by the debtor, as opposed to WFBNA's undisclosed buyer). This highlight further the

perniciousness of WFBNA's systematic approach in refusing to correct such reports.

- 100. Reporting a debt to a credit bureau is a "powerful tool designed, in part, to wrench compliance with payment terms." *Rivera v. Bank One*, 145 F.R.D. 614, 620 (1993).
- 101. WFBNA's actions constitute a violation of 11 U.S.C. § 524(a)(2), which provides that a discharge in bankruptcy operates as an injunction against the commencement or continuation of an action, the employment or process, or an act, to collect, recover or offset any such debt as a personal liability of the debtor.
- 102. Accordingly, WFBNA violated the FCRA and CCCRAA by failing to comport its credit reporting with the terms of the Bankruptcy Discharge under §§ 727 and 524(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, which ultimately intentionally assisted in the collection of discharged debt by not correcting the Class Members' credit reports to reflect that the debt has, in fact, been discharged.
- 103. WFBNA's reporting was inaccurate and materially misleading due to the effect of Plaintiff's successful Bankruptcy Discharge, because:

"The failure to update a credit report to show that a debt has been discharged is also a violation of the discharge injunction if shown to be an attempt to collect the debt. Because debtors often feel compelled to pay debts listed in credit reports when entering into large transactions, such as a home purchase, it should not be difficult to show that the creditor, by leaving discharged debts on a credit report, despite failed attempts to have the creditor update the report, is attempting to collect the debt."<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> 4 Collier on Bankruptcy, paragraph 524.02[2][B] (16th Ed. 3 2013), at page 524-23.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC** 

245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1

COSTA MESA, CA 92626

- 104. WFBNA's conduct is in bad faith, is vexatious and oppressive and is done with full knowledge that it is in violation of the law.
- 105. As a result of a major class action settlement, the CRAs have agreed to revise their procedures to report all pre-bankruptcy debts as discharged, unless furnishers provide information showing that a debt was excludable from discharge. White v. Experian Info Solutions, Inc., Case No. CV 05-01070 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 19, 2008) (lead case number).
- 106. Therefore, even the CRAs have acknowledged that the accurate and proper way to report the status of all pre-bankruptcy debts, like Plaintiff's and Class Members' debts, following successful Bankruptcy discharges of the debt, is "Discharged in Bankruptcy" (or the equivalent).
- 107. Despite this reform, there continue to be problems with improper reporting of discharged debts, including allegations that creditors have deliberately engaged in the practice to in order to pressure debtors to pay off discharged debts, and even refusals to correct the reporting after being requested to do so by the debtor.<sup>3</sup>
- 108. Over the past several years, thousands of consumers have written dispute letters to the CRAs and WFBNA requesting that WFBNA correct their erroneous reporting of discharged debts as due and owing because those debts had, in fact, been discharged in bankruptcy. Upon information and belief, in response to such disputes, WFBNA frequently continued to falsely report

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

<sup>22</sup> See, e.g., Belton v. GE Capital Consumer Lending (In re Belton), 2014 WL 5819586 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 10, 2014). See also Jessica Silver Greenberg, Debts Canceled by Bankruptcy Still Mar Consumer Credit Scores, N.Y. Times, Nov. 12, 2014. Keil v. Equifax Info. Serv., 2014 WL 4477610 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 10, 2014) (in response to debtor's dispute, credit union stated its policy was to report all charged-off debts as unpaid, irrespective of bankruptcy discharge); In re Haynes, 2014 WL 3608891 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. July 22, 2014) (debtor alleged that creditor refused his request to remove charge-off notation from account discharged in bankruptcy).

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 19 of 37

those debts as due and owing, despite the fact that the bankruptcy court records show that the debts at issue had been discharged.

- 109. WFBNA's persistent refusal to provide updated credit information to the credit reporting agencies that Class Members' past due WFBNA's debts are no longer "charged off" or "past due" because they have been discharged in bankruptcy is knowing and willful and constitutes violations of the CCCRAA and FCRA.
- 110. As a direct consequence of WFBNA's grossly inadequate and inaccurate initial reporting and investigation practices and procedures, Plaintiff and the Class have been effectively denied the fresh start to which they are legally entitled under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code.
- 111. In each case described above, Plaintiff and the Class members' legally protected interests in being able to apply for credit based on accurate information have been violated, placing them at an increased risk of not being able to obtain valuable credit and in many cases adversely affecting their credit ratings. WFBNA's publication of false and potentially damaging credit information concerning the Plaintiff and the Class violates their statutorily mandated rights and has caused them particularized and concrete harm.

