UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

ROBERT FRANKLIN, Individually on	§		
behalf of all putative class members,	§		
	§		
Plaintiff,	§		
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO	
V.	§		
	§		
APPLE INC.,	§		
	§		
Defendant.	§		
	§		

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT AND PETITION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

1. Plaintiff, Individually and as a Representative of similarly situated consumers as members of any putative class, brings the following claims against Defendant and respectfully states:

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, Robert Franklin, is a resident of Hopkins County, Texas and lives within the Eastern District of Texas. Plaintiff's residence within Hopkins County, Texas is his true, fixed, and permanent home.
- 3. Defendant, Apple Inc., is a California corporation incorporated in the state of California with its principal place of business located at 1 Infinite Loop, M/S 38-3TX, Cupertino, California 95014. Defendant is and, at all relevant times, was doing business in the State of Texas by selling and distributing its products. Defendant may be served via its registered agent for service in Texas, CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201-3136.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this cause of action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). Plaintiff's true, fixed, and permanent home is in Hopkins County, Texas. Therefore, Plaintiff is a citizen of Texas. Defendant is incorporated in California and maintains its principal place of business in California, and is, thus, a citizen of California. Therefore, as Plaintiff is a citizen of Texas, and Defendant is a citizen of California, complete diversity exists. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks damages in excess of \$75,000. Moreover, jurisdiction is also proper pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1332, et. seq. because the amount in controversy for the proposed class is greater than \$5,000,000. Similarly, jurisdiction is proper pursuant to the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301, et seq. given the nature of the class claims and the number of putative class members.
- 5. Defendant is subject to personal and general jurisdiction in this Court because it maintains minimum contacts with Texas and engages in systematic and continuous contacts with Texas by selling its products in Texas. Defendant purposefully avails itself of the privilege of doing business in Texas by knowingly selling its products directly or indirectly to Texas consumers. The subject claims arise from or relate to the Defendant's contact with Texas.
- 6. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(1) & (c) and CAFA because Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District and is, therefore, a resident of this District. Moreover, Plaintiff is a resident of this District, and all or a substantial part of the underlying facts occurred within this District.

FACTS

- 7. Defendant is involved in the manufacture, distribution, and sale of the iPhone 6 battery contained in the iPhone 6. The subject model iPhone 6 battery was installed in the iPhone 6 and sold throughout the state of Texas, including Plaintiff's iPhone 6.
- 8. The subject model iPhone 6 battery was installed in thousands of iPhone 6s sold and distributed in Texas. The iPhone 6 battery contains a defect at the time it is sold which renders it unable to perform its intended function and unfit for its intended purpose. The iPhone 6 battery contains a defect which makes it unable to reliably perform its function of powering the iPhone 6 without overheating. This defect creates a danger of explosion and fire in the iPhone 6.
- 9. In 2018, Plaintiff Robert Franklin purchased an iPhone 6, IMEI 352018078688608. The subject iPhone 6 contained the subject model iPhone 6 battery that was un-merchantable and defective.
- 10. Plaintiff's iPhone 6 was originally purchased within the Eastern District of Texas. Upon information and belief, hundreds and likely many thousands of iPhone 6s were also purchased within the Eastern District of Texas and throughout the State of Texas, equipped with the subject model iPhone 6 battery.
- 11. On August 15, 2019, Robert Franklin was listening to music on his iPhone 6, when he noticed the music playing on his iPhone began to skip. As he picked up his iPhone to investigate, his iPhone suddenly exploded and caught fire in his face, causing him to fall to the ground. In an attempt to mitigate his fall, Mr. Franklin instinctively tried to brace himself with his right hand. When Mr. Franklin fell to the ground, he immediately felt a burning pain in his eyes and right wrist. Mr. Franklin suffered injuries to his eyes and wrist as a result of this incident, and these injuries continue to plague Mr. Franklin to this day.

