UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

JESSICA FULGENCIO, on behalf of himself and all similarly-situated individuals,

Plaintiffs,

v.	Case No.:
GULF COAST COLLECTION BUREAU, INC	•••
Defendant.	

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Jessica Fulgencio, files the following Class Action Complaint against Gulf Coast Collection Bureau, Inc., for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA").

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff brings this class action against the Defendant debt collector, Gulf Coast Collection Bureau, Inc., arising from the routine practice of sending collection letters to consumers, like that sent to Plaintiff which, *inter alia*, violated the FDCPA by failing to comply with the validation notice requirements under 15 U.S.C. 1692g and its various subsections.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Subject matter jurisdiction of this Court as to Plaintiff's FDCPA claim arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337.
- 3. In personam jurisdiction exists and venue is proper as the Defendant regularly conducts business in this district.

4. Plaintiff is a resident of this district and the alleged violations occurred here. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Jessica Fulgencio is an adult individual residing in Tampa, Florida and within this Court's jurisdiction.
- 6. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was a member the putative class she seeks to represent.
- 7. Plaintiff and the putative class members are "consumers" as that term is contemplated in § 1692a(3) of the FDCPA.
- 8. Defendant is a Florida corporation and licensed collection agency engaged in the business of collecting debts due or alleged to be due to others across the State of Florida, with its principal place of business located in Sarasota, Florida.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is contemplated in § 1692a(6) of the FDCPA.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Defendant has been retained by St. Joseph's Women Hospital, concerning collection of an alleged unresolved account.
 - 11. Defendant has failed to comply with the FDCPA.
- 12. On or about August 2, 2016, Defendant sent a collection letter to Plaintiff at 2703 W. Horatio St. Unit 2, Tampa, FL 33609. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a copy of the August 2, 2016 letter from Defendant.
- 13. The August 2, 2016 letter was the first communication Plaintiff received from Defendant.

- 14. Defendant did not have any further communication with Plaintiff within five (5) days of the initial communication with Plaintiff.
- 15. Defendant failed to provide the required validation notice under 15 U.S.C. 1692g and its various subsections.
- 16. Defendant failed to provide in its initial communication with Plaintiff, or within five (5) days thereafter, a written notice containing "a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector." See 15 U.S.C. 1692g(a)(3).
- 17. Defendant failed to provide in its initial communication with Plaintiff, or within five (5) days thereafter, a written notice containing "a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector." See 15 U.S.C. 1692g(a)(4).

DEFENDANT'S ROUTINE PRACTICES VIOLATE THE FDCPA

18. It is or was the routine practice of Defendant to send communications to Plaintiff and the putative class members, or cause to be sent, letters in the form of Exhibit A, which violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a) by failing to provide a written Debt Validation Notice in the initial communication or within five (5) days thereafter.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 19. Plaintiff brings this action on her own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons similarly-situated pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), 23(b)(3).
 - 20. Plaintiff seeks under 15 U.S.C. 1692g(a), to have certification on behalf of a

class consisting of:

All persons in the United States who received an initial communication from Defendant, and to whom Defendant did not include or send within five (5) days thereafter a Debt Validation Notice which complies with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g, on or after a date one year prior to the filing of this action, in connection with attempts to collect a non-business debt.

RULE 23(a) PREREQUISITES

- Numerosity: The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. At this time, Plaintiff does not know the exact size of the Class. Based on information and belief, the Class is comprised of at least thousands of members and is geographically dispersed throughout the country as to render joinder of all Class Members impracticable. The names and addresses of the Class members are identifiable through documents maintained by the Defendant, and the Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by published and/or mailed notices.
- 22. Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the other Class Members' claims. As described above, Defendant uses common practices and automated systems in committing the conduct that Plaintiffs allege damaged them and the Class. Plaintiff seeks only statutory damages for her classwide claims and, in addition, Plaintiff is entitled to relief under the same causes of action as the other members of the Class. Defendant uniformly breached the FDCPA by engaging in the conduct described above, and these violations had the same effect on each member of the Class.
- 23. <u>Adequacy</u>: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Putative Class, and has retained counsel experienced in complex class action litigation.

24. <u>Commonality</u>: Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class. Without limitation, the total focus of the litigation will be Defendant's uniform conduct and procedures, whether Defendant's failure to send a complying Debt Validation Notice to each consumer either in its initial communication or within five (5) days thereafter violated the FDCPA. Even the appropriate amount of damages is a common question for members of the Class.