### ALLEGATIONS AS TO PLAINTIFF'S BANKRUPTCY

- 112. At all times relevant to this matter, Plaintiff was an individual residing within the State of California.
- 113. Furthermore, WFBNA conducted business within the State of California at all times relevant.
- 114. On or about April 23, 2013, Plaintiff filed for a no asset Chapter 7 bankruptcy
  in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of California in
  Fresno. Plaintiff's case was assigned Case Number 13-bk-25655 (the
  "Bankruptcy").<sup>4</sup>
- $\frac{28}{4}$  The District Court has discretion to take judicial notice of the documents

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 20 of 37

- 115. The obligations ("Debt") to WFBNA, which was a consumer credit card account, were scheduled and included in the Bankruptcy.
- 116. WFBNA, a creditor, received notice of the Bankruptcy filing on or about April 23, 2014 through a Court Certificate of Mailing with Service by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center.
- 117. On or about August 12, 2013, Plaintiff received a successful bankruptcy discharge.
- 118. WFBNA received notice of the Bankruptcy discharge on or about August 12,2013 through a Court Certificate of Mailing with Service by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center.
- 119. WFBNA did not file any successful proceedings to declare their Debt "non dischargeable" pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523 *et seq*.
- 120. WFBNA also did not request or receive relief from the "automatic stay" codified at 11 U.S.C. §362 *et seq.* while the Plaintiff's Bankruptcy was pending to pursue the Plaintiff for any of the underlying Debts in their prebankruptcy form.
- 121. Accordingly, the Debt to WFBNA was discharged through the Bankruptcy.
- 18 122. Further, while the automatic stay was in effect during the Bankruptcy, it was
  illegal and inaccurate for WFBNA to report any post-Bankruptcy derogatory
  collection information, which was inconsistent with the Orders entered by the
  Bankruptcy Court, including the initial Petition for Relief for Bankruptcy
  protection, the automatic stay, and the Discharge Order (collectively the
  "Bankruptcy Orders").
- **24** 123. Reporting credit information to a CRA is a collection activity.
- 25 ///
- 26 ///

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1 COSTAMESA, CA 92626

**28** electronically filed in the bankruptcy case. See, Atwood v. Chase Manahttan Mortg. Co. (In re Atwood), 293 B.R. 227, 233 n.9 (B.A.P. 9<sup>th</sup> Cir.2003).

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 21 of 37

- 124. WFBNA either reported or caused to be reported inaccurate information after the Bankruptcy was filed *and* discharged, in the form of reporting the *current* account (pay) status of the Debt as being "charged off", as opposed to "Discharged in Bankruptcy" (or the equivalent).
- 125. The derogatory information reported by WFBNA after the Bankruptcy was discharged indicates to potential creditors that the Debt was somehow not discharged in the Bankruptcy and Plaintiff was being actively delinquent in respect to WFBNA's Debt, which is inaccurate and materially misleading reporting.
- 126. WFBNA's attempt to collect upon their respective Debt by reporting post-Bankruptcy derogatory information on Plaintiff's Equifax and TransUnion Credit Reports, which is a collection activity, was therefore inaccurate and prohibited by the Bankruptcy discharge.
- 127. WFBNA's reporting of post-Bankruptcy derogatory information was also inaccurate because a default on an account included in a bankruptcy can occur no later than the bankruptcy filing date, at which point the accounts included in the Bankruptcy were no longer collectable due to the effect of the automatic stay and ultimate discharge.
- 19 128. Thus, by reporting post-Bankruptcy derogatory information, WFBNA
  effectively reported: (1) Plaintiff was being financially irresponsible by failing
  to pay the debt *after* the Bankruptcy was discharged; and (2) that Plaintiff's
  Debt was more recently subject to collection than it really was, which is
  inaccurate and misleading under the FCRA and CCCRAA.
  - 129. WFBNA's attempt to collect upon the Debt by reporting post-Bankruptcy derogatory information on Plaintiff's Credit Reports, which is a collection activity, was therefore inaccurate and materially misleading.
- 27

