## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND GREENBELT DIVISION

**JOSEPH GALLANT, JR.**, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated 8010 Blair Mill Way, Apt. 1313 E Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Plaintiff

v.

**EQUIFAX INC.** c/o The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, MA 7 Saint Paul Street, Suite 820 Baltimore, Maryland 21202 Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

<u>CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT</u> <u>FOR DAMAGES PURSUANT TO</u> <u>THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING</u> <u>ACT, 15 U.S.C. 1681, et seq.</u>

JURY DEMAND ENDORSED HEREON

Defendant

Plaintiff Joseph Gallant, Jr. ("Plaintiff"), through Counsel, for himself and on behalf of all others similarly situated and for his Class Action Complaint against Defendant Equifax Inc. ("Equifax"), states as follows:

# PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

1. Plaintiff Joseph Gallant, Jr. is a natural person and at all relevant times has been residing in Montgomery County, Maryland. Plaintiff is, and at all times relevant was, a "consumer" as that term is understood under 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c) and Md. Code. Ann., Com. Law § 13-101(c).

2. Defendant Equifax Inc. is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Georgia, with its principal place of business located at 1550 Peachtree Street NE, Atlanta, GA, and doing business in the State of Maryland. Equifax operates through various subsidiaries, and each of these entities acted as agents of Equifax or in the alternative, acted in concert with Equifax as alleged in this complaint.

Equifax is a "Consumer Reporting Agency" (or "CRA") as that term is defined by
 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).

## Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 2 of 23

4. Equifax is also a "Consumer Reporting Agency that Compiles and Maintains Files on Consumers on a Nationwide Basis" as that term is defined under 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(p).

5. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as this case alleges a violation of federal law, specifically the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, *et seq.* ("FCRA").

This Court has supplemental jurisdiction to hear all state law claims pursuant to 28
 U.S.C. § 1367.

7. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), as Plaintiff resides within this District, a substantial portion of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District, and Equifax regularly conducts business in this District.

## **INTRODUCTION**

8. The United States Congress has found that the banking system is dependent upon fair and accurate credit reporting. Inaccurate credit reports directly impair the efficiency of the banking system, and unfair credit reporting methods undermine the public confidence, which is essential to the continued functioning of the banking system. Congress enacted the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, *et seq.* ("FCRA"), to ensure fair and accurate credit reporting, promote efficiency in the banking system, and, as most relevant to this Complaint, protect consumer privacy. The FCRA imposes duties on the CRAs to protect consumers' sensitive personal information.

9. FCRA protects consumers through a tightly wound set of procedural protections from the material risk of harms that otherwise follow from the compromise of a consumer's

## Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 3 of 23

sensitive personal information. Thus, through FCRA, Congress struck a balance between the credit industry's desire to base credit decisions on accurate information, and a consumer's substantive right to protection from damage to reputation, shame, mortification, and emotional distress that naturally follows from the compromise of a person's identity.

10. A central duty that FCRA imposes upon CRAs is the duty to protect the consumer's privacy by guarding against inappropriate disclosure to third parties. 15 U.S.C. § 1681b codifies this duty, and permits a CRA to disclose a consumer's information only for one of a handful of exclusively defined "permissible purposes." To ensure compliance, CRAs must maintain reasonable procedures to ensure that such third party disclosures are made exclusively for permissible purposes. 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(a).

11. FCRA defines "consumer report" broadly, as "any written, oral, or other communication of any information by a CRA bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or expected to be used or collected in whole or in part for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for (A) credit or insurance to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes; (B) employment purposes; or (C) any other purpose authorized under section 1681b of this title." 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).

12. FCRA also entitles the consumer to take an active role in the protection of his or her sensitive personal information, by giving the consumer a right to request "All information in the consumer's file at the time of the request." 15 U.S.C. § 1681g(a)(1). Through immediate

#### Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 4 of 23

review of the details of when, and for what purpose, a consumer's information has been disclosed to a third party, a consumer may better understand whether their identity has been stolen.

