UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE DIVISION

ROBERT FLEENOR and MARIA WOLF,	Case No.: 18-cv-1055
Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
Plaintiffs, v.	Jury Trial Demanded
GC SERVICES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,	
Defendant.	

INTRODUCTION

1. This class action seeks redress for collection practices that violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*. (the "FDCPA") and the Wisconsin Consumer Act, Chs. 421-427, Wis. Stats.

JURISDICTION

2. The court has jurisdiction to grant the relief sought by the Plaintiffs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, and 1367. Venue in this District is proper in that Defendant directed its collection efforts into the District.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff Robert Fleenor is an individual who resides in the Eastern District of Wisconsin (Milwaukee County).

4. Plaintiff Maria Wolf is an individual who resides in the Eastern District of Wisconsin (Milwaukee County).

5. Each Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined in the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), in that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiffs a debt allegedly incurred for personal, family, or household purposes.

Case 2:18-cv-01055 Filed 07/10/18 Page 1 of 18 Document 1

6. Each Plaintiff is also a "customer" as defined in the Wisconsin Consumer Act, Wis. Stat. § 421.301(17), in that the alleged debt allegedly arose from consumer transaction that included agreements to defer payment, namely a consumer credit card.

7. Defendant GC Services Limited Partnership ("GCS") is a foreign limited partnership with its principal offices located at 6330 Gulfton, Houston, Texas 77081.

8. GCS is engaged in the business of a collection agency, using the mails and telephone to collect consumer debts originally owed to others.

9. GCS is engaged in the business of collecting debts owed to others and incurred for personal, family, or household purposes.

10. GCS is licensed as a "Collection Agency" pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 218.04 and Wis. Admin. Code Ch. DFI-Bkg. 74.

11. GCS is a debt collector as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a and Wis. Stat. § 427.103(3).

FACTS

Facts Related to Plaintiff Fleenor

12. On or about February 8, 2018, GCS mailed a debt collection letter to Plaintiff Fleenor regarding an alleged debt owed to "Citibank, N.A." ("Citibank") and associated with Plaintiff's "Best Buy" store-branded credit card account ending in 0096. A copy of this letter is attached to this Complaint as <u>Exhibit A</u>.

13. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt referenced in Exhibit A was incurred for personal, family, or household purposes, including purchases of goods at Best Buy stores.

14. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form letter, generated by computer, and with the information specific to Plaintiff Fleenor inserted by computer.

15. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form debt collection letter used by GCS to attempt to collect alleged debts.

16. <u>Exhibit A</u> indicates that the "New Balance" of Plaintiff Fleenor's account is \$2,445.76.

17. Additionally, <u>Exhibit A</u> indicates that the "Minimum Payment Due" of Plaintiff Fleenor's account is also \$2,445.76.

18. Upon information and belief, at the time Plaintiff Fleenor received <u>Exhibit A</u>, Citibank had charged-off his Best Buy credit card account with an account number ending in 0096.

19. Nevertheless, <u>Exhibit A</u> includes the following statement:

* As of the date of this letter, you owe \$2,445.76. Because of interest, late charges, and other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount owed on the day you pay may be greater. Hence, if you pay the amount shown above, an adjustment may be necessary after we receive your payment, in which event we will inform you.

20. The language stating that "[b]ecause of interest, late charges, and other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount owed on the day you pay may be greater" is a materially false, deceptive, and misleading statement.

21. Even if the debt was, in fact, bearing interest, the unsophisticated consumer would understand the statement that the balance may increase as a result of "other charges" to mean that the creditor, or the debt collector, could impose late fees or collection fees in addition to the interest that was actually accruing.

22. Upon information and belief, neither GCS nor Citibank could collect a late fee from Plaintiff. The balance of Plaintiff's account had already been accelerated, no party was

sending monthly statements to Plaintiff, and no further late fees were permissible. *See, e.g., Rizzo v. Pierce & Assocs.*, 351 F.3d 791, 794 (7th Cir. 2003) ("If for whatever reason, the Rizzos did not want to pay the late fees, they were free to pay the loan as accelerated. Such a payment would nullify any obligation to pay post-acceleration late fees.").

23. Upon information and belief, neither GCS, nor Citibank, intended to collect a late fee on Plaintiff's or class members' accounts.

24. Upon information and belief, neither GCS nor Citibank, could collect a collection fee. The WCA specifically prohibits the attachment of collection fees and other "default charges" on consumer credit transactions, even if the fee is separately negotiated. Wis. Stat. § 422.413(1) provides:

no term of a writing evidencing a consumer credit transaction may provide for any charges as a result of default by the customer other than reasonable expenses incurred in the disposition of collateral and such other charges as are specifically authorized by chs. 421 to 427.

