IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DONALD FOUST,	§	
Individually and on behalf of all others	§	
similarly situated	§	
•	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Civil Action No. CIV-16-1447-R
	§	
V.	§	
	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
CPI SECURITY SERVICES, INC.,	§	
COMPLETE PROTECTION &	§	
INVESTIGATIONS, INC., LAWRENCE E.	§	
SANDERS AND CHRISTINE A. SANDERS	§	
	§	COLLECTIVE ACTION
Defendants	§	PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

ORIGINAL COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Donald Foust brings this action individually and on behalf of all current and former employees (hereinafter "Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members") who worked for Defendants CPI Security Services, Inc. ("CPI"), Complete Protection & Investigations, Inc. ("Complete"), Lawrence E. Sanders ("L Sanders"), and Christine A. Sanders ("C Sanders") (collectively "Defendants") during the past three years, to recover compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, and costs, pursuant to the provisions of Section 216(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

I. OVERVIEW

1.1 This is a collective action to recover overtime wages brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 et. seq.

- 1.2 Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members are those persons who worked for Defendants within the last three years.
- 1.3 During this time, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were <u>non-exempt</u> employees who were paid an hourly wage but were not paid any overtime compensation.
- 1.4 Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members routinely work (and worked) in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 1.5 Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were not paid overtime for any hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 1.6 The decision by Defendants not to pay overtime compensation to Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members was neither reasonable nor in good faith.
- 1.7 Defendants knowingly and deliberately failed to compensate Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members overtime for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 1.8 Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members did not and currently do not perform work that meets the definition of exempt work under the FLSA.
- 1.9 Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members therefore seek to recover all unpaid overtime and other damages owed under the FLSA as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 1.10 Plaintiff also prays that all similarly situated workers (Putative Class Members) be notified of the pendency of this action to apprise them of their rights and provide them an opportunity to opt-in to this lawsuit.

II. THE PARTIES

- 2.1 Plaintiff Donald Foust ("Foust") worked for Defendants within the meaning of the FLSA within this judicial district within the relevant three-year period. Plaintiff Foust did not properly receive overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.¹
- 2.2 The Putative Class Members are those current and former workers who were employed by Defendants in the past three years and have been subjected to the same illegal pay system under which Plaintiff Foust worked and was paid.
- 2.3 CPI Security Services, Inc. ("CPI") is an Oklahoma corporation, having its principal place of business in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. CPI may be served through its registered agent for service, Lawrence E. Sanders, 1325 S.W. 71st Street Terrace, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73159.
- 2.4 Complete Protection & Investigations, Inc. ("Complete") is an Oklahoma corporation, having its principal place of business in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Complete may be served through its registered agent for service, Christine A Sanders, 1325 S.W. 71st Street Terrace, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73159.
- 2.5 Lawrence E. Sanders ("L Sanders") is an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and, along with CPI, Complete, and Christine A. Sanders, employed or jointly employed Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members. Lawrence E Sanders may be served

¹ The written consent of Donald Foust is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

at his residence, 1325 S.W. 71st Street Terrace, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73159 or wherever he may be found.

- 2.6 Christine A. Sanders ("C Sanders") is an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and, along with CPI, Complete, and Lawrence E Sanders, employed or jointly employed Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members. Christine A. Sanders may be served at her residence, 1325 S.W. 71st Street Terrace, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73159, or wherever she may be found.
- 2.7 Defendants are joint employers pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 791.2. They have common ownership, oversight and control over CPI, Complete, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members. As a result, all Defendants are responsible, both individually and jointly, for compliance with all of the applicable provisions of the FLSA, including the overtime provisions, with respect to the entire employment for the workweeks at issue in this case.

III. JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 3.1 This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as this is an action arising under 29 U.S.C. § 201 et. seq.
- 3.2 This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because the cause of action arose within this district as a result of Defendants' conduct within this District.
- 3.3 Venue is proper in the Western District of Oklahoma because this is a judicial district where a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

- 3.4 Specifically, CPI and Complete maintain their principal place of business in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and the Sanders Defendants are domiciled in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, all of which are located in this District and Division.
- 3.5 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, venue is proper in any division of the Western District of Oklahoma.