13. FCRA also entitles consumers to actively protect their privacy rights in cases of suspected identity theft. Specifically, a consumer who believes he or she has been the victim of identity theft can submit a fraud alert to a consumer reporting agency. 15 U.S.C. § 1681c-1. The consumer can either request that the fraud alert be imposed for a 90-day period, or for an extended period of seven years. 15 U.S.C. § 1681c-1(a)-(b). In the event a consumer requests "extended" protection, a consumer reporting agency must remove the consumer from any list of third parties to whom the agency sends the consumer's information to extend firm offers of credit, and keep the consumer off of any such a list for five years, unless the consumer requests otherwise. 15 U.S.C. § 1681c-1(b)(1)(B). After being notified of a fraud alert, a CRA must send notification of the alert to the consumer reporting agencies which report information on a nationwide basis. 15 U.S.C. § 1681c-1(a)(1)(B); *see* 15 U.S.C. 1681a(p).

14. After fraud notification, FCRA provides the consumer additional rights to independently monitor their credit information to protect their privacy. Specifically, once notified of a consumer's fraud notification, a CRA must, within three days of the notification, provide the consumer with all of the disclosures required under 15 U.S.C. § 1681g. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681c-1(a)(2), 1681c-1(b)(2). When a consumer requests that an "extended" fraud alert be placed on their files, the consumer is entitled to request two free disclosures under 15 U.S.C. § 1681g within the 12-month period following notification of a fraud alert. 15 U.S.C. § 1681c-1(b).

## Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 5 of 23

15. Thus, through immediate review of the details of when, and for what purpose, a consumer's private information has been disclosed to a third party, a consumer may better understand whether their identity has been stolen. And through semi-annual review of their consumer disclosures in the case of an "extended" alert, a consumer can periodically check to determine whether efforts to protect their identity after potential fraud have not been successful. Thus, FCRA presupposes that consumers subject to potential fraud should be permitted the immediate opportunity to investigate the issues themselves and ascertain the extent of any suspected fraud.

16. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated, brings this action to challenge the actions of Defendant in the protection and safekeeping of Plaintiff's and the Class Members' personal information.

17. Defendant failed to properly safeguard the information of Plaintiff and the Class Members, as required under 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(a).

18. Additionally, Defendant's failure to properly safeguard the information of Plaintiff and Class Members constitutes a violation of the Maryland Consumer Protection Act, Md. Code Ann., Com. Law § 13-101, *et seq.*, *i.e.*, an unfair or deceptive trade practice by failing to safeguard an on-going consumer service. Accordingly, relief under Md. Code Ann., Com. Law § 13-303 is warranted to prevent Defendant from forcing Plaintiff and the Class Members to subject themselves to arbitration.

5

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

19. Equifax, a global corporation, "organizes, assimilates and analyzes data on more than 820 million consumers and more than 91 million businesses worldwide, and its database includes employee data contributed from more than 7,100 employers." (http://www.equifax.com/about-equifax/company-profile/ (September 11, 2017)).

20. The data Equifax stores includes Plaintiff's and Class Members' personal identifying information, such as names, full Social Security numbers, birth dates, addresses, driver's license numbers, and credit card numbers (collectively, "PII"). At all relevant times, Equifax knew or should have known that the PII it collected and stored is valuable, highly sensitive, susceptible to attack, and could be used for wrongful purposes by third parties.

21. In 2011, the State of Maryland Department of Human Resources partnered with Equifax to increase efficiencies and reduce fraud with regard to the distribution of government entitlement benefits to Maryland recipients. (*See Credit Rating Firm Helps State Validate Welfare Recipients*, Rebecca Lesner, MARYLANDREPORTER.COM, June 30, 2015, available at: http://marylandreporter.com/2015/06/30/credit-rating-firm-helps-state-validate-welfare-

recipients/). Maryland consumers therefore have a unique relationship with Equifax.

22. On July 29, 2017, Equifax discovered that one or more of its servers, which contained Plaintiff's sensitive personal information including Plaintiff's name, full Social Security number, birth date, address, and, upon belief, his driver's license number and possibly one or more of his credit cards, had been breached or "hacked" by a still unknown third party.

## Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 7 of 23

23. Upon belief, when Equifax discovered this breach, Equifax immediately began an internal investigation and contracted with an unidentified third-party cybersecurity firm to conduct a comprehensive forensic review to determine the scope of the hack including identifying the specific data impacted. As of the filing of this Complaint, that investigation remains ongoing and has yet been completed despite over six weeks elapsing since the initial breach.