See also Patzka v. Viterbo College, 917 F. Supp. 654, 659 (W.D. Wis. 1996).

25. Upon information and belief, neither GCS, nor Citibank, intended to collect a collection fee on Plaintiff's or class members' accounts.

26. Upon information and belief, neither GCS, nor Citibank, intended to assess interest on the charged-off debt.

27. Because, upon information and belief, neither the debt collector nor creditor could or would impose any additional interest or "other charges" under Wisconsin law, the statement that the account may "periodically increase" as a result of these charges is a material misrepresentation. *See Boucher v. Fin. Sys. of Green Bay*, 880 F.3d 362, 367-687 (7th Cir. 2018) (statement that account was subject to "interest, late fees, and other charges" when the account

was subject to interest but not late fees or other charges was a material false and misleading statement).

28. Fleenor was confused and misled by Exhibit A.

29. The unsophisticated consumer would be confused by Exhibit A.

30. Fleenor had to spend time and money investigating <u>Exhibit A</u>, and the consequences of any potential responses to <u>Exhibit A</u>.

31. Fleenor had to take time to obtain and meet with counsel, including traveling to counsel's office by car and its related expenses, including but not limited to the cost of gasoline and mileage, to advise Plaintiff on the consequences of <u>Exhibit A</u>.

Facts Related to Plaintiff Wolf

32. On or about May 2, 2018, GCS mailed a debt collection letter to Plaintiff Wolf regarding an alleged debt owed to "QCV" and associated with Plaintiff's "QVC" store-brand credit card account ending in 0729. A copy of this letter is attached to this Complaint as <u>Exhibit B</u>.

33. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt referenced in <u>Exhibit B</u> was incurred for personal, family, or household purposes, including purchases of household goods from QVC.

34. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit B</u> is a form letter, generated by computer, and with the information specific to Plaintiff Wolf inserted by computer.

35. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit B</u> is a form debt collection letter used by GCS to attempt to collect alleged debts.

36. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit B</u> was the first written communication GCS mailed to Plaintiff Wolf regarding the alleged debt referenced in <u>Exhibit B</u>.

37. The reverse side of <u>Exhibit B</u> contains the statutory debt validation notice that the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g, requires the debt collector mail the alleged debtor along with, or within five days of, the initial communication:

Unless you, within thirty (30) days after your receipt of this letter, dispute the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, we will assume the debt to be valid. If you notify us in writing within the above described thirty (30) day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, we will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against you and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to you. Additionally, upon your written request within the above described thirty (30) day period, we will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if it is different than the current creditor.

38. <u>Exhibit B</u> also includes the following statement:

We are writing to let you know that your account with QVC, INC., with an overdue balance of \$44.31, has been referred to us.

39. Additionally, <u>Exhibit B</u> indicates that the "Balance Due" is 44.31.

40. Just a few days prior to receiving Exhibit B, on or about May 2, 2018, Plaintiff

received a debt collection letter from QVC regarding the same account. A copy of the letter is

attached to this Complaint as Exhibit C.

41. Contrary to the amount listed in <u>Exhibit B</u>, <u>Exhibit C</u> states that the "AMOUNT

PAST DUE" is only \$29.54.

- 42. <u>Exhibit B</u> thus misstates the amount owed to QVC at the time the letter was sent.
- 43. Plaintiff Wolf was confused and misled by Exhibit B.
- 44. The unsophisticated consumer would be confused by <u>Exhibit B</u>.
- 45. Plaintiff Wolf had to spend time and money investigating <u>Exhibit B</u>, and the

consequences of any potential responses to Exhibit B.

46. Plaintiff Wolf had to take time to obtain and meet with counsel, including traveling to counsel's office by car and its related expenses, including but not limited to the cost of gasoline and mileage, to advise Plaintiff on the consequences of Exhibit B.

The FDCPA

47. The FDCPA states that its purpose, in part, is "to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(e). It is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there is a valid debt. *Mace v. Van Ru Credit Corp.*, 109 F.3d 338 (7th Cir. 1997); *Baker v. G.C. Services Corp.*, 677 F.2d 775, 777 (9th Cir. 1982); *McCartney v. First City Bank*, 970 F.2d 45, 47 (5th Cir. 1992). The FDCPA broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods; conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor; and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt; it also requires debt collectors to give debtors certain information. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692e, 1692f and 1692g.

48. The Seventh Circuit has held that whether a debt collector's conduct violates the FDCPA should be judged from the standpoint of an "unsophisticated consumer." *Avila v. Rubin,* 84 F.3d 222, 227 (7th Cir. 1996); *Gammon v. GC Services, LP,* 27 F.3d 1254, 1257 (7th Cir. 1994). The standard is an objective one—whether the plaintiffs or any class members were misled is not an element of a cause of action. *Bartlett v. Heibl,* 128 F.3d 497, 499 (7th Cir. 1997). "The question is not whether these plaintiffs were deceived or misled, but rather whether an unsophisticated consumer would have been misled." *Beattie v. D.M. Collections Inc.,* 754 F. Supp. 383, 392 (D. Del. 1991).