IV. FLSA COVERAGE

- 4.1 At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been joint employers within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 4.2 At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been enterprises within the meaning of Section 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).
- 4.3 At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been enterprises engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(s)(1) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), in that said enterprises have had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person, or in any closely related process or occupation directly essential to the production thereof, and in that those enterprises have had, and have, an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level which are separately stated).

- 4.4 During the respective periods of Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members' employment by Defendants, these individuals provided services for Defendants that involved interstate commerce.
- 4.5 In performing the operations hereinabove described, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of §§ 203(b), 203(i), 203(j), 206(a), and 207(a) of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(b), 203(i), 203(j), 206(a), 207(a).
- 4.6 Specifically, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members are (or were) <u>non-exempt</u> employees of Defendants who are (or were) security personnel responsible for protecting and monitoring premises and materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce. 29 U.S.C. § 203(j).
- 4.7 At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members are (or were) individual employees who were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as required by 29 U.S.C. §§ 206–07.
- 4.8 The proposed class of similarly situated employees, i.e. putative class members sought to be certified pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), is defined as "all current and former employees who worked for CPI Security, Inc., Complete Protection & Investigations, Inc., Lawrence E. Sanders, and Christine A. Sanders, at any time in the last three years and were paid hourly but no overtime."
- 4.9 The precise size and identity of the proposed class should be ascertainable from the business records, tax records, and/or employee or personnel records of Defendants.

V. FACTS

- 5.1 CPI and Complete are companies that provide security guards and patrolling services to corporate clients in the State of Oklahoma.
- 5.2 To provide these services, CPI and Complete employed numerous individuals to provide security and patrol services to their clients.
- 5.3 Plaintiff Foust has worked for and been employed with Defendants since 2010.²
- 5.4 Defendants paid Plaintiff Foust and the Putative Class Members by the hour.
- 5.5 When Plaintiff Foust worked for Defendant CPI, he was paid nine dollars and fifty cents (\$9.50) an hour.
- 5.6 When Plaintiff Foust worked for Defendant Complete, he was nine dollars (\$9.00) an hour.
- 5.7 Regardless of the number of hours worked, Plaintiff Foust and the Putative Class Members were never paid time and one half for any hours worked over forty in each workweek.
- 5.8 Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week. Specifically, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members usually worked seventy (70) to eighty (80) hours a week for Defendants at multiple locations within Oklahoma City.

² True and correct copies of Plaintiff Foust's pay stubs from CPI and Complete dated August 5, 2016 are attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated as if set forth fully herein.

- 5.9 Because Defendants are joint employers, the FLSA requires that all hours worked be combined for purposes of overtime compensation. *See* 29 C.F.R. § 791.2.
- 5.10 Instead, Defendants scheduled Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members to work forty hours or less at CPI and/or Complete in an attempt to circumvent the FLSA even though, when combined, Plaintiff Foust and the Putative Class Members worked far in excess of forty hours per week.
- 5.11 Although it is well-known that blue-collar workers like Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members are <u>not</u> exempt from overtime, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members the additional overtime premium required by the FLSA for hours worked in excess of forty (40) in a workweek.
- 5.12 The FLSA mandates that overtime be paid at one and one-half times an employee's regular rate of pay.
- 5.13 Defendants did not pay <u>any</u> overtime at all for work in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
- 5.14 Accordingly, Defendants' pay policies and practices violated (and continue to violate) the FLSA.

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

A. FAILURE TO PAY WAGES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

6.1 Defendants violated provisions of Sections 6, 7 and 15 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206, 207, and 215(a)(2) by employing individuals in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA

for workweeks longer than forty (40) hours without compensating such employees for their employment in excess of forty (40) hours per week at rates at least one and one-half times the regular rates for which they were employed.

- 6.2 Moreover, Defendants knowingly, willfully and in reckless disregard carried out their illegal pattern of failing to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees overtime compensation. 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 6.3 Defendants knew or should have known their pay practices were in violation of the FLSA.
- 6.4 Defendants are sophisticated parties and employers, and therefore knew (or should have known) their policies were in violation of the FLSA.
- 6.5 Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members, on the other hand, are (and were) unsophisticated laborers who trusted Defendants to pay according to the law.
- 6.6 The decision and practice by Defendants to not pay overtime was neither reasonable nor in good faith.
- 6.7 Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members are entitled to overtime wages for all hours worked pursuant to the FLSA in an amount equal to one-and-a-half times their regular rate of pay, plus liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

B. COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 6.8 Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), this is a collective action filed on behalf of all those who are (or were) similarly situated to Plaintiff.
- 6.9 Other similarly situated employees have been victimized by Defendants' patterns, practices, and policies, which are in willful violation of the FLSA.

- 6.10 The Putative Class Members are "all current and former employees who worked for CPI Security, Inc., Complete Protection & Investigations, Inc., Lawrence E. Sanders and Christine A. Sanders, at any time in the last three years and were paid hourly but no overtime."
- 6.11 Defendants' failure to pay wages for all hours worked and overtime compensation at the rates required by the FLSA results from generally applicable policies and practices, and does not depend on the personal circumstances of the Putative Class Members.
- 6.12 Thus, Plaintiff's experiences are typical of the experiences of the Putative Class Members.
- 6.13 The specific job titles or precise job requirements of the various Putative Class Members does not prevent collective treatment.
- 6.14 All of the Putative Class Members—regardless of their specific job titles, precise job requirements, rates of pay, or job locations—are entitled to be properly compensated for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 6.15 Although the issues of damages may be individual in character, there is no detraction from the common nucleus of liability facts. Indeed, the Putative Class Members are blue-collar security and patrol guards entitled to overtime after forty (40) hours in a workweek.
- 6.16 On information and belief, Defendants have employed a substantial number of employees in the State of Oklahoma during the past three years.

- 6.17 Absent a collective action, many members of the proposed FLSA class likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and Defendants will retain the proceeds of its rampant violations of federal wage and hour laws.
- 6.18 Moreover, individual litigation would be unduly burdensome to the judicial system. Concentrating the litigation in one forum will promote judicial economy and parity among the claims of the individual members of the classes and provide for judicial consistency.
 - 6.19 Accordingly, the class of similarly situated plaintiffs should be defined as:

ALL CURRENT AND FORMER EMPLOYEES WHO WORKED FOR CPI SECURITY, INC., COMPLETE PROTECTION & INVESTIGATIONS, INC., LAWRENCE E. SANDERS AND CHRISTINE A. SANDERS, AT ANY TIME IN THE LAST THREE YEARS, AND WERE PAID HOURLY BUT NO OVERTIME

VII. RETALIATION – 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3)

- 7.1 At all times relevant to this lawsuit, Plaintiff Foust has been entitled to the rights, protections and benefits provide by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.*, because he was a non-exempt employee of Defendants.
- 7.2 Plaintiff Foust asserts that his hours have been reduced, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3), as retaliation for asserting his right to be paid for all hours worked and the proper amount of overtime for all hours worked over forty (40) each workweek. Specifically, Plaintiff Foust was not scheduled to work for the first time in six years, and according to Defendants, it is in direct response to this lawsuit.

VIII. RELIEF SOUGHT

- 8.1 Plaintiff respectfully prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:
- a. For an Order recognizing this proceeding as a collective action pursuant to Section 216(b) of the FLSA and requiring Defendants to provide the names, addresses, e-mail addresses, telephone numbers, and social security numbers of all potential collective action members;
- b. For an Order approving the form and content of a notice to be sent to all potential collective action members advising them of the pendency of this litigation and of their rights with respect thereto;
- c. For an Order awarding Plaintiff (and those who have joined in the suit) back wages that have been improperly withheld;
- d. For an Order pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA finding Defendants liable for unpaid back wages due to Plaintiff (and those who have joined in the suit), and for liquidated damages equal in amount to the unpaid compensation found due to Plaintiff (and those who have joined in the suit);
- e. For an Order awarding Plaintiff (and those who have joined in the suit) the costs of this action;
- f. For an Order awarding Plaintiff (and those who have joined in the suit) attorneys' fees;
- g. For an Order awarding Plaintiff (and those who have joined in the suit) pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest rates allowed by law;

- h. For an Order awarding Plaintiff a service award as permitted by law;
- i. For an Order compelling the accounting of the books and records of

Defendants; and

j. For an Order granting such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ *Noble K. McIntyre*

Noble K. McIntyre

Oklahoma Bar No. 16359

noble@mcintyrelaw.com

MCINTYRE LAW PC

8601 S. Western Avenue

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73139

Telephone: (405) 917-5250 Facsimile: (405) 917-5405

Clif Alexander (Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming)

Texas Bar No. 24064805

clif@a2xlaw.com

Austin W. Anderson (*Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming*)

Texas Bar No. 24045189

austin@a2xlaw.com

ANDERSON2X, PLLC

819 N. Upper Broadway

Corpus Christi, Texas 78401

Telephone: (361) 452-1279

Facsimile: (361) 452-1284

ATTORNEYS IN CHARGE FOR PLAINTIFF AND PUTATIVE CLASS MEMBERS

CONSENT TO JOIN WAGE CLAIM

Print Name	Donald	Foust	
I IIII I Vallic.	D C TOCIO	1000	

- 1. I hereby consent to participate in a collective action lawsuit against **CPI SECURITY SERVICES, INC., AND COMPLETE PROTECTION & INVESTIGATION, INC.** to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime during the time that I worked with the company.
- 2. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and consent to be bound by the Court's decision.
- 3. I designate the law firm and attorneys at ANDERSON2X, PLLC as my attorneys to prosecute my wage claims.
- 4. I intend to pursue my claim individually, unless and until the Court certifies this case as a collective action. I agree to serve as the Class Representative if the Court so approves. If someone else serves as the Class Representative, then I designate the Class Representative(s) as my agents to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation, the method and manner of conducting the litigation, the entering of an agreement with the Plaintiffs' counsel concerning attorneys' fees and costs, and all other matters pertaining to this lawsuit.
- 5. I authorize the law firm and attorneys at ANDERSON2X, PLLC to use this consent to file my claim in a separate lawsuit, class/collective action, or arbitration against the company.

Signature: 1/-39-16

COMPLETE PROTECTION & INV

1554

Employee					SSN	Status (Fed/State)	Allowances/Extra
Donald Foust, 3833 NW 29th St,	OKC, OK 731	07			***-**-0728	Single/Single	Fed-1/0/OK-1/0
					Pay Period: 07.	/25/2016 - 07/31/2016	Pay Date: 08/05/2016
Earnings and Hours	Hours	Rate	Current	YTD Amount			
Hourly	13:55	9.50	132.21	6,107.38			
Taxes			Current	YTID Amount			
Medicare Employee Addl Tax			0.00				
Federal Withholding			-1.00	-249:00			
Social Security Employee			-8.20	-378.66			
Medicare Employee			-1.92	-88:56			
OK - Withholding			0.00	-36.00			
-			-11.12	-752.22			
Net Pay			121.09	5,355.16			

Complete Protection & Investigations, 1325 SW 71st Terrace, OK 73159

Provered by Intuit Payroll

CPI SECURITY SERVICES, INC.

5403

Employee Donald Foust , 3833 NW 29th, O	KC, OK 73107				SSN ***-**-0728	Status (Fed/State) Single/Single		Allowances/Extra Fed-1/0/OK-1/0
Earnings and Hours Hourly	Hours 40:00	Rate 9.50	Current 380.00	YTD Amount 11,473.25	Pay Period: 07	/25/2016 - 07/31/2016		Pay Date: 08/05/2016
Taxes			Current	YTD Amount		1		
Medicare Employee Addi Tax Federal Withholding Social Security Employee			0:00 -30:00 -23:56	-857.00 -711.34			,	
Medicare Employee OK - Withholding			-5.51 -8.00	-166.36 -222.00				
Adicates anto to blat Day			-67.07	-1,956.70				
Adjustments to Net Pay Cash Advance Repayment			Current 0.00	YTD Amount -100.00				
Net Pay			312.93	9,416.55				

CPI Security Services, Inc, 1325 SW 71st Terrace, Oklahoma City, OK 73159

JS 44 (Rev. 08/16)

RECEIPT#

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	THIS FO	RM.)	774, is required for the	use of th	to Clerk of Court for the	iC .
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		· · · ·		DEFENDANTS				
DONALD FOUST, Individ	dually and on behalf of	,	CPI SECURITY SE INVESTIGATIONS	, INC., LAWRENC			1&	
situated				CHRISTINE A. SA	NDERS		· _	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Oklahoma				County of Residence	of First Listed Defenda	int <u>C</u>	OKLAHOMA	
(E)	KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C		,	
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	NDEMNATION CASES, OF LAND INVOLVED.	USE THE	E LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A		r)		Attorneys (If Known)				
Noble McIntyre, McIntyre 8601 S. Western Ave., O 405.917.5250		39						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place on "X" in O	ing Box Only)	III. CI	<u> </u> TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PART	TIES a	Place on "Y" in One Roy 6	or Plaintiff
		1020. (111)		(For Diversity Cases Only)			and One Box for Defenda	
U.S. Government Plaintiff		Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	1 🔰 1 Incorporate	ed <i>or</i> Princ less In Thi	PTF acipal Place	DEF
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State				O 5
Defendant	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiza	or or model state			nother State	ر بی
		,		en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗍 3 Foreign Na	ition	□ 6	<u> </u>
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			and seems		Click here for: Nature			
CONTRACT		RTS		PRETTURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY		OTHER STATUTE	ES TOTAL
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury -	(LF 02	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	422 Appeal 28 USC 1: 423 Withdrawal		375 False Claims Act376 Qui Tam (31 USC)	
☐ I30 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	☐ 69	0 Other	28 USC 157		3729(a))	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/			• •		☐ 400 State Reapportion	ment
150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHT		410 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			820 Copyrights 830 Patent		☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce	g
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal			D 840 Trademark		450 Commerce 460 Deportation	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product					☐ 470 Racketeer Influence	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	Tray 17 71	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY		Corrupt Organizati	ions
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	11 11 11	Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)		☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	Ü 72	0 Labor/Management	D 863 DIWC/DIWW (40		☐ 850 Securities/Commo	dities/
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal		Relations	5 864 SSID Title XVI		Exchange	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))		☐ 890 Other Statutory Ac ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	ctions
Cr 13011MIOINDO	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		Leave Act			893 Environmental Ma	atters
	Medical Malpractice			0 Other Labor Litigation			☐ 895 Freedom of Inform	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	IS 🗇 🗇 79	1 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SU		Act	
210 Land Condemnation	1 440 Other Civil Rights 1 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus:		Income Security Act	CJ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plain		☐ 896 Arbitration	
220 Foreclosure230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	1 441 Voting 1 442 Employment	 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate 			or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party		☐ 899 Administrative Pro Act/Review or App	
240 Torts to Land	1 443 Housing/	Sentence			26 USC 7609	į	Agency Decision	poar or
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General				1	☐ 950 Constitutionality o)f
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer, w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	00000 CT 46	IMMIGRATION			State Statutes	
	1 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Othe	r 🗆 46	2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration				
	Other	☐ 550 Civil Rights		Actions				
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -						
		Conditions of	ļ					
		Confinement				-		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	1 One Box Only)							
X 1 Original ☐ 2 Rea	noved from 🗇 3	Remanded from C Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop	ened Another		igation -	Litigation	n -
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are et seg and 29 U.S.(e filing (1	(specify) Do not cite jurisdictional state 6 (b)		ınsfer	Direct Fi	iie .
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	use:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o the Fair Labor Sta	ndards Act			
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		S only if	f demanded in complain	nt:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2				JURY DEM	•	Yes 🗇 No	16.
VIII. RELATED CASE	E(S)							
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBE	R		
DATE 19 00	17.	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY C	RECORD				
Dec 19, 201	4	Jobbe K. 1	1/4	nlyce				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		C (_	(1		4:		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>CPI Security Services Hit with Unpaid Overtime Class Action</u>