24. On September 7, 2017, major news outlets began reporting about the July 29, 2017 incident. (*See, e.g., Massive Equifax Data Breach Could Impact Half of the U.S. Population*, Alyssa Newcomb, NBCNEWS, Sept. 7, 2018, available at: <u>https://www.nbcnews.com/tech/security/massive-equifax-data-breach-could-impact-half-u-s-</u> population-n799686).

25. For Plaintiff, as with all potential Class Members, these news stories were the first time that they had been informed that their information secured by Equifax had been compromised six (6) weeks earlier, and they now live in constant fear that their information has been compromised.

26. After Equifax discovered the breach but before notifying Plaintiff, Class Members, and all other consumers, three (3) Equifax executives, including the Chief Financial Officer, John Gamble, sold shares of Equifax stock worth a combined \$1,800,000. (*See, e.g., Equifax Faces Multibillion-Dollar Lawsuit over Hack*, Polly Mosendz, BLOOMBERG, Sept. 8, 2017, available at: ccccc).

27. Equifax's decision to wait six (6) weeks after the alleged data breach before informing all consumers of the same was willful, or at least negligent. Further, by depriving

## Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 8 of 23

Plaintiff and Class Members' timely information about the breach, Equifax subjected each consumer to a concrete informational injury, as these consumers were deprived of their opportunity to meaningfully consider and address issues related to the potential fraud, as well as to avail themselves of the remedies available under FCRA to prevent further dissemination of their private information.

28. Equifax has been subject to numerous allegations regarding data breaches in the past. (*See, e.g., A Brief History of Equifax Security Fails,* Thomas Fox-Brewster, FORBES, Sept. 8, 2017, available at: https://www.forbes.com/sites/thomasbrewster/2017/09/08/equifax-data-breach-history/#63dc4270677c). In light of Equifax's continual failure to ensure the integrity of its file storage systems given known defects in those systems, Equifax recklessly, willfully, or at the very least, negligently failed to use reasonable care or to enact reasonable procedures and/or technological safeguards to ensure that consumer reports would only be provided for a permissible purpose. By failing to establish reasonable procedures to safeguard individual consumer's private information, Equifax deprived millions of consumers of a benefit conferred on them by Congress, which, now lost, cannot be reclaimed.

29. Equifax knew or should have known that failing to protect consumers' PII from unauthorized access would result in a massive data breach and would expose consumers to serious harm such as identity theft.

30. The unauthorized disclosure and dissemination of private credit information causes immediate harm. At the time that the unauthorized breaches occurred, Plaintiff and the Class Members began to incur, and continue to incur, such harm.

## Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 9 of 23

31. Furthermore, the PII that unauthorized third parties took from Equifax includes multiple types of sensitive information on a single person, the aggregate value of which is greater than the sum of each individual datum. This further exacerbates the extent of Plaintiff's and the Class Members' injuries.

32. Defendant's acts and omissions have diminished the value of Plaintiff's and the Class Members' PII.

33. As a direct and proximate result of Equifax's acts and/or omissions, Plaintiff and the Class Members have suffered imminent and impending injury arising from the subsequently increased risk of future fraud, identity theft and misuse of their PII.

34. On September 7, 2017, Equifax began to offer consumers like Plaintiff and Class Members an allegedly dedicated secure website where consumers could determine if their PII was compromised (<u>https://www.equifaxsecurity2017.com</u>) and offer consumers "free" credit monitoring through an Equifax product, TrustedID Premier (<u>https://www.equifaxsecurity2017.com/enroll/</u>), for one year.

35. However under the guise of providing victims the opportunity to mitigate their damages, Equifax offered Class Members free access to its TrustedID Premier service, the terms and conditions of which require that the victims, including Plaintiff and Class Members, waive their right to bring or participate in a class action lawsuit and require them to submit to arbitration (<u>http://www.equifax.com/terms/</u>). These actions are yet another avenue by which Equifax has deprived Plaintiff and the Class Members of the ability to avail themselves of the remedies available under FCRA and to prevent further dissemination of their private information.

# **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

36. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a nationwide class of all similarly situated

individuals ("Nationwide Class"), defined as: "all persons in the United States for whom Equifax

stored private, personal information that was released as a result of the data breach."

