UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKx	
VICTOR FONDACARO, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	
Plaintiff	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
-against-	Case No. 1:17-CV-1053 (BKS/DJS)
SOLOMON & SOLOMON, P.C. and JULIE B. SOLOMON,	
Defendants	

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. The Plaintiff VICTOR FONDACARO ("Plaintiff") brings this lawsuit based upon improper and violative debt collection practices utilized and otherwise invoked by the abovenamed Defendants. These collection practices are expressly prohibited by the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*.
- 2. Based upon the Defendants' violations of the FDCPA, as set forth and alleged herein, the Plaintiff, and the class he seeks to represent, are entitled to statutory damages, attorneys fees, and costs, all pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

PARTIES

- 3. The Plaintiff is a natural person.
- 4. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the Plaintiff was a citizen of, and otherwise resided in, Montgomery County, New York.
- 5. The Defendant Solomon & Solomon, P.C. ("S&S), is a law firm composed of lawyers and non-lawyer support staff.
- 6. The Defendant S&S maintains a principle place of business located at Five Columbia Circle, Albany, New York.
- 7. The Defendant S&S collects, and attempts to collect, debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, for personal, family, or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the U.S. Mail, telephone and internet.
- 8. The Defendant S&S is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 9. The Defendant Julie B. Solomon ("J.B. Solomon") is a natural person, a duly admitted attorney at law and the President of the Defendant S&S.
- 10. The Defendant J.B. Solomon personally controls the policies and practices complained of herein and is therefore personally liable to the Plaintiff and the class defined herein for the damages, attorneys fees and costs requested herein.
- 11. The Defendant J.B. Solomon collects, and attempts to collect, debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, for personal, family, or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the U.S. Mail, telephone and internet.
- 12. The Defendant J.B. Solomon is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 13. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 14. Venue is appropriate in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims of the Plaintiff occurred within this federal judicial district.

FACTS CONCERNING THE PLAINTIFF

- 15. The Defendant S&S has been retained to represent a medical entity doing business as St. Mary's Healthcare (SMH) who provided medical services to the Plaintiff.
- 16. In an attempt to collect an alleged debt in the amount of \$78.40 allegedly owed by the Plaintiff to SMH, the Defendant S&S forwarded a collection letter dated 11/16/2016 to the Plaintiff. A copy of said letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".
- 17. The letter sent by the Defendant S&S is a "communication" as that term is defined in the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 18. The Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined in the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 19. The alleged monetary obligation described in paragraph 16 heretofore is a "debt" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 20. Nowhere in the Defendant's letter is it revealed that the Defendant is an operational law firm.
- 21. The least sophisticated consumer reading the Defendant's collection letter would have no idea that the letter is from a law firm.
- 22. The failure to advise consumers that S&S is a law firm is false, deceptive and misleading

- and otherwise unfair.
- 23. The Defendants are well aware that a consumer is less likely to respond to a communication from a law firm than to a communication from a collection agency.
- 24. A consumer has the right to know the full identity of a debt collector who seeks to obtain information about the consumer and who seeks to obtain money from the consumer.
- 25. The letter contains the 30 day date dispute verification rights accorded to consumers by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g. A consumer wishing to dispute the debt who is seeking verification thereof is required to send a writing to the Defendant S&S to obtain verification of the alleged debt.
- 26. A consumer dispute/request for verification letter typically contains personal information about a consumer.
- 27. A consumer willing to disclose personal information to a collection agency may not wish to disclose personal information to a law firm.
- 28. Any claim by the Defendants that the failure to disclose that the Defendant S&S is a law firm is based upon the desire to not implicitly threaten legal action would be without merit.
- 29. If the Defendants wanted to insure that an implication of legal action was not conveyed, it could have simply advised in the letter that no legal action was authorized by SMH nor would any legal action otherwise be commenced.
- 30. The Defendants could have further prevented any false implication of legal action by including the disclaimer approved by the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in the matter of *Greco v. Trauner, Cohen & Thomas, L.L.P.*, 412 F.3d 360, 363 (2d Cir. 2005), "at this time, no attorney with this firm has personally reviewed the

particular circumstances of your account."

31. As a result of the failure to fully disclose that the Defendant S&S is a law firm, the Defendants violated the FDCPA.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 32. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 33. This claim is brought on behalf of a class consisting of all persons, with addresses in the State of New York, who, within a time period commencing from one year prior to the date of the filing of this Complaint, received a collection communication from the Defendant S&S which is identical in content and form to the collection communication sent to the Plaintiff ("identical" does not include information specific to the Plaintiff).
- 34. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from records maintained by the Defendant S&S.
- 35. Excluded from the classes defined heretofore herein are the Defendants and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 36. There are questions of law and fact common to the class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members.
- 37. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same

- facts and legal theories.
- 38. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class defined in this Complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor his attorney has any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 39. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:
 - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the class defined above are each so numerous that joinder of all members of the class would be impractical.
 - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendants utilized false, deceptive and misleading debt collection means in the attempt to collect alleged personal debts and otherwise acted unfairly by failing to disclose that the Defendant S&S is a law firm.
 - (c) <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members.

 Plaintiff and all members of the class have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - (d) Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the class insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent members of the class. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer

lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel has any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the herein class action lawsuit.

- (e) <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- (f) Certification of the class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the class predominate over any questions affecting any individual member of the class, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

40. The Defendants violated the FDCPA. The Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to, the following:

The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e by using false, deceptive and misleading debt collection means during attempts to collect alleged consumer debts and same are material in that the false representations in the letter effect the decision and/or ability of a

consumer to pay and/or challenge an alleged debt;

The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by misrepresenting the character and

legal status of alleged consumer debts;

The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f by engaging in unfair debt collection

practices.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment in favor of the

Plaintiff and the class as set forth below:

(i) The maximum statutory damages for himself and the class as are allowed

pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);

Attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § (ii)

1692k(a)(B)(3); and

(iii) For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands that this case be tried before a Jury.

DATED: New York, New York

September 20, 2017

ROBERT L. ARLEO, ESQ. P.C.

By: / s / Robert L. Arleo ROBERT L. ARLEO

380 Lexington Avenue

17th Floor

New York, New York 10168

PHONE (212) 551-1115

FAX: (518) 751-1801

Email: robertarleo@gmail.com

Attorney for the Plaintiff

SOLOMON AND SOLOMON

Walking Administration Control (Control Control Contro

50,000

MINISTROR FORDALIAN

RE. TOP FIGURE.

ST. MARY S. BEALTHOAME

DOT BOX PARK, FORT SUBSCIE, NY TANTON

ACCUTED ST. S. S. AMOUNT, S. DEFE

general or and the

We have to a vegletred by the above being whether to be a your of this impain full. It came nake your choice of interpretable to the street could be a fill the account as for any year there are not your choice of the street of the street of the country.

This is an interprise officer a dest. Any inferration externed while means are a that proposed. The communication is then a destinate of all owner similarly summitted with the presented to a place open by service.

war topotical actions.

Obless you mustly that affine within fider hays after received this matter that you dispute the validaty of the debt, or any portion indicate, the debt will be assumed to be would by this origine. If you notify this office in writing within the thirty of, day period that the debt, or any postion begand is disputed, this office will appears we distribute at the body of a constraint of any of a constraint was and you and a conjust the religious very first the conjust of a conjugated will be further than the third the third the provide you will also the conjugate the provide you with the third and understood the criping, position, it informs the conjugate contraints and the conjugate.

very truly yours.

SOLOMOR AND POLICEMAN F.C.

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of illitiating the civil di	ocket sileet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT FAGE C	F IIIS FC	KM.)							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS VICTOR FONDACARO,et al.				SDEDWINN & ISES OMON, P.C. and JULIE B. SOLOMON							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)							
ROBERT L. ARLEO, ESC 380 LEXINGTON AVE 1 NEW YORK, NY 10168	Q., P.C. Robert L. Arle 7th floor	·		Tittorneys (i) Kno	,,,,,						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OI		CIPA	AL PARTIES				
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases On		DEF	Incorporated or Proof Business In Co		PTF 4	DEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	Citizen of Another State					□ 5	□ 5	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	□ 3	1 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS		ORFEITURE/PENALT	DV 7		here for: Nature				
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury	Y 🗆 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 0 Other	381	22 Appe 23 With 28 U ROPEI	eal 28 USC 158 drawal JSC 157 RTY RIGHTS /rights	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking			
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability		LABOR		New 40 Trad	nt - Abbreviated Drug Application emark	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ★480 Consumer Credit			
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise			□ 71 □ 72 □ 74	0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act	□ 80 □ 80 □ 80	SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/			
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		0 Other Labor Litigation		FEDERAL TAX SUITS		Act			
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General		1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		or D 71 IRS-	es (U.S. Plaintiff defendant) —Third Party USC 7609	896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of			
□ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	□ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Oth □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Applica 5 Other Immigration Actions	ation			State St	atutes		
	moved from	Appellate Court	Reoj	(spe	other Dist ecify)	rict	☐ 6 Multidist Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	n -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION)N	atute under which you a	re filing (1 15 C	o not cite jurisdictiona I.S.C. sec 1692 e	<i>l statutes u</i> et seq.	nless di	iversity):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	ause: The defendan	t violate	d the Fair Debt C	Collection	n Prad	ctices Act				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	Ŋ D	EMAND \$ stat damages/co	sts/atty	fee: _J	CHECK YES only URY DEMAND	if demanded in	n complaiı □No	nt:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				OCKE	ET NUMBER				
DATE 9/21/2017	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /S/ Robert L. Arleo										
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY											
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT \$400.00	APPLYING IFP		JUDG	E B	KS	MAG. JUI	DGE D	(S		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Solomon & Solomon Unlawfully Hides Identity, Suit Says