

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Fisher, P.A., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-v-

Bank of America, N.A.; Bank of America, Corp.; Fifth Third Bank; Fifth Third Bancorp.; First Citizens Bank & Trust Company; Truist Financial Corp.; Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; Community First Bank, Inc.; Community First Bancorp, Inc.

Defendants.

Case No. _____

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR
DECLARATORY RELIEF AND
DAMAGES**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Fisher, P.A. (“Plaintiff”) brings this class action complaint on behalf of itself and those similarly situated against defendants Bank of America, N.A.; Bank of America, Corp.; Fifth Third Bank; Fifth Third Bancorp.; First Citizens Bancshares; First Citizens Bank & Trust Company; Truist Financial Corp.; Wells Fargo &Co.; Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; Community First Bank, Inc.; Community First Bancorp, Inc. (hereinafter “Defendants”), to obtain fees owed to Plaintiff as a result of its work as an agent to assist small business borrowers (the “Applicants”) in getting federally guaranteed loans through the Paycheck Protection Program (“PPP”), a federal program implemented to provide small businesses with loans to combat the economic impact of COVID-19. Federal regulations require Defendants to pay Plaintiff and the proposed Class for their work as agents who facilitated loans between Defendants and small businesses. Despite precise regulatory requirements stating that agent fees are owed to Plaintiff, Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class Members. Instead, Defendants have kept the agent fees for themselves. Plaintiff alleges the following based upon its knowledge and upon information and belief, including investigations conducted by its attorneys.

I. PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Fisher, P.A. (“Fisher”), is a professional association organized and authorized to do business, and doing business, in the State of North Carolina since 2014. Emily

A. Fisher is the owner of Fisher and is a licensed CPA in good standing since 2013. Fisher is located in Charlotte, North Carolina, and is CPA firm specializing in business advisory services, individual and business tax compliance, and outsourced accounting solutions. Although Plaintiff assisted its clients with preparing their application(s) for a PPP loan from the Defendants, Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiff the agent fees Defendants owe Plaintiff for Plaintiff's work in securing the PPP loans.

2. Defendant Bank of America, N.A., ("BofA") is a federally chartered bank headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina. Through its network of branch locations, BofA conducts substantial business in the State and Western District of North Carolina. Plaintiff acted in the statutorily defined role of an agent in securing a PPP loan for at least three (3) Applicants from BofA in an amount of approximately four hundred forty-four thousand dollars (\$444,000.00). Although the Applicant's PPP loan was funded by BofA, based on information and belief, BofA has taken custody of the money owed to Plaintiff from the Federal Government, yet failed to pay Plaintiff the statutorily required agent fees that Plaintiff is owed.

3. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant Bank of America Corp. ("BAC") is a Delaware corporation and the parent company of Bank of America N.A. BAC is an American multinational investment bank and financial services company and headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina. Through its subsidiaries, BAC conducts substantial business within this District.

4. At all relevant times, Defendant Fifth Third Bank ("Fifth Third") is a subsidiary of Fifth Third Bancorp. Fifth Third is a full-service bank offering a wide selection of checking and savings accounts, CD's, investments and insurance products and loans. Fifth Third is headquartered in Cincinnati, Ohio and conducts substantial business in this District. Plaintiff acted in the statutorily defined role of an agent in securing PPP loans for at least one (1) Applicant from Fifth Third in an amount of approximately twelve thousand dollars (\$12,000.00). Although the Applicant's PPP loan was funded by Fifth Third, based on information and belief, Fifth Third has taken custody of the money owed to Plaintiff from the Federal Government, yet failed to pay Plaintiff the statutorily required agent fees that Plaintiff is owed.

