UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANNA FISHMAN, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

MRS BPO, LLC

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff ANNA FISHMAN (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a New York resident, brings this class action complaint by and through her attorneys, Cohen & Mizrahi LLP, against Defendants MRS BPO, LLC (hereinafter "Defendant"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws . . . [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using

abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers seeking redress for Defendant's actions of using a misleading, deceptive, unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.
- 6. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
- 7. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New York, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- 9. Defendant is a collection agency with an office maintained in Cherry Hill, New Jersey.
- 10. Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile, and regularly engages in business, the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 11. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 12. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP")
 Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following consumer class (the "Class"):
 - Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all
 persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant
 attempted to collect a consumer debt using the same unlawful form letter herein,
 from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.
 - The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
- 13. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
 - Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons who have received debt collection letters and/or notices from Defendant that violate specific provisions of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining of a standard form letter and/or notice that is sent to hundreds of persons (*See Exhibit A*, except that the undersigned attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 partially redacted the financial account numbers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy);
 - There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which
 predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These
 common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
 - a. Whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA;
 - Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by Defendant's conduct;
 - c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are

entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and

- d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication
 of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are
 likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If Defendant's conduct is allowed to proceed without remedy, it will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of its ill-gotten gains.

 Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

ALLEGATIONS PARTICULAR TO ANNA FISHMAN

- 14. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "13" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 15. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone, facsimile, and Internet.
- 16. Upon information and belief, within the last year Defendant commenced efforts to collect an alleged consumer "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5), when it mailed a Collection Letter to Plaintiff seeking to collect on an unpaid account allegedly owed to Chase Bank USA, N.A.
- 17. On or around November 7, 2017, Defendant sent Plaintiff a collection letter (hereinafter, the "Letter"). *See* Exhibit A.
- 18. The Letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant as a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 19. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).
- 20. The Letter states in pertinent part: "If we settle this debt with you for less than the full outstanding balance, Chase may offer you less favorable terms in the future for some Chase products or services, or may deny your application."
- 21. As a result of the following Counts, Defendant violated the FDCPA.

First Count

15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692e(2)(A) & 1692f

Defendant's Letter Dated November 7, 2017 Falsely Implies That Paying The Debt

Claimed In Full Rather Than Accepting A Settlement Will Enhance The Consumer's <u>Likelihood Of Receiving Future Credit Products, And Will Lead To Improved</u> Creditworthiness

- 22. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "21" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 23. Sections 1692e, 1692e(10), and 1692e(2)(A), of Title 15 of the U.S. Code, prohibit false, misleading or deceitful statements in collection communications.
- 24. Section 1692f prohibits debt collectors from using unconscionable or unfair means in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 25. A collection notice that may confuse or mislead the least sophisticated consumer is deceptive under the FDCPA, such as where "it can be reasonably read to have two or more different meanings, one of which is inaccurate." *Russell v. Equifax A.R.S.*, 74 F.3d 30, 35 (2d Cir. 1996); *accord Brown v. Card Serv. Ctr.*, 464 F.3d 450, 455 (3rd Cir. 2006); *Kistener v. Law Offices of Michael P. Margelefsky, LLC*, 518 F.3d 433, 441 (6th Cir. 2008); *Gonzales v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, 660 F.3d 1055 (9th Cir. 2011) (conditional language on liability such as "may" or "if" may render a true statement misleading).
- 26. According to guidance published by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (hereinafter "CFPB"), a debt collector's representation to a consumer that paying debts may improve the consumer's creditworthiness or "enhance the likelihood that a consumer will subsequently receive credit from a lender" may be deceptive. CFPB Bulletin 2013-08 Representations Regarding Effect of Debt Payments on Credit Reports and Scores (July 10, 2013). available at: http://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/201307_cfpb_bulletin_collections-consumer-credit.pdf.
- 27. "The CFPB has authority to issue substantive rules for debt collection under the FDCPA."