Excluded from the Nationwide Class are: (1) Defendant, Defendant's agents, subsidiaries, parents, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest, and those entities' current and former employees, officers, and directors; (2) the Judge to whom this case is assigned and the Judge's immediate family; (3) any person who executes and files a timely request for exclusion from the Nationwide Class; (4) any persons who have had their claims in this matter finally adjudicated and/or otherwise released; and (5) the legal representatives, successors and assigns of any such excluded person.

37. Plaintiff also brings this action on behalf of a subclass of all similarly situated

individuals in Maryland ("Maryland Class"; and together with the Nationwide Class, "Class Members"), defined as: "all persons in Maryland for whom Equifax stored private personal information that was released as a result of the data breach."

Excluded from the Maryland Class are: (1) Defendant, Defendant's agents, subsidiaries, parents, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest, and those entities' current and former employees, officers, and directors; (2) the Judge to whom this case is assigned and the Judge's immediate family; (3) any person who executes and files a timely request for exclusion from the Maryland Class; (4) any persons who have had their claims in this matter finally adjudicated and/or otherwise released; and (5) the legal representatives, successors and assigns of any such excluded person.

38. At this time, Plaintiff does not know the size of the Nationwide Class or Maryland

Class because the information is exclusively in the possession of Defendant, but Plaintiff believes

that the potential number of Class Members is so numerous that joinder, as to each respective class,

would be impracticable. It has been reported that the Nationwide Class could consist of over 100

## Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 11 of 23

million people. The number of Nationwide Class Members and Maryland Class Members can be determined through discovery.

39. All members of the Nationwide Class have been subject to and affected by a uniform course of conduct in that all Nationwide Class Members' personal information was compromised during the data breach.

40. There are questions of law and fact common to the proposed Nationwide Class that

predominate over any individual questions.

- 41. The questions common to all Class Members include, but are not limited to:
  - a. Whether Defendant had implemented reasonable procedures to ensure that all third parties who accessed Plaintiff's and Class members' private credit information did so for a permissible purpose;
  - b. Whether Defendant failed to notify consumers of the data breach within a reasonable period of time;
  - c. Whether Defendant failed to block the reporting of information on consumers' files that were the result of the data breach;
  - d. Whether Plaintiff and Class members suffered damages as a result of Defendant's failure to comply with FCRA based on the improper dissemination of their credit information as a result of the data breach;
  - e. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to statutory damages; and
  - f. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to punitive damages.
- 42. In addition, questions of law and fact common to the proposed Maryland Class

include, but are not limited to, whether Defendant's acts and/or omissions constitute a violation of the Maryland Consumer Protection Act.

## Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 12 of 23

43. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Nationwide Class and of the Maryland Class, as Plaintiff's personal information was compromised during the data breach. All claims are based on the same legal and factual issues.

44. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of both Classes and does not have an adverse interest to either Class. Moreover, the interests of the Nationwide Class and the Maryland Class are not conflicting or divergent but, rather, are common. Accordingly, Plaintiff can fairly and adequately represent the interests of both Classes.

45. If individual class members prosecuted separate actions, it may create a risk of inconsistent or varying judgments that would establish incompatible standards of conduct. A class action is the superior method for the quick and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

46. Plaintiff's counsel has significant experience litigating class actions, including consumer class actions such as this one, are qualified and competent, and will vigorously prosecute this litigation.

47. Further, under Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 23(a), Defendant acted on grounds generally applicable to the proposed Class, making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the proposed Classes, respectively, as a whole.

48. Based on the actions of Defendant, Plaintiff seeks recovery for the claims alleged, *infra*, summarized as follows:

Count	SUMMARY OF CLAIM AND VIOLATIONS
STATUTE VIOLATED	SUMMARY OF CLAIM AND VIOLATIONS

COUNT ONE	Defendant's compromise of Plaintiff's personal information that			
COUNTONE	was discovered on July 29, 2017 by Defendant violates 15 U.S.C.			
15 U.S.C. 1681, et seq.	1681e(a).			
15 U.S.C. 1001, <i>et seq</i> .	10010(a).			
FCRA				
COUNT TWO	Defendant's compromise of Plaintiff's personal information is an			
	unfair or deceptive trade practice, as Defendant failed to maintain			
Md. Code Ann., Com.	Plaintiff's personal information.			
Law § 13-303, et seq.				
COUNT THREE	Defendant's compromise of Plaintiff's personal information is a			
	breach of Defendant's duty of care to protect Plaintiff's PII, which			
Negligence	directly and proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries.			
COUNT FOUR	Defendant's intentional, willful and wanton misconduct regarding			
COUNTFOUR	the compromise of Plaintiff's personal information is a breach of			
Gross Nagligance	Defendant's duty of care to protect Plaintiff's PII, which directly			
Gross Negligence	and proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries.			
COUNT FIVE	Defendant's compromise of Plaintiff's personal information			
	evidences conscious and deliberate wrongdoing constituting actual			
Punitive Damages	malice against Plaintiff.			