49. Because it is part of the Consumer Credit Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1601 *et seq.*, the FDCPA should be liberally construed in favor of the consumer to effectuate its purposes. *Cirkot v. Diversified Fin. Services, Inc.*, 839 F. Supp. 941, 944 (D. Conn. 1993).

The [Consumer Credit Protection] Act is remedial in nature, designed to remedy what Congressional hearings revealed to be unscrupulous and predatory creditor practices throughout the nation. Since the statute is remedial in nature, its terms must be construed in liberal fashion if the underlying Congressional purpose is to be effectuated.

N.C. Freed Co. v. Board of Governors, 473 F.2d 1210, 1214 (2d Cir. 1973).

50. Statutory damages are recoverable for violations, whether or not the consumer proves actual damages. *Baker*, 677 F.2d at 780-1; *Woolfolk v. Van Ru Credit Corp.*, 783 F. Supp. 724, 727 and n. 3 (D. Conn. 1990); *Riveria v. MAB Collections, Inc.*, 682 F. Supp. 174, 177 (W.D.N.Y. 1988); *Kuhn v. Account Control Tech.*, 865 F. Supp. 1443, 1450 (D. Nev. 1994); *In re Scrimpsher*, 17 B.R. 999, 1016-7 (Bankr. N.D.N.Y. 1982); *In re Littles*, 90 B.R. 669, 680 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1988), *aff'd as modified sub nom. Crossley v. Lieberman*, 90 B.R. 682 (E.D. Pa. 1988), *aff'd*, 868 F.2d 566 (3d Cir. 1989).

51. The FDCPA creates substantive rights for consumers; violations cause injury to consumers, and such injuries are concrete and particularized. *Derosia v. Credit Corp Solutions*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 50016, at *12 (E.D. Wis. Mar. 27, 2018) ("'a plaintiff who receives misinformation form a debt collector has suffered the type of injury the FDCPA was intended to protect against' and 'satisfies the concrete injury in fact requirement of Article III.'") (quoting *Pogorzelski v. Patenaude & Felix APC*, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89678, 2017 WL 2539782, at *3 (E.D. Wis. June 12, 2017)); *Spuhler v. State Collection Servs.*, No. 16-CV-1149, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 177631 (E.D. Wis. Oct. 26, 2017) ("As in Pogorzelski, the Spuhlers' allegations that the debt collection letters sent by State Collection contained false representations of the character, amount, or legal status of a debt in violation of their rights under the FDCPA sufficiently pleads a concrete injury-in-fact for purposes of standing."); *Lorang v. Ditech Fin. LLC*, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 169286, at *6 (W.D. Wis. Oct. 13, 2017) ("the weight of authority in this circuit is that a misrepresentation about a debt is a sufficient injury for standing because a primary purpose of the FDCPA is to protect consumers from receiving false and misleading information."); *Qualls v.*

T-H Prof'l & Med. Collections, Ltd., 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 113037, at *8 (C.D. Ill. July 20, 2017) ("Courts in this Circuit, both before and after Spokeo, have rejected similar challenges to standing in FDCPA cases.") (citing "Hayes v. Convergent Healthcare Recoveries, Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 139743 (C.D. Ill. 2016)); Long v. Fenton & McGarvey Law Firm P.S.C., 223 F. Supp. 3d 773, 777 (S.D. Ind. Dec. 9, 2016) ("While courts have found that violations of other statutes . . . do not create concrete injuries in fact, violations of the FDCPA are distinguishable from these other statutes and have been repeatedly found to establish concrete injuries."); Bock v. Pressler & Pressler, LLP, No. 11-7593, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81058 *21 (D.N.J. May 25, 2017) ("through [s]ection 1692e of the FDCPA, Congress established 'an enforceable right to truthful information concerning' debt collection practices, a decision that 'was undoubtedly influenced by congressional awareness that the intentional provision of misinformation' related to such practices, 'contribute[s] to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy,"); Quinn v. Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC, No. 16 C 2021, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107299 *8-13 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 11, 2016) (rejecting challenge to Plaintiff's standing based upon alleged FDCPA statutory violation); Lane v. Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC, No. 15 C 10446, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89258 *9-10 (N.D. III. July 11, 2016) ("When a federal statute is violated, and especially when Congress has created a cause of action for its violation, by definition Congress has created a legally protected interest that it deems important enough for a lawsuit."); Church v. Accretive Health, Inc., No. 15-15708, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 12414 *7-11 (11th Cir. July 6, 2016) (same); see also Mogg v. Jacobs, No. 15-CV-1142-JPG-DGW, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33229, 2016 WL 1029396, at *5 (S.D. III. Mar. 15, 2016) ("Congress does have the power to enact statutes creating legal rights, the invasion of which creates standing, even though no injury would exist without the statute," (quoting *Sterk v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC*, 770 F.3d 618, 623 (7th Cir. 2014)). For this reason, and to encourage consumers to bring FDCPA actions, Congress authorized an award of statutory damages for violations. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a).