- Zweigenhaft v. Receivables Performance Mgmt., LLC, No. 14 CV 01074 (RJD)(JMA), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160441, at *9 n.2 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 13, 2014).
- 28. Courts appropriately consider guidance in CFPB Bulletins and other publications to determine whether a given statement or communication violates the FDCPA. See, e.g., Zweigenhaft, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160441; Bautz v. ARS Nat'l Servs., 226 F. Supp. 3d 131, 148 n.7 (E.D.N.Y. 2016); Portalatin v. Blatt, 125 F. Supp. 3d 810, 816 (N.D. III. 2015) (citing Jerman v. Carlisle, McNellie, Rini, Kramer & Ulrich, L.P.A., 559 U.S. 573, 130 S. Ct. 1605 (2010)). Carter v. First Nat'l Collection Bureau, Inc., 135 F. Supp. 3d 565, 573 (S.D. Tex. 2015); Buchanan v. Northland Grp., 776 F.3d 393, 398 (6th Cir. 2015); Oberg v. Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore, LLC, No. 14 C 7369, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 172439, at *9 (N.D. III. Dec. 29, 2015).
- 29. Courts frequently adjure debt collectors to look to consumer protection agencies for compliance with the FDCPA, as the rules, guidance and advisory opinions issued by these agencies are supported by extensive scientific studies and research to determine whether certain collection practices are likely to deceive the least sophisticated consumer. *See, e.g.*, *Bautz*, 226 F. Supp.3d at 148 n.7; *Portalatin*, 125 F. Supp. 3d at 816 (*citing Jerman*, 559 U.S. 573 (2010)) ("the whole point of authorizing the CFPB to produce advisory opinions is to encourage debt collectors to seek CFPB guidance regarding the meaning of the FDCPA."); *Hasenmiller*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 172439, at *9 (Section 1692k(e) "provides that a debt collector that acts in reliance on a CFPB advisory opinion cannot be held liable even if the CFPB advisory opinion is later rescinded or reversed, either by the agency or by judicial decision").
- 30. Defendant's November 7, 2017 letter is misleading and deceptive viewed from the

- perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, in that it implies that the consumer may enhance her likelihood of approval for credit products by paying the claimed debt in full rather than the reduced settlement amount.
- 31. The language at issue states: "If we settle this debt with you for less than the full outstanding balance, Chase may offer you less favorable terms in the future for some Chase products or services, or may deny your application."
- 32. This language falsely implied that if the Plaintiff does the converse -- that is, pays the claimed balance in full rather than agreeing to the lesser settlement amount -- Plaintiff could enhance her likelihood of receiving future credit products from Chase Bank or improve her overall creditworthiness.
- 33. On information and belief, Plaintiff's payment in full of the amount claimed would not have enhanced her likelihood of obtaining Chase credit products or services in the future, nor would it have improved her overall creditworthiness.
- 34. Thus, Defendant's November 7, 2017 Letter violates Section 1692e(10) of the FDCPA when viewed from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer," by falsely implying that payment in-full (rather than settlement) of the claimed debt would have enhanced her likelihood of receiving future credit products or enhanced her overall creditworthiness.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and Cohen & Mizrahi LLP, as Class Counsel;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;

- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Daniel Cohen Daniel Cohen, Esq. Cohen & Mizrahi LLP 300 Cadman Plaza W, 12th floor Brooklyn, New York 11201 Phone: (929) 575-4175

Fax: (929) 575-4195 Email: dan@cml.legal Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Daniel Cohen
Daniel Cohen, Esq.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

February 14, 2018

 $_{
m JS~44~(Rev.~11/27/17)}$ Case 1:18-cv-01016 Document 1-1 Villa Page 1 of 2 Page 1 of

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil decket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PACE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF T	HIS FORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS				
ANNA FISHMA similarly situated	N, on behalf of her	self and all others	MRS BPO, LLC				
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff	— Nassau County	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant			
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 1	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)	Attorneys (If Known)				
COHEN & MIZRAHI LLP, 929-575-4175	300 Cadman Plz W, 12	2 Fl., Brooklyn, NY 11	201,				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P. (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintig and One Box for Defendant)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	`		P	IF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In T	PTF DEF rincipal Place		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	•		Citizen of Another State	2			
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		aly) ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	□ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability □ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	□ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability	LABOR	☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations		
 □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise 	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL PROPERTY □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	■ 480 Consumer Credit		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS Habous Corpus	790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act ☐ 896 Arbitration		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Other	☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 465 Other Immigration	or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	■ 896 Arbitration ■ 896 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ■ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	Other 448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Actions				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i							
	moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court		erred from			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	15 LISC 1602	•	iling (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless diversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN	Defendant violate THE CHECK IF THIS	ed the FDCPA IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.		JURY DEMAND:	Yes □No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 2/14/2018		signature of attor /s/ Daniel Cohen	RNEY OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUL	DGE		

