Case 4:18-cv-00777-BRW Document 1 Filed 10/19/18

Page 1 of 18
FILED

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

JAMES W. McCORMACK, CLERK By:

OCT 1 9 2018

DEP CLERK

SHONDA FLOURNOY, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

**PLAINTIFF** 

VS.

No. 4:18-cv-777- BRW

FAMILY DOLLAR SERVICES, LLC, and FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF ARKANSAS, LLC

**DEFENDANTS** 

#### ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMES NOW Plaintiff Shonda Flournoy ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through her attorneys Chris Burks and Josh Sanford of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for her Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action against Defendants Family Dollar Services, LLC, and Family Dollar Stores of Arkansas LLC ("Defendants"), she does hereby state and allege as follows:

#### I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

1. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, further brings this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA") and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. ("AMWA"), for declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, civil penalties and costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee as a result of Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees a minimum wage for all hours worked and proper overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty (40). This case accorded to District Judge.

2. Upon information and belief, for at least three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendants have willfully and intentionally committed violations of the

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas has

subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331

because this suit raises federal questions under the PDA and the FLSA.

4. Plaintiff's claims under the AMWA form part of the same case or

controversy and arise out of the same facts as the FLSA claims alleged in this

Complaint.

5. Therefore, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's AMWA

claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

FLSA and AMWA as described, infra.

6. The acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal

effect against Plaintiff within the Western Division of the Eastern District of Arkansas:

therefore, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

7. Defendants do business in this district and a substantial part of the events

alleged herein occurred in this District.

8. The witnesses to the minimum wage and overtime violations alleged in

this Complaint reside in this District.

9. On information and belief, the payroll records and other documents related

to the payroll practices that Plaintiff challenges are located in this District.

III. THE PARTIES

10. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

11. Plaintiff Shonda Flournoy ("Plaintiff") is a citizen and resident of Pulaski

County.

12. Plaintiff worked for Defendants as an hourly-paid employee from around

March of 2018 until May of 2018 at Defendant's retail store in Hot Springs County.

13. At all times material herein, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights.

protection and benefits provided under the FLSA and the AMWA.

14. Defendants operate several retail stores within the State of Arkansas

15. Separate Defendant Family Dollar Services, LLC, is a foreign, limited

liability company registered to do business in the State of Arkansas.

16. During each of the three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Separate Defendant Family Dollar Services, LLC, employed at least two individuals who

were engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate

commerce, or had employees handling, selling or otherwise working on goods or

materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

17. Separate Defendant Family Dollar Services, LLC, was at all times relevant

hereto Plaintiff's employer, as well as the employer of the members of the proposed

classes and are and have been engaged in interstate commerce as that term is defined

under the FLSA and the AMWA.

18. Separate Defendant Family Dollar Services, LLC's annual gross volume of

sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes

Case 4:18-cv-00777-BRW Document 1 Filed 10/19/18 Page 4 of 18

at the retail level that are separately stated) during each of the three calendar years

preceding the filing of this Complaint.

19. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Separate Defendant Family Dollar Services, LLC, employed at least two individuals who

were engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate

commerce, or had employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or

materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce by any person

20. Separate Defendant Family Dollar Services, LLC's registered agent for

service of process is Corporation Service Company, 300 Spring Building, suite 900, 300

South Spring Street, Little Rock, Arkansas.

21. Within the past three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Separate Defendant Family Dollar Services, LLC, continuously employed at least four

employees, including Plaintiff.

22. Separate Defendant Family Dollar Stores of Arkansas, LLC, is a foreign,

limited liability company registered to do business in the State of Arkansas.