12. With a defective battery, Plaintiff's iPhone 6 was unsafe to operate and was destroyed by the explosion. Plaintiff incurred economic loss damages associated with the loss and replacement of his iPhone 6 and subsequent medical treatment for his injuries. Members of the putative class have the same defective iPhone 6 battery and will incur substantially similar economic loss damages associated with the loss and replacement of their iPhone 6s and possible medical treatments.

RULE 23 CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION REQUESTED

- 13. Plaintiff adopts each paragraph set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 14. Pursuant to Rule 23, Plaintiff seeks certification of a class action in this matter with respect to each of the claims alleged. Plaintiff has standing to seek class certification because he is a member of the putative class. Plaintiff suffered financial loss related to the conduct complained of herein and has suffered the same injury and seeks the same relief as other members of the class and seeks to represent the class.
- 15. Plaintiff seeks the certification of a Texas-only class of persons or consumers. Generally, Plaintiff seeks certification of a class defined generally as those Texas resident individuals, consumers, or owners of cell phones who own an iPhone 6 equipped with the defective iPhone 6 battery.
- 16. The prerequisites of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) are met. The members of such class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Moreover, there are common questions of law and fact to the class which predominate, and the claims or defenses of the representative party here are typical of the claims and defenses of the class. The issues related to the existence of a defect (as that terms is used in the warranty context) in the iPhone 6 product, the existence of an implied warranty for owners of an iPhone 6, and the economic loss damage

issues will all be common the class and will predominate over any individual issues.

17. Plaintiff, along, with counsel, has proper standing and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. Plaintiff has standing to bring the class claims, and he and his counsel will diligently pursue the subject claims for the class.

BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTIBILITY

- 18. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges each paragraph set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 19. Defendant is a merchant and/or supplier of the subject iPhone 6 and its included iPhone 6 battery. Defendant's sale of phones equipped with the subject model iPhone 6 battery included an implied warranty of merchantability in Texas. The iPhone 6, by virtue of a defect in the battery, was un-merchantable at the time it was purchased because the iPhone 6 was not fit for its ordinary purpose for the useful life of the iPhone 6. Plaintiff and members of the putative class purchased, own, or operate an iPhone 6 manufactured and sold by Defendant equipped with an iPhone 6 battery which is un-merchantable and have suffered economic injury as described herein.
- 20. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the putative class, brings a claim for breach of the implied warranty of merchantability pursuant to the Uniform Commercial Code, as adopted in Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 2.214, et seq. and actionable under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.50, et seq. Any effort to disclaim the implied warranty of merchantability was inadequate and unenforceable under Texas law.
- 21. Defendant's breach of the implied warranty of merchantability was the producing and/or proximate cause of the economic loss damages alleged herein for owners of iPhone 6s sold by Defendant.

EXPRESS WARRANTY CLAIMS

- 22. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges each paragraph set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. Plaintiff and members of the putative class were provided an express warranty at the time of sale of the iPhone 6. Defendant warranted that the iPhone 6 would be free from defects, meet design specifications, and be suitable for its intended purpose. Moreover, Defendant warranted that it would pay the expenses associated with any product defect and needed repair. The subject iPhone 6 battery requires inspection, replacement, or repair in each iPhone 6, which involves economic loss and which Plaintiff claims should be covered by the express warranties described herein. Any time or usage bases used to deny repair warranty coverage is unreasonable and unconscionable given the latent and hidden nature of the subject defect and is not enforceable upon the members of the putative class.
- 24. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the putative class, brings a claim for breach of express warranties pursuant to the Uniform Commercial Code, as adopted in Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 2.313, *et seq*. Moreover, Plaintiff brings such claims pursuant to the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.50, *et seq*.
- 25. Plaintiff's and members of the putative class' damages alleged herein were proximately caused by the breach of express and implied warranties alleged herein.

MAGNUSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT CLAIMS

- 26. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges each paragraph set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of members of the putative class, brings a claim pursuant to the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301, *et seq.* (the "Magnuson-Moss

Act" or "Act") arising from Defendant's violation of the implied warranty of merchantability arising under Texas law and express warranties. Plaintiff seeks inclusion in any class and to represent those purchasers of the iPhone 6 for the following Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act claims.