24

25

26

28 ///

///

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 22 of 37

- 130. WFBNA's reporting of post-Bankruptcy derogatory information was also inaccurate and materially misleading because WFBNA continued reporting information based on WFBNA's pre-bankruptcy contract terms with the Plaintiff, which were no longer enforceable upon the filing of the Bankruptcy and ultimate successful discharge, thereby rendering the disputed information inaccurate and materially misleading.
- 131. For decades, courts have recognized that when a bankruptcy discharge is granted, the order relates back to the date of filing the petition and relieves the debtor from personal liability as of this date.
- 132. This is because when a debtor voluntarily files for bankruptcy, the petition constitutes an "order for relief" under the particular chapter the debtor wishes to proceed per Bankruptcy Code 11 U.S.C. § 301(a)-(b).
  - 133. When a debtor such as Plaintiff files a chapter 7 petition, Section 727(b) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that the discharge, when entered, applies to "all debts that arose *before the date of the order for relief*." In other words, the discharge relieves the debtor of personal liability for all prepetition debts.
- 134. Thus, in relation to the FCRA and CCCRAA, the discharge order rendered the information reported by WFBNA following the bankruptcy discharge inaccurate and patently misleading because the discharge order relieved Plaintiff from any personal obligation to pay WFBNA as of the date of filing the Bankruptcy petition—April 24, 2013.
- 135. Moreover, the derogatory, delinquent information furnished by WFBNA following the Bankruptcy Discharge was inaccurate and misleading because end users, including potential creditors, may interpret the reported information to mean that Plaintiff incurred new debt during the Bankruptcy or that Plaintiff reaffirmed the Debt with WFBNA notwithstanding the discharge.
- 27 136. However, Plaintiff did not incur new debt with WFBNA during the pendency
  28 of the Bankruptcy or reaffirm the Debt in the Bankruptcy.

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 23 of 37

- 137. Further, the Consumer Data Industry Association's ("CDIA") Metro 2 format is the credit industry's standardized, objective reporting format used by furnishers to provide information about consumer accounts to consumer reporting agencies.<sup>5</sup>
- 138. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that WFBNA voluntarily chose to subscribe to the Metro 2 format in their credit reporting practices to credit reporting agencies.
- 139. Reasonable entities, including potential creditors, would have thus expected WFBNA to report in compliance with the Metro 2 format.
- 140. Upon information and belief, WFBNA has adopted the CDIA's Metro 2 CRRG as its standard instruction book in respect to credit reporting, which instructs furnishers to update the CII indicator status of accounts discharged in Chapter 7 Bankruptcies with an "E" notation, i.e. "Discharged in Bankruptcy."
- 141. Potential creditors familiar with Metro 2 standard credit reporting instructions would be misled by seeing WFBNA reporting a "Charged Off" *current* (pay) status in respect to the Debt, as opposed to "Discharged in Bankruptcy", to believe that Plaintiff incurred new debt during the Bankruptcy or that Plaintiff reaffirmed the debt notwithstanding the discharge, because WFBNA's reporting deviated from Metro 2 reporting instructions in this respect.
- 142. However, Plaintiff did not incur new debt with WFBNA during the Bankruptcy proceeding or reaffirm the Debt in the Bankruptcy.
- 143. Accordingly, by reporting this post-Bankruptcy derogatory information, WFBNA did not comply with the Metro 2 format.
- 25 ///

///

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1 COSTAMESA, CA 92626

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> See Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, Key Dimensions and Processes in the U.S. Credit Reporting System, available at: http://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/201212\_cfpb\_credit-reporting-white-paper.pdf

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 24 of 37

144. Furnishers utilizing the Metro 2 reporting standard correctly is crucial because the Metro 2 system creates a uniform standard for the meaning given to each field provided, which fosters consistency in how furnishers formulate data to report to the credit bureaus, which ultimately leads to objective credit evaluations and scores.

- 145. Moreover, the FCRA imposes no requirement or mandate that a furnisher provide any information to a CRA. Indeed, the CDIA has repeatedly noted that the act of furnishing information to a CRA is completely voluntary.<sup>6</sup>
- 146. Pursuant to the Voluntary Undertakings Doctrine, found in the Second Restatement of Torts (Section 323 and 324), and as adopted by California, an undertaking once begun, which induces reliance of others for their own safety, cannot be discontinued without reasonable care to avoid increasing the risk.
- 147. The voluntary nature of credit reporting induces the affirmative duty by furnishers, pursuant to the CCCRAA and FCRA, to report accurately to the CRAs.
- 148. Credit reporting is done for the protection of other creditors extending offers of credit and for debtors being accurately portrayed to potential creditors.
- 149. Accordingly, once WFBNA made the voluntary decision to report Plaintiff's accounts, WFBNA was required to report accurately to the CRAs.

