IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA COURT FILE NO.: 17-cv-4320

)	
JOHN HENRY FOLEY, on behalf of) himself and all others similarly) situated,	
)	CLASS ACTION
Plaintiffs,)	
)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
v.)	
)	
EQUIFAX INFORMATION)	
SERVICES, LLC,	
)	
Defendant.	

COMPLAINT PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Plaintiff John Henry Foley, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, files this Class Action Complaint against Equifax Information Services LLC ("Equifax" or "Defendant"). Plaintiff states and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. This is a consumer class action under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 *et seq.* ("FCRA"), against Equifax, a national consumer reporting agency. In violation of the FCRA, Equifax prepares and furnishes consumer reports that include tax liens that: (a) the FCRA prohibits Equifax from reporting; and (b) that have been paid in full, satisfied or cancelled, but are not reported by Defendant as paid, satisfied or cancelled.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff John Henry Foley is an adult individual residing in Clear Lake, Minnesota and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
- 3. Defendant Equifax is a "person" and "consumer reporting agency" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f), respectively. Defendant is authorized to do business in the State of Minnesota, has substantial contacts in this District, and is headquartered at 1550 Peachtree Street NW, Atlanta, Georgia 30309.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 4. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter based upon 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1681p.
 - 5. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Defendant is one of the "big three" credit reporting agencies (singular "CRA") in the United States.
- 7. Defendant sells consumer reports (commonly called "credit reports") about millions of consumers annually, including consumers in Minnesota.
 - 8. Defendant is regulated by the FCRA.
- 9. Upon information and belief, for several years Defendant has obtained its information about Minnesota and federal bankruptcies, civil judgments and tax liens (*i.e.*

"public records" information) from private businesses that it calls "vendors," which furnish such information to national CRAs.

- 10. Equifax itself has not retrieved actual public records from courthouses or actual government offices for many years.
- 11. Nevertheless, on its credit reports that it provides to consumers, Equifax falsely still lists the names of courthouses or other government offices as the true "source" of its tax lien information.
- 12. The tax lien information that Equifax receives from its vendors are not the actual court or taxing authority records. Rather, it receives a distilled version of those records, which does not include all the information or the most up-to-date information available at the actual courthouses or government offices where the true records are housed.
- 13. Equifax knows that both it and its public records vendors make mistakes in the distilled public records information that is acquired for purposes of credit reporting.
- 14. Equifax thus routinely fails to report accurate information about Minnesota tax liens, including the most up-to-date status.
- 15. Defendant's practices and procedures regarding the reporting of public record information, specifically its failure to report the most up-to-date status of tax liens which have been paid, cancelled, satisfied, or otherwise modified, causes widespread harm to Minnesota consumers.
- 16. Class representative John Henry Foley had a series of tax liens entered against him and recorded on the public record in the State of Minnesota.

- 17. In or around January of 2017, Mr. Foley completed an Offer in Compromise agreement with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"), and the federal tax liens were released.
- 18. In or around July 2017, Mr. Foley applied for an auto loan with Sherburne State Bank.
- 19. On or about July 5, 2017, Equifax sold Sherburne State Bank a copy of Mr. Foley's Equifax credit report.
- 20. The report inaccurately stated that Mr. Foley had an outstanding federal tax lien in the amount of \$999,000.
- 21. Despite the lien release being recorded in the public record, and pursuant to its usual and systematic practice, Defendant did not update its records to show that the tax lien had been released.
- 22. The other two major CRAs, Experian Information Solutions, Inc. and Trans Union, LLC, correctly reported that Mr. Foley had no unpaid tax liens.
- 23. The FCRA provides: "Whenever a consumer reporting agency prepares a consumer report it shall follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates." 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).
- 24. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant's conduct was a result of its deliberate policies and practices, was willful, was intentionally accomplished through intended procedures, and was carried out in reckless disregard for a consumer's rights as set forth in section 1681e(b) of the FCRA.

- 25. Upon information and belief, Equifax's collecting and reporting the initial entry of tax liens is believed to be of greater economic value than collecting and reporting information indicating that a tax lien has been satisfied or released.
- 26. The reporting of Mr. Foley's tax lien by Equifax was inaccurate and occurred because Equifax failed to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of Mr. Foley's consumer report. Specifically, Equifax does not follow the same automated and systematically rigorous processes to obtain all updates to public records, such as the satisfactions and releases or cancellations of tax liens that it follows to obtain the original public record information.
- 27. Indeed, Equifax follows no procedure which assures that, every time a tax lien in Minnesota is paid, satisfied, released or cancelled, the updated status is promptly obtained and reflected upon the consumer's credit report, or that the tax lien is removed from that consumer's credit file.
- 28. Instead, Equifax continues to report the tax lien information that it originally received through its vendors. In Plaintiff's case, Equifax did not request, receive or report any updated information as to the status of the federal tax lien in 2017, despite the fact that the public record showed the accurate disposition as released.
- 29. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant was acting by and through its agents, servants and or employees who were acting within the course and scope of their agency or employment, and under the direct supervision and control of the Defendant herein.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 30. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as alleged above.
- 31. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(3) on behalf of the following class:

All natural persons who: (i) had a tax lien recorded in the State of Minnesota, (ii) the public record appeared on an Equifax consumer report dated within five years prior to the filing of this Complaint, and (iii) the State of Minnesota tax lien indicated that the lien had been paid, satisfied, released, or cancelled on a date prior to the date of the Equifax consumer report.

