Case No.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
ROBIN GAVRIALOV on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated consumers		
Plaintiff,		
-against-		
NORTHSTAR LOCATION SERVICES LLC		
Defendant.		

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Introduction

 Plaintiff, Robin Gavrialov, brings this action against Northstar Location Services LLC for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"). The FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices while attempting to collect on debts.

Parties

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff a consumer debt.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Cheektowaga, New York.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

Allegations Particular to Robin Gavrialov

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 10. On or about October 10th, 2016, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter.
- 11. The said letter was an effort to collect on a defaulted consumer debt.
- 12. Such a collection letter, like the said October 10th, 2016 collection letter, is open to one of multiple interpretations and would likely be misunderstood by an unsophisticated consumer.
- 13. § 1692e requires debt collectors, when informing debtors of their account balance, to disclose whether the balance may increase due to interest and fees. <u>Avila v. Riexinger</u>

 <u>Associates, LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)</u>.
- Defendant's collection letter fails to include the safe harbor language set out in <u>Avila v.</u>
 Riexinger Associates, LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016).
- 15. An unsophisticated consumer would be left uncertain by the said letter as to whether the said account was accruing interest or not.
- 16. Pursuant to section 5001 of New York Civil Practice Law and Rules, a creditor shall

- recover prejudgment interest "upon a sum awarded because of a breach of performance of a contract." N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 5001(a); see also <u>Rhodes v. Davis</u>, 628 Fed. Appx. 787, 794 (2d Cir. 2015) (Under New York Law, "[i]nterest is generally mandatory "upon a sum awarded because of a breach of performance of a contract " (citing Id. § 5001(a))).
- 17. Section 5004 sets the rate of prejudgment interest at nine percent. N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 5004. When calculating the interest due, it "shall be computed from the earliest ascertainable date the cause of action existed." Id. § 5001(b).
- 18. "In New York, a breach of contract cause of action accrues at the time of the breach."

 <u>Ely-Cruikshank Co. v. Bank of Montreal, 81 N.Y.2d 399, 402, 615 N.E.2d 985, 599</u>

 <u>N.Y.S.2d 501 (1993)</u> (citations omitted).
- 19. Prejudgment interest on defaulted debt obligations "shall be computed from the earliest ascertainable date the cause of action existed," which is when the debtor fails to make the monthly payment. N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 5001(b).
- 20. "New York law provides that "[i]nterest shall be recovered upon a sum awarded because of a breach of performance of a contract," N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 5001(a), and that interest is to be computed "from the earliest date the cause of action existed," N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 5001(b), at the rate of nine percent per annum, N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 5004. Accordingly, Plaintiffs are entitled to prejudgment interest on the installments that were not timely paid." Kasperek v. City Wire Works, Inc., No. 03 CV 3986 (RML), 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19803, at *8 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 12, 2009).
- 21. The "Amount Due" in the case at hand was for an amount that included original principal, fees, and contractual interest.
- 22. The said October 10th, 2016 letter failed to correctly state the in full the amount of the

- debt allegedly owed.
- 23. Though the October 10th, 2016 letter listed an "Amount Due" and demanded payment in full, the letter did not state on which date the "Amount Due" was calculated, and did not explain that contractual or statutory interest and/or legal fees would continue to accrue on the unpaid principal; thus, the Plaintiff's total balance might be greater on the date he makes a payment.
- 24. A reasonable consumer could read the notice and be misled into believing that he or she could pay her debt in full by paying the amount listed on the notice.
- 25. However, since contractual or statutory interest is automatically accruing daily, and since there are undisclosed legal fees that will accrue, a consumer who pays the "Amount Due" stated on the notice will be unaware as to whether or not the debt has been paid in full.
- 26. The debt collector could still seek the automatically accrued contractual or statutory interest that accumulated after the notice was sent but before the balance was paid, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the interest and fees from the consumer.
- 27. A letter that states "Amount Due," without notice that the amount is already increasing due to accruing automatically accruing contractual or statutory interest or other charges, would mislead the unsophisticated consumer into believing that payment of the amount stated will clear his or her account.
- 28. The FDCPA requires debt collectors, when notifying consumers of their account balance, to disclose that the balance may increase due to interest and fees; failure to include such disclosures would harm consumers such as the Plaintiff who may hold the reasonable but mistaken belief, that timely payment will satisfy their debts and it would abrogate the

- Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e.
- 29. Pursuant to New York state law, statutory interest starts to accrue on the debt from the date of the breach of contract at the rate of 9% per annum.
- 30. The amount of the contractual or statutory interest, automatically increases each day that the defaulted debt remains unpaid due to the automatically contractual or statutory accrued interest.
- 31. Collection notices that state only the "Amount Due," but fail to disclose that the balance might increase due to interest and fees, are "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e.
- 32. To the extent that the Creditor or the Defendant intended to waive the automatically accrued and accruing interest, it was required to disclose that in the most conspicuous of terms.
- 33. Northstar Location Services LLC was required to include a disclosure that the automatically accrued interest was accruing, or in the alternative, Northstar Location Services LLC was required to disclose that the creditor has made an intentional decision to waive the automatically accruing interest; yet the Defendant failed to make any such disclosures, violating Section 1692e of the FDCPA.
- 34. Failure to disclose such a waiver of the automatically accruing interest is in of itself deceptive and "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e of the FDCPA.
- 35. Northstar Location Services LLC knew that the balance would increase due to interest, fees and/or disbursements.
- 36. The Second Circuit adopted a safe harbor disclaimer stating "that requiring such

disclosure best achieves the Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e. It also protects consumers such as the Plaintiff, who may hold the reasonable but mistaken belief that timely payment will satisfy their debts." Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)

- 37. Because the statement of the "Amount Due" that included original principal, fees, and contractual interest, without notice that the accruing interest was expressly waived can mislead the least sophisticated consumer into believing that payment of the amount stated will clear her account, the FDCPA requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account balance, to expressly disclose that interest has stopped accruing.
- 38. Requiring such disclosure best achieves the Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e. It also protects consumers such as the Plaintiff, who may hold the reasonable, but mistaken belief that timely payment will satisfy their debts and it protects them from other debt collectors seeking further interest on this debt in the future.
- 39. According to the Second Circuit's finding that the "Amount Due" must contain a full and fair disclosure, if a credit card account was being charged interest, pursuant to a contract and the interest was intended to be waived, disclosure of such a waiver is necessary or the consumer would not know what the balance is. "[i]n fact, however, if interest is accruing daily, [or was not expressly waived] a consumer who pays the 'current balance' stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full. The debt collector could still seek the [accruing or un-waived] interest and fees that accumulated after the notice was sent but before the balance was paid, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the interest and fees from the consumer." Avila v. Riexinger &

Assocs., *LLC*, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)

- 40. The 8th Circuit in <u>Haney v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs.</u>, No. 15-1932, 2016 U.S. App. <u>LEXIS 17287 (8th Cir. Sep. 21, 2016)</u> clearly explains that merely not including interest in post charge off statements is not express waiver of interest, and the debt collector or creditor can seek the interest in the future.
- 41. In fact, in this case the Plaintiff is still not sure whether there was any intent to waive the interest. There was definitely no express waiver and disclosure of waiver is mandatory if interest was originally accruing per the contract. The consumer could not know what the real balance is.
- 42. The intent to waive a contractual right must be unmistakably manifested and may not be inferred from doubtful or equivocal acts. Navillus Tile, Inc. v. Turner Const. Co., 2

 A.D.3d 209, 770 N.Y.S.2d 3 (1st Dep't 2003). A waiver of a contract right does not occur by negligence, oversight or thoughtlessness and cannot be inferred from mere silence. Acumen Re Management Corp. v. General Sec. Nat. Ins. Co., 2012 WL 3890128, at *6 (S.D. N.Y. 2012), reconsideration denied, motion to certify appeal granted, 2012 WL 6053936 (S.D. N.Y. 2012).
- 43. The 8th Circuit Court of Appeals found that the fact that the debt was charged off and that the creditor or debt buyer did not charge statutory or prejudgment interest in its statements post charge off does not constitute an express waiver and statutory or prejudgment interest is still continuing to accrue and may be charged at a future time.
- 44. According to the Second Circuit in *Avila*, any such a debt would need full and complete disclosure. See <u>Haney v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs.</u>, No. 15-1932, 2016 U.S. App. <u>LEXIS 17287 (8th Cir. Sep. 21, 2016)</u> ("Nothing inherent in the process of charging off a

