FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FT. MYERS DIVISION

2017 JUL 12 PM 12: 47

CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS FLORIDA

THOMAS FUSIC, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CASE NO.

2:17-CV-390-Ftm-99CM

KING PLASTIC CORPORATION, a Florida Profit Corporation, and CHRIS K. WHITAKER, Individually,

D-4	C		
1 12	ena	lants.	
	LOILU	iuiiu.	

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, THOMAS FUSIC, on behalf of himself and other employees and former employees similarly situated, by and through the undersigned counsel, files this Complaint against Defendant, KING PLASTIC CORPORATION (hereinafter "Defendant") and states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended 29 U.S.C. §201., et seq. (hereinafter the "FLSA") to recover unpaid overtime wages, minimum wages, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, obtain declaratory relief, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- 2. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is based upon 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

PARTIES

3. At all limes material hereto, Plaintiff was, and continues to be a resident of Charlotte County, Florida.

- 4. At all times material hereto Defendant, KING PLASTIC CORPORATION, is a Florida Profit Corporation with a principle place of business located at 1100 North Toledo Blade Blvd, North Port, FL 34288 and was engaged in business in Charlotte County, Florida.
- 5. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendant within the meaning of FLSA.
- 6. At all times material hereto, Defendant was the "employer" within the meaning of FLSA.
 - 7. Defendant was, and continues to be an "employer" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Defendant was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Defendant was, and continues to be, an enterprise engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 10. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of Defendant was in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum during the relevant time periods.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Defendant had two (2) or more employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce.
- 12. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, employers engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 13. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" and subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.

- 14. The additional persons who may become plaintiffs in this action are/were non-exempt employees of Defendant, who held similar positions to Plaintiff and who worked in excess of forty (40) hours during one or more work weeks during the relevant time periods but who did not receive pay at one and one-half times their regular rate for their hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.
- 15. At all times material hereto, the work performed by the Plaintiff was directly essential to the business performed by Defendant.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 16. From on or about October 10, 2017, Defendant hired Plaintiff to work as a non-exempt manufacturing worker and agreed to pay Plaintiff \$12.00 per hour.
- 17. At various material times hereto, Plaintiff worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week.
- 18. From at least October 10, 2017 and continuing through April 27, 2017, Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff at rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single work week. Plaintiff should be compensated at the rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as required by the FLSA.
- 19. Specifically, Defendant operated on a 15 minute interval time keeping system. If Plaintiff was even a couple minutes late Defendant changed Plaintiff's clock in time to the next 15 minute interval, thereby reducing Plaintiff's hours including overtime if applicable.
- 20. Defendant has violated Title 29 U.S.C. §206 and 207 from at least October 10, 2017 and continuing through April 27, 2017 in that:

- a. Plaintiff was not paid for all hours worked;
- b. Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for the period of employment with Defendant;
- c. No payments, and provisions for payment, have been made by Defendant to properly compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week as provided by the FLSA; and
- d. Defendant has failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 21. Plaintiff has retained the law firm of BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A. to represent him in the litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its Services.

COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 22. Plaintiff realleges and reincorporates all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 —21 as if incorporated herein.
- 23. From at least October 10, 2017 and continuing through April 27, 2017, Plaintiff worked in excess of the forty (40) hours per week for which Plaintiff was not compensated at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay.
- 24. Rather, throughout his employment Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff, and all employees similarly situated, for all hours worked including hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.
- 25. Plaintiff was, and is entitled to be paid at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.
 - 26. At all times material hereto, Defendant failed to maintain proper time records as

mandated by the FLSA.

- 27. Defendant's actions were willful and/or showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by its failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per weeks when it knew, or should have known, such was, and is due.
- 28. Defendant has failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.
- 29. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer damages and lost compensation for time worked over forty (40) hours per week, plus liquidated damages.
- 30. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 31. At all times material hereto, Defendant failed to comply with Title 29 and United States Department of Labor Regulations, 29 C.F.R. §§516.2 and 516.4, with respect to those similarly situated to the named Plaintiff by virtue of the management policy, plan or decision that intentionally provided for inadequate overtime compensation of such employees at a rate less than time and a half for their overtime hours.
- 32. Based upon information and belief, Defendant has failed to properly pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, proper overtime wages at time and a half their regular rate of pay for such hours.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered in his favor against Defendant as follows:

- a. Declaring, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the maximum hour and minimum wage provisions of the FLSA;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff overtime compensation in the amount due to him for Plaintiff's time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to the overtime award;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs and expenses of the litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment interest;
- f. Granting Plaintiff an Order, on an expedited basis, allowing him to send Notice of this action, pursuant to 216(b), to those similarly situated to Plaintiff; and
- g. Ordering any other further relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 10th day of July 2017,

BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A.