150. Plaintiff alleges that WFBNA did not comply with the Metro 2 reporting standards in respect to Plaintiff's account and reported inaccurately by failing to comport their reporting practices with the implication of Plaintiff's filing of the Bankruptcy and ultimate discharge.

<sup>6</sup> See, e.g., Credit Reports: Consumers' Ability to Dispute and Change Inaccurate Information: Hearing Before the H. Comm. on Fin. Serv., 110 Congr. 50 (2007) (written statement of Stuart Pratt, President and CEO, Consumer Data Industry Association) ("not a single one of the more than 18,000 data furnishers has to provide a single record of data to our members").

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 25 of 37

- 151. Accordingly, WFBNA's non-compliance with the Metro 2 reporting standards constitutes an inaccurate or misleading statement under the FCRA and CCCRAA, because a furnisher that fails to comply with the uniform and objective Metro 2 reporting standards compromises the credit reporting system.
- 152. Deviation from credit reporting industry standards can and often does result in denial of credit, higher interest rates, and prompts those making credit decisions to draw a more negative inference from the reported data than if the furnisher reported in accordance with the recognized industry standard.
- 153. Because credit reporting is a voluntary act, WFBNA's deviation from the Metro 2 format instructions—the industry standard and WFBNA's chosen method of reporting—constitutes an inaccurate or misleading statement, because those making credit decisions, who would expect that furnishers like WFBNA would adhere to the Metro 2 format, would view a *current* account status of "Charged Off" after a bankruptcy is discharged more negatively than "Discharged in Bankruptcy."

154. WFBNA's failure to adhere to the Metro 2 format would prompt those making credit decisions to draw a more negative inference from WFBNA's reporting of a *current* (pay) status of "Charged Off" than if WFBNA accurately reported "Discharged through Bankruptcy" because a "Charged Off" account status indicates that a debt is still legally collectable and a legally owed obligation.

155. Plaintiff subsequently learned that WFBNA's reported post-Bankruptcy derogatory credit information regarding the obligations on Plaintiff's credit reports, thereby causing erroneous and negative credit information in Plaintiff's credit files and damaging Plaintiff's creditworthiness.

- 26 ///
- 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

28 ///

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 26 of 37

- 156. As a direct and proximate result of result of WFBNA's willful and untrue communications, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered actual damages including, but not limited to, reviewing credit reports, sending dispute letters, attorney's fees, and such further expenses in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 157. As a further direct and proximate result of WFBNA's acts stated herein, Plaintiff and the Class incurred pain and suffering, was impeded in seeking necessary products and services from vendors, suffered humiliation, embarrassment, anxiety, loss of sleep, emotional distress, and defamation of character.

# THE WFBNA INACCURATE CREDIT INFORMATION

# RE: ACCOUNT NO: 57744216\*, (THE "ACCOUNT")

158. On or about September 2009, Plaintiff opened the Account with Wells Fargo, which was for a consumer credit card.

159. On or about 2012, Plaintiff fell behind on her payments and Wells Fargo ultimately "Charged Off" the Account on or about August 2012.

160. In an Equifax Credit Report dated November 13, 2016, WFBNA reported the following inaccurate, derogatory information for the above-referenced account number as of December 2012:

- Current (Pay) Status Charge Off
- Charge Off Amount: \$587

161. In a TransUnion Credit Report dated November 13, 2016, Wells Fargo reported the following inaccurate, derogatory information for the above-referenced account number as of December 2012:

- (Current) Pay Status Charged Off
- 26 162. Curiously, WFBNA did accurately update Plaintiff's Account with Experian
  27 on or about April 2013 to reflect a status that the account was Discharged in
  28 Bankruptcy, as opposed to "Charged Off."

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 27 of 37

- 163. Again, it was inaccurate and materially misleading for WFBNA should to report derogatory information on Plaintiff's account after April 24, 2013, because Plaintiff filed for bankruptcy on April 24, 2013.
- 164. WFBNA's inaccurate and negative reporting damaged Plaintiff's creditworthiness.
- 165. WFBNA received notice of the Bankruptcy filing, which listed WFBNA as a creditor for the Accounts, on or about April 24, 2013 through a Court Certificate of Mailing with Service by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center.
- 166. WFBNA also received notice of the Bankruptcy discharge on or about August12, 2013 through a Court Certificate of Mailing with Service by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center.
- 167. Rather than using the bankruptcy information sent specifically to WFBNA that WFBNA knew or should have known existed, WFBNA chose to continue reporting inaccurately on Plaintiff's credit report.

