

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

SEP 25 2017 JAMES W. McQQRMACK, CLERK

TOSHA FLOYD, Individually and on **Behalf of Others Similarly Situated**

PLAINTIFF

VS.

No. 4:17-cv- 613 - BRW

This case assigned to District Judge Wilson and to Magistrate Judge __Kearney

ALLIED FOOD INDUSTRIES, INC., d/b/a **BURGER KING**

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMES NOW Plaintiff Tosha Floyd, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through her attorneys Steve Rauls and Josh Sanford of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for her Original Complaint—Collective Action against Defendant Allied Food Industries, Inc., d/b/a Burger King ("Defendant"), does hereby state and allege as follows:

I.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA"), and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. ("AMWA"), for declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, civil penalties and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees as a result of Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and all others

similarly situated overtime compensation for all hours that Plaintiff and all others

similarly situated worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek.

2. Upon information and belief, for at least three (3) years prior to the

filing of this Complaint, Defendant has willfully and intentionally committed

violations of the FLSA and AMWA as described infra.

3. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas

has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §

1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

4. This Complaint also alleges violations of the AMWA, which arise

out of the same set of operative facts as the federal cause of action herein

alleged; accordingly, this state cause of action would be expected to be tried with

the federal claim in a single judicial proceeding.

5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law

claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

6. Defendant conducts business within the State of Arkansas,

operating several fast food eating establishments.

7. Venue lies properly within this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1)

and (c)(2), because the State of Arkansas has personal jurisdiction over

Defendant, and Defendant therefore "resides" in Arkansas.

8. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants at Burger King restaurant in

Little Rock, Arkansas. Therefore, the acts alleged in this Complaint had their

principal effect within the Western Division of the Eastern District of Arkansas,

and venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

Page 2 of 12
Tosha Floyd, et al. v. Allied Food Industries, Inc., d/b/a Burger King
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:17-cv-

II.

THE PARTIES

9. Plaintiff Tosha Floyd is a resident and citizen of Pulaski County,

Arkansas.

10. At all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint, Plaintiff

was an salaried employee at one of Defendant's eateries in Little Rock,

Arkansas.

11. At all times material herein, Plaintiff and those similarly situated to

Plaintiff have been entitled to the rights, protections and benefits provided under

the FLSA and the AMWA.

12. At all times material herein, Plaintiff and those similarly situated

were not paid one and one half times their regular rate of pay for all hours that

they worked in excess of forty (40) per week in violation of the FLSA and the

AMWA.

13. Defendant is an "employer" within the meanings set forth in the

FLSA and AMWA, and was, at all times relevant to the allegations in this

Complaint, Plaintiff's employer.

14. Defendant Allied Food Industries, Inc., d/b/a Burger King, is a

foreign limited liability registered to do business in the State of Arkansas.

15. Defendant's registered agent for service of process in the state of

Arkansas is Carolyn B. Witherspoon, located at 500 East Markham, Suite 200,

Little Rock, Arkansas 72201.

16. Defendant is a fast food eatery company with restaurants

throughout the southern United States, including several locations in Arkansas.

17. Defendant has employees that handle, sell, or otherwise work with

goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce.

18. Defendant's annual gross volume of sales is not less than

\$500,000.00.

19. Defendant has more than four employees.

III.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

20. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this

Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

21. Defendant owns and operates a Burger King restaurant in Little

Rock, Arkansas.

22. Upon information and belief, Defendant owns and operates more

than three fast food restaurants within Arkansas.

23. Plaintiff was employed at Defendant's restaurant at 5900 Dreher

Lane in Little Rock starting in or around 2005 and ending about September of

2017.

24. During the period relevant to this lawsuit, Plaintiff's title was

"Assistant Manager."

25. As an Assistant Manager, Plaintiff was typically required to work at

least forty-five hours per week.

26. During the period when Plaintiff was called an Assistant Manager,

Defendant classified her as exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA

and the AMWA, and did not pay her overtime premiums for the hours she worked

in excess of forty (40) in a workweek.

27. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff a salary of at least \$455 per week.

28. Pursuant to its company-wide policies, Defendant docked Plaintiff a

day's pay any time Plaintiff worked less than four hours on a scheduled workday.