- 32. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the definition of the Class based on discovery or legal developments.
- 33. **Numerosity. FED. R. CIV. P. 23(A)(1).** The Class members are so numerous that joinder of all is impractical. Upon information and belief Defendant sells hundreds if not thousands of consumer reports each year, and those persons' names and addresses are identifiable through documents maintained by Defendant.
- 34. Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and Fact. FED. R. CIV. P. 23(A)(2). Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over the questions affecting only individual members. The common legal and factual questions include, among others, whether Defendant willfully violated the FCRA by failing to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the Minnesota tax lien information it reported.

- 35. **Typicality. FED. R. CIV. P. 23(A)(3).** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of each Class member. Plaintiff has the same claims for statutory and punitive damages as Class members, arising out of Defendant's common course of conduct.
- 36. **Adequacy. FED. R. CIV. P. 23(A)(4).** Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class. His interests are aligned with and are not antagonistic to, the interests of the members of the Class he seeks to represent, he has retained counsel competent and experienced in such litigation, and he intends to prosecute this action vigorously. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of members of the Class.
- 37. **Predominance and Superiority. FED. R. CIV. P. 23(B)(3).** Questions of law and fact common to the Class members predominate over questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available methods for fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. The statutory and punitive damages sought by each member are such that individual prosecution would prove burdensome and expensive given the complex and extensive litigation necessitated by Defendant's conduct. It would be virtually impossible for the members of the Class individually to redress effectively the wrongs done to them. Even if the members of the Class themselves could afford such individual litigation, it would be an unnecessary burden on the courts. Furthermore, individualized litigation presents a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments and increases the delay and expenses to all parties and to the court system presented by the complex legal and factual issues raised by Defendant's conduct. By contrast, the class action device will result in substantial benefits to the litigants and the Court by allowing

the Court to resolve numerous individual claims based upon a single set of proof in a unified proceeding.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I.

- 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference those paragraphs set out above as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. The above mentioned reports are "consumer reports" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).
- 40. Equifax failed to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the civil judgment information in the consumer reports it prepared regarding Plaintiff and the Class members.
- 41. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and o, Equifax is liable to Plaintiff and all Class members for its failure to comply with FCRA § 1681e(b), in an amount equal to the sum of (1) damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1,000 per violation; (2) actual damages; (3) punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the jury; (4) attorney's fees; and (5) litigation costs, as well as such further relief as may be permitted by law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class pray for relief as follows:

a. An order certifying the case as a class action on behalf of the proposed Class

under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and appointing Plaintiff and the

undersigned counsel of record to represent same;

b. An award of actual, statutory and punitive damages for Plaintiff and the

Class;

c. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law;

d. An award of attorney's fees and costs; and

e. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on those causes of action where a trial by

jury is allowed by law.

Dated this 20th day of September, 2017.

By: s/Thomas J. Lyons

Thomas J. Lyons, Esq.

Attorney I.D. #: 65699

LYONS LAW FIRM P.A.

367 Commerce Court

Vadnais Heights, MN 55127

Telephone: 651-770-9707

Email: tlyons@lyonslawfirm.com

James A. Francis*

Email: jfrancis@consumerlawfirm.com

John Soumilas*

Email: jsoumil as @consumer law firm.com

FRANCIS & MAILMAN, P.C.

Land Title Building, 19th Floor 100 South Broad Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19110

Telephone: 215.735.8600 Facsimile: 215.940.8000

*Pro hac vice applications forthcoming

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CASE 0:17-cv-04320 Pocument 1:15 Filed 09/20/17 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

John HELLY PREJEFS		EQUIENDANTS	EQUITATION Services, LLC				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Sherburne (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Thomas J Lyons, Esq., Lyons Law Firm P.A., 367 Commerce Court, Vadnais Heights, MN 55127, 651-770-9707, tlyons@lyonslawfirm.com			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti <u>j</u>		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government I	Not a Party)		IF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In T			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2			
-			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
	moved from	Appellate Court					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION VII. REQUESTED IN	ON Brief description of ca Violation of the Fa	l et seq.			if demanded in complaint:		
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	UNDER RULE 2			JURY DEMAND:DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 09/20/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD S/Thomas J. Lyons						
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- **V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407
 - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- **VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.