By:

Bill B. Berke, Esq. Florida Bar No. 0558011 berkelaw@yahoo.com 4423 Del Prado Blvd. S. Cape Coral, FL 33904 Telephone: (239) 549-6689

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. **ISEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)** DEFENDATION 12: 47 I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Thomas Fusic, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, CLEAK US DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT COURT
County of Residence of Vision County of Vision County of Residence of Vision County of Vision Coun (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lee ก็รี่ โรกะก็เห็นติดีเรียงการ (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Bill B. Berke, Esq., BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A., 4423 Del Prado Blvd. S., Cape Coral, Florida 33904 (239) 549-6689 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "N" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) DEF ★ 3 Federal Question PTF DEF PTF 7 1 U.S. Government 1 Incorporated or Principal Place \Box 4 (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State 7 1 Plaintiff of Business In This State 7 2 Incorporated and Principal Place J 5 Citizen of Another State □ 2 U.S Government 7 4 Diversity 7 2 of Business In Another State (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Defendant □ 3 Foreign Nation 7 6 Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORTS FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 3 625 Drug Related Serzure ☐ 375 False Claims Act □ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 3 310 Airplane □ 423 Withdrawal ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 120 Marine of Property 21 USC 881 7 365 Personal Injury -☐ 690 Other 28 USC 157 ☐ 410 Antitrust □ 130 Miller Act 7 315 Airplane Product Product Liability 7 430 Banks and Banking □ 367 Health Care ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 450 Commerce 7 320 Assault, Libel & □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Pharmaceutical ☐ 460 Deportation & Enforcement of Judgment Slander Personal lumry ■ 820 Copyrights 7 830 Patent ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and 7 151 Medicare Act □ 330 Federal Employers Product Liability ■ 840 Trademark Corrupt Organizations □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 480 Consumer Credit Student Loans ☐ 340 Marine Injury Product 490 Cable/Sat TV SOCIAL SECURITY (Excludes Veterans) 71 345 Marine Product Liability LABOR PERSONAL PROPERTY ₹ 710 Fair Labor Standards 3 850 Securities Commodities T 861 1HA (1395ff) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability Exchange ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud Act 720 Labor/Management □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 890 Other Statutory Actions 160 Stockholders' Suits 7 355 Motor Vehicle 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
☐ 893 Environmental Matters 7 864 SSID Title XVI 190 Other Contract Product Liability 380 Other Personal Relations □ 865 RSI (405(g)) 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 895 Freedom of Information 385 Property Damage 751 Family and Medical J 196 Franchise Injury 7 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability Leave Act Act 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 896 Arbitration Medical Malpractice PRISONER PETITIONS CIVIL RIGHTS 791 Employee Retirement FEDERAL TAX SUITS ■ 899 Administrative Procedure REAL PROPERTY Act Review or Appeal of 3 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Cornus: Income Security Act 3 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) Agency Decision ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 441 Voting □ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 950 Constitutionality of 🗇 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 442 Employment ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate 26 USC 7609 State Statutes 7 240 Torts to Land J 443 Housing Sentence ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations 530 General IMMIGRATION 7 290 All Other Real Property 445 Amer w/Disabilities 535 Death Penalty Other: Employment 3 462 Naturalization Application 7 446 Amer. w/Disabilities 540 Mandamus & Other 465 Other Immigration Other ■ 550 Civil Rights Actions ☐ 448 Education 7 555 Prison Condition 7 560 Civil Detainee -Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) ¬ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District 6 Multidistrict 2 Removed from Original **7** 3 Remanded from Litigation Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity) Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended 29 U.S.C. §201., et seq. VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause Unpaid wages including overtime wages DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint. VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION X No UNDER RULE 23, F.R. Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: 7 Yes **COMPLAINT:** VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD DATE 07/17/2017 /s/ Bill B. Berke FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

APPLYING IFP

2:17-cv-390-Fth-99cm

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>King Plastic Corporation Named in FLSA Lawsuit</u>