## <u>COUNT ONE: VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. 1681, et seq.</u> Nationwide Class and Maryland Class against Defendant

49. Plaintiff restates all allegations contained in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

50. Based upon Equifax's failure to have reasonable procedures in place, Plaintiff's private information was compromised, and neither Plaintiff nor any of the Class members received notice of the data breach, except through the media, approximately six (6) weeks after the breach occurred.

51. As a result of each and every willful violation of FCRA, Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class Members are entitled to: actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1); statutory damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1); punitive damages, as this Court may

#### Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 14 of 23

allow, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2); and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3).

52. As a result of each and every negligent non-compliance of the FCRA, Plaintiff and Class members are also entitled to actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(2) from Defendant.

## <u>COUNT TWO: MARYLAND CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT</u> <u>Maryland Class against Defendant</u>

53. Plaintiff restates all allegations contained in all preceding and subsequent paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

54. This Count is brought on behalf of the Maryland Class pursuant to the Maryland Consumer Protection Act, Md. Code Ann., Com. Law ("CL") § 13-101 *et seq*.

55. Plaintiff is a "consumer" within the meaning of CL § 13-101(c).

56. Equifax is a "merchant" as that term is defined by CL § 13-101(g), as Equifax was, at all times relevant herein, engaged in soliciting "consumer services" as that term is defined in CL § 13-101(d) by soliciting an ongoing service, credit reporting and data aggregation of Plaintiff's personal information, to consumers in Maryland for primarily personal use within the meanings specified in CL § 13-101(c) and (d).

57. Equifax is also a "person" as that term is defined by CL § 13-101(h), as Equifax was, at all times relevant herein, a legal or commercial entity.

58. Equifax's breach of Plaintiff's information was deceptive and meets the requirements of CL § 13-303, which provides that no person shall commit an unfair or deceptive

#### Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 15 of 23

trade practice in connection with a consumer service. Such an unfair or deceptive trade practice by a person violates this section irrespective of whether any consumer has in fact been misled, deceived, or damaged as a result of that practice.

59. As a direct and proximate result of the above violation of the Consumer Protection Act ("CPA"), Plaintiff and all Maryland Class Members have suffered injuries including, but not limited to mental anguish, emotional distress, fear, panic, stress, and the continued worry that their identities have been compromised and are being used fraudulently.

60. Equifax's actions violate the CPA, and Plaintiff and all Maryland Class Members are entitled to receive actual damages, statutory damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.

61. By trying to trick Maryland consumers by offering a "free" service of credit monitoring into signing a broad class action waiver and arbitration agreement, which would benefit only Equifax, Defendant engaged in a class bait and switch which is prohibited under the Maryland Consumer Protection Act.

62. Defendant was on notice of prior administrative and judicial determinations that such bait and switch tactics violate the Maryland Consumer Protection Act.

63. Defendant Equifax's actions as described herein, *supra*, further warrant Plaintiff and the Maryland Class Members requesting that this Court also provide a declaration pursuant to CL § 13-101 *et seq.* that any arbitration provision that Plaintiff or Subclass may be subjected to due to their use of <u>https://www.equifaxsecurity2017.com/</u> is void.

15

## **<u>COUNT III: NEGLIGENCE</u>** Nationwide Class and Maryland Class against Defendant

64. Plaintiff restates all allegations contained in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

- 65. Defendant owed Plaintiff and the Class Members a duty to, *inter alia*,
  - a. keep consumers' PII confidential;
  - enact appropriate measures and safeguards to adequately protect consumers'
     PII from unauthorized disclosure, including via theft or data breach; and
  - c. timely and adequately advise consumers of any unauthorized dissemination of that PII.