- 28. The iPhone 6, along with the included iPhone 6 battery, are "consumer products" within the meaning of the Magnuson-Moss Act. Plaintiff and members of the putative class are "consumers" within the meaning of the Act. Defendant is a "warrantor" or "supplier" within the meaning of the Act.
- 29. The written affirmations of fact or promises made in connection with the sale of the iPhone 6 or the iPhone 6 battery, which relate to the nature of the material or workmanship and affirm or promise that such material or workmanship is defect free or will meet a specified level of performance for the lifetime of the iPhone 6, are "written warranties" within the meaning of the Act.
- 30. The inspection, repair, and replacement of the defective iPhone 6 and the iPhone 6 battery is "reasonable and necessary maintenance" under the Act.
- 31. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the putative class, claims, pursuant to the Magnuson-Moss Act, the right to a repair or remedy of the defect existing in the battery of the iPhone 6 within a reasonable time. Moreover, any attempt to limit the duration or deny the existence of any implied warranty on the iPhone 6 and the iPhone 6 battery is improper under the Act.
- 32. Plaintiff claims the right to replacement free of charge of the defective iPhone 6 and its included battery, on behalf of the members of any putative class in any iPhone 6 pursuant to the Act and warranty claims set forth herein. This would include incidental damages, including in-store inspections and repair services.

33. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the putative class, claims all remedies available under the Act.

DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT CLAIM

- 34. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges each paragraph set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 35. Plaintiff and members of the Texas class are consumers, as defined by the Deceptive Trade Practices Act ("DTPA"), Texas Business and Commerce Code sections 17.41-17.63. Plaintiff sought or acquired goods or services from Defendant, as defined by the DTPA, when he purchased the iPhone 6.
- 36. Defendant can be sued under the DTPA because it is a "person" under the DTPA's definitions and because it used false, misleading, or deceptive acts or practices as defined by the Act and described previously.
- 37. Plaintiff, individually and as representative of the putative class, also asserts DTPA claims for violations of the express and implied warranties set forth herein.
- 38. Plaintiff and members of the Texas class have suffered economic harm as a result of Defendant's actions and statutory violations. Plaintiff has suffered actual, incidental, and consequential damages.

DAMAGES

- 39. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges each paragraph set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 40. Plaintiff suffered direct financial damages or benefit of the bargain damages by receiving an iPhone 6 and its included defective iPhone 6 battery, which were of a reduced value in comparison to such goods if they had been as warranted and non-defective. All members of the

class herein suffered the same or similar direct damages. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the putative class, seeks these direct economic damages.

- 41. Plaintiff suffered incidental damages as a result of the reasonable expenses incurred to replace the iPhone 6. The members of the class will incur the same or substantially similar economic damages. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the putative class, seeks these incidental damages.
- 42. Plaintiff has been required to incur attorney's fees and court costs to pursue the claims alleged herein and seeks recovery for the same. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the putative class, seeks to recover all attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code. § 38.001(8), the DTPA, the Magnuson-Moss Act, and Texas law.
- 43. Plaintiff, individually and as representative of the putative class, prays for all damages to which he is entitled under both law and equity herein.

CLAIM FOR PREJUDGMENT INTEREST

44. Plaintiff seeks prejudgment interest at the maximum legal rate.

<u>APPLICATION OF SERVICEMEMBERS CIVIL RELIEF ACT</u>

- 45. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges each paragraph set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 46. It is likely that members of the putative class include active-duty United States military personnel. As such, these individuals are entitled to the protections afforded by the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act, 50 U.S.C. 501 *et seq*. As such, the running of any applicable statute of limitations would be tolled during periods of active service.

