UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKx	
VICTOR FONDACARO, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situation,	
Plaintiff	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
-against-	1:17-cv-964 (DNH/DJS)
OVERTON, RUSSELL, DOERR & DONOVAN, LLP and LINDA LEE DONOVAN,	
Defendants	

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. The Plaintiff VICTOR FONDACARO ("Plaintiff") brings this lawsuit based upon improper and violative debt collection practices utilized and otherwise invoked by the abovenamed Defendants. These collection practices are expressly prohibited by the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*.
- 2. Based upon the Defendants' violations of the FDCPA, as set forth and alleged herein, the Plaintiff, and the class he seeks to represent, are entitled to statutory damages, attorneys fees, and costs, all pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

PARTIES

- 3. The Plaintiff is a natural person.
- 4. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the Plaintiff was a citizen of, and otherwise resided in, Montgomery County, New York.
- The Defendant Overton, Russell, Doerr & Donovan, LLP ("ORDD"), is a partnership of lawyers and maintains a principle place of business located at 19 Executive Park Drive, Clifton Park, New York.
- 6. The Defendant ORDD collects, and attempts to collect, debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, for personal, family, or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the U.S. Mail and telephone
- 7. The Defendant ORDD is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 8. The Defendant Linda Lee Donovan ("Donovan") is a natural person, a duly admitted attorney at law and a Partner in the Defendant ORDD.
- 9. The Defendant Donovan collects, and attempts to collect, debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, for personal, family, or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the U.S. Mail and telephone.
- 10. The Defendant Donovan is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 11. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 12. Venue is appropriate in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims of the Plaintiff occurred within this federal judicial district.

FACTS CONCERNING THE PLAINTIFF

- 13. The Defendant ORDD has been retained to represent an entity doing business as Trustco Realty Corp. ("TRC").
- 14. TRC holds a mortgage upon the Plaintiff's residential home.
- 15. Due to financial distress, the Plaintiff was unable to pay the real estate taxes upon his residential home.
- 16. As a result of Plaintiff's inability to pay his residential real estate taxes, TRC made certain payment to the relevant tax authority.
- 17. The Plaintiff received a letter dated May, 2017 from the Defendants which sets forth at the top thereof "Re: Trustco Realty Corp." A copy of said letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".
- 18. The letter was the initial letter sent by the Defendants to the Plaintiff and includes therein the 30 day debt dispute/verification rights required by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.
- 19. The letter states in part:
 - "As a result of your failure to pay certain real estate taxes as required by the terms of your mortgage, our client has paid those taxes, or a portion thereof, on your behalf and, as a result, has elected to accelerate the entire balance due and demand payment in full thereof."

- 20. Prior to the foregoing statement, the following is set forth in the letter:
 - "Accelerated Balance: \$86.308.44 + interest of \$10.902 per day Past Due Balance: \$9,786.08 + costs & interest"
- 21. The monetary obligation alleged to be owed by the Plaintiff is a "debt" as that term is defined in the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 22. The Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined in the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 23. The Plaintiff's TRC debt was in default at the time it was referred to the Defendants for collection purposes.
- 24. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), a debt collector is required to set forth the amount of a debt in an initial collection letter.
- 25. A debt collector is required to set forth the amount of the debt in a clear and nonconfusing manner.
- 26. The Defendants failed to set forth the amount of the Plaintiff's alleged debt in a clear and non-confusing manner.
- 27. As stated above, the letter sets forth the total amount of the debt in two separate categories, "Accelerated Balance" and "Past Due Balance".
- 28. The monetary amount set forth under "Accelerated Balance" includes an amount of "interest at \$10.902 per day" but fails to indicate the amount of alleged interest and the date said interest commenced.
- 29. The "Past Due Balance" includes an alleged set amount of \$9,766.08 plus "+ costs and interest" but fails to set forth the total amount of the alleged additional costs and interest.
- 30. The letter is further confusing as it does not set forth the amount of past due taxes which TRC allegedly paid and which it sought from the Plaintiff via the letter.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 31. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 32. This claim is brought on behalf of a class consisting of all persons, with addresses in the State of New York, who, within a time period commencing from one year prior to the date of the filing of this Complaint, received a collection communication from the Defendants which is identical in content and form to the collection communication sent to the Plaintiff ("identical" does not include information specific to the Plaintiff).
- 33. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from records maintained by the Defendant.
- 34. Excluded from the classes defined heretofore herein are the Defendants and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 35. There are questions of law and fact common to the class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members.
- 36. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 37. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class defined in this Complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor his attorney

has any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.

- 38. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:
 - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the class defined above are each so numerous that joinder of all members of the class would be impractical.
 - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendants failed to set forth the amount of an alleged debt in a form collection letter and otherwise utilized false, deceptive and misleading debt collection means in the attempt to collect alleged personal debts.
 - (c) <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members.

 Plaintiff and all members of the class have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - (d) Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the class insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent members of the class. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel has any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the herein class action lawsuit.
 - (e) Superiority: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair

and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.