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, DANIEL				, counsel for PLAINTIFF			, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action	
	–	sory arbitration	for the follow	ving reason(s):				
Ļ	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,							
F	the	complaint seeks	injunctive rel	ief,				
Ŀ	the	matter is otherw	rise ineligible f	for the following reason	Question of I	aw ra	ather than questions of fact predominates	
		DISCLO	SURE ST	ATEMENT - FED	ERAL RULE	S C	CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1	
NONE	Ξ	Identify an	y parent corpo	oration and any publicly	held corporation	that o	owns 10% or more or its stocks:	
		RELAT	ED CASE	STATEMENT (S	ection VIII o	n th	ne Front of this Form)	
to another substantial deemed "re "Presumpti	civil case for pur I saving of judicia elated" to anothe	poses of this guide al resources is likely r civil case merely	line when, becay to result from a because the civ	ause of the similarity of facts assigning both cases to the ril case: (A) involves identic	s and legal issues or same judge and ma al legal issues, or (E	r beca agistra 3) invo	ont of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" use the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a ate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be lives the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still	
portaing be	sioro uno ocuri.		NY-	E DIVISION OF B	USINESS RUI	LE <u>5</u>	60.1(d)(2)	
1.)	Is the civil a County?	action being f	iled in the l Yes	Eastern District rem No	noved from a N	New	York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk	
2.)		vered "no" ab events or omi		ng rise to the claim No	or claims, or a	a suk	ostantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk	
	b) Did the of District?	events or omi	ssions givi Yes	ng rise to the claim No	or claims, or a	a suk	ostantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern	
	c) If this is a received:	a Fair Debt Co		etice Act case, specify.	y the County in	whic	ch the offending communication was	
	County, or, in		er a <u>cti</u> on, dog				nts, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or	
Ourion					ounty in which i	it has	s the most significant contacts).	
	BAR ADMISSION							
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.							
			Υe		· 	7	No	
	Are you cu	<u> </u>			(s) in this or a	any d	other state or federal court?	
			Υe	es (If yes, please	explain	7	No	
	I certify the	accuracy of	all informat	ion provided above).			
	Signature	/e/ Dar	niel Cohen					

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York						
ANNA FISHMAN, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,)))					
Plaintiff(s)						
v.	Civil Action No.					
MRS BPO, LLC)))					
Defendant(s))					
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION						
To: (Defendant's name and address) MRS BPO, LLC C/O NATIONAL CORPORATE RESEARCH, LTD. 10 EAST 40TH STREET 10TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10016						
A lawsuit has been filed against you.						
are the United States or a United States agency, or an or P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an	VEST					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the cour	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.					
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT					
Date:						
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk					

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)							
was re	ceived by me on (date)								
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individua	al at (place)						
			on (date)						
	☐ I left the summons	I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)							
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who reside							
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)								
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on be	ehalf of (name of organization)						
			on (date)						
	☐ I returned the sumn	; or							
	☐ Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.								
Date:									
			Server's signature						
			Printed name and title						
			Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:



S-SFMRSA11 P7LAVY00301001 - 531430831 I01002 Return Address : MRS BPO, L.L.C. 1930 OLNEY AVE. CHERRY HILL, NJ 08003

PRESCRT FIRST-CLASS U.S. POSTAGE & FEES PAID HOV SERVICES

րդուկյուկիրըիրեցուուկկիցիուկիցիութվեցի

VALLEY STREAM NY 11581-2723

Send Payment/Correspondence to: MRS Associates 1930 OLNEY AVE. CHERRY HILL, NJ 08003 866-809-7025

Office Hours :

Monday - Thursday 9am - 9pm ET 9am - 5pm ET Friday

CREDITOR: CHASE BANK USA N.A. MRS ACCT#: CREDITOR ACCT#: xxxxxxxxxxx2141 ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$4,566.48

November 7, 2017

We recognize that a possible hardship or pitfall may have prevented you from satisfying your obligation. We are presenting three options to resolve your balance. We are not obligated to renew this offer.

Option 1: A monthly payment plan on the full balance of the account.

Option 2: You pay \$1,369.94 in ONE PAYMENT to be received in this office on or before 11/29/2017.

Option 3: You make TWO PAYMENTS of \$913.30 each. The first payment to be received in this office on or before 11/29/2017 and the second payment on or before 12/29/2017.

Payment may be made by calling 866-809-7025, mailing to the above address or by using our online payment website at https://mrspay.webview.com (internet connection required).

When you call please let our representative know that you have received the CHASE BANK USA N.A. Option Letter.

Sincerely.

MRS Associates 868-809-7025 MRS Associates is a trade name of MRS BPO, L.L.C. LU4.3484049.9703803

This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.
This communication is from a debt collector.

If we settle this debt with you for less than the full outstanding balance, Chase may offer you less favorable terms in the future for some Chase products or services, or may deny your application.

NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS:

New York City Department of Consumer Affairs, license number 1292105, 1292103.

MRS Associates contact: Lee White - Mon - Fri 9 AM - 5 PM ET (800) 716-6429.

STL002wWP

3402

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Claims MRS BPO Made False Statements to Discourage Debt Settlement</u>