66. Defendant knew or should have known that failing to adequately protect Class Members' PII would result in its unauthorized dissemination, including via theft or data breach, and would expose consumers to serious and ongoing harm.

67. Defendant breached its legal duties by failing to safeguard, protect, and keep confidential Plaintiff's and the Class Members' PII, and by failing to adequately and timely advise Plaintiff and the Class Members that their data had been compromised and disclosed without authorization.

68. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's negligence, Defendant created a foreseeable risk of harm to its consumers, including Plaintiff and the Class Members. Plaintiff's and the Class Members' injuries were caused solely by the Defendant, without any wrongdoing on the part of Plaintiff or the Class Members.

#### Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 17 of 23

69. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff and the Class Members have suffered injury and incurred damages, including but not limited to the significantly decreased value of their PII and the future costs associated with protecting and reestablishing their financial identities.

## <u>COUNT IV: GROSS NEGLIGENCE</u> <u>Nationwide Class and Maryland Class against Defendant</u>

70. Plaintiff restates all allegations contained in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

- 71. Defendant owed Plaintiff and the Class Members a duty to, *inter alia*,
  - a. keep consumers' PII confidential;
  - b. enact appropriate measures and safeguards to adequately protect consumers'
     PII from unauthorized disclosure, including via theft or data breach; and
  - c. timely and adequately advise consumers of any unauthorized dissemination of that PII.

72. Defendant knew or should have known that failing to adequately protect Class Members' PII would result in its unauthorized dissemination, including via theft or data breach, and would expose consumers to serious and ongoing harm.

73. Defendant breached its legal duties and engaged in intentional acts of gross negligence and reckless, intentional, willful, and wanton misconduct, on a continuing basis by failing to safeguard, protect, and keep confidential Plaintiff's and the Class Members' PII, and by

#### Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 18 of 23

failing to adequately and timely advise Plaintiff and the Class Members that their data had been compromised and disclosed without authorization.

74. Defendants' grossly negligent conduct directly and proximately caused the injuries sustained by Plaintiff and the Class Members. Plaintiff's and the Class Members' injuries were caused solely by grossly negligent conduct of the Defendant without any wrongdoing on the part of Plaintiff or the Class Members.

75. As a result of Defendant's grossly negligent conduct, Plaintiff and the Class Members have suffered injury and incurred damages, including but not limited to the significantly decreased value of their PII and the future costs associated with protecting and reestablishing their financial identities.

## <u>COUNT IV: PUNITIVE DAMAGES</u> Nationwide Class and Maryland Class against Defendant

76. Plaintiff restates all allegations contained in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

77. Defendant's conduct in failing to safeguard Plaintiff's and the Class Members' valuable and highly sensitive PII, despite its knowledge that such safeguards were required and that a massive data breach was foreseeable, evidences evil motive, intent to injure, and ill will, and constitutes actual malice.

78. Defendant's conduct in refusing to alert Plaintiff and the Class Members of the data breach that occurred on July 29, 2017 until at least six (6) weeks after the breach, and only after

18

#### Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 19 of 23

numerous news articles drew attention to the issue, evidences evil motive, intent to injure, and ill will, and constitutes actual malice

79. Defendant's conduct in willfully, intentionally, and knowingly depriving consumers, including Plaintiff and the Class Members, of the opportunity to seek any remedies available to them under FCRA evidences evil motive, intent to injure, and ill will, and constitutes actual malice.

80. That Defendant and three of its executives consciously, deliberately, and maliciously profited, at Plaintiff's and the Class Members' expense, by selling \$1,800,000 of shares in days following the data breach, further evidences evil motive, intent to injure, and ill will, and constitutes actual malice.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Joseph Gallant Jr., individually and on behalf of both Classes,

respectfully requests that he be awarded damages together with equitable relief as follows:

- A) Certify this case as a Class Action pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 23(b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), and/or (c);
- B) Appoint Plaintiff as a Class Representative;
- C) Appoint Plaintiff's counsel as Class Counsel;
- D) Enter a judgment against Defendant, finding it is liable to Plaintiff and the Class Members;
- E) Award actual damages against Defendant;
- F) Award statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1) against Defendant for the allegations contained in Count One for each eligible Class member and Plaintiff;

