

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

ALYSSA FLEXER, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

SMARTFOODS, INC. and PEPSICO, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Alyssa Flexer (“Plaintiff”) brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated against Defendants Smartfoods, Inc. and PepsiCo, Inc. (“Defendant”). Plaintiff makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of her counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to the Plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Defendants formulate, manufactures, advertises, and sell Smartfood Popcorn in all of its various varieties (the “Products”) throughout the United States, including in New York.
2. Defendants represent to consumers through its packaging that the Products contain “NO ARTIFICIAL COLORS OR FLAVORS” and “NO ARTIFICIAL PRESERVATIVES.” Defendants make these claims in order to capitalize on consumers’ preference for natural foods that do not contain synthetic ingredients.
3. Unbeknownst to consumers, however, Defendants’ claims are false because the Products do contain a synthetic non-natural flavoring and preservative ingredient: maltodextrin.
4. Plaintiff has purchased the Products. Now, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, she asserts claims for violations of New York General Business Law §§ 349

and 350, and for breach of express warranty.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(d)(2)(a) because this case is a class action where the aggregate claims of all members of the proposed class are in excess of \$5,000,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs, there are over 100 members of the putative class, and at least one class member is a citizen of a state different than Defendant.

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because a substantial portion of the events that gave rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in New York.

7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial portion of the events that gave rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District.

PARTIES

8. Plaintiff Alyssa Flexer is a citizen of New York who resides in Brooklyn, New York. Ms. Flexer has purchased the Products for personal use at various times during the applicable statute of limitations. For example, in or around May, 20025, she purchased Smartfood White Cheddar Popcorn from Ideal Food Basket in Brooklyn for approximately \$5.59. In purchasing the Products, Ms. Flexer relied on Defendants' false, misleading, and deceptive marketing of the Products as containing "NO ARTIFICIAL COLORS OR FLAVORS" and "NO ARTIFICIAL PRESERVATIVES." Ms. Flexer understood these representations to mean that the Products did not contain any synthetic flavors or preservatives, but in fact, the Smartfood White Cheddar Popcorn that she purchased contained the synthetic flavoring and preservative ingredient maltodextrin. Had Ms. Flexer known that Defendants' representations were false and misleading, she would not have purchased the Products or would have only been willing to purchase the Products at a lesser price.

9. Defendant Smartfoods, Inc. (“Smartfoods”) is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business located at Plano, Texas. Smartfoods formulates, advertises, manufactures, and/or sells the Products throughout New York and the United States.

10. Defendant PepsiCo, Inc. (“PepsiCo”) is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business located in Harrison, New York. Smartfoods is a wholly-owned subsidiary of PepsiCo. Along with Smartfoods, PepsiCo formulates, advertises, manufactures, and/or sells the Products throughout New York and the United States.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

11. Defendants’ labeling on the Products states that they contain “NO ARTIFICIAL COLORS OR FLAVORS” and “NO ARTIFICIAL PRESERVATIVES.”



12. Defendants' labeling and advertising puts forth a straightforward, material message: the Products contain only flavoring and preservative ingredients that are natural.

13. Defendants make these natural claims in an effort to capitalize on the growing market for natural products. Health-conscious consumers are willing to pay a price premium for products labeled and advertised as natural because they believe that such products are safer and/or healthier to consume.

14. But, unfortunately for consumers, the Products do contain an ingredient that is an artificial flavor and preservative: maltodextrin. Maltodextrin is a synthetic flavorant and sugar substitute that Defendants use to sweeten and preserve the Products.

15. Maltodextrin is not found in nature. To produce maltodextrin, acids, enzymes, or acids and enzymes are applied in sequence to a starch slurry to induce partial hydrolysis (saccharification). In other words, the acids or enzymes convert or depolymerize starch to glucose or maltose molecules. Once maltose content is high enough, the acids or enzymes are neutralized, removed or deactivated, and the resulting product is then refined, purified and concentrated. Synthetic chemicals are often used to extract and purify the enzymes used to produce maltodextrin.

