## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS DIVISION

GILBERTO GARZA, for himself and on behalf of those similarly situated,

Plaintiff

Plaintiff,	CASE NO.:				
vs.					
ESTATE LANDSCAPING & LAWN MANAGEMENT, LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company,					
Defendant.					

#### COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, GILBERTO GARZA ("Plaintiff"), for himself and on behalf of those similarly situated, by and through his undersigned counsel, files this Complaint against Defendant, ESTATE LANDSCAPING & LAWN MANAGEMENT, LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company ("ESTATE LANDSCAPING"), and states as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), as amended 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., to obtain declaratory relief, a judgment against Defendant as to liability, recover unpaid back wages, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 2. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, as Plaintiff's claims arise under 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

#### **PARTIES**

1. At all times material to this action, Plaintiff was a resident of Lee County, Florida.

- 2. At all times material to this action, ESTATE LANDSCAPING was, and continues to be, a Florida Limited Liability Company.
- 3. At all times material to this action, ESTATE LANDSCAPING was, and continues to be, engaged in business in Florida, with its corporate office in Fort Myers, Florida. Its principal place of business is in Fort Myers, Florida.
- 4. At all times material to this action, Plaintiff was "handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce" within the meaning of §7 of the FLSA.
- 5. At all times material to this action, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 6. At all times material to this action, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 7. Defendant was, and continues to be, an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 8. At all times material to this action, Defendant ESTATE LANDSCAPING was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" and an enterprise engaged in the "handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 9. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of Defendant ESTATE LANDSCAPING was in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum during the relevant time periods.
  - 10. At all times material to this action, Defendant had two (2) or more employees

handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce, such as landscaping equipment and vehicles manufactured outside the state of Florida.

11. At all times material hereto, the work performed by the Plaintiff was directly essential to the business performed by Defendant.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 12. On or about August 2016 and continuing through March 2017, Defendant hired Plaintiff to work as a nonexempt, hourly paid, laborer and landscaping employee.
  - 13. Plaintiff's job duties were lawn maintenance for Defendant's clients.
- 14. At various times material hereto, Plaintiff worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours within a workweek.
- 15. From the beginning of his employment through at least March 2017, Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff at a rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single workweek.
- 16. Specifically, the practices that led to this failure to pay overtime included but were not limited to, a policy and practice of (1) paying recorded overtime hours at regular hourly rate, with no premium; (2) failing to input all hours recorded in hand-written timekeeping records into the electronic payroll system, such that some overtime hours were recorded but nonetheless completely unpaid; and (3) failing to record certain overtime hours altogether, even on the hand-written timekeeping sheets.
- 17. Plaintiff and other landscaping employees asked to be paid at overtime rate for all of his overtime hours, but were told that the Company had a "loophole" which it could use to avoid payment of overtime.

- 18. Plaintiff should be compensated at the rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for all hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, as required by the FLSA.
- 19. Upon information and belief, the majority of Plaintiff's pay and time records are in the possession of Defendant.
- 20. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action also worked overtime hours for Defendant as hourly paid landscaping employees, worked under the same terms and conditions pursuant to the policies, practices, and procedures applicable to Plaintiff as describe herein, and were denied proper overtime compensation for overtime hours due to these policies, practices and procedures.
- 21. Defendant has violated Title 29 U.S.C. §207 from at least August 2016, through at least March 2017, in that:
- A. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, worked in excess of forty (40) hours in one or more workweeks for the period of employment with Defendant;
- B. No payments or provisions for payment have been made by Defendant to properly compensate Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, at the statutory rate of one and one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, as provided by the FLSA due to the policies and practices described above; and
- C. Defendant has failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 22. Defendant's failure and/or refusal to properly compensate Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, at the rates and amounts required by the FLSA was willful. Plaintiff and his fellow landscapers/laborers asked to be paid proper overtime and the Company persisted in its

failure to pay same, despite that it knew, or reasonably should have known, that same was due.

23. Defendant failed and/or refused to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.

# COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 24. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 23 of the Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.
- 25. On or about August 2016 and continuing through at least March 2017, Plaintiff and those similarly situated worked hours in excess of forty (40) hours in one or more workweeks for which they were not compensated at the statutory rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay.
- 26. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, were, and are, entitled to be paid at the statutory rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.
- 27. Defendant's actions were willful and/or showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA, as evidenced by its failure to compensate Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, at the statutory rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek when it knew, or should reasonably have known, such was, and is, due.
- 28. Defendant failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff's rights under the FLSA.
- 29. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, suffered and continue to suffer damages and lost compensation for time worked over forty (40) hours per week, plus liquidated damages.