168. Rather than using the publicly available Bankruptcy information that WFBNA knew or should have known existed, WFBNA chose to continue reporting inaccurately on Plaintiff's credit report.

- 18 169. Although it was "accurate" for WFBNA to report that the Account was previously "Charged Off" as a *historical* fact, it was inaccurate and materially misleading to report that the *current* (pay) status of the Accounts were "Charged Off", because the true and correct statuses of the Accounts were "Discharged in Bankruptcy" as of August 23, 2013.
- 23 170. There was also no notation, status update, or any other indication in the tradeline as it was reported to Equifax and TransUnion that the Account was discharged in Plaintiff's Bankruptcy.
- 26 ///

///

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1 COSTAMESA, CA 92626

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 28 of 37

- 171. Again, the Account in question was not subject to a reaffirmation agreement with WFBNA or a successful adversary proceeding brought by WFBNA, but rather it was successfully discharged through Plaintiff's Bankruptcy. Therefore, in all material respects, Plaintiff's "Charged Off" Account is an example of an incorrect status notation on her credit report that is suffered by all the Class Members.
- 172. Although WFBNA did not previously update the reporting of the Account since December 2012, which was before the Bankruptcy was ultimately discharged, by knowingly and willfully failing to update Plaintiff's Equifax and TransUnion Credit Reports to signify that the Account had been discharged in bankruptcy, WFBNA was noncompliant with 11 U.S.C. §§ 524(a)(2) and 727 of the Bankruptcy Code by failing to update and correct credit information to CRAs to show that the Accounts were no longer legally due and owing.
- 173. After all, WFBNA had knowledge of when the "Charged Off" Accounts were discharged because it received a discharge notice from the Bankruptcy Noticing Center. It therefore, has a duty under the Sections 524(a)(2) and 727 of the Bankruptcy Code to promptly notify CRAs of any updates and/or corrections to the information previously provided to such agencies and/or any additional information that is necessary to make the information provided by WFBNA to the CRAs complete and accurate.
- 174. Therefore, WFBNA's inaccurate and negative reporting of the Accounts in light of their knowledge of the Bankruptcy discharge was willful.
- 175. Through this conduct, WFBNA has violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a) by furnishing information to Equifax and TransUnion, each a CRA, that WFBNA knew or should have known was inaccurate.
- 27 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1 COSTAMESA, CA 92626

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 29 of 37

- 176. On or about November 2016, Plaintiff disputed WFBNA's reported information regarding the Debt pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2) by notifying TransUnion and Equifax, in writing, of the incorrect and inaccurate credit information furnished by WFBNA.
- 177. Specifically, Plaintiff sent a letter, via certified mail, to TransUnion and Equifax (the "Dispute Letters"), requesting the above inaccurate information be removed.
- 178. Upon information and belief, TransUnion and Equifax timely notified WFBNA of Plaintiff's dispute, but WFBNA continued reporting inaccurate, derogatory information.
- 179. WFBNA was required to conduct a reasonable investigation into this specific account on Plaintiff's consumer report pursuant to 15 U.SC. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(A).
- 180. On or about December 2016, Plaintiff received notification from TransUnion and Equifax that WFBNA received notice of Plaintiff's dispute pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(6) and were providing the results of the reinvestigation.
- 181. However, rather than remove all the above inaccurate and materially misleading, derogatory information from Plaintiff's report, WFBNA simply left inaccurate and materially misleading information on Plaintiff's report.