16. Given the nature of this manufacturing process, rules proposed by the FDA recognize that maltodextrin is a synthetic ingredient. 72 Fed. Reg. 62149, 62166 (proposed Nov. 2, 2007).

17. Maltodextrin reduces the water content in the Products, thereby inhibiting the growth of bacteria, mold, yeast and fungus. As a result, the Defendants are able to extend the shelf life of the Products. Similarly, Defendants use maltodextrin in the Products to impart a sweet flavor. Accordingly, Defendants use maltodextrin in the Product as both an artificial flavor

and an artificial preservative.

18. Consumption of maltodextrin has been associated with adverse health effects like spikes in blood sugar, gastrointestinal symptoms such as cramping and bloating, and causing intestinal disorders by aiding the bacteria known to hurt the intestine. Moreover, research has identified several health issues including cancer, Alzheimer's disease, kidney damage, reproduction difficulties, and allergies that can be caused due to maltodextrin produced from genetically modified corn.

19. Defendants have profited enormously from its false and misleading representations that the Products contain only natural flavoring and preservative ingredients. The purpose of this action is to require Defendants to change their labeling claims and to provide consumers with monetary relief for its deceptive and misleading product claims.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

20. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all persons in the United States who, during the maximum period of time permitted by law, purchased Defendants' Products for personal, family, or household consumption, and not for resale (the "Nationwide Class").

21. Plaintiff also seeks to represent a subclass defined as all person in New York who purchased the Products (the "New York Subclass") (collectively with the Nationwide Class, the "Classes").

22. **Numerosity Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1).** Members of the Classes are so numerous that their individual joinder herein is impracticable. On information and belief, members of the Class number in the millions. The precise number of Class members and their identities are unknown to Plaintiff at this time but may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the distribution records of Defendants and third-party retailers and vendors.

23. Commonality and Predominance (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) and 23(b)(3)).

There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved in this case. Common questions of law and fact that exist as to all Class members and predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members include, but are not limited to:

- (a) the true nature and presence of synthetic flavoring and preservative ingredients in the Products;
- (b) whether Defendants' marketing, advertising, packaging, labeling, and other promotional materials for the Products are deceptive and misleading;
- (c) whether Plaintiff and members of the Classes have suffered damages as a result of Defendants' actions, and the amount thereof;
- (d) whether Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of the unlawful, fraudulent, and unfair conduct alleged in this Complaint such that it would be inequitable for Defendants to retain the benefits conferred upon Defendants by Plaintiff and the Classes; and
- (e) whether Plaintiff and members of the Classes are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs.

24. Typicality (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3)). The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class in that the named Plaintiff was exposed to Defendants' false and misleading marketing, purchased Defendants' Products, and suffered a loss as a result of those purchases.

25. Adequacy (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4)). Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Classes because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class members she seeks to represent, she has retained competent counsel experienced in prosecuting class actions, and she intends to prosecute this action vigorously. The interests of Class members will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and her counsel.

26. Superiority (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3)). The class mechanism is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of Class members. Even if every member of the Classes could afford to pursue individual litigation, the court system could

not. Individualized litigation would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which individual litigation of numerous cases would proceed. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and would present the potential for varying, inconsistent, or contradictory judgments—magnifying the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same factual issues. In contrast, the maintenance of this action as a class action, with respect to some or all of the issues presented herein, presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court on the issue of Defendants’ liability. Class treatment of the liability issues would ensure that all claims and claimants are before this Court for consistent adjudication of the liability issues. Plaintiff anticipates no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

CAUSES OF ACTION
COUNT I

Violation of the New York General Business Law § 349
(On behalf of the New York Subclass)

27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges herein all paragraphs alleged above.

28. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of herself and members of the New York Subclass against Defendant.

29. Plaintiff and New York Subclass members are “persons” within the meaning of the GBL § 349(h).

30. Defendants are each a “person, firm, corporation or association or agent or employee thereof” within the meaning of GBL § 349(b).

31. Under GBL § 349, “[d]eceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce are unlawful.”

32. Defendants made false and misleading statements by marketing the Products as containing “NO ARTIFICIAL COLORS OR FLAVORS” and “NO ARTIFICIAL PRESERVATIVES” when the Products in fact contained both a synthetic flavoring and preservative ingredient.