- 30. Other current and former landscaping employees of Defendant were also not paid proper overtime for hours worked in excess of forty (40) in one or more workweeks, because Defendant has failed to properly pay Plaintiff proper overtime wages at time and one-half of the lawful regular rate of pay for such hours, pursuant to policies, plans or decisions equally applicable to other hourly paid landscaping employees.
- 31. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, for himself and on behalf of other hourly landscaping employees: requests conditional certification pursuant to Section 216(b) of the FLSA, of the hourly paid laborer/landscaping employees who worked for Defendant over 40 hours in one or more workweeks from the date three years prior to the date this complaint is filed through the date Notice is sent on this matter, but were not paid proper overtime compensation for same; an order permitting Notice to all potential class members; a Declaration that Defendant's policy violates the FLSA; entry of judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against Defendant for actual and liquidated damages, as well as costs, expenses and attorneys' fees and such other relief deemed proper by this Court.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as a matter of right by jury. Dated this 5th day of June 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

Angeli Murthy, Esquire

FL Bar No.: 088758

MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

600 N. Pine Island Road

Suite 400

Plantation, FL 33324

Tel: 954-318-0268 Fax: 954-327-3016

E-mail: Amurthy@forthepeople.com

Trial Counsel for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

P P											
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN	TS						
GILBERTO GARZA, for himself and on behalf of those similarly situ			ituated	ESTATE LANDSCAPING & LAWN MANAGEMENT, LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff LEE				1	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
• •	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	(SES)				(IN U.	S. PLAINTIFF CASES C				
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	r)		Attorneys (If Kno	own)						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Angeli Murthy, Esq., Mor	gan & Morgan, P.A.										
600 N. Pine Island Road (954) 318-0268	, Flantauon, FL 33324										
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	•	ne Box Only)	III. CI	[TIZENSHIP O] (For Diversity Cases Or	nly)			(Place an "X" in and One Box fo	or Defenda	ant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question	Mot a Partul	Citiz	en of This State	PTF			incinal Place	PTF	DEF	
riamuii	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz	Chizen of This State			of Business In T		5 4	J 4	
□ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citiz	en of Another State	0 2	2 0	2 Incorporated and I		5	<b>5</b>	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)					of Business In A	Another State			
				en or Subject of a reign Country	0:		3 Foreign Nation		<b>D</b> 6	<b>D</b> 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT											
CONTRACT		RTS		ORFEITURE/PENALT			BANKRUPTCY				
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury -	Y   10 62	25 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 8			appeal 28 USC 158 Vithdrawal	☐ 375 False C ☐ 376 Qui Ta			
□ 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	☐ 69	0 Other		2	8 USC 157	3729(a			
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			200	PRO	PERTY RIGHTS			eapportionment	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury			Ī	J 820 C	Copyrights	🗇 430 Banks :	and Bankir	ng	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal	,			J 830 P ⊐ 840 T	atent rademark	☐ 450 Commo			
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product						470 Racket	eer Influen		
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPEI		LABOR  Labor Standards			IAL SECURITY IIA (1395ff)	Corrupt  480 Consur	t Organizat ner Credit		
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	· · · ·	Act	ľ	J 862 B	lack Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/S	Sat TV		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	0 72	20 Labor/Management Relations			OIWC/DIWW (405(g)) SID Title XVI	☐ 850 Securit Exchar		odities/	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability  360 Other Personal	Property Damage	O 74	10 Railway Labor Act			SI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other S		ctions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage Product Liability		51 Family and Medical Leave Act				☐ 891 Agricul ☐ 893 Enviror			
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Froduct Liability	D 79	90 Other Labor Litigation	n			395 Freedon			
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		1 Employee Retirement	1		ERAL TAX SUITS	Act			
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act	ľ		axes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitra ☐ 899 Admini		rocedure	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	e		ſ	3 871 1	RS—Third Party	Act/Re	view or Ap	peal of	
<ul><li>240 Torts to Land</li><li>245 Tort Product Liability</li></ul>	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence  530 General	İ			2	6 USC 7609	Agency  950 Constit	Decision		
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION				State St		••	
	Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Oth		52 Naturalization Applica 55 Other Immigration	ation			1			
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions				1			
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -						1			
		Conditions of	ŀ								
	<u> </u>	Confinement						<u> </u>			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in				=							
		Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reo <sub>l</sub>	pened An		ed from					
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 28 U.S.C. §1331;	tute under which you a 29 U.S.C. §§201,	re filing (1 207, 21	Do not cite jurisdictiona 6	l statu	es unles	s diversity):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Unpaid wages.	nuse:									
VII. REQUESTED IN	☐ CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	d v	EMAND \$			CHECK YES only	if demanded in	complai	nt:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.		Unknown			JURY DEMAND:	Yes Yes	□ No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	$\mathbb{E}(S)$ (See instructions):	WID OF				<b>B</b> OO	(FF) (FF) (FF) (FF)				
DATE	······································	JUDGE SIGNATURE OF A	TOPMEV	OF RECORD		_ DOC	KET NUMBER				
DATE SIGNATURE OF RECORD											
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		- HI	$\rightarrow$								
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	$\mathcal{O}^{\cdot}$	JUDG	Ε		MAG. JUI	DGE			

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Estate Landscaping & Lawn Management Hit with FLSA Lawsuit