Case 1:18-cv-01195-FJS-TWD Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 1 of 7

United States District Court Northern District of New York

Todd Gaetano individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiff

- against -

Complaint 1:18-cv-1195 (FJS/TWD)

Live Nation Entertainment Inc., Ticketmaster, LLC

Defendant

Plaintiff by attorneys allege upon information and belief, except for allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

1. Live Nation Entertainment, Inc. (defendant LNE) and Ticketmaster, LLC (defendant Ticketmaster) (collectively, "defendants") control approximately 80% of event tickets sold in the US.

2. Defendant LNE works with third-parties who buy tickets from Ticketmaster which generates a fee to Ticketmaster.

3. The third-parties then use Ticketmaster's proprietary and exclusive ticket reselling platform to offer the tickets for sale in the secondary market – websites and apps such as StubHub.

4. The result is that eventgoers are forced to pay an artificially elevated price beyond the original face value of the ticket.

5. While Ticketmaster purportedly has controls in place to prevent these third-parties (scalpers) from exceeding resale limits on the secondary market, the division of Ticketmaster responsible for monitoring said limits willfully ignore those who violate its terms.

6. In so doing, defendants force monopoly rents tied directly to the entire ticket price on more than just the first sale.

Case 1:18-cv-01195-FJS-TWD Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 2 of 7

7. In so doing, defendant Ticketmaster profits greatly at the expense of consumers who pay the increased ticket price.

8. For example, Ticketmaster would collect \$26 on a \$210 ticket through the initial sale.

9. In the secondary market, the \$210 ticket would be marked up to \$400, and Ticketmaster reaps an additional \$76 on that same ticket.

10. Defendants have abused their monopoly power and acted anticompetitively to elevate ticket prices to levels they would not reach absent manipulation of the market, resulting in harm to consumers.

11. Defendants and Plaintiffs are all participants in the ticket market.

12. Plaintiffs are consumers of tickets, and Defendants control ticket availability and the technology facilitating the sale of tickets in the secondary market.

13. Defendants anticompetitive acts directly increased the price of the tickets paid by Plaintiffs.

14. Without the availability of tickets in the primary and/or secondary market, plaintiffs would be unable to procure the tickets needed to gain entry to live events.

15. Plaintiffs and members of the Classes have been forced to pay supra-competitive prices for tickets as a result, instead of paying lower prices.

16. Defendants actions eliminated or restrained price competition, increased prices to artificially inflated levels, deprived purchasers of free and open competition.

17. The relevant market is that for event tickets throughout the US.

Jurisdiction and Venue

18. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1, 26 and 28U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332 and 1137.

Case 1:18-cv-01195-FJS-TWD Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 3 of 7

19. Upon information and belief, the aggregate amount in controversy is more than\$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs.

20. This Court has personal jurisdiction over defendant because it conducts and transacts business, contracts to supply and supplies goods within New York a substantial portion of the affected interstate trade and commerce discussed below.

21. Venue is proper because plaintiff and many class members reside in this District and defendant does business in this District and in New York.

22. A substantial part of events and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

Class Allegations

23. The classes consist of all consumers in the following states: <u>all</u>, <u>New York</u> who purchased tickets from defendants or in the secondary market during the statutes of limitation.

24. A class action is superior to other methods for fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

25. The class is so numerous that joinder of all members, even if permitted, is impracticable, as there are likely hundreds of thousands of members.

26. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether the representations were likely to deceive reasonable consumers and if plaintiff(s) and class members are entitled to damages.

27. Plaintiff(s) claims and the basis for relief are typical to other members because all were subjected to the same representations.

28. Plaintiff(s) is/are an adequate representative because his/her/their interests do not conflict with other members.

Case 1:18-cv-01195-FJS-TWD Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 4 of 7

29. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.

30. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest.

31. Plaintiff(s) counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to adequately and fairly protect class members' interests.