5. At all relevant times, Defendant Fifth Third Bancorp is an Ohio corporation and parent company of Fifth Third Bank. Fifth Third Bancorp is a banking holding company. Fifth Third Bancorp is headquartered in Cincinnati, Ohio and conducts substantial business in this District.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant First Citizens Bancshares, Inc. operated as First Citizens Bank & Trust Company (“First Citizens”) as a North Carolina state-chartered banking institution with its headquarters located in Raleigh, North Carolina. First Citizens is authorized to conduct business under the laws of the State of North Carolina. First Citizens conducts substantial business within this District. Plaintiff acted in the statutorily defined role of an agent in securing PPP loans for at least two (2) Applicants from First Citizens in an amount of approximately one hundred seventy-six thousand dollars (\$176,000.00). Although each of the Applicants’ PPP loan was funded by First Citizens, based on information and belief, First Citizens has taken custody of the money owed to Plaintiff from the Federal Government, yet failed to pay Plaintiff the statutorily required agent fees that Plaintiff is owed

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Truist Financial Corp., (“Truist”) is a North Carolina corporation and is headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina. Through its subsidiaries, Truist conducts substantial business within this District. In December 2019, BB&T Corporation and SunTrust Banks, Inc. merged to form Truist. Upon information and belief, Truist conducts substantial business within this District. Plaintiff acted in the statutorily defined role of an agent in securing PPP loans for at least one (1) Applicant from Truist in an amount of approximately nine hundred twenty-five thousand dollars (\$925,000.00). Although the Applicants’ PPP loan was funded by Truist, based on information and belief, Truist has taken custody of the money owed to Plaintiff from the Federal Government, yet failed to pay Plaintiff the statutorily required agent fees that Plaintiff is owed.

8. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant Wells Fargo & CO. is a Delaware corporation and the parent company of Wells Fargo Bank N.A. (collectively “Wells Fargo”). Wells Fargo is an American multinational financial services company headquartered in San Francisco, California. Through its subsidiaries, Wells Fargo conducts

substantial business within this District. Plaintiff acted in the statutorily defined role of an agent in securing PPP loans for at least one (1) Applicant from Wells Fargo in an amount of approximately twelve thousand dollars (\$12,000.00). Although the Applicant's PPP loan was funded by Wells Fargo, based on information and belief, Wells Fargo has taken custody of the money owed to Plaintiff from the Federal Government, yet failed to pay Plaintiff the statutorily required agent fees that Plaintiff is owed.

9. Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. and its parent company Community First Bancorporation, Inc. (hereinafter collectively "Community First") together operate with a principal place of business in Walhalla, SC. Community First conducts substantial business in this District including but not limited to operations through its branch in Charlotte, NC. Plaintiff acted in the statutorily defined role of an agent in securing PPP loans for at least three (3) Applicants from Community First in an amount of approximately four hundred ten thousand dollars (\$410,000.00). Although each of the Applicants' PPP loan was funded by Community First, based on information and belief, Community First has taken custody of the money owed to Plaintiff from the Federal Government, yet failed to pay Plaintiff the statutorily required agent fees that Plaintiff is owed.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. The Court has original jurisdiction over this action under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. §1332(d), because this is a class action in which (1) at least some members of the proposed Class have different citizenship from Defendant(s); (2) the proposed class consists of more than 100 persons or entities; and (3) the claims of the proposed members of the Class exceed \$5,000,000 in the aggregate.

11. This Court also has original jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §1331 because the action arises under the laws of the United States, including the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act, the CARES Act (P.L. 116-136), and the SBA Regulations (as defined below).

12. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants do business in this District, and a substantial number of the events giving rise to the claims alleged

herein took place in this District.

13. The venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because Plaintiff's principal place of business is located in this District, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the alleged claims occurred in this District. Plaintiff, on behalf of its clients, applied for the PPP loans while in this District and Defendants, marketed, promoted, and took applications for the PPP loans in this District.

//

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Background

14. On January 21, 2020, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") confirmed the first U.S. case of a new coronavirus, known as COVID-19.

15. On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization ("WHO") declared the COVID-19 outbreak to be a "public health emergency of international concern."

16. On March 10, 2020, North Carolina Governor Roy Cooper issued an Executive Order declaring a State of Emergency in the State of North Carolina to prevent the spread of COVID-19.

17. On March 11, 2020, the WHO declared that the spread of COVID-19 had become a pandemic.

18. On March 13, 2020, President Trump issued the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Emergency Declaration applicable to the United States, which declared that the pandemic was of "sufficient severity and magnitude to warrant an emergency declaration for all states, territories and the District of Columbia."