33. In doing so, Defendants engaged in deceptive acts or practices in violation of GBL § 349.

34. Defendants’ deceptive acts or practices were materially misleading. Defendants’ conduct was likely to and did deceive reasonable consumers, including Plaintiff, about the quality of its Products, as discussed throughout.

35. Plaintiff and New York Subclass members were unaware of, and lacked a reasonable means of discovering, the material facts that Defendants withheld.

36. Defendants’ actions set forth above occurred in the conduct of trade or commerce.

37. The foregoing deceptive acts and practices were directed at consumers.

38. Defendants’ misleading conduct concerns widely purchased consumer products and affects the public interest. Defendants’ conduct includes unfair and misleading acts or practices that have the capacity to deceive consumers and are harmful to the public at large. Defendants’ conduct is misleading in a material way because they fundamentally misrepresent the production and quality of the Products.

39. Plaintiff and New York Subclass members suffered ascertainable loss as a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ GBL violations in that: (i) they would not have purchased the Products had they known the truth; and (ii) they overpaid for the Products on account of the misrepresentations and omissions, as described herein. As a result, Plaintiff and New York Subclass members have been damaged either in the full amount of the purchase price of the

Products or in the difference in value between the Products as warranted and the Products as actually sold.

40. On behalf of herself and other members of the New York Subclass, Plaintiff seeks to enjoin Defendants' unlawful acts and practices described herein, to recover actual damages or \$50, whichever is greater, reasonable attorney's fees and costs, and any other just and proper relief available under GBL § 349.

COUNT II

Violation of the New York General Business Law § 350 (On behalf of the New York Subclass)

41. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges herein all paragraphs alleged above.

42. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of herself and members of the New York Subclass against Defendant.

43. GBL § 350 provides that "[f]alse advertising in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce or in the furnishing of any service in this state is hereby declared unlawful."

44. Defendants' labeling and advertisement of the Products was false and misleading in a material way. Specifically, Defendants advertised the Products as containing "NO ARTIFICIAL COLORS OR FLAVORS" and "NO ARTIFICIAL PRESERVATIVES" when the Products in fact contained both a synthetic flavoring and preservative ingredient.

45. This misrepresentation was consumer-oriented and was likely to mislead a reasonable consumer acting reasonably under the circumstances.

46. This misrepresentation has resulted in consumer injury or harm to the public interest.

47. As a result of this misrepresentation, Plaintiff and New York Subclass members

have suffered economic injury because: (i) they would not have purchased the Product had they known the truth; and (ii) they overpaid for the Products on account of the misrepresentations and omissions, as described herein. As a result, Plaintiff and New York Subclass members have been damaged either in the full amount of the purchase price of the Products or in the difference in value between the Products as warranted and the Products as actually sold.

48. By reason of the foregoing and as a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff and New York Subclass members seek to enjoin the unlawful acts and practices described herein, to recover their actual damages or five hundred dollars, whichever is greater, three times actual damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and any other just and proper relief available under GBL § 350.

COUNT III

Breach of Express Warranty (On behalf of the Nationwide Class and the New York Subclass)

49. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth herein.

50. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Classes against Defendant.

51. Defendant, as the producer, marketer, distributor, and/or seller, expressly warranted that the Products contained "NO ARTIFICIAL COLORS OR FLAVORS" and "NO ARTIFICIAL PRESERVATIVES."

52. Defendants' representations and warranties were part of the description of the goods and the bargain upon which the Products were offered for sale and purchased by Plaintiff and members of the Classes.

53. However, the Products do not conform to Defendants' representations and

warranties because the Products contain synthetic flavoring and preservative ingredients. By falsely representing the Products in this way, Defendants breached express warranties.

54. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' breach of express warranty, Plaintiff and members of the Classes have been injured and harmed in an amount to be proven at trial. Had Plaintiff and members of the Classes known the Products in fact contained a synthetic ingredient, they would not have purchased the Products, or only would have been willing to pay substantially less for them.

55. Prior to filing the initial complaint in this action, Defendants were served via certified mail with a pre-suit notice letter on behalf of Plaintiff that complied in all respects with U.C.C. §§ 2-313 and 2-607.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying the Nationwide Class and the New York Subclass under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, naming Plaintiff as representative of the Nationwide Class and the New York Subclass, and naming Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Nationwide Class and New York Subclass;
- (b) For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Classes on all counts asserted herein;
- (c) For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff, the Nationwide Class, and the New York Subclass on all counts asserted herein;
- (d) For compensatory, statutory, and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- (e) For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- (f) For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- (g) For an order enjoining Defendants from continuing the illegal practices detailed herein and compelling Defendants to undertake a corrective advertising

campaign; and

- (h) For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Classes their reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff demand a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable as of right.

Dated: June 30, 2025

ARISOHN LLC

By: /s/ Joshua D. Arisohn
Joshua D. Arisohn

Joshua D. Arisohn
94 Blakeslee Rd.
Litchfield, CT 06759
Telephone: (646) 837-7150
Email: josh@arisohnllc.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Alyssa Flexer

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Arisohn LLC, 94 Blakeslee Rd., Litchfield, CT 06759

DEFENDANTS

Smartfoods, Inc. and PepsiCo, Inc.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant _____
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☒ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.](#)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice PERSONAL INJURY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <input type="checkbox"/> 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) <input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
28 U.S.C. §1332(d)(2)(a)

Brief description of cause:
Consumer protection and warranty claims based on false labeling of product

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☒ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ 5,000,000+ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

6/30/2025

/s/ Joshua D. Arisohn

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.7 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

Case is Eligible for Arbitration ☐

I, Joshua D. Arisohn, counsel for Plaintiffs, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- ☒ monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs,
- ☒ the complaint seeks injunctive relief, or
- ☐ the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason:

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stocks. Add an additional page if needed.

n/a

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 3 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 3(a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 3(a) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case involves identical legal issues, or the same parties." Rule 3 further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (b), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NEW YORK EASTERN DISTRICT DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 1(d)(3)

If you answer "Yes" to any of the questions below, this case will be designated as a Central Islip case and you must select Office Code 2.

1. Is the action being removed from a state court that is located in Nassau or Suffolk County? ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Is the action—not involving real property—being brought against United States, its officers or its employees AND the majority of the plaintiffs reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? ☐ Yes ☒ No
3. If you answered "No" to all parts of Questions 1 and 2:
 - a. Did a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to claim or claims occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 - b. Do the majority of defendants reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 - c. Is a substantial amount of any property at issue located in Nassau or Suffolk County? ☐ Yes ☒ No
4. If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, was the offending communication received in either Nassau or Suffolk County? ☐ Yes ☒ No

(Note, a natural person is considered to reside in the county in which that person is domiciled; an entity is considered a resident of the county that is either its principal place of business or headquarters, of if there is no such county in the Eastern District, the county within the District with which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

☒ Yes ☐ No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

☐ Yes (If yes, please explain) ☒ No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: Joshua D. Arisohn Digitally signed by Joshua D. Arisohn
Date: 2025.06.30 15:29:33 -04'00'

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Alyssa Flexer

Plaintiff(s)

V.

Smartfoods, Inc. and PepsiCo, Inc.

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)* Smartfoods, Inc.
7701 Legacy Drive
Plano, TX 75024

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff’s attorney, whose name and address are:

Joshua D. Arisohn
Arisohn LLC
94 Blakeslee Rd.
Litchfield, CT 06759

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

BRENNA B. MAHONEY
CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
 was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
 _____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
 on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
 designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Alyssa Flexer

Plaintiff(s)

V.

Smartfoods, Inc. and PepsiCo, Inc.

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)* PepsiCo, Inc.
700 Anderson Hill Road
Purchase, NY 10577

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff’s attorney, whose name and address are:

Joshua D. Arisohn
Arisohn LLC
94 Blakeslee Rd.
Litchfield, CT 06759

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

BRENNA B. MAHONEY
CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
 was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
 _____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
 on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
 designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Smartfood Lawsuit Claims Popcorn Falsely Advertised as Free from Artificial Flavors, Preservatives](#)