182. Specifically, WFBNA continued to report the following inaccurate and derogatory information for both of the Accounts on Plaintiff's Equifax and TransUnion Credits as of December 2016, which was over *three years* following Plaintiff's successful Bankruptcy discharge of the Account:

- Current (Pay) Status Charge Off

183. Following WFBNA's FCRA investigation, there was again no notation, status update, or any other indication in either of the tradelines that the Account was discharged in Plaintiff's Bankruptcy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 184. Therefore, end users, including potential creditors, would interpret that as of December 2016, which was the most recent date WFBNA reported on the Account, the "*Current* (Pay) Status" of the Account was "Charged Off", as opposed to "Discharged in Bankruptcy", which is inaccurate and materially misleading under the FCRA for the reasons discussed.
- 185. Upon information and belief, WFBNA's investigation was unreasonable. More specifically, WFBNA, should have discovered from its records, including Plaintiff's official Dispute Letters, the fact that WFBNA was reporting the Account status accurately to Experian, and the Bankruptcy documents WFBNA received copies of from the Bankruptcy Noticing Center and were also publicly available to WFBNA, that the information WFBNA was reporting was patently inaccurate and materially misleading because it reported that the *current* (pay) status of the Account was "Charged Off" as of December 2016, as opposed to "Discharged in Bankruptcy."
- 186. A reasonable investigation would have also led to WFBNA consulting with the CRRG's Metro 2 instructions to determine the accurate and proper reporting of the current (pay) status of the Account, which would have revealed that WFBNA should have reported the CII status as "Discharged in Bankruptcy."
- 20 187. Instead, WFBNA "double-downed" on their original inaccurate and materially
  21 misleading reporting to Equifax and TransUnion by reporting that the current
  22 (pay) status of the Accounts, as of December 2016, was "Charged Off", as
  23 opposed to "Discharged in Bankruptcy" (or the equivalent).
  - 188. Accordingly, WFBNA failed to conduct a reasonable investigation with respect to the disputed information as required by 15 U.SC. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(A) by failing to remove and/or correct the disputed and incorrect information.

17

18

19

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 31 of 37

- 189. WFBNA failed to review all relevant information provided by Plaintiff in the dispute to Equifax, as required by and in violation of 15 U.SC. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(B).
- 190. Due to WFBNA's failure to reasonably investigate, they further failed to correct and update Plaintiff's information as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(E), thereby causing continued reporting of inaccurate information in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681-s(2)(b)(1)(C).
- 191. Plaintiff's continued efforts to correct WFBNA's erroneous and negative reporting of the Account by communicating Plaintiff's dispute with WFBNA were fruitless.
- 192. WFBNA's continued inaccurate and negative reporting of the Accounts in light of its knowledge of the actual error was willful.
- 193. WFBNA's failure to correct the previously disclosed inaccuracies on Plaintiff's credit report was intentional and in reckless disregard of its duty to refrain from reporting inaccurate information. Accordingly, WFBNA willfully and negligently failed to comply with its duty to reasonably investigate Plaintiff's dispute.
- 194. WFBNA negative reporting damaged Plaintiff's inaccurate and creditworthiness. 19
  - 195. By inaccurately reporting account information relating to the Account after notice and confirmation of its errors, WFBNA failed to take the appropriate measures as determined in 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681-s(2)(b)(1)(D) and (E).

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT DI COSTA MESA, CA 92626 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

///

///

///

///

	Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 32 of 37						
1	CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS						
2	196. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all others						
3	similarly situated (the "Class").						
4	197. Plaintiff seeks to maintain this action as a class action representing a class						
5	consisting of the following:						
6	All individuals such as an often Debruary 2012 hours had a						
7	All individuals who, on or after February 2012 have had a consumer report relating to them prepared by any of the credit						
8	reporting agencies in which one or more of their WFBNA tradeline accounts or debts was not reported as discharged						
9	despite the fact that such debts had been discharged as a result						
10	of their bankruptcy under Chapter 7 and Chapter 13 of the Bankruptcy Code.						
11	198. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic						
12 13 14 15	injury on behalf of the Class, and it expressly is not intended to request any						
13	recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the						
14	right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional						
16 17	persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and						
	discovery.						
18	199. Ascertainability / Numerosity: This class is ascertainable in that it is						
19	comprised of individuals who can be identified by reference to purely						
20	objective criteria contained in the records of WFBNA and the various credit						
21	reporting agencies. WFBNA and its employees or agents are excluded from						
22	the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class, but						
23	believes the Class members number in the hundreds of thousands, if not more.						
24	Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class action to assist in the						
25	expeditious litigation of this matter.						
26							
27							
28							
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGESPAGE 32 OF 37						