19. The Trump Administration expressly recognized that with the COVID-19 emergency, "many small businesses nationwide are experiencing economic hardship as a direct result of the Federal, State, and local public health measures that are being taken to minimize the public's exposure to the virus." *See Business Loan Program Temporary Changes; Paycheck Protection Program*, 13 CFR Part 120, Interim Final Rule (the "SBA PPP Final Rule").

20. On March 25, 2020, in response to the economic damage caused by the COVID-

19 crisis, the United States Senate passed the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act, the CARES Act (P.L. 116-136). The CARES Act was passed by the House of Representatives the following day and signed into law by President Trump on March 27, 2020. This legislation included \$377 billion in federally-funded loans to small businesses and a \$500 billion governmental lending program, administered by the United States Department of Treasury (“Treasury”) and the Small Business Administration (“SBA”), a United States government agency that provides support to entrepreneurs and small businesses.

21. As part of the CARES Act, the Federal Government created a \$349 billion loan program, referred to as the Paycheck Protection Program or PPP, temporarily adding a new product to the SBA’s 7(a) Loan Program (“SBA 7(a) Program”).

22. The PPP provided small businesses with loans to be originated from February 15, 2020, through June 30, 2020. The PPP was created to provide American small businesses with eight-weeks¹ of cash-flow assistance and to allow a certain percentage of the loan to be forgiven if the loan is utilized to retain employees and fund payrolls. Although the loans are administered by the Treasury and backed by the Federal Government, the loans are funded by private lenders (“Lenders”), including banks and financial services firms, that review and approve PPP loan applications.

23. The Treasury announced on April 3, 2020, that small businesses and sole proprietors could fill out an application (the “Application”) to apply and receive loans to cover their payroll and other expenses through approved SBA Lenders. Beginning on April 10, 2020, independent contractors and self-employed individuals could apply as well.²

24. On April 24, 2020, President Trump signed the Paycheck Protection Program and Health Care Enhancement Act (“PPPEA”). The PPPEA added \$310 billion in PPP funding, bringing the total PPP funds available to lend to \$659 billion.

25. On June 5, 2020, President Trump signed the Paycheck Protection Program

¹ On June 5, 2020, the Paycheck Protection Program Flexibility Act of 2020 (Pub. L. 116-142), extended the eight-week period to twenty-four weeks.

² *Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) Information Sheet: Borrowers*, Dep’t of Treasury (last visited, June 18, 2020), <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/PPP--Fact-Sheet.pdf>

Flexibility Act of 2020 (“Flexibility Act”) (Pub. L. 116-142), which changes key provisions of the Paycheck Protection Program, including provisions relating to the maturity of PPP loans, the deferral of PPP loan payments, and the forgiveness of PPP loans. **The Flexibility Act did not change Defendants’ statutory duty to pay Plaintiff the Agent Fees Plaintiff is owed.**

26. The Treasury’s Paycheck Protect Program (PPP) Information Sheet for Lenders³ (the “PPP ISL”), consistent with the SBA PPP Final Rule (collectively, the “SBA Regulations”), describes a system to distribute the PPP loans that relies on established SBA Lenders – who approve and fund loan applicants – and the **addition** of independent agents (“PPP Agents”) – who provide small businesses with the necessary assistance enabling them to apply for a PPP loan.

27. Under the SBA Regulations, a PPP Agent “can be:

- An attorney;
- An accountant;
- A consultant;
- Someone who prepares an applicant’s application for financial assistance and is employed and compensated by the applicant;
- Someone who assists a lender with originating, disbursing, servicing, liquidating, or litigating SBA loans;
- A loan broker; or,
- Any other individual or entity representing an applicant by conducting business with the SBA.”⁴

28. Unlike the existing SBA 7(a) Program, the SBA Regulations expressly contemplate and encourage PPP Agents to assist small businesses with their Applications. The SBA Regulations allow for and set standards by which PPP Agents are to be paid for their work. **Specifically, the regulations require that PPP Agents be paid from a portion of the set fees provided to SBA Lenders for processing the PPP Loan.**

³ *Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) Information Sheet: Lenders*, Dep’t of Treasury (last visited, June 18, 2020), <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/PPP%20Lender%20Information%20Fact%20Sheet.pdf>