RULE 23(b) PREREQUISITES

- 25. This case is maintainable as a class action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1) because prosecution of actions by or against individual members of the Putative Class would result in inconsistent or varying adjudications and create the risk of incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant.
- 26. Further, adjudication of each individual Class member's claim as separate actions would potentially be dispositive of the interest of other individuals not a party to such action, impeding their ability to protect their interests.
- 27. This case is maintainable as a class action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the Putative Class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the Class as a whole.
- 28. Class certification is also appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) because questions of law and fact common to the Putative Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Putative Class, and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation. Defendant's conduct described in this Complaint stems from common and uniform policies and practices,

resulting in common violations of the FDCPA.

- 29. Members of the Putative Class do not have an interest in pursuing separate actions against Defendant, as the amount of each Class member's individual claims is small compared to the expense and burden of individual prosecution.
- 30. Class certification also will obviate the need for unduly duplicative litigation that might result in inconsistent judgments concerning Defendant's practices.
- 31. Moreover, management of this action as a class action will not present any likely difficulties. In the interests of justice and judicial efficiency, it would be desirable to concentrate the litigation of all Putative Class members' claims in a single forum.
- 32. Plaintiff intends to send notice to all members of the Putative Class to the extent required by Rule 23. The names and addresses of the Putative Class members are available from Defendant's records.

CLASS CLAIM - FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 33. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs.
- 34. In violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g, Defendant failed to send a complying Debt Validation Notice to Plaintiff and the putative class members either in the initial communication or within five (5) days thereafter.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the putative class request that judgment be entered for herself and the putative class against Defendant and that this Honorable Court order the following:

A. Certification of this action to proceed as a class action;

- B. Award of statutory damages to the Plaintiff and the class as provided in 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(B);
- C. Award of actual damages to the class in the amount paid in response to all letters in the form of Exhibit A;
- D. Entry of a Declaratory Judgment that the challenged practices herein violate the FDCPA;
- E. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a);
- F. Such other and further relief as the court deems just and equitable.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff and the putative class members demand trial by jury of all claims so triable.

Dated this day of November, 2016.

1111

LUIS A CABASSA

Florida Bar Number: 053643 Direct No.: 813-379-2565

BRANDON J. HILL

Florida Bar Number: 37061 Direct No.: 813-337-7992

WENZEL FENTON CABASSA, P.A.

1110 North Florida Ave.

Suite 300

Tampa, Florida 33602
Main No.: 813-224-0431
Facsimile: 813-229-8712
Email: lcabassa@wfclaw.com
Email: twells@wfclaw.com
Email: bhill@wfclaw.com
Email: mk@wfclaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
JESSICA FULGENCIO				GULF COAST COLLECTION BUREAU, INC.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Hillsborough (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(2)				THE TRAC	T OF LAND II	NVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)					
Brandon J. Hill, Wenzel I Ste. 300, Tampa, FL 336	Fenton Cabassa, P.A. 602 813-224-0431	., 1110 N. Florida A	ve.,						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti)		
J I U.S. Government Plaintiff	▶ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	1	(For Diversity Cases Only) P	PTF DEF		and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place		
1 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	02 02	Incorporated and F of Business In A	Principal Place 3 5 5 5		
				n or Subject of a C	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation	36 06		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box O	nly)							
CONTRACT	DETERMINATION OF THE	ORTS A STATE OF THE SECOND	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	VKRUPTCY***	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ roduct Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice □ CIVIE RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/	IIabeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	□ 696 ETY □ 716 □ 726 □ 746 □ 751 □ 791	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act	□ 423 With 28 U □ PROPE □ 820 Copy □ 830 Pater □ 840 Trade □ 861 HJA □ 862 Blace □ 863 DIW □ 864 SSID □ 865 RSI (□ 870 Taxe: □ 870 Taxe: □ 871 IRS—□ □ 871 IRS—□	RTY RIGHTS rrights at emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI (405(g)) ALTAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC ☐ 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ★ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act ☐ S96 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision		
□ 290 All Other Real Property V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	Accommodations J 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment J 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other J 448 Education	Other:	□ 462	IMMIGRATION® Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions			□ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
💢 I Original 🗀 2 Rer	noved from 3 c Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Reinsi Reope		r District	☐ 6 Multidistri	ct		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Fair Debt Collecti Brief description of ca Violation of FDCF	atute under which you an On Practices Act ause: A	e filing (Da	not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless div	versity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION	DE	MAND \$		HECK YES only i	f demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE W 3016		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF	RECORD					
	OUNT			•					

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Gulf Cost Collection Bureau Facing Debt Collection Suit in Florida</u>