UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

JAIME GA	ALLO
7280 Saint	Johns Court
Manassas,	Virginia 20109

Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Civil Action No.	
------------------	--

Plaintiff,

V.

PRIMA HOME HEALTH, INC. 14101 Willard Road, Suite C Chantilly, Virginia 20151

SERVE:

Omar M Abdi

14101 Willard Road, Suite C Chantilly, Virginia 20151

And

OMAR M ABDI 14101 Willard Road, Suite C Chantilly, Virginia 20151

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Jaime Gallo ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this action against Prima Home Health, Inc. ("Prima") and Omar M Abdi ("Abdi") (together, "Defendants"), for damages and other relief relating to violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"). Plaintiff states the following as his claims against Defendants:

OVERVIEW

- 1. Plaintiff brings claims to recover unpaid overtime compensation under the FLSA. He brings these claims on his own behalf, and as a collective action on behalf of all current or former similarly situated home health aides and/or personal care assistants, who may choose to opt in to this action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 2. As described below, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated 1.5 times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek. By doing so, Defendants have violated the FLSA.

THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff is an adult resident of the state of Virginia.
- 4. Plaintiff is currently employed by Defendants as a Home Health Aide ("HHA") and/or Personal Care Assistant ("PCA") and has been employed in this capacity since about approximately 2009.
- 5. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Plaintiff consents in writing to be a party to the FLSA claims asserted. Plaintiff's consent form is attached as **Exhibit A**.
- 6. Plaintiff and those similarly situated are or were employees of Defendants within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e)(1).
- 7. Prima is a Virginia corporation with its principal place of business in Chantilly, Virginia.
- 8. Prima is a home health care agency that provides in-home health care services and related personal care assistant services for children and adults primarily in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

- 9. Prima is or has been an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods or services for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), and, upon information and belief, has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000.00 at all relevant times.
- 10. At all times, Abdi, individually, held a substantial ownership and financial interest in Prima and its business operations.
- 11. At all times, Abdi, individually, was the most senior officer and manager of Prima and had substantial control to make business decisions affecting Prima's business operations and the employees working for Prima.
- 12. At all times, Abdi, individually, oversaw and controlled Prima's employee's work schedules and work hours.
- 13. At all times, Abdi, individually, set and had the power to change Prima's employee's rate and method of pay.
- 14. Abdi, individually, decided to not pay Prima's HHA/PCA employees at the FLSA required time-and-one-half rate for overtime hours employees worked over forty (40) each week.
- 15. Abdi, individually, oversaw the maintenance all employee records for all Prima employees.
- 16. At all relevevant times, both Prima and Abdi were, and have been, "employers" of Plaintiff and those similarly situated within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 17. Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated, are or were individual employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods or services for commerce. 29 U.S.C. § 207.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 18. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because Plaintiff's claims arise under the Fair Labor Standards Act.
- 19. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants regularly conduct business in the geographic region of the Eastern District of Virginia.
- 20. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because Defendants reside within this District, and under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 21. Plaintiff and those similarly situated work or worked for Defendants as HHA/PCAs to provide companionship and related in-home care services for Defendants' clients.
- 22. Defendants knowingly suffered and permitted Plaintiff and those similarly situated to regularly work more than forty (40) hours in workweeks.
- 23. During his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff often works between approximately sixty (60) and seventy-five (75) (or more) hours per week.
- 24. At all times, Defendants have had actual knowledge of all hours Plaintiff and those similarly situated worked because Plaintiff and those similarly situated submit weekly time sheets to Defendants' offices and Defendants affirm the accuracy of these records and submit the same records to the Federal Government and other third parties for payment and/or reimbursement.
- 25. Plaintiff and those similarly situated were not compensated in accordance with the FLSA because they were not paid proper overtime wages and the required time-and-one-half rate for all hours worked more than forty (40) per workweek.

- 26. Specifically, rather than paying them 1.5 times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek, which is required by the FLSA, Defendants paid Plaintiff and those similarly situated only "straight time" (for a time at hourly rates and later at annual salary rates) for overtime worked over forty (40) hours per week. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 207.
- 27. At all times during Plaintiff's period of employment, Defendants had actual knowledge of the FLSA time-and-one-half overtime requirement.
- 28. At all times during Plaintiff's period of employment, Defendants posted and displayed a Department of Labor posters at Defendants' place of business explicitly stating that non-exempt employees are entitled to time-and-one-half overtime compensation for overtime hours worked more than forty (40) per week.
- 29. Defendants had actual knowledge their failure to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated at the FLSA required time-and-one-half rate for overtime hours worked more than (40) per week constituted a direct violation of the FLSA overtime pay requirement.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 30. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf and all similarly situated individuals.
 - 31. Plaintiff seeks certification of the following FLSA collective:

All current or former Home Health Aides, Personal Care Assistances, or other similar job titles, who work or have worked for Defendants and, at any time during the three years prior to the filing of this Complaint through the entry of judgment, worked more than forty (40) hours in a week and (2) were not paid by Defendants at the time-and-one-half rate for overtime hours worked more than forty (40) hours in that week (the "FLSA Collective").

- 32. Pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, employers are generally required to pay overtime compensation at a rate of 1.5 times an employee's regular rate of pay for hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.
- 33. The FLSA contains an exemption from overtime for "domestic workers" who provide companionship and other services to individuals who were unable to care for themselves, and also contains an exemption for live in domestic service workers. *See* 29 U.S.C. §§ 213(b)(21) and 213(a)(15).
- 34. In October 2013, the United States Department of Labor determined that these exemptions do not apply to domestic-service workers employed by third-party agencies or employers.
- 35. Beginning on January 1, 2015, the regulations provide that domestic-service workers employed by third-party agencies or employers are not exempt from the FLSA's minimum wage and overtime requirements. 29 C.F.R. § 552.109(a).
- 36. As of January 1, 2015, all domestic-service workers employed by third-party agencies or employers are entitled to overtime compensation at an hourly rate of 1.5 times the employee's regular rate of pay for hours worked over forty (40) in a work week.
- 37. Since January 1, 2015, Plaintiff and those similarly situated have worked more than forty (40) hours per workweek for Defendants without receiving proper overtime compensation for their overtime hours worked.
- 38. Because of Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated the overtime compensation required by law, Defendants have violated the provisions of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 207 and 215(a)(2).

39. Defendants knowingly, willfully, or in reckless disregard of the law, maintained an illegal practice of failing to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective proper overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40).

CAUSE OF ACTION

UNPAID OVERTIME WAGES FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT – 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. On Behalf of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective

- 40. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 41. The FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, requires employers to pay non-exempt employees 1.5 times the regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 42. Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and those similarly situated to routinely work more than forty (40) hours in a workweek without proper overtime compensation as required by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* and its implementing regulations.
- 43. Defendants knew, or showed reckless disregard for the fact, that it failed to pay these individuals proper overtime compensation in violation of the FLSA.
- 44. Defendants' failure to comply with the FLSA overtime protections caused Plaintiff and those similarly situated to suffer loss of wages and interest thereon.
- 45. Plaintiff and those similarly situated are entitled to unpaid overtime, liquidated damages, and attorney's fees and costs under the FLSA.

RELIEF SOUGHT

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated, prays for relief as follows:

- A. Permitting this case to proceed as a collective action under § 216(b) of the FLSA and ordering notice to the putative plaintiffs at the earliest opportunity to ensure their claims are not lost to the FLSA statute of limitations;
- B. Judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, for violation of the overtime provisions of the FLSA;
- C. Judgment that Defendants' violations of the FLSA were willful;
- D. An award to Plaintiff and those similarly situated in the amount of unpaid overtime wages and liquidated damages;
- E. An award of any pre- and post-judgment interest;
- F. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs to be determined by post-trial petition;
- G. Leave to add additional plaintiffs by motion, the filing of written consent forms,
 or any other method approved by the Court; and
- H. Such further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

Dated: September 5, 2018

Respectfully Submitted:

/s/ Gregg C. Greenberg

Gregg C. Greenberg, VA Bar No. 79610

ggreenberg@zagfirm.com

ZIPIN, AMSTER & GREENBERG

8757 Georgia Ave., Suite 400

Silver Spring, MD 20910

Telephone: (301) 587-9373

Facsimile: (240) 839-9142

And

/s/ Matthew T. Sutter

Matthew T. Sutter, VA Bar No. 66741

matt@sutterandterpak.com

SUTTER & TERPAK, PLLC

7540 A Little River Turnpike, First Floor

Annandale, VA 22003

Telephone: 703-256-1800

Facsimile: 703-991-1661

Counsel for Plaintiff and the

FLSA Collective

FLSA CONSENT

I agree to participate as a Plaintiff in a Collective Action to recover unpaid overtime wages on behalf of myself and other similarly situated individuals under the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA").

BY:

Client (Signature)/Date

JAINE G. GALLO Client (Printed Name)

JS 44 (Rev. 08/18)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
JAIME GALLO, On Behalf of Himsel and Others Similarly Situated		PRIMA HOME HEALTH, INC. and OMAR M ABDI				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Prince William, Va. (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF		
2.5			THE TRA	CT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Zipin, Amster & Greenbe			Attorneys (If Know	n)		
8757 Georgia Avenue, S						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)			(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only Citizen of This State	PTF DEF □ I □ I Incorporated or F of Business In		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	□ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and of Business In		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	□ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					of Suit Code Descriptions.	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 120 Marine □ 310 Airplane □ 365 Personal Inju □ 130 Miller Act □ 315 Airplane Product Liab □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ⊗ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 330 Federal Employers' □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Inju Product Liab □ 367 Health Carc/ Pharmaceutic Personal Inju Product Liab □ 368 Asbestos Per □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability	□ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability □ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations		
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud	710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 480 Consumer Credit☐ 485 Telephone Consumer	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	Act 720 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Protection Act ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	((8))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	□ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence ☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions	S70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) S71 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
X 1 Original □ 2 Rer	noved from 3 1	Appellate Court	(speci)	er District Litigation Transfer		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of car	eq.	illing (Do not cite jurisdictional st			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMANDS D		if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOOKET NUMBER		
DATE 09/05/2018		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	RNEY OF RECORD	11		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		1	//			
RECEIPT # AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. IUD	OCE.	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Prima Home Health Facing Employee's Unpaid Overtime Suit