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 33 of 37

- 200. Typicality: The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of each member of the Class they seek to represent because: (1) they all had debts owed to WFBNA that were discharged in a Chapter 7 or 13 bankruptcy; (2) they have all been injured by WFBNA's refusal to remove the notation "charged off" or "past due/charged off" or similar notations despite the fact that the debts have been discharged in bankruptcy; and (3) each of their claims is based upon the same legal theories, i.e. that WFBNA violated the FCRA and CCCRAA.
- 201. Adequacy of Representation: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class she seeks to represent because: (a) she is willing and able to represent the proposed class and has every incentive to pursue this action to a successful conclusion; (b) her interest is not in any way antagonistic to those of the other Class Members; and (c) she is represented by counsel experienced in litigating significant consumer issues, including the issues specifically raised in this action.
- 202. Commonality: There are several questions of law and fact common to all members of the Class. The primary question of law and fact that is common to all members of the class is whether WFBNA, in failing and/or refusing to update and correct the credit reports of Class Members, has acted knowingly and willfully in violation of the FCRA and CCCRAA.
- 203. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of 21 this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce WFBNA to 22 comply with federal and California law. The interest of Class members in 23 individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against WFBNA is 24 small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for the 25 alleged violations are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to 26 present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class 27 claims. 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

		Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 34 of 37				
	1	204. WFBNA has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby				
	2	making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief				
	3	with respect to the Class as a whole.				
	4	CAUSES OF ACTION				
	5	COUNT I				
	6	VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT				
	7	15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 ET SEQ.				
	8	[AGAINST WFBNA]				
	9	205. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this				
	10	Complaint as though fully stated herein.				
	11	206. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple willful,				
P, APC T D1 6	12	reckless, or negligent violations of the FCRA, including, but not limited to,				
GROUI UE, UNI XA 9262	13	each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §				
LAW ( AVEN JESA, C	14	1681.				
KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT DI COSTA MESA, CA 92626	15	207. As a result of each and every negligent noncompliance of the FCRA, Plaintiff				
KAZEI 245 I C	16	is entitled to actual damages as the Court may allow pursuant to 15 U.S.C.				
	17	1681o(a)(1); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §				
	18	1681o(a)(2), from WFBNA.				
	19	208. As a result of each and every willful violation of the FCRA, Plaintiff is				
	20	entitled to actual damages as the Court may allow pursuant to 15 U.S.C. $\S$				
	21	1681n(a)(1); statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1); punitive				
	22	damages as the Court may allow, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2); and				
	23	reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3) from				
	24	WFBNA.				
	25	///				
	26	///				
	27	///				
	28	///				

	Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 35 of 37					
1	COUNT II					
2 VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING A						
3	3 АСТ					
4						
5						
6	209. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this					
7	Complaint as though fully stated herein.					
8	210. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations					
9	of the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act.					
10	211. In the regular course of its business operations, Capital routinely furnish					
11	information to credit reporting agencies pertaining to transactions between					
ູ 12	WFBNA and WFBNA's consumers, so as to provide information to a					
966 13	consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing and credit capacity.					
12 12 COSTA MESA, CA 92626 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	212. Because WFBNA is a partnership, corporation, association, or other entity,					
ET 12	and is therefore a "person" as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code §					
16	1785.3(j), WFBNA is and always were obligated to not furnish information on					
17	a specific transaction or experience to any consumer credit reporting agency if					
18 they knew or should have known that the information is incompared to the information of the should have known that the information is incompared to the should have known the s						
19	inaccurate, as required by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a). WFBNA knew or					
20	should have known that Plaintiff's debt was discharged. Thus, WFBNA					
21	violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a).					
22	PRAYER FOR RELIEF					
23	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and The Class Members pray for judgment as follows:					
24	• Certifying the Class as requested herein;					
25	• Providing such further relief as may be just and proper;					
26	• An award of actual damages, in an amount to be determined at trial or					
27	damages of a maximum of \$1,000 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A),					
28	against WFBNA for each incident of willful noncompliance of the FCRA;					
	$\frac{1}{C_{1,4,55} \wedge C_{2,5,57} + D_{2,5,57} $					
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGESPAGE 35 OF 37					

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 36 of 37

- An award of punitive damages, as the Court may allow pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2), against WFBNA for each incident of willful noncompliance to the FCRA;
- An award for costs and reasonable attorney's fess, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3), against WFBNA for each incident of negligent noncompliance of the FCRA;
- An award of actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1) against WFBNA for each incident of negligent noncompliance of the FCRA;
- An award of costs and litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(2) against WFBNA for each incident of noncompliance of the FCRA;
- An award of actual damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(2)(A), against WFBNA;
- Award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(1); and, Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(d) against WFBNA;
- An award of punitive damages of \$100-\$5,000 per willful violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a), pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(2)(B) against WFBNA;
- For equitable and injunctive relief pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(b) against WFBNA; and
- Any and all other relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: March 29, 2017