debt precludes a claim for statutory interest, and [the states] prejudgment interest statute does not expressly preclude statutory prejudgment interest following a waiver of contractual interest...[The debtor] received monthly periodic statements from the original creditors prior to charge-off, and at least as to the *Wal-Mart* account, the charge-off statement itself is attached to the pleadings. [The debtor] received a demand for payment of his accounts when due. We conclude any demand requirement that exists as a precondition to the accrual of statutory prejudgment interest was satisfied by the original creditors' demands upon [the debtor].")

- 45. Failure to disclose such a waiver of the automatically accruing interest is in of itself deceptive and "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e. The Defendant knew that the balance would increase due to interest, fees and/or disbursements.
- 46. Since the "Amount Due" is for an amount that includes original principal, fees, and contractual interest, the collection notice must accurately inform the consumer that interest is accruing daily or that interest has stopped accruing. "Applying these principles, we hold that Plaintiffs have stated a claim that the collection notices at issue here are misleading within the meaning of Section 1692e... a consumer who pays the "current balance" stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full." Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, Nos. 15-1584(L), 15-1597(Con), 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 5327, at *10-11 (2d Cir. Mar. 22, 2016)
- 47. Although the October 10th, 2016 letter stated a "Amount Due" and demanded payment in full, the letter also failed to disclose to the Plaintiff that the Defendant would attempt to collect the additional accruing interest at a later date.
- 48. "The Court therefore finds that [the debt collectors] letters to [the debtor] are subject to

two different interpretations as to the accumulation of interest, rendering them deceptive under § 1692e(10) ... The logic [applies] to stated outstanding debt and the need for consumers to be aware that this debt may be dynamic or static. They are concerned with a consumer's inability to discern whether an amount owed may grow with time, regardless of whether offers to settle are on the table or not. As [plaintiff] states, this information is relevant in a consumer's payment calculus, especially when some debts must be paid at the expense of others. And, of course, the existence of settlement offers would be entirely irrelevant to these considerations for the many consumers who are unable to take advantage of them...Plaintiff's claim is not that the stated balance was not itemized, but that it was unclear whether it was subject to future interest" Michalek v. ARS Nat'l Sys., No. 3:11-CV-1374, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142976, at *16-17 (M.D. Pa. Dec. 13, 2011)

- 49. Absent a disclosure by the holder of the debt that the automatic interest is waived, even if the debtor pays the "Amount Due" the Defendant and or the creditor **could** still seek the automatic interest that accumulated after the breach of contract, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the automatic interest and from the consumer. *Avila*, at *10-11.
- 50. A waiver of interest, even when made explicitly, has not prevented debt collection agencies from continuing to illegally charge the waived interest. At the bare minimum a debt collection agency must clearly convey, even to the unsophisticated consumer that it intends to waive the automatically accruing interest, or that the debt has stopped accruing interest.
- 51. Upon receiving the October 10th, 2016 letter, the Plaintiff was uncertain whether the "Amount Due" was accruing interest as there was no disclosure or admonition indicating

otherwise.

- 52. A debtor who pays the "Amount Due" stated in the collection letter will be left unsure as to whether or not the debt has been paid in full, as the Defendant could still attempt to collect on any interest and fees that accumulated after the letter was sent but before the balance was paid.
- 53. The October 10th, 2016 letter would cause the unsophisticated consumer uncertainty and force him to guess how much money he allegedly owed to the Defendant, how much money would accrue daily on his alleged debt, how much additional money he would owe if he paid the amount demanded in the October 10th, 2016 letter, and if or when the Defendant's collection efforts would actually discontinue if he remitted "Amount Due" that the Defendant demanded.
- 54. Section 1692e of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from using any false, or any deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of a debt, including the false representation of the character, amount or legal status of any debt, see, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) and § 1692e(10).
- 55. Upon information and belief, such actions are part of a scheme or business of the Defendant when attempting to collect alleged debts from consumers in the State of New York.
- 56. Upon information and belief, the Defendant's collection letters, such as the said October 10th, 2016 collection letter, number in at least the hundreds.
- 57. The Defendant, by failing to state that it would add interest to the amount of the debt, made materially false statements, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA.
- 58. Defendant's October 10th, 2016 letter is in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A)

