UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CARRIE FUNKHOUSER, individually and on behalf of All others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,			
Tiumiti,	CASE NO:		
v.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
CAPTIVA MVP RESTAURANT PARTNERS, LLC d/b/a PDQ,			
Defendant.			

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Carrie Funkhouser ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by her attorneys, Shavitz Law Group, P.A., upon personal knowledge as to herself and upon information and belief as to other matters, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This lawsuit seeks to recover overtime compensation for Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals who have worked for Defendant as Assistant Managers ("AMs") for Captiva MVP Restaurant Partners, LLC d/b/a PDQ ("Defendant" or "PDQ"), in the United States, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq.
- 2. Defendant is a Florida company operating under the trade name "PDQ" with its corporate headquarters and principal place of business located in Tampa, Florida. At times relevant to this action, PDQ operated over 25 restaurants Florida, and over 50 restaurants nationwide.

- 3. To serve its clients, PDQ employs AMs. Plaintiff alleges these AMs primarily perform customer service, preparing food, cooking, serving food, expediting orders, bussing tables, cleaning the restaurant and other related duties.
- 4. AMs' primary duties are the same non-exempt duties that hourly employees perform.
- 5. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant misclassifies AMs as exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA, and as a result AMs are not paid overtime due for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in any workweek.
- 6. Throughout the relevant period (January 10, 2015 to the present), Plaintiff alleges that it has been Defendant's nationwide policy to classify AMs as exempt for overtime purposes.
- 7. Defendant has not paid AMs at a rate of one and a half times their regular hourly rate for all hours worked in excess of 40 in any workweek.
- 8. By the conduct alleged in this Collective Action Complaint, Defendant is violating the FLSA by failing to pay AMs, including Plaintiff, the overtime wages they have earned and to which they are entitled by law.
- 9. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and similarly situated current and former AMs of Defendant who work or worked at any United States location and elect to opt-in to this action pursuant to the collective action provision of 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to remedy violations of the wage and hour provisions of the FLSA.

THE PARTIES

10. Plaintiff Carrie Funkhouser is an adult individual who is a resident of Groveland, Florida.

- 11. Plaintiff was employed by PDQ from approximately November 2016 to July 2017 as an AM at a PDQ store located in Sanford, Florida. PDQ employed Plaintiff as a Manager in Training at various locations from approximately August 2016 to November 2016.
 - 12. Plaintiff regularly worked more than 40 hours per workweek.
 - 13. Plaintiff is a covered employee within the meaning of the FLSA.
 - 14. A written consent form for Plaintiff is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 15. PDQ is a Florida limited liability company with its principal place of business in Tampa, Florida.
- 16. Throughout the relevant period, PDQ employed Plaintiff and similarly situated AMs within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 17. PDQ has had substantial control over Plaintiff's working conditions and the unlawful policies and practices alleged herein.
 - 18. PDQ is a covered employer within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 19. At all times relevant, PDQ has maintained control, oversight and direction over Plaintiff and similarly situated AMs, including timekeeping, payroll and other employment practices that applied to them.
- 20. At all times relevant, PDQ has applied the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all AMs nationwide.
- 21. At all times relevant, PDQ' annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

22. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337.

- 23. This Court also has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 24. Venue is proper in the Middle District of Florida pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because Defendant resides in the District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

FLSA COLLECTIVE ALLEGATIONS

- 25. Plaintiff brings a collective action pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of herself and all similarly situated persons who work or have worked for PDQ as AMs anywhere in the United States, for claims for the period beginning January 10, 2015 and continuing through the present.
- 26. All of the work that Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective have performed has been assigned by PDQ and/or PDQ has been aware of all of the work that Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective have performed.
- As part of its regular business practice, Plaintiff alleges that PDQ has intentionally, willfully, and repeatedly engaged in a violation of the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective. Plaintiff alleges this violation includes, but is not limited to willfully misclassifying Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective as exempt from the protections of the FLSA.
- 28. PDQ is aware or should have been aware that federal law required them to pay non-exempt AMs, including Plaintiff and members of the FLSA Collective, an overtime premium for hours worked in excess of 40 per workweek.
- 29. Plaintiff alleges that PDQ' conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent throughout the United States.

COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 30. Throughout their employment with PDQ, Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective consistently worked more than 40 hours per workweek.
- 31. PDQ was aware that Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective work or worked more than 40 hours per workweek, yet PDQ failed to pay them overtime compensation for hours worked over 40 in a workweek.
- 32. Plaintiff alleges the primary duties of Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective are non-exempt.
- 33. The primary duties of Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective do not differ substantially from the duties of non-exempt hourly paid employees.
- 34. Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective do not exercise a meaningful degree of independent discretion with respect to the exercise of their duties.
- 35. Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective do not have the discretion or authority to make any decisions with respect to matters of significance and are required to follow the policies, practices, and procedures set by Defendant.
- 36. Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective do not have any independent authority to deviate from these policies, practices, and procedures.
- 37. Operating Partners and Area Managers, not AMs, were responsible for the overall performance of the stores and for coaching and developing store employees.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Fair Labor Standards Act: Unpaid Overtime Wages Brought on Behalf of Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Action Members

38. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding Paragraphs as if they have been set forth herein.