23. During each of the three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Separate Defendant Family Dollar Stores of Arkansas, LLC, employed at least two

individuals who were engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for

interstate commerce, or had employees handling, selling or otherwise working on goods

or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

24. Separate Defendant Family Dollar Stores of Arkansas, LLC, was at all

times relevant hereto Plaintiff's employer, as well as the employer of the members of the

Page 4 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

Case 4:18-cv-00777-BRW Document 1 Filed 10/19/18 Page 5 of 18

proposed classes and are and have been engaged in interstate commerce as that term

is defined under the FLSA and the AMWA.

25. Separate Defendant Family Dollar Stores of Arkansas, LLC's annual gross

volume of sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of

excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated) during each of the three

calendar years preceding the filing of this Complaint.

26. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Separate Defendant Family Dollar Stores of Arkansas, LLC, employed at least two

individuals who were engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for

interstate commerce, or had employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on

goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce by any person

27. Separate Defendant Family Dollar Stores of Arkansas, LLC, registered

agent for service of process is Corporation Service Company, 300 Spring Building, suite

900, 300 South Spring Street, Little Rock, Arkansas.

28. Within the past three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Separate Defendant Family Dollar Stores of Arkansas, LLC, continuously employed at

least four employees, including Plaintiff.

29. Defendants have unified operational control and management, as well as

control over employees, including shared power to supervise, hire and fire, establish

wages and wage policies and set schedules for their employees through unified

management.

Page 5 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

30. As a result of this unified operation, control and management, through

shared employees and ownership with the authority to establish wages and wage policy,

Defendants operated as a single enterprise.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

31. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

32. At all relevant times herein, Defendants were the "employer" of Plaintiff

within the meaning of all applicable federal statutes and implementing regulations,

including the FLSA and the AMWA.

33. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendants

within the meaning of all applicable federal statutes and implementing regulations,

including the FLSA and the AMWA

34. Further, Defendants classified Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees as

nonexempt under the FLSA and the AMWA and were supposed to be paid an hourly

rate.

35. During part of the three (3) years prior to the filing of this lawsuit, Plaintiff

worked for Defendants as an hourly-paid employee.

36. Defendants directly hired Plaintiff and other employees, controlled their

work schedules, duties, protocols, applications, assignments and employment

conditions, and kept at least some records regarding their employment.

37. Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees managed cash and sales

transactions for Defendants' customers.

Page 6 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

38. Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees regularly worked in excess of

forty (40) hours per week.

39. It was Defendants' commonly applied practice to not pay Plaintiff and

other hourly-paid employees a proper minimum wage for all hours up to forty (40) in a

given week or overtime rate for all of the hours worked over forty (40) in a given week.

40. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff all wages for hours worked, either at

minimum wage or at a proper overtime rate, when applicable.

41. Defendants refused to pay Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees for all

hours worked, even though Defendant was aware of all hours worked.

42. As a result, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff or other hourly-paid

employees a lawful minimum wage.

43. Defendants also did not pay Plaintiff or other hourly-paid employees one

and one-half (1.5) times their regular rate for all hours in excess of forty (40) in a week.

44. Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees were and are entitled to both a

minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in a given week and overtime

compensation in the amount of one and one-half (1.5) times their regular rate of pay for

all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in a week.

45. Defendants knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, the way it

paid Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees violated the FLSA and AMWA.

### **V.REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

#### A. FLSA § 216(b) Collective

46. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Original

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

Page 7 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_\_
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

47. Plaintiff brings her claims for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective

action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

48. Plaintiff brings her FLSA claims on behalf of all hourly-paid employees

employed by Defendants at any time within the applicable statute of limitations period,

who were classified by Defendant as non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the

FLSA and who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:

A. Proper payment for all hours worked, including payment of a lawful

minimum wage for hours worked up to forty (40) in a workweek and a lawful overtime

premium for all hours worked for Defendants in excess of forty (40) hours in a

workweek;

B. Liquidated damages; and

C. Attorneys' fees and costs.

49. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which

Plaintiff's Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action was filed herein and

continues forward through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

50. The members of the proposed FLSA Collective are similarly situated in

that they share these traits:

A. They were classified by Defendants as non-exempt from the overtime

requirements of the FLSA;

B. They were paid hourly or were supposed to be paid hourly;

C. They performed substantially similar job duties; and

D. They were subject to Defendants' common practice of denying pay for all

hours worked, including overtime pay for hours worked over forty (40) per work week.