52. Moreover, Congress has explicitly described the FDCPA as regulating "abusive practices" in debt collection. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(a) - 1692(e). Any person who receives a debt collection letter containing a violation of the FDCPA is a victim of abusive practices. *See* 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(e) ("It is the purpose of this subchapter to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses").

53. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e generally prohibits "any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt."

54. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(a) specifically prohibits the "false representation of the character, amount, or legal status" of an alleged debt."

55. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) specifically prohibits the "threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken."

56. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) specifically prohibits the "use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."

57. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f generally prohibits "unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."

58. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1) specifically prohibits "the collection of any amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law."

59. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g states:

a) Notice of debt; contents

Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing—

(1) the amount of the debt;

(2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;

(3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector;

(4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and

(5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirtyday period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

b) Disputed debts

. . .

Any collection activities and communication during the 30-day period may not overshadow or be inconsistent with the disclosure of the consumer's right to dispute the debt or request the name and address of the original creditor.

60. The Seventh Circuit has held that a debt collector must clearly state the correct

amount of the debt on the date a letter is sent to a consumer. Miller v. McCalla, Raymer,

Padrick, Cobb, Nichols, & Clark, L.L.C., 214 F.3d 872, 875 (7th Cir. 2000):

It is no excuse that it was "impossible" for the defendants to comply when as in this case the amount of the debt changes daily. What would or might be impossible for the defendants to do would be to determine what the amount of the debt might be at some future date if for example the interest rate in the loan agreement was variable. What they certainly could do was to state the total amount due--interest and other charges as well as principal--on the date the dunning letter was sent. We think the statute required this.

61. While *Miller* addressed a debt collector's obligation to provide the amount of the debt under 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), the Seventh Circuit has held that the standards for claims under § 1692e and § 1692g are the same. *McMillan v. Collection Professionals, Inc.*, 455 F.3d 754, 759 (7th Cir. 2006).

We cannot accept the district court's view that claims brought under § 1692e or § 1692f are different from claims brought under § 1692g for purposes of Rule 12(b)(6) analysis. Whether or not a letter is 'false, deceptive, or misleading' (in violation of § 1692e) or 'unfair or unconscionable' (in violation of § 1692f) are inquiries similar to whether a letter is confusing in violation of § 1692g. After all, as our cases reflect, the inquiry under §§ 1692e, 1692g and 1692f is basically the same: it requires a fact-bound determination of how an unsophisticated consumer would perceive the letter.")

62. The Seventh Circuit held in Barnes v. Advanced Call Ctr. Techs., LLC, 493 F.3d

838, 840 (7th Cir. 2007), that "only the past due amount, the amount owed [to the debt collector], can be the 'amount of the debt' under § 809(a)(1)." *See also Chuway v. Nat'l Action Fin. Servs.*, 362 F.3d 944, 947-48 (7th Cir. 2004)

63. The Seventh Circuit has also held that a debt collector must disclose the consumer's rights to dispute the debt clearly and may not include confusing language that obscures the consumer's statutory rights by distracting her attention away from the validation notice. *Muha*, 558 F.3d at 629-30.

The WCA

64. The Wisconsin Consumer Act ("WCA") was enacted to protect consumers against unfair, deceptive, and unconscionable business practices and to encourage development of fair and economically sound practices in consumer transactions. Wis. Stat. § 421.102(2).

65. The Wisconsin Supreme Court has favorably cited authority finding that the WCA "goes further to protect consumer interests than any other such legislation in the country," and is "probably the most sweeping consumer credit legislation yet enacted in any state." *Kett* v. *Community Credit Plan, Inc.,* 228 Wis. 2d 1, 18 n.15, 596 N.W.2d 786 (1999) (citations omitted).

66. To further these goals, the Act's protections must be "liberally construed and applied." Wis. Stat. § 421.102(1); *see also* § 425.301.

67. "The basic purpose of the remedies set forth in Chapter 425, Stats., is to induce compliance with the WCA and thereby promote its underlying objectives." *First Wisconsin Nat'l Bank v. Nicolaou*, 113 Wis. 2d 524, 533, 335 N.W.2d 390 (1983). Thus, private actions under the WCA are designed to both benefit consumers whose rights have been violated and also competitors of the violators, whose competitive advantage should not be diminished because of their compliance with the law.