32. Plaintiff(s) seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

Parties **Parties**

33. Plaintiff is a citizen of Rensselaer County, New York.

34. Defendant LN is a is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Beverly Hills, CA

35. Defendant Ticketmaster is a Virginia limited liability company with its principal place of business in West Hollywood, CA and upon information and belief, no member thereof is a citizen of New York.

36. In 2017 and/or 2018, plaintiff purchased one or more tickets from defendants and/or ticket re-sellers in the secondary market for events within the state and US.

<u>15 U.S.C. § 2, GBL 340</u>

37. Plaintiffs incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.

38. Defendants' actions increased the price of tickets causing plaintiff to pay more than he/she otherwise would have had to pay.

39. Defendants conduct constitutes unlawful monopolization of the market for event tickets.

40. Defendants' market power is maintained through anticompetitive and unlawful

Case 1:18-cv-01195-FJS-TWD Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 5 of 7

means, through furnishing software allowing resellers to circumvent protections supposedly in place to prevent these resellers from unfair and unlawful ticket sales, to increase its fees.

41. Plaintiff and class members were caused damages.

Unjust Enrichment

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.
- 43. Defendants obtained benefits and monies because the ticket prices were artificially

inflated to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of such inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment:

- 1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying plaintiff(s) as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
- Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing defendant(s) to correct such practices to comply with the law;
- 3. Awarding monetary damages and interest, including treble and punitive damages;
- 4. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for plaintiffs' attorneys and experts; and
- 5. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: October 4, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. /s/Spencer Sheehan Spencer Sheehan NDNY Bar Roll 519087 891 Northern Blvd., Suite 201 Great Neck, NY 11021

Case 1:18-cv-01195-FJS-TWD Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 6 of 7

Tel: (516) 303-0552 spencer@spencersheehan.com

Levin-Epstein & Associates, P.C. /s/Joshua Levin-Epstein

/s/Joshua Levin-Epstein Joshua Levin-Epstein 1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527 New York, NY 10119 Tel: (212) 792-0046 joshua@levinepstein.com

Larry Paskowitz The Paskowitz Law Firm, P.C. New York, NY

Case 1:18-cv-01195-FJS-TWD Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 7 of 7

[Status] United States District Court Eastern District of New York

Todd Gaetano individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiffs

- against -

Live Nation Entertainment Inc., Ticketmaster, LLC

Defendant(s)

Complaint

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. 891 Northern Blvd., #201 Great Neck, NY 11021 Tel: (516) 303-0052 Fax: (516) 234-7800

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the contentions contained in the annexed documents are not frivolous.

Dated: October 4, 2018

/s/ Spencer Sheehan Spencer Sheehan

Case 1:18-cv-01195-FJSIVIE COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Todd Gaetano individual	y and on behalf of all	others similarly situ	DEFENDANTS Live Nation Entertainment, Inc., Ticketmaster, LLC			
 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Rensselaer</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Sheehan & Associates, P.C., 891 Northern Boulevard, Suite 201, O Neck, NY 11021, (516) 303-0552 				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	 Government Not a Party) 			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State ▲ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place □ 4 □ 4 of Business In This State ■ 1 □ 1 State □ 4		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	★ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			izen of Another State □ 2 □ 2 Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place □ 5 🕅 5 of Business In Another State □ 6 □ 6		
			Foreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ıly) DRTS	FO	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
 CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	IC PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 0 H48 Education 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Abit Solution Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 530 General 530 General 530 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	Y □ 62 0 69 xTY □ 71 □ 72 □ 74 □ 75 NS □ 79 2 46	S Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR Value Value		 OTHERSTATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from \Box 3	Confinement Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Rein Reop		r District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	15 USC § 1		re filing (L	(spec(y)) Do not cite jurisdictional stat		Direct Inc
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$ 5,000,000.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 10/05/2018		SIGNATURE OF AT		OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN BNYNDC-45281	1000NT \$400.00	APPLYING IFP	8-cv-11	JUDGE <u>F</u>	SJS MAG. JUI	dge TWD

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Ticketmaster, Live Nation Slammed with Antitrust Class Action in NY As Bad Week Continues</u>