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT DI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

Respectfully submitted,

# KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC

By: <u>/s/ Matthew M. Loker</u> MATTHEW M. LOKER, ESQ. ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

	Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1	Filed 03/31/17 Page 37 of 37			
1	TRIAL BY JURY				
2	213. Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 38, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all				
3	issues so triable.				
4					
5	Dated: March 29, 2017	Respectfully submitted,			
6		KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC			
7		By: <u>/s/ Matthew M. Loker</u>			
8		MATTHEW M. LOKER, ESQ.			
9		ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF			
10					
ي ب					
KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT DI245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT DI2051 COSTA MESA, CA 9262691929394949595969797989991919293949495959596979798989999999191929394949595969797989899999999999191929294949595969797989899 <tr< th=""><th></th><th></th></tr<>					
v GRO ENUE, U CA 92					
NI LAV HER AVI A MESA					
ZEROU S FISCI COST					
17					
18					
19 20					
20 21					
21					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	PAGE 37 OF 37			

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

pulpose of initiating the errir a					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS KELLIE GADOMSKI, Ind Situated,	ividually and on Behal	f of All Others Similar	DEFENDANTS WELLS FARGO B		
( <b>b</b> ) County of Residence of	f First Listed Plaintiff	an Joaquin	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	
•	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C	DNLY)
				ONDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Matthew M. Loker, Esq. (	(SBN: 279939)	r)	Attorneys (If Known)		
KAZEROUNI LAW GRO 245 Fischer Avenue, Uni	,	92626 (800) 400-6	5808		
-					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)	KINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif and One Box for Defendant)
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In 1	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 D 2 Incorporated and I of Business In A	
			5	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	<b>(</b> Place an "X" in One Box Or	aly)	Foreign Country		
CONTRACT		DRTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<ul> <li>110 Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <b>365</b> Personal Injury -	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	<ul> <li>422 Appeal 28 USC 158</li> <li>423 Withdrawal</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> </ul>
□ 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	□ 690 Other	28 USC 157	□ 410 Antitrust
<ul> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment</li> </ul>	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	<ul> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> </ul>
& Enforcement of Judgment	t Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		<ul> <li>820 Copyrights</li> <li>830 Patent</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and</li> </ul>
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		□ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations <b>X</b> 480 Consumer Credit
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	□ 490 Cable/Sat TV
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERTY ☐ 370 Other Fraud	7 🗖 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923)	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
<ul> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> </ul>	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	<ul> <li>371 Truth in Lending</li> <li>380 Other Personal</li> </ul>	720 Labor/Management Relations	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI	<ul> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> </ul>	□ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	740 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	893 Environmental Matters
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability	751 Family and Medical Leave Act		895 Freedom of Information Act
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	<ul> <li>790 Other Labor Litigation</li> <li>791 Employee Retirement</li> </ul>	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	<ul> <li>896 Arbitration</li> <li>899 Administrative Procedure</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> </ul>	□ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	Income Security Act	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendent)	Act/Review or Appeal of
<ul> <li>220 Poleciosule</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> </ul>	<ul><li>441 Voting</li><li>442 Employment</li></ul>	<ul> <li>465 Alten Detaillee</li> <li>510 Motions to Vacate</li> </ul>		or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of
<ul> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> </ul>	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General		26 USC 7609	State Statutes
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	IMMIGRATION  462 Naturalization Application	]	
	D 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Other	□ 465 Other Immigration	L	
	Other 448 Education	<ul> <li>550 Civil Rights</li> <li>555 Prison Condition</li> </ul>	Actions		
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of			
		Confinement			
		Remanded from D 4		er District Litigation	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are f	(specify) iling (Do not cite jurisdictional stat		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	JN Brief description of ca		67 A; RFDCPA; and, CCCRA	A	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: Yes 🗇 No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE Troy L. Nunle	Эу	DOCKET NUMBER 17	-cv-669 TLN (AC)
DATE 03/30/2017		SIGNATURE OF ATTOM /s/ Matthew M. Lo			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	
Print	Save As				Reset

#### Case 2:17-at-00352 Document 1-1 Filed 03/31/17 Page 2 of 2 INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action: Wells Fargo Systematically Reports Inaccurate Consumer Info</u>