- G) Award punitive damages against Defendant;
- H) Award statutory damages against Defendant for the allegations contained in Count Two of at least \$500.00 per party to Plaintiff and all eligible Maryland Class members;
- Award of the costs of litigation and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681(o)(1)(1) against Defendant for each incident of negligent noncompliance of FCRA alleged in Count One, and alternatively under the CPA as alleged in Count Two;
- J) Enter an order declaring the arbitration provisions and class action waiver provisions obtained by enticing consumers to sign up for "free" credit monitoring void pursuant to Md. Code Ann., Com. Law § 13-303 as alleged in Count Two; and
- K) Enter a Preliminary and Permanent injunction prohibiting Equifax from continuing to bait and switch consumers into signing a class action waiver and arbitration agreement.
- L) For all other relief this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/Richard V. Falcon, Esq. William H. Murphy III (Fed. Bar No. 30126) William H. Murphy Jr. (Fed. Bar No. 07985) Richard V. Falcon (Fed. Bar No. 01739) MURPHY, FALCON & MURPHY One South Street, Suite 2300 Baltimore, Maryland 21202 (410) 951-8744 (410) 539-6599 fax billy.murphy@murphyfalcon.com hassan.murphy@murphyfalcon.com

Robert J. Weltchek (Fed. Bar No. 0954) Kristopher A. Mallahan (Fed. Bar No. 28264) Nolan J. Weltcheck (Fed. Bar No. 29825) Nathan W. Hopkins (Fed. Bar No. 29802) Megan E. Burns (Fed. Bar No. 29427) WELTCHEK MALLAHAN & WELTCHEK, LLC 2330 West Joppa Road, Suite 203 Lutherville, Maryland 21093 (410) 825-5287 (410) 825-5287 (410) 825-5277 fax rweltchek@wmwlawfirm.com kmallahan@wmwlawfirm.com nweltchek@wmwlawfirm.com nhopkins@wmwlawfirm.com

Marc E. Dann Brian D Flick DANNLAW P.O. Box 6031040 Cleveland, OH 44103 (216) 373-0536 fax notices@dannlaw.com *Pro Hac Vice Applications to Be Submitted* 

Andrew B. Sacks John K. Weston SACKS WESTON DIAMOND LLC Suite 1600 1845 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 925-8200 asacks@sackslaw.com jweston@sackslaw.com *Pro Hac Vice Applications to Be Submitted* 

Thomas A. Zimmerman, Jr. ZIMMERMAN LAW OFFICES, P.C. 77 W. Washington Street, Suite 1220 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 440-0020 (312) 440-4180 fax tom@attorneyzim.com Pro Hac Vice Applications to Be Submitted

Robert A. Clifford Shannon M. McNulty CLIFFORD LAW OFFICES, P.C. 120 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 3100 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 899-9090 (312) 345-1565 fax rac@cliffordlaw.com smm@cliffordlaw.com *Pro Hac Vice Applications to Be Submitted* 

David H. Krieger, Esq. George Haines, Esq. HAINES & KRIEGER, LLC 8985 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 350 Henderson, NV 89123 (702) 880-5554 (702) 385-5518 fax dkrieger@hainesandkrieger.com ghaines@hainesandkrieger.com *Pro Hac Vice Applications to Be Submitted* 

Matthew I. Knepper, Esq. Miles N. Clark, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13848 KNEPPER & CLARK LLC 10040 W. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 170-109 Las Vegas, NV 89129 (702) 825-6060 (702) 447-8048 fax matthew.knepper@knepperclark.com miles.clark@knepperclark.com *Pro Hac Vice Application to Be Submitted* 

Sean N. Payne PAYNE LAW FIRM LLC 9550 S. Eastern Ave. Suite 253-A213 Las Vegas, NV 89123 702-952-2733 (702) 462-7227 fax seanpayne@spaynelaw.com *Pro Hac Vice Application to Be Submitted* 

Counsel for Plaintiff and the Class

## JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues, with the maximum number of jurors permitted by law.

/s/ Richard V. Falcon Richard V. Falcon (Fed. Bar No. 01739) William H. Murphy III (Fed. Bar No. 30126) William H. Murphy Jr. (Fed. Bar No. 07985) MURPHY, FALCON & MURPHY

Robert J. Weltchek (Fed. Bar No. 0954) Kristopher A. Mallahan (Fed. Bar No. 28264) Nolan J. Weltcheck (Fed. Bar No. 29825) Nathan W. Hopkins (Fed. Bar No. 29802) Megan E. Burns (Fed. Bar No. 29427) WELTCHEK MALLAHAN & WELTCHEK, LLC