(f) Certification of the class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the class predominate over any questions affecting any individual member of the class, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

39. The Defendants violated the FDCPA. The Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to, the following:

The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1) by failing to set forth the amount of a debt in an initial communication sent to the Plaintiff and members of the class defined herein;

The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e by using false, deceptive and misleading debt collection means during attempts to collect alleged consumer debts and same are material in that the false representations in the letter effect the decision and/or ability of a consumer to pay and/or challenge an alleged debt;

The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by misrepresenting the character and

legal status of alleged consumer debts;

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment in favor of the

Plaintiff and the class as set forth below:

(i) The maximum statutory damages for himself and the class as are allowed

pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);

(ii) Attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §

1692k(a)(B)(3); and

(iii) For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands that this case be tried before a Jury.

DATED: New York, New York August 24, 2017

ROBERT L. ARLEO, ESQ. P.C.

By: <u>/ s / Robert L. Arleo</u> ROBERT L. ARLEO

380 Lexington Avenue

17th Floor

New York, New York 10168

PHONE (212) 551-1115 FAX: (518) 751-1801

Email: robertarleo@gmail.com

Attorney for the Plaintiff

OVERTON, RUSSELL, DOERR AND DONOVAN, LLP

A HOWARDS AND COMMISSIONS AT LAW 19 PAIGLAIN PARK DRIFT COMMON PARK, NOW YORK 19965

Treatment (Str) 263-8631

May 2, 2017

Victor Fondaçaro

Amsterdam, NY 12010

Re: Trustoo Realty Corp. Accelerated Balanco, \$86,308,44 + interest of \$10,902 per day Past Due Balance: \$9,786,06 + costs & interest

Dear Mr. Fondacaro:

We are a dobt collector attempting to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

As a result of your failure to pay cortain real estate taxes as required by the terms of your mortgage, our client has paid those taxes, or a portion thereof, on your behalf and, as a result, has elected to accelerate the entire balance due and demand payment in full thereof. In addition, unless you provide proof of homeowners insurance naming Trustee as mortgages within 30 days from your receipt of this letter, our client will purchase the insurance and add the cost to your mortgage balance.

Unless within 30 days after the recaipt of this letter you dispute the validity of this dot/it, or any portion thereof, we will assume the debt to be valid. If you notify us in writing within the 30 day period that the dobt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, we will obtain ventication of the debt and mail it to you. Upon written request within the 30 day period, we will provide you with the name of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

Despite the acceleration of this debt, our client may agree to reinstate your mortgage toan upon payment of the past due belance including all taxes advanced and any other unpaid taxes, plus tribinest as indicated above, along with any applicable costs and fees.

Very truly yours.

OVERTON, RUSSELL, DOERR & DONOVAN, LLP

Linda L. Donovan

LLD/mys

industrian property of the State Live

1:17-cv-964

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS VICTOR FONDACARO, et al.				DEFENDANTS					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				OVERTON, RUSSELL, DOERR & DONOVAN, LLP and LINDA LEE DONOVAN County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2 ROBERT L. ARLEO, ESC 380 Lexington Avenue- 1 New York, NY 10168 2	Q., P.C. Robert L. Ar 7th Floor			Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES			
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)			TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri		or Defenda PTF 4	nt) DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)				1 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		□ 5 □ 6	□ 5 □ 6
				reign Country		Foreign Nation			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) ORTS	EC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature of NKRUPTCY		escription STATUT	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other:	TY	LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appe □ 423 With 28 U PROPEI □ 820 Copy □ 830 Pater New □ 840 Trade ■ 862 Blacl □ 863 DIW □ 864 SSID □ 865 RSI (□ 870 Taxe or D □ 871 IRS— 26 U	eal 28 USC 158 drawal USC 157 RTY RIGHTS rrights at t - Abbreviated Drug Application emark USECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	□ 375 False C □ 376 Qui Tar 3729(a) □ 400 State A □ 410 Antitrus □ 430 Banks a □ 450 Comme □ 460 Deporta □ 470 Rackete Corrupt □ 490 Cable/S □ 850 Securiti Exchan □ 890 Other S □ 891 Agricul □ 893 Environ □ 895 Freedor Act □ 896 Arbitrat □ 899 Adminis Act/Rev	laims Act in (31 USC) in (31 USC) in apportion of the manner of the mann	ed and ons dities/ stions ters lation
	moved from	Appellate Court	Reor	(enacifi	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	-	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil St Brief description of c	atute under which you ar		Oo not cite jurisdictional sta	ntutes unless di	iversity): 15 U.S.C.	sec 1692 e	t seq.	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	S IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	D	EMAND \$ stat damages/costs	/atty fee: _J	CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in	complain	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER					
DATE 8/24/17		SIGNATURE OF ATT /s/ Robert L. Ar		OF RECORD					
RECEIPT # AN 0206-4119304	4400.00 \$400.00	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE_	DNH	MAG. JUD	DJS		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Overton, Russell, Doerr & Donovan Hit with Debt Collection Lawsuit