68. To carry out this intent, the WCA provides Wisconsin consumers with an array of protections and legal remedies. The Act contains significant and sweeping restrictions on the activities of those attempting to collect debts. *See* Wis. Stats. § 427.104.

69. The Act limits the amounts and types of additional fees that may be charged to consumers in conjunction with transactions. Wis. Stats. § 422.202(1). The Act also provides injured consumers with causes of action for class-wide statutory and actual damages and injunctive remedies against defendants on behalf of all customers who suffer similar injuries. *See* Wis. Stats. §§ 426.110(1); § 426.110(4)(e). Finally, "a customer may not waive or agree to forego rights or benefits under [the Act]." Wis. Stat. § 421.106(1).

70. Consumers' WCA claims under Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1) are analyzed using the same methods as claims under the FDCPA. Indeed, the WCA itself requires that the court

analyze the WCA "in accordance with the policies underlying a federal consumer credit protection act," including the FDCPA. Wis. Stat. § 421.102(1).

71. Further, the Wisconsin Supreme Court has held that WCA claims relating to debt collection are to be analyzed under the "unsophisticated consumer" standard. *Brunton v. Nuvell Credit Corp.*, 785 N.W.2d 302, 314-15. In *Brunton*, the Wisconsin Supreme Court explicitly adopted and followed the "unsophisticated consumer" standard, citing and discussing *Gammon v. GC Servs. Ltd. P'ship*, 27 F.3d 1254, 1257 (7th Cir. 1994). *Id.*

72. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(g) states that a debt collector may not: "Communicate with the customer . . . in such a manner as can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer."

73. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(h) states that a debt collector may not: "Engage in other conduct which can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer"

74. The failure to effectively convey a customer's validation rights can reasonably be expected to harass the customer. *See* Wis. Admin. Code DFI-Bkg § 74.16(9) ("Oppressive and deceptive practices prohibited.") (prohibiting licensed Collection Agencies from engaging in conduct that "can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer, including conduct which violates the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act"); *see also Flood v. Mercantile Adjustment Bureau, LLC*, 176 P.3d 769, 776 (Colo. Jan. 22, 2008) (communicating that a consumer's rights would be preserved through oral communication effectively misleads the consumer into delaying the transmission of the consumer's written request for the verifying documentation, thereby causing the loss of valuable consumer rights violated state statute forbidding harassing, abusive, misleading, and unfair debt collection practices).

75. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(j) states that a debt collector may not: "Claim, or attempt or threaten to enforce a right with knowledge or reason to know that the right does not exist."

76. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(L) states that a debt collector may not: "Threaten action against the customer unless like action is taken in regular course or is intended with respect to the particular debt."

COUNT I - FDCPA

77. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

78. Count I is brought on behalf of Plaintiff Fleenor.

79. <u>Exhibit B</u> includes false, deceptive, and misleading representation because it threatens to impose interest, late fees, and other charges despite the fact that neither GCS nor the original creditor intended to assess such charges.

80. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(a), 1692e(5), 1692e(10), 1692f, 1692f(1), 1692g(a)(3), 1692g(a)(4), and 1692g(a)(5).

COUNT II - WCA

81. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

82. Count II is brought on behalf of Plaintiff Fleenor.

83. <u>Exhibit B</u> threatens to impose interest, late fees, and other charges despite the fact that neither GCS nor the original creditor intended to assess such charges.

84. GCS is licensed as a Collection Agency pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 218.04 and Wis. Admin. Code Ch. DFI-Bkg. 74.

85. <u>Exhibit A</u> violates the FDCPA.

86. Defendant violated Wis. Stat. §§ 427.104(1)(g), 427.104(1)(h), and 427.104(1)(L).

COUNT III - FDCPA

87. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

88. Count III is brought on behalf of Plaintiff Wolf.

89. Exhibit B misstates the amount owed to the creditor at the time the letter was sent.

90. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(a), 1692e(10), 1692f, and 1692g(a).

COUNT IV - WCA

91. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

92. Count IV is brought on behalf of Plaintiff Wolf.

93. Exhibit B misstates the amount owed to the creditor at the time the letter was sent.

94. GCS is licensed as a Collection Agency pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 218.04 and Wis.

Admin. Code Ch. DFI-Bkg. 74.

95. <u>Exhibit B</u> violates the FDCPA.

96. Defendant violated Wis. Stat. §§ 427.104(1)(g), 427.104(1)(h), and 427.104(1)(j).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

97. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of two classes.

98. Class I consists of (a) all natural persons in the United States, (b) who were sent a collection letter in the form represented by <u>Exhibit A</u> to the complaint in this action, (c) for an alleged debt incurred for personal, family, or household purposes, (d) mailed between July 10, 2017 and July 10, 2018, inclusive, (e) and not returned by the postal service.

99. Class II consists of (a) all natural persons in the State of Wisconsin, (b) who were sent a collection letter in the form represented by <u>Exhibit A</u> to the complaint in this action, (c) for

an alleged debt incurred for personal, family, or household purposes, (d) mailed between July 10, 2017 and July 10, 2018, inclusive, (e) and not returned by the postal service.

100. Class III consists of (a) all natural persons in the United States, (b) who were sent a collection letter in the form represented by <u>Exhibit B</u> to the complaint in this action, (c) attempting to collect an amount in excess of the amount owed to the creditor, (d) for an alleged debt incurred for personal, family, or household purposes, (e) mailed between July 10, 2017 and July 10, 2018, inclusive, (f) and not returned by the postal service.

101. Class IV consists of (a) all natural persons in the State of Wisconsin, (b) who were sent a collection letter in the form represented by <u>Exhibit B</u> to the complaint in this action, (c) attempting to collect an amount in excess of the amount owed to the creditor, (d) for an alleged debt incurred for personal, family, or household purposes, (e) mailed between July 10, 2017 and July 10, 2018, inclusive, (f) and not returned by the postal service.

102. Each class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, there are more than 50 members of each class.

103. There are questions of law and fact common to the members of each of the classes, which common questions predominate over any questions that affect only individual class members. The predominant common question is whether <u>Exhibits A and B</u> violate the FDCPA and the WCA.

104. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of their respective class members. All are based on the same factual and legal theories.

105. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the members of each class. Plaintiffs have retained counsel experienced in consumer credit and debt collection abuse cases.

106. A class action is superior to other alternative methods of adjudicating this dispute. Individual cases are not economically feasible.

JURY DEMAND

107. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and

the Class and against Defendant for:

- (a) actual damages;
- (b) statutory damages;
- (c) attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit; and
- (d) such other or further relief as the Court deems proper.

Dated: July 10, 2018

ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP

By: /s/ Mark A. Eldridge John D. Blythin (SBN 1046105) Mark A. Eldridge (SBN 1089944) Jesse Fruchter (SBN 1097673) Ben J. Slatky (SBN 1106892) 3620 East Layton Avenue Cudahy, WI 53110 (414) 482-8000 (414) 482-8001 (fax) jblythin@ademilaw.com meldridge@ademilaw.com jfruchter@ademilaw.com

EXHIBIT A

Case 2:18-cv-01055 Filed 07/10/18 Page 1 of 3 Document 1-1

CDGCSV70 057 PO Box 857 Oaks PA 19456-0857 RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

February 8, 2018

G ^{GC}

GC Services Limited Partnership



Please call: 800-691-3307 Calls may be monitored or recorded

6ª2

CORRESPONDENCE AND PAYMENT MAILING ADDRESS:

PO BOX 3855 HOUSTON TX 77253

YOU OWE: CITIBANK, N.A	GC NUMBER: 0096

PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN UPPER PORTION OF STATEMENT WITH PAYMENT

February 8, 2018

File Number: **ENDING 2202** Client Account Number: **ENDING 2202** New Balance: **\$2,445.76** Minimum Payment Due: **\$2,445.76**

RE: BESTBUY VISA

Dear ROBERT G FLEENOR,

Have you received your tax refund yet? If so, we believe that this is an excellent time to pay the minimum payment due on your account with CITIBANK, N.A using funds received from your tax refund.

We encourage you to contact us at 800-691-3307 to discuss payment options.

If you are making a payment, please send it along with the top portion of this notice to the post office box listed above, and, if paying by check, make your check payable to "CITIBANK, N.A".

Sincerely,

Rudy Vargas Account Representative

If you would prefer, you can make a payment on your account using a debit card by going to our website at <u>www.gcpayonline.com</u> or calling us at 844-694-2082. Use the following number to identify yourself when prompted:

* As of the date of this letter, you owe \$2,445.76. Because of interest, late charges, and other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount owed on the day you pay may be greater. Hence, if you pay the amount shown above, an adjustment may be necessary after we receive your payment, in which event we will inform you.

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT CONSUMER INFORMATION

GC Services Limited Partnership – 6330 Gulfton, Houston, TX 77081 Case 2:18-0185032055 crffil-grax07/1285837342030962 of 38032466cument 1-1 Federal and state law prohibit certain methods of debt collection, and require that we treat you fairly. If you have a complaint about the way we are collecting your debt, please visit our website at www.gcserv.com or contact the FTC online at www.ftc.gov; by phone at 1-877-FTC-HELP; or by mail at 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20580. If you want information about your rights when you are contacted by a debt collector, please contact the FTC online at www.ftc.gov.

Wisconsin Residents: This collection agency is licensed by the Division of Banking in the Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions, www.wdfi.org.

Exhibit B

Case 2:18-cv-01055 Filed 07/10/18 Page 1 of 3 Document 1-2

CDGCSV70 023 PO Box 1280 Oaks PA 19456-1280 ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

May 7, 2018



GC Services Limited Partnership



Please call: 800-749-0417 Calls may be monitored or recorded

CORRESPONDENCE AND PAYMENT MAILING ADDRESS:

011567

PO BOX 3346 HOUSTON TX 77253

YOU OWE: QVC, INC.	GC NUMBER:	0729

PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN UPPER PORTION OF STATEMENT WITH PAYMENT

May 7, 2018

File Number: **118**8766 Client Account Number: 0071783682 Balance Due: \$44.31

Dear MARIA WOLF,

We are writing to let you know that your account with QVC, INC., with an overdue balance of \$44.31, has been referred to us.

We understand you may not be able to pay the entire balance in one payment. We are here to work with you to find a mutually agreeable solution. We invite you to contact us so that we can discuss your particular financial circumstances, as well as opportunities our client may have available for you. Please contact us at 800-749-0417 to discuss payment options that may be available to you on your account.

However, if you are able to pay the balance due at this time, please send us your payment using the enclosed envelope.

We look forward to helping you resolve your account. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Aaron Farkas Account Representative

If you would prefer, you can make a payment on your account using a credit or debit card by going to our website at <u>www.gcpayonline.com</u> or calling us at 866-294-0068. Use the following number to identify yourself when prompted:

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT CONSUMER INFORMATION

GC Services Limited Partnership – 6330 Gulfton, Houston, TX 77081 0222-38 COR-CDP1 749218126030729 44991886

Case 2:18-cv-01055 Filed 07/10/18 Page 2 of 3 Document 1-2

GC Services Limited Partnership

Consumer Information:

Unless you, within thirty (30) days after your receipt of this letter, dispute the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, we will assume the debt to be valid. If you notify us in writing within the above described thirty (30) day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, we will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against you and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to you. Additionally, upon your written request within the above described thirty (30) day period, we will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if it is different than the current creditor.

The request for you to pay the balance owed in this letter does not reduce your rights to dispute this debt, or any portion thereof, and/or to request verification within the thirty (30) day period as set forth above.

Federal and state law prohibit certain methods of debt collection, and require that we treat you fairly. If you have a complaint about the way we are collecting your debt, please visit our website at www.gcserv.com or contact the FTC online at www.ftc.gov; by phone at 1-877-FTC-HELP; or by mail at 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20580. If you want information about your rights when you are contacted by a debt collector, please contact the FTC online at www.ftc.gov.

Wisconsin Residents: This collection agency is licensed by the Division of Banking in the Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions, www.wdfi.org.

Exhibit C

Case 2:18-cv-01055 Filed 07/10/18 Page 1 of 2 Document 1-3

customer service



May 2, 2018

Maria Wolf 4460 S Vermont Ave Saint Francis, WI 53235

RE: Your Easy Pay is past due - immediate response requested

AMOUNT PAST DUE: \$29.54

Payment is due for one or more Easy Pay installments that could not be processed. Cards decline for reasons such as a new expiration date or security code, a replacement card being issued, or no available credit.

- * Another credit or debit card must be used to pay this balance. You can update your card on QVC.com to process the payment.
- * On QVC.com, sign in to your Order Status. Select "Easy Pay" to sort orders quickly and look for the "Pay" link to edit and update your payment method.

For assistance, you can always contact QVC Customer Service at 1-800-367-9444. We can help you update your payment method.

QVC accepts check payments, too. Make checks payable to QVC, including your phone number on the check. Send to: PO Box 2254, West Chester PA, 19380.

Your prompt response is required to prevent your debt being sent to a collection agency. We appreciate your attention, and we thank you if you have already paid the past due balance.

Sincerely,

QVC Payments Team

RECEIPT #

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the inforby local rules of court. This form, appr the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	oved by the Judicial C	Conference of the United			required f	for the use of the Clerk of			
Place an X in the appropriate Box:		Bay Division			☑ Mil	waukee Division			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ROBERT FLEENO	OR and MARI	A WOLF		DEFENDANT GC SERVI		LIMITED PART	NERSHII)	
(b) County of Residence of First (EXCEPT I	Listed Plaintiff NU.S. PLAINTIFF CAS			County of Residen		st Listed Defendant N U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES (ONLY)		
					AND CON	NDEMNATION CASES, US LVED.	SE THE LOCATI	ON OF TH	IE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address Ademi & O'Reilly, LLP, 3620 E. L. (414) 482-8000-Telephone (414) 4	ayton Ave., Cudahy, WI 5			Attorneys (If Know	vn)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	ON (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OI	F PRIN	CIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in	One Box fo	r Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 3	Federal Question (U.S. Government N	ot a Party)		(For Diversity Cases On on of This State	PTF	DEF I Incorporated or Pri of Business In This	-	for Defend PTF 4	lant) DEF 4
2 U.S. Government 4 Defendant	-	of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2	2 Incorporated and P of Business In A	*	5	5
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Plac	a an "V" in One Pox On	w)		en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3 Foreign Nation		6	6
CONTRACT	TOR		FO	RFEITURE/PENALT	ГҮ	BANKRUPTCY	OTHEI	R STATUT	TES
120 Marine 310 130 Miller Act 315 140 Negotiable Instrument 320 & Enforcement of Judgment 320 & Enforcement of Judgment 330 151 Medicare Act 330 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans 340 (Excl. Veterans) 345 160 Stockholders' Suits 355 190 Other Contract 360 195 Contract Product Liability 360 106 Franchise CI 210 Land Condemnation 444 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 443 240 Torts to Land 444 290 All Other Real Property 444	Airplane Product Liability Assault, Libel & Slander Federal Employers' Liability Marine Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Othe 555 Prison Condition	$ \begin{array}{c} \hline & \hline & 0 \\ \hline & 0 \\ \hline \hline \hline & 0 \\ \hline \hline \hline & 0 \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline & 0 \\ \hline \hline$	0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 83 0 Liquor Laws 0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs. 0 Occupational Safety/Health 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relation 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relation 0 Labor/Mgmt. Reportin, & Disclosure Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Applica 3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 5 Other Immigration Actions	81 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	22 Appeal 28 USC 158 23 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 20 Copyrights 30 Patent 40 Trademark OCIAL SECURITY 61 HIA (1395ff) 62 Black Lung (923) 63 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 64 SSID Title XVI 65 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 71 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 480 Consu: 490 Cable/ 810 Selecti 850 Securi 857 Custor 891 Agricu 892 Econo 893 Enviro 894 Energy 895 Freedo Act 900Appeal 	st and Bankin erce ation eer Influent t Organizat ner Credit Sat TV ve Service ies/Commo ge ter Challen 2 3410 Statutory A. Itural Acts nic Stabiliz nimental M A Ilocation m of Inform of Fee Dett Equal Acce ce utionality c	eed and ions odities/ ge ctions cation Act atters a Act nation ermination css
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION B	from 3 F rt 3 F ite the U.S. Civil Stat 5 U.S.C. 1692 et seq rief description of can iolation of Fair Debt C	Appellate Court ute under which you ar use: ollection Practices Act a S A CLASS ACTION	Reop re filing (and Wisco	Do not cite jurisdic	ransferrec nother dis pecify) :tional st		rict 1 7	Appeal to Judge fro Magistrat Judgment	m te t
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			I	OOCKET NUMBER			
DATE July 10, 2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of att /s/ Mark A.							

- ^{AMOUNT}Case 2:18-cv-01055 Filed 07/10/18 Page 1 of 2 Document 1-4

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

 VI.
 Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes

 unless diversity.
 Example:
 U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

))
ROBERT FLEENOR and MARIA WOLF)
Plaintiff(s))
V.) Civil Action No. 18-cv-1055
GC SERVICES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)))
)
Defendant(s))

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

GC SERVICES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP c/o C T CORPORATION SYSTEM 301 S. Bedford St. Suite 1 Madison , WI 53703

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you receive it) – or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(2) or (3) – you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: John D. Blythin Ademi & O'Beilly, LLP

Ademi & O'Reilly, LLP 3620 East Layton Avenue Cudahy, WI 53110

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

STEPHEN C. DRIES, CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 18-cv-1055

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(l))

This summons and the attached complaint for (name of individual and title, if any):

□ I personally served	the summons and the attached con	iplaint on the individual at (place):	
		On (date)	; or
\Box I left the summons	and the attached complaint at the in	ndividual's residence or usual place of a	abode with (name
	, a p	person of suitable age and discretion wh	o resides there.
on (date)	, and mailed a copy	to the individual's last known address;	or
\Box I served the summer	ons and the attached complaint on (name of individual)	
who is designated by la	aw to accept service of process on l	behalf of (name of organization)	
		on (date)	; or
\Box I returned the sum	nons unexecuted because		
			7 -
Other (specify):			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is	true.	
		Server's signature	
		server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
		Printed name and title	
		Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Two Plaintiffs Sue GC Services in Wisconsin Over Allegedly Misleading Collection Letters</u>