JURY DEMAND

47. Plaintiff requests that a jury be convened to try the factual issues of this case.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays this Court certify the requested class and that judgment be entered in Plaintiff's favor against Defendant on all claims and for all damages alleged herein.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jeffrey T. Embry
Jeffrey T. Embry
State Bar No. 24002052
Attorney-in-Charge
Margaret C. Pennell
State Bar No. 24116893
HOSSLEY & EMBRY, LLP
515 S. Vine Ave.
Tyler, Texas 75702
Ph. 903-526-1772
Fax. 903-526-1773
jeff@hossleyembry.com
meg@hossleyembry.com

AND

Matt Montgomery State Bar No. 24041509 HOSSLEY & EMBRY, LLP 4733 Don Drive Dallas, Texas 75247 Ph. 214-390-2349 matt@hossleyembry.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

$_{ m JS~44~(Rev.~04/21)}$ Case 4:21-cv-00354 Document 10 Vital \$540621 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE (OF THIS FO	DEFENDAN							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	(a) PLAINTIFFS										
ROBERT FRANKLIN, Individually on behalf of all puta class members			ative	ve APPLE, INC.							
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	lopkins		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant							
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	(SES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)							
				NOTE: IN LAND THE TRA	O COND ACT OF	EMNATI LAND IN	ON CASES, USE TI IVOLVED.	HE LOCATION	OF		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)							
	y, Hossley Embry, Ll		WA.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Tyler, TX 75702		_1 , 010 0. VIIIe /-	wc.,								
II. BASIS OF JURISD	<u> </u>	One Box Only)	III. CI	 		NCIPA					
1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question				(For Diversity Cases On	ıly) PTF	DEF	a	and One Box for	Defendant) PTF	DEF	
Plaintiff	_ `		Citizo	Citizen of This State X 1							
2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citizo	en of Another State	2	_ 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		5	X 5	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		<u> </u>	<u></u> 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT							for: Nature of S				
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJUR		PRESTURE/PENALT	Y		KRUPTCY		R STATUT Claims Act		
120 Marine	310 Airplane	X 365 Personal Injury -	1 H 62	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881		422 App 423 Wit	oeal 28 USC 158 hdrawal		am (31 US)		
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	69	0 Other			USC 157	3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment			
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical					ELLECTUAL ERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitrust		iiiiieiit	
& Enforcement of Judgmen	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability				820 Copyrights		430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce		ng	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal				830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated		460 Depor			
Student Loans	340 Marine	Injury Product				New Drug Application			teer Influer		
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	$_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{TY}}$	LABOR		840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secrets		Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit			
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		710 Fair Labor Standards		Act of 2016		(15 USC 1681 or 1692)		r 1692)	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	L ₇₂	Act 720 Labor/Management		SOCIAL SECUDITY		485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act		ımer	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	H'2	Relations		861 HIA (1395ff)		490 Cable			
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		40 Railway Labor Act		862 Black Lung (923)			ities/Comm	nodities/	
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	H ⁷⁵	1 Family and Medical Leave Act	\vdash		VC/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	Excha 890 Other	ange Statutory A	Actions	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		00 Other Labor Litigation			(405(g))	891 Agrica	ultural Acts	S	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	☐ ⁷⁹	91 Employee Retirement		EEDED	AL TAV CIUTO		onmental M om of Infor		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	,	Income Security Act			es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act	nii oi iiiioi	mation	
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence				or Defendant)		896 Arbitr			
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION		871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of			
	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Applica	ntion			Agenc	y Decision		
	Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights	er 46	5 Other Immigration Actions					itutionality Statutes	of	
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition		110110110					, acces		
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of									
		Confinement									
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	in One Box Only)										
		Remanded from Appellate Court	∃4 Rein Reop	ened Ano	nsferred other Di ecify)		6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigation Direct F	on -	
		tute under which you ar	re filing (1	Oo not cite jurisdictional	statutes	unless di	versity):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of ca	nice.									
	Defective product caus										
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			N D	EMAND \$			HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded i	n complai		
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				_DOCK	ET NUMBER				
DATE 05/06/2024		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (OF RECORD							
05/06/2021		/s/Jeffrey T. Embry									
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY											
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	Е		MAG. JUI	OGE			

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Claims iPhone 6 Battery Can Overheat Phones, Explode</u>