Page 8 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

51. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of

the FLSA Collective but believe that the group exceeds forty (40) persons.

52. In the modern era, most working-class Americans have become

increasingly reliant on email and text messages, and generally use them just as often, if

not more so, than traditional U.S. Mail.

53. Defendants can readily identify the members of the Section 16(b)

Collective. The names and physical and mailing addresses of the FLSA collective

action plaintiffs are available from Defendants, and a Court-approved Notice should be

provided to the FLSA collective action plaintiffs via first class mail, email and text

message to their last known physical and electronic mailing addresses and cell phone

numbers as soon as possible, together with other documents and information

descriptive of Plaintiff's FLSA claim.

B. <u>AMWA Rule 23 Class</u>

54. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Original

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

55. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were

employed by Defendants within the State of Arkansas, brings this claim for relief for

violation of the AMWA as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure.

56. Plaintiff proposes to represent the class of hourly-paid employees who

are/were employed by Defendants within the relevant time period within the State of

Arkansas.

Page 9 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

Case 4:18-cv-00777-BRW Document 1 Filed 10/19/18 Page 10 of 18

57. Common questions of law and fact relate to all members of the proposed

class, such as whether Defendant paid the members of the proposed class for all hours

worked, including minimum wage and overtime in accordance with the AMWA.

58. Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions

affecting only the individual named Plaintiff, and a class action is superior to other

available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the claims of the members of the

proposed AMWA class.

59. The class members have no interest in individually controlling the

prosecution of separate actions because the policy of the AMWA provides a bright-line

rule for protecting all non-exempt employees as a class. To wit: "It is declared to be the

public policy of the State of Arkansas to establish minimum wages for workers in order

to safeguard their health, efficiency, and general well-being and to protect them as well

as their employers from the effects of serious and unfair competition resulting from

wage levels detrimental to their health, efficiency, and well-being." Ark. Code Ann. § 11-

4-202.

60. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of

the AMWA class but believes that the class exceeds 50 persons. Therefore, the class is

so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

61. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's

counsel knows of any litigation already begun by any members of the proposed class

concerning the allegations in this Complaint.

Page 10 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

62. Concentrating the litigation in this forum is highly desirable because

Defendants are based in the Eastern District of Arkansas and because Plaintiff and all

proposed class members work or worked in Arkansas.

63. No difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class

action.

64. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed class in

that Plaintiff worked as an hourly employee for Defendants and experienced the same

violations of the AMWA that all other class members suffered.

65. Plaintiff and her counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of

the class.

66. Plaintiff's counsel is competent to litigate Rule 23 class actions and other

complex litigation matters, including wage and hour cases like this one, and to the

extent, if any, that they find that they are not, they are able and willing to associate

additional counsel.

67. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the proposed

class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to

individual members of the proposed class that would establish incompatible standards

of conduct for Defendant.

VII. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claim for Violation of FLSA)

68. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

69. 29 U.S.C. § 206 and 29 U.S.C. § 207 require employers to pay employees

a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week and one and one-half

Page 11 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_

(1.5) times the employee's regular rate for all hours that the employee works in excess

of forty (40) per week.

70. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff a minimum wage for all hours worked up

to forty (40) in one week, despite her entitlement thereto.

71. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff one and one-half (1.5) times her regular

rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per week, despite her entitlement thereto.

72. Defendants' conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is

willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

73. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendants are liable to

Plaintiff for, and Plaintiff seeks, unpaid minimum wages, unpaid overtime wages,

liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, civil penalties and costs, including

reasonable attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.

74. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendants acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of

prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VIII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Collective Action Claim for Violation of FLSA)

75. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

76. Plaintiff asserts this claim on behalf of all hourly-paid employees employed

by Defendants to recover monetary damages owed by Defendants to Plaintiff and

members of the putative collective for unpaid minimum wages for all the hours worked

up to forty (40) each week and unpaid overtime compensation for all the hours they

worked in excess of forty (40) each week.

Page 12 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

77. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly

situated hourly-paid employees, former and present, who were and/or are affected by

Defendants' willful and intentional violation of the FLSA.

78. 29 U.S.C. § 206 and 29 U.S.C. § 207 require employers to pay employees

a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week and one and one-half

(1.5) times the employee's regular rate for all hours that the employee works in excess

of forty (40) per week.

79. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated a lawful

minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week, despite their

entitlement thereto.

80. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated one and one-

half (1.5) times their regular rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per week,

despite their entitlement thereto.

81. Because these hourly-paid employees are similarly situated to Plaintiff.

and are owed a minimum wage and overtime for the same reasons, the proposed

collective is properly defined as follows:

All hourly-paid employees within the past three years.

82. Defendants' conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is

willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

83. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendants are liable to

Plaintiff and all those similarly situated for, and Plaintiff and all those similarly situated

seek, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, civil penalties

and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.

Page 13 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

84. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendants acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly situated as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff

and all those similarly situated are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the

applicable legal rate.

IX. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

85. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

86. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201, et seq.

87. At all relevant times, Defendants was Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

88. Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-210 and 211 require employers to pay

all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week and to

pay one and one-half (1.5) times regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40)

hours in a week, unless an employee meets the exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. §

213 and accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

89. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff a minimum wage for all hours worked up

to forty (40) in a given week as required under the AMWA, despite her entitlement

thereto.

90. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff all overtime wages for hours worked over

forty (40) in a given week as required under the AMWA, despite her entitlement thereto.

91. Defendants' conduct and practices, as described above, were willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

Page 14 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

92. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendants are liable to

Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's

fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred within the three (3) years

prior to the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

93. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendants acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the AMWA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of

prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

X. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Class Action Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

94. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

95. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the proposed class, asserts this

claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA, Arkansas Code

Annotated §§ 11-4-201 et seg.

96. At all relevant times, Defendants have been an "employer" of Plaintiff and

the members of the proposed class within the meaning of the AMWA, Arkansas Code

Annotated § 11-4-203(4).

97. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff a minimum wage for all hours worked up

to forty (40) in a given week as required under the AMWA, despite her entitlement

thereto.

98. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class all

overtime wages for hours worked over forty (40) in a given week as required under the

AMWA, despite their entitlement thereto.

Page 15 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_\_
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

99. Plaintiff proposes to represent the AMWA liability class of individuals

defined as follows:

All hourly-paid employees in Arkansas within the past three years.

100. Defendants' conduct and practices, as described above, were willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

101. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendants are liable to

Plaintiff and the proposed class for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and

a reasonable attorney's fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred

within the three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable

tolling.

102. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendants acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class as provided by the AMWA,

Plaintiff and members of the proposed class are entitled to an award of prejudgment

interest at the applicable legal rate.

XI. FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Individual Claim for Violation of the Arkansas Last Paycheck Law)

103. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

104. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the Arkansas Last Paycheck Law, Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-405.

105. Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment on or around September

2018, but to date has not paid her for her final two weeks of employment.

Page 16 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

106. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendants are liable to

Plaintiff for monetary damages provided by Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-405 for

refusing to pay Plaintiff her final paycheck.

XII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Shonda Flournoy, individually and

on behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully prays for declaratory relief and

damages as follows:

A. That Defendants be summoned to appear and answer herein;

B. A declaratory judgment that Defendants' practices alleged herein violate

the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29

C.F.R. § 516, et seq.

C. A declaratory judgment that Defendants' practices alleged herein violate

the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq., and the related

regulations;

D. Judgment for damages for all unpaid regular wages and overtime

compensation under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., and

attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 et seq.;

E. Judgment for damages for all unpaid regular wages and overtime

compensation under the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et

seq., and the related regulations;

F. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards

Act, 29 US.C. §201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 et seq., in an

Page 17 of 18
Shonda Flournoy, et al. v. Family Dollar Services, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-\_\_\_
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

amount equal to all unpaid regular wages and overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and those similarly situated during the applicable statutory period;

- G. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, *et seq.* and the relating regulations;
- H. An order directing Defendants to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated prejudgment interest, reasonable attorney's fees, and all costs connected with this action; and
- I. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just, and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

SHONDA FLOURNOY, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC One Financial Center 650 South Shackleford, Suite 411 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

Chris Burks

Ark. Bar No. 2010207 chris@sanfordlawfirm.com

Josh Sanford

Ark. Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE (	OF THIS FO	ORM.)		ance for the ase of	the clerk of cou	re ioi ti	ıc	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	S				***************	
SHONDA FLOURNOY, Individually and On Behalf of All Others  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Pulaski (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  Josh Sanford, SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC, One Financial Cente 650 South Shackleford, Suite 411, Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 501-221-0088; josh@sanfordlawfirm.com				FAMILY DOLLAR SERVICES, LLC, and FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF ARKANSAS LLC County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
				Attorneys (If Known,	)					
				II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)		I TIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIP	AL PARTIES
☐ 1 U.S. Government	★3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only)  P	TF DEF		and One Box for	Defenda PTF	nt) DEF	
Plaintiff (U.S. Go		nment Not a Party)		en of This State	0101	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	incipal Place	O 4	<b>O</b> 4	
U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizo	en of Another State	<b>j</b> 2 <b>cj</b> 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		<b>D</b> 5	<b>D</b> 5	
				en or Subject of a Creign Country	3 0 3	Foreign Nation		CD 6	<b>1</b> 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) ORTS	,	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		k here for: Nature o				
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  385 Property Damage Product Liability	Y 🖸 62	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other	422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   420 Copyrights   820 Copyrights   830 Patent   835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application   840 Trademark   SOCIAL SECURITY   861 HIA (1395ff)   862 Black Lung (923)   863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))   864 SSID Title XVI   865 RSI (405(g))		OTHER STATUTES    375 False Claims Act   376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))   400 State Reapportionment   410 Antitrust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce   460 Deportation   470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   480 Consumer Credit   490 Cable/Sat TV   850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange   890 Other Statutory Actions   891 Agricultural Acts   893 Environmental Matters   895 Freedom of Information			
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)										
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise			<b>2</b> 71 <b>1</b> 72 <b>1</b> 74	I ABOR  0 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act						
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	-	O Other Labor Litigation	FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	Act	1 Intorna	ation	
<ul> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	□ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	Habeas Corpus:      463 Alien Detainee     510 Motions to Vacate Sentence     530 General     535 Death Penalty     Other:     540 Mandamus & Oth     550 Civil Rights     555 Prison Condition     560 Civil Detainee -     Conditions of     Confinement	e □ 46	I Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application Actions	or I 7 871 IRS- 26 I	es (U.S. Plaintiff befendant) —Third Party JSC 7609	□ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)						L			
		Remanded from D Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop		er District	6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	- L	fultidist itigation irect File	n -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	120 H S C 201 at	seq.	re filing (L	Oo not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless d	iversity):				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:   COMPLAINT:				EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND:  Yes Yo						
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE 🐁		<i>***</i>		ET NUMBER			******************	
DATE 10/19/2018		SIGNATURE OF A	TORNEY C	OF RECORD	DOCKI	VOINDER		***************************************		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AM	10UNT	APPLYING IFP	@sees. #	JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE			

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <a href="Ex-Employee Sues Family Dollar Over Alleged Wage Violations">Ex-Employee Sues Family Dollar Over Alleged Wage Violations</a>