- and 1692e(10) of the FDCPA for the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt and for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by the Plaintiff.
- 59. Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 60. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.
- 61. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 62. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 63. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 64. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to the Defendant's collection efforts.
- 65. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived him of his right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.
- 66. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate

- the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 67. Plaintiff seeks to end these violations of the FDCPA. Plaintiff has suffered damages including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment. Plaintiff and putative class members are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including, declaratory relief, and damages.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 68. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 69. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Northstar Location Services LLC and those business and governmental entities on whose behalf it attempts to collect debts.
- 70. Excluded from the Plaintiff's Class is the Defendant and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of Northstar Location Services LLC, and all of their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 71. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff's Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 72. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.

- 73. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor his attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 74. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff's Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff's Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
 - (c) **Typicality:** The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint have claims arising out of the Defendant's common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - (d) Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the

absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- (e) Superiority: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(l)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant who, on information and belief, collects debts throughout the United States of America.
- 75. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that a determination that the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and is tantamount to declaratory relief and any monetary relief under the FDCPA would be merely incidental to that determination.
- 76. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the

Plaintiff's Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

- 77. Further, Defendant has acted, or failed to act, on grounds generally applicable to the Rule (b)(l)(A) and (b)(2) Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- 78. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify one or more classes only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of himself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 79. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered one (1) through seventy eight (78) herein with the same force and effect is if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 80. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 81. The class involves all individuals whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about October 10th, 2016; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt; and (b) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; and (c) the Plaintiff asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10) of the FDCPA for the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt and for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by the Plaintiff.

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

82. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt

Collection Practices Act.

83. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and

the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt

Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this

Court enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

(a) Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);

(b) Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and

(c) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the

circumstances.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York January 14, 2017

/s/ Igor Litvak____

Igor Litvak, Esq.

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

The Litvak Law Firm, PLLC

1701 Avenue P

Brooklyn, New York 11229

Office: (718) 989-2908

Facsimile: (718) 989-2908

E-mail: Igor@LitvakLawNY.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Igor Litvak____

Igor Litvak, Esq.

JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

urpose of initiating the civil do I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
			DEFENDANTS		
ROBIN GAVRIALOV			NORTHSTAR LO	DCATION SERVICES LL	.C
(b) County of Residence of	_	KINGS	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	(A/LV)
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O DNDEMNATION CASES, USE TI OF LAND INVOLVED.	,
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A			Attorneys (If Known)		
IGOR B. LITVAK, ESQ. 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YORI	PHONE / FA	LAW FIRM, PLLC X: (718) 989-2908 R@LITVAKLAWNY.C	ОМ		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI				RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif,
☐ 1 U.S. Government	★ 3 Federal Question ■ 3 Federal Question ★ 3 Federal Question ★ 3 Federal Question ★ 4 Federal Question ★ 5 Federal Ques		(For Diversity Cases Only)	rf def	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 365 Personal Injury -	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	☐ 690 Other	28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability		□ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		□ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	LABOR 7 710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud	Act	□ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act☐ 751 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information
	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	Leave Act		Act
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation☐ 791 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 896 Arbitration☐ 899 Administrative Procedure
☐ 210 Land Condemnation☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee	Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 950 Constitutionality of
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence ☐ 530 General		26 USC 7609	State Statutes
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other:	IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application	1	
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other	☐ 465 Other Immigration		
	Other 448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition	Actions		
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of			
		Confinement			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in X" in Y"		Remanded from Appellate Court		er District Litigation	
	15 U.S.C. SECT	ntute under which you are f	(specify) iling (Do not cite jurisdictional stat BT COLLECTION PRACT	tutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	ause:	COLLECTION BUSINES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	RNEY OF RECORD		
01/14/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/S/ IGOR B. LITV	AK, ESQ.		
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE

Case 1:17-cv-002R2TIF160AvitiOn 10F AFRBITCRATATION Plate 18 18

exclusiv	e of intere		s, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, tion. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a		
I N/A		counsel for	do hereby certify that the above cantioned civil action is		
ineligib	ole for c	compulsory arbitration for the following	, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is reason(s):		
	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,				
		the complaint seeks injunctive relief,			
		the matter is otherwise ineligible for the	he following reason		
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	- FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1		
		Identify any parent corporation and any p	publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:		
N/A					
		RELATED CASE STATEM	ENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)		
provides because same jud case: (A)	that "A c the cases ge and m involves	civil case is "related" to another civil case for pur arise from the same transactions or events, a sub- nagistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " is identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same p	of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) rposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or ostantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the		
		NY-E DIVISIO	N OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)		
1.)	Is the c County		t removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk		
2.)		5 5	aim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk		
	b) Did t District	~ ~ ~	aim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern		
Suffolk	County, olk Coun	or, in an interpleader action, does the claim	(or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or than (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau sident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).		
	(1)	-			
			BAR ADMISSION		
I am cui	rently ac	dmitted in the Eastern District of New York Yes	and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No		
Are you	currentl	ly the subject of any disciplinary action (s) i Yes (If yes, please explain)	n this or any other state or federal court? No		
I certify	the accu	uracy of all information provided above.			
Signatu	re: /S	S/ IGOR B. LITVAK, ESQ.			

4285 @angesqe Street-00222 Document 1-2 Filed 01/14/17 Page Africa SERVICES, LLC

1-855-211-4583 Hours Mon-Thurs 8AM-10PM ET. Fri 8AM-8PM ET, Sat 8AM-12PM ET

October 10, 2016

Creditor: BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE

Account #: ********1727

Balance: \$4,906.24 Amount Remitted: \$ __

201600000606110-BB2

1 AT *A-01-A2Q-AM-00614-2 դիվիգնովիիիիկիիցրովբոլիվիկինիկեննդուրակու

ROBIN GAVRIALOV 14107 82ND DR JAMAICA NY 11435-1101



իգիցիկուիվիի հիմալիգիին-բինբերբգնիցնեն իրից NORTHSTAR LOCATION SERVICES, LLC ATTN: FINANCIAL SERVICES DEPT. 4285 GENESEE ST CHEEKTOWAGA NY 14225-1943

To ensure proper credit, return this portion with your payment.

Creditor	Account #	Balance	Amount Remitted
BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE	*********1727	\$4,906.24	

Dear ROBIN GAVRIALOV:

Northstar is an authorized representative for BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE / JETBLUE REWARDS CARD. Our records indicate we recently sent you a letter, but we have not received a response. We would like to assist you by customizing a payment arrangement based on your specific financial needs. Should you wish to take advantage of this assistance option, we ask that you contact us today. We look forward to hearing from you.

Feel free to contact our office to negotiate a payment or detach and submit the top portion of this letter along with your payment. If you prefer to pay by Check-by-phone option, you may contact our office toll free at 1-855-211-4583 to make arrangements. You may also visit our website at www.gotonls.com to make a payment.

This communication is from a debt collector and is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

To make paying your account more convenient we offer the following payment options:

- Check-by-phone at 1-855-211-4583
- MoneyGram ExpressPayment
- Debit Card

- Web Pay at www.gotonls.com
- Pay in person at our office
- Enclose your payment in the envelope

You may contact David Evtimovski toll free at 1-855-211-4583 to make your payment.

This collection agency is licensed by the Department of Consumer Affairs, the City of New York License # 1179143.



Payment website: https://www.gotonls.com

	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT FERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	N GAVRIALOV on behalf of himself and ner similarly situated consumers	Case No.
	Plaintiff,	
	-against-	
NOR	THSTAR LOCATION SERVICES LLC	
	Defendant.	
	SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION
TO:	NORTHSTAR LOCATION SERVICES 4285 GENESEE STREET BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14225	SLLC
and se	YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED active upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:	and required to file with the Clerk of this Court
	IGOR B. LITVAK, ESQ. THE LITVAK LAW FIRM, PLLC 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11229	
summ	-	erved upon you, with 21 days after service of this vice. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will the complaint.
CLER	RK	DATE
BY D	EPUTY CLERK	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Northstar Location Services Named in Class Action