- 39. Plaintiff consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 40. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and members of the FLSA Collective were engaged in commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 41. The overtime wage provisions set forth in §§ 201 et seq. of the FLSA apply to PDQ.
- 42. PDQ is an employer engaged in commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 43. At all times relevant, Plaintiff and members of the FLSA Collective have been employees within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(e) and 207(a).
- 44. PDQ has failed to pay Plaintiff and members of the FLSA Collective the overtime wages to which they were entitled under the FLSA.
- 45. PDQ's alleged violations of the FLSA, as described in this Collective Action Complaint, have been willful and intentional. PDQ has failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to its compensation of Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective.
- 46. Because PDQ' violations of the FLSA have been willful, a three-year statute of limitations applies, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255, and as extended by the parties' Tolling Agreement.
- 47. As a result of PDQ's alleged violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff and members of the FLSA Collective have suffered damages by being denied full overtime wages in accordance with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq.

48. As a result of the alleged unlawful acts of PDQ, Plaintiff and members of the

FLSA Collective have been deprived of overtime compensation in amounts to be determined at

trial, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest,

attorneys' fees, costs and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated

members of the FLSA Collective, prays for the following relief:

A. Final certification of the FLSA Collective consisting of Plaintiff and all members

of the FLSA Collective;

B. Unpaid wages and liquidated damages in the amount allowed by 29 U.S.C. §§ 201

et seq. and the supporting United States Department of Labor regulations;

C. Pre-judgment interest;

D. Attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including expert fees; and

E. Such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the Complaint.

Dated: January 15, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Gregg I. Shavitz

Gregg I. Shavitz, Florida Bar No. 11398

E-mail: gshavitz@shavitzlaw.com

Alan L. Quiles, Florida Bar No. 62431

E-mail: aquiles@shavitzlaw.com

SHAVITZ LAW GROUP, P.A.

1515 South Federal Highway, Suite 404

Boca Raton, FL 33432

Telephone: (561) 447-8888

Facsimile: (561) 447-8831

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Collective

7

Exhibit A

CONSENT TO JOIN FORM

- 1. I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against Defendant(s), **PDQ/Captiva MVP Restaurants**, and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 2. I hereby designate the Shavitz Law Group, P.A. to represent me in bringing such claim, and to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement. I agree to be bound by any adjudication of this action by the Court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.
- 3. I also consent to join any other related action against Defendant(s) or other potentially responsible parties to assert my claim and for this Consent Form to be filed in any such action.

Carrie Funktionser	
Signature coe4be	
Carrie Funkhouser	
Print Name	_

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15) District of Colorado Form

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS CARRIE FUNKHOUSEF situated,	R, individually and on	behalf of All others s	imilarly CAPTIVA MVP	TS RESTAURANT PARTNEF	RS, LLC D/B/A PDQ,	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lake County			County of Resider	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant		
	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C		NOTE: IN LAND	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES (CONDEMNATION CASES, USE 1 CT OF LAND INVOLVED.	· · · · · ·	
(c) Attomeys (Firm Name, Shavitz Law Group, P.A Raton, Florida 33432, p:	Address, and Telephone Numb ., 1515 South Federal (561) 447-8888; f:(56	^{er)} Hwy, Suite 404, Bo 1) 447-8831.	Attorneys (If Know	(n)		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in t	One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Onl		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaint	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	PTF DEF O 1 O 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business in 3		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	up of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	G 2 O 2 Incorporated and of Business In .		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	06 06	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[(Place an "X" in One Box O			BANKRUPTCY	OTHERSTATUTES	
O 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/	of Property 21 USC 88		376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment	
□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	6 410 Antimist	
☐ 151 Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal		☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability	SEESURIDIOSSAS IVA BORGUDIO MUNICIPA	SOCIAL SECURITY	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT	TY 710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	Act 720 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	Relations	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	Exchange	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	751 Family and Medical Leave Act		891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters	
	Medical Malpractice		☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation		☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	Income Security Act	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General		26 USC 7609	Agency Decision	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	iik	☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
, ,	Employment	Other:	☐ 462 Naturalization Application	π		
	Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights	Actions 465 Other Immigration			
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition	Actions			
i		560 Civil Detainee -				
		Conditions of Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	***************************************		<u> </u>		
✓ 1 Original	noved from 3	Remanded from D Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or	ner District Litigation	ict	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are	filing <i>(bo not cite inrisdictional st</i> AID OVERTIME WAGES	atutes unless diversity);		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of ca		AID OVERTIME WAGES	AP Docket		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION B, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only in JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE	(S)					
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE	UNIEW OF DECOME	BOCKET NUMBER		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF DECOMB						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			40			
	OUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	مـــــــــــــ MAG. JUD	GE	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Former PDQ Employee Claims Assistant Managers Should Be Paid Overtime