⁴ *Id.*

29. Before the passage of the CARES Act, **lenders were not compensated** by the SBA for originating SBA 7(a) Loans. Under the newly enacted SBA Regulations for PPP loans, Lenders are **generously compensated** for processing PPP loans (“Lender Fees”) based on the amount of the funded PPP loan. The SBA pays Lender Fees to Lenders who process PPP loans in the following amounts:

- Five percent (5%) for loans of not more than \$350,000;
- Three percent (3%) for loans of more than \$350,000 and less than \$2,000,000; and
- One percent (1%) for loans of at least \$2,000,000.⁵

30. The CARES Act states, “**Agent fees will be paid by the lender out of the fees the lender receives from SBA.** Agents may not collect fees from the **borrower or be paid out of the PPP loan proceeds.** The total amount that an agent may collect from the lender for assistance in preparing an application for a PPP loan ... may not exceed:

- One (1) percent for loans of not more than \$350,000;
- 0.50 percent for loans of more than \$350,000 and less than \$2 million; and
- 0.25 percent for loans of at least \$2 million.”⁶ (the “Agent Fees”).

31. Before the passage of the CARES Act, lenders and agents were **not** compensated by the SBA for originating SBA 7(a) Loans. That is why the CARES Act authorized the Treasury to establish limits on Agent Fees. The Treasury, “in consultation with the Secretary, **determined that the agent fee limits set forth above are reasonable based upon the application requirements and the fees that lenders receive for making PPP loans.**”⁷

32. In other words, when implementing the CARES Act, the Treasury determined that the best and quickest way to get the PPP loans to the small businesses was to establish **new** regulations where Lenders and PPP Agents work together to quickly and efficiently process Applications. To incentivize this relationship, the Lender and Agent were to split the Federal Government fees approximately 80% to be retained by the Lender and 20% to be forwarded to

⁵ 85 FR 20816 (3)(d).

⁶ 85 FR 20816 (4)(c).

⁷ *Id.* (Emphasis Added).

the Agent.

33. By assisting businesses in preparing their Applications for PPP funding, PPP Agents play a critical role in fulfilling the goals of the CARES Act and ensuring adherence to the United States Congress's legislative intent. Indeed, the Senate directed the Treasury to “**issue guidance to lenders and agents to ensure that the processing and disbursement of covered loans prioritizes small business concerns and entities in underserved and rural markets, including veterans and members of the military community, small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals..., women, and businesses in operation for less than 2 years.**”⁸

34. If not for the PPP Agents, tens of thousands of small businesses would have had difficulty or been unable to apply for PPP loans.

35. **Nowhere in the CARES Act or the SBA Regulations does the Federal Government state, or even suggest, that Lender's approval is required in order for an Applicant to use an Agent.**

36. Here, the Defendants are SBA approved Lenders. Plaintiff served as the PPP Agent for small businesses applying for the PPP loans provided by the Defendants and backed by the full faith and credit of the Federal Government.

37. Despite Plaintiff's important (and successful) work in assisting the Applicants with their Applications, Defendants have not paid Plaintiff the regulatorily required Agent Fees, but have instead retained the Agent Fee portion of the Lender Fees for itself.

38. Plaintiff has no other means of obtaining payment for the PPP Agent services it provided to its clients in securing their PPP loans. The SBA Regulations specifically prohibit PPP Agents from obtaining payment of any fees from the Applicants (i.e., Plaintiff's clients). The SBA Regulations require Plaintiff to be paid **only** by the Lender (i.e., Defendants) through the payment of a portion of the Lender Fees.

39. Upon information and belief, apart from Plaintiff's clients, Defendants funded PPP loans for other businesses and failed to pay the statutorily required Agent Fees to members

⁸ CARES ACT, PL 116-136, March 27, 2020, 134 Stat 281. (Emphasis Added.)

of the proposed Class that served as PPP Agents for other Applicants whose PPP loans were also funded by the Defendant.

40. Adding validity to the need to file this action, on May 27, 2020, United Community Banks, Inc. (“UCB”), received a civil investigative demand (“CID”) from the U.S. Department of Justice (the “DOJ”) pursuant to the False Claims Act. The CID directed UCB and its affiliated entities “to produce certain documents and respond to written interrogatories relating to the PPP loans approved by the