29. As an Assistant Manager, Plaintiff had substantially the same

duties as the hourly-paid employees with whom she worked.

30. As an Assistant Manager, Plaintiff's primary duties were preparing

food, serving customers and cleaning.

IV.

REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

31. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this

Complaint as though fully incorporated into this section.

32. Plaintiff brings her claims for relief for violation of the FLSA as a

collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on

behalf of all persons who were, are or will be employed by Defendant as similarly

situated employees at any time within the applicable statute of limitations period,

who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:

a. Minimum wages for the first forty (40) hours worked each week;

b. Overtime premiums for all hours worked for Defendant in excess of

forty (40) hours in any week; and

Page 5 of 12

c. Liquidated damages and attorney's fees.

33. In conformity with the requirements of FLSA Section 16(b), Plaintiff

will file a written Consent to Join this lawsuit.

34. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on

which Plaintiffs' Original Complaint—Collective Action was filed herein and

continues forward through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a),

except as set forth herein below.

35. The members of the proposed class are similarly situated in that

they share these traits:

a. They were classified by Defendant as exempt from the minimum

wage and overtime requirements of the FLSA;

b. They shared the same job title;

c. They performed the same non-managerial duties; and

d. They were subject to Defendant's common policy of docking

salaried employees' pay for missing less than a full day's work.

36. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential

members of the Salaried FLSA Class but believes that the class exceeds fifty

(50) persons.

37. Defendant can readily identify the members of the Section 16(b)

class, which encompasses all employees at its fast-food restaurants and all

salaried employees having the title "Assistant Manager" or equivalent titles.

38. The names and physical and mailing addresses of the FLSA

collective action plaintiffs are available from Defendant, and a Court-approved

Notice should be provided to the FLSA collective action plaintiffs via first class

mail and email to their last known physical and electronic mailing addresses as

soon as possible, together with other documents and information descriptive of

Plaintiff's FLSA claim.

٧.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Individual Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

39. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this

Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

40. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief

pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seg.

41. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

42. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an

enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §

203.

43. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any enterprise engaged in

commerce to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty

(40) in one week and to pay time and a half of regular wages for all hours worked

over forty (40) hours in a week, unless an employee meets certain exemption

requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and all accompanying Department of Labor

regulations.

44. Defendant intentionally misclassified Plaintiff as exempt from the

overtime requirements of the FLSA, when in fact Plaintiff was a non-exempt

employee entitled to overtime pay.

45. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff to minimum wage and overtime

payments under the FLSA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff an overtime rate of

one and one-half times his regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty (40)

in each one-week period.

46. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff all overtime wages owed was

willful.

47. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, and costs, including

reasonable attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the three (3)

years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

VI.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Individual Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

48. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this

Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

49. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief

pursuant to the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201 et seq.

50. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

Page 8 of 12
Tosha Floyd, et al. v. Allied Food Industries, Inc., d/b/a Burger King
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

Case 4:17-cv-00613-BRW Document 1 Filed 09/25/17 Page 9 of 12

51. Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-210 and 211 require employers

to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty in one

week and to pay one and one-half times regular wages for all hours worked over

forty hours in a week, unless an employee meets the exemption requirements of

29 U.S.C. § 213 and accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

52. Defendant misclassified Plaintiff as exempt from the overtime

requirements of the AMWA, when in fact Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee

entitled to overtime pay.

53. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff to minimum wage and overtime

payments under the AMWA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff an overtime rate of

one and one-half times her regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty (40)

in each one-week period.

54. Defendant willfully failed to pay overtime wages to Plaintiff and the

members of the proposed class.

55. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, and costs, including

reasonable attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the three (3)

years prior to the filing of this Complaint pursuant to Arkansas Code Annotated §

11-4-218.

VII.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Collective Action Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

56. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this

Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

57. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the FLSA, 29

U.S.C. § 201, et seq.

58. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an

"employer" of Plaintiff and all those similarly situated within the meaning of the

FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

59. Defendant misclassified Plaintiff and all similarly situated members

as exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA, when in fact they were

non-exempt employees entitled to overtime pay.

60. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff and those similarly situated to

minimum wage and overtime payments under the FLSA, Defendant failed to pay

Plaintiff and all those similarly situated an overtime rate of one and one-half times

their regular rates of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) in each one-week

period.

61. Defendant willfully failed to pay overtime wages to Plaintiff and to

others similarly situated.

62. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff and all those similarly situated for monetary damages, liquidated

damages, and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

VIII.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Tosha Floyd, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully prays as follows:

- A. That Defendant Allied Food Industries, Inc., d/b/a Burger King be summoned to appear and answer this Complaint;
- B. For orders regarding certification of and notice to the proposed class members;
- C. For an order of this Honorable Court entering judgment in favor of Plaintiff and the proposed class members against Defendant for their actual economic damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- D. For liquidated damages as provided for under the FLSA and the AMWA;
 - E. For attorneys' fees, costs, and pre-judgment interest; and
- F. For such other and further relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

TOSHA FLOYD, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC ONE FINANCIAL CENTER 650 SOUTH SHACKLEFORD, SUITE 411 LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS 72211 TELEPHONE: (501) 221-0088 FACSIMILE: (888) 787-2040

By:

Steve Rauls

Ark. Bar No. 2011170 steve@sanfordlawfirm.com

and

Josh Sanford

Ark. Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

Case 4:17-cv-00613-BRW Document 1-1 Filed 09/25/17 Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET 4:17-cv-6/3-BRW

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil do	SEREI SHEEL. (SEE INSTRUCT	HONS ON NEXT FACE O	T THIS FC	DEFENDANT	S .					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS TOSUA FLOVO Individually and an Pahalf of all Others Similarly										
TOSHA FLOYD, Individually and on Behalf of all Others Similarly Situated				ALLIED FOOD INDUSTRIES, INC., d/b/a BURGER KING						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Pulaski (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address and Telephone Number	r)		Attorneys (If Known	1)					
Josh Sanford, SANFORD South Shackleford, Suite 501-221-0088; josh@sar) LAW FIRM, PLLC, O 411, Little Rock, Arka	ne Financial Cente	er, 650							
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				TIZENSHIP OF J		L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in and One Box fo			
□ 1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question			, , , , , ,	PTF DEF			PTF	DEF □ 4		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz	en of This State	0 1 0 1	1				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	en of Another State				5	5	
				Foreign Country				6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORTS			1 154	Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions. FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES						
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure		eal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False C		20	
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881 423 Withdrawal				☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	□ 69	90 Other	28 (28 USC 157		3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				PROPERTY RIGHTS		☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act		Personal Injury Product Liability				☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent				
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona	1			☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated				
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product				Drug Application	☐ 470 Rackete	er Influenc Organizat		
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	RTY	LABOR	□ 840 Trad SOCIAI	SECURITY	☐ 480 Consum		10115	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud	3 7	10 Fair Labor Standards		☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 490 Cable/Sat T			4.4/	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	□ 7	Act 20 Labor/Management		☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))		☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	☐ 864 SSII	Title XVI	☐ 890 Other S	tatutory A	ctions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI	(405(g))	☐ 891 Agricul ☐ 893 Environ		tters	
	Medical Malpractice	-		Leave Act			☐ 895 Freedor			
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	_	90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement		s (U.S. Plaintiff	Act 896 Arbitrat	tion		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		efendant)	□ 899 Admini		ocedure	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	e		☐ 871 IRS-	-Third Party		iew or Ap	peal of	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			26 (JSC 7609	Agency 950 Constitu	Decision utionality of	of	
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			State Statutes			
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth		62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration	on					
	Other	550 Civil Rights	- 1	Actions						
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainee -	- 1							
		Conditions of								
		Confinement	L							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	**									
	moved from 3 tte Court	Remanded from Appellate Court			sferred from her District ify)	 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer 		Multidis Litigation Direct Fi	on -	
WI CAUSE OF ACTIV	29 U.S.C. 201 et		re filing (Do not cite jurisdictional s	tatutes unless d	iversity):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca									
FLSA Violation: Unpaid Overtime				DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			N L	JURY DEMAND:						
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)									
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER				
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD						
09/25/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/ Josh Sanfo	rd (7 0						
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE			

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Former Assistant Manager at Little Rock Burger King Sues Over Wages