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

# Case 1:17-cv-05024-TWT Document 1-1 Filed 09/12/17 Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Joseph Gallant, Jr., indi situated	vidually and on behall	f of all others similarly	y	DEFENDAN Equifax Inc.	TS						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Montgomery Count</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)											
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Murphy, Falcon & Murph 1 South Street, 23rd Flo Baltimore, MD 21202	ny, P.A.	ber)		Attorneys (If Kno	wn)						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OI	PRIN	CIP	AL PARTIES	(Place on "Y" in	Ona B	or fo	Plainti
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government			For Diversity Cases On n of This State	ly) PTF	DEF	Incorporated or P. of Business In	and One Box f		enda	
U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity     (Indicate Citizens)	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	<b>D</b> 2	02	Incorporated and of Business In		٥	5	<b>X</b> 5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	<b>D</b> 3	<b>3</b>	Foreign Nation		٥	6	<b>D</b> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	$\Gamma$ (Place an "X" in One Box O	(nly)	101	eight Country							
CONTRACT	T	ORTS	and the second	RFEITURE/PENALT	Y	BAN	NKRUPTCY	OTHER	STAT	UTE	S
<ul> <li>110 Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>151 Medicare Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> </ul> <b>REAL PROPERTY</b> <ul> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 300 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 755 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Rights 560 Ci	□ 690 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88 Other EABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Applicat Other Immigration Actions	31 0 42 P) 82 83 84 56 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 8	3 With 28 U ROPEJ 0 Copy 0 Pater 0 Trade 2 Black 3 DIW 4 SSID 5 RSI ( DERA 0 Taxes or Dc 1 IRS—	nt	<ul> <li>375 False C</li> <li>376 Qui Tai 3729(a</li> <li>400 State R.</li> <li>410 Antitue</li> <li>430 Banks a</li> <li>450 Comme</li> <li>460 Deporta</li> <li>470 Rackete</li> <li>Corrupt</li> <li>480 Consun</li> <li>490 Cable/S</li> <li>850 Securiti</li> <li>893 Environ</li> <li>895 Freedon</li> <li>895 Arbitrat</li> <li>899 Adminis Act/Rev Agency</li> <li>950 Constitu</li> <li>State Sta</li> </ul>	laims 1 n (31 U ))) eapport st md Bai ree fttion er Cree at TV es/Com ge tatatutor, tural A mental a of In: ion strative com tratice of the strative com tratice of the strative tratice of the stratice of th	Act JSC tionn hking uence izatio dit nmod dit y Act cts Mat forma Proc Appion	nent g ed and ons dities/ tions tters ation cedure eal of
	noved from 3 te Court	Remanded from D Appellate Court	4 Reinst Reope	ned Anot (spec	ther Distri	ct	6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	-	Multio Litiga Direct	tion	-
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of ca	tute under which you are ting Act, 15 U.S.C. 1 tuse: A, Maryland CPA, and	681 et s	seq.	-						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	March 1	IS A CLASS ACTION		MAND \$		CI	HECK YES only DRY DEMAND:		compl		:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DC		Г NUMBER				
DATE 09/12/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTO Richard	1111 I	CM							
	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			MAG. JUD	OGE			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Maryland

)

)

)

Joseph Gallant, Jr., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v. Equifax. Inc. Civil Action No.

Defendant(s)

## SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Equifax, Inc.

c/o The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, MA 7 Saint Paul Street, Suite 820 Baltimore, Maryland 21202

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: William H. Murphy, Jr.

William H. Murphy, 91. William H. Murphy III Richard V. Falcon Murphy, Falcon & Murphy, P.A. 1 South Street, Suite 2300 Baltimore, MD 21202

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: 09/12/2017

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

# **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	e of individual and title, if any)		
vas ree	ceived by me on (date)			
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	at (place)	
	T to the generation of the second sec		on (date)	; or
	□ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u	usual place of abode with (name)	_
			n of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
			the individual's last known address; or	
	□ I served the summo	ns on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beha		
			on (date)	; or
	□ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or
	<b>Other</b> ( <i>specify</i> ):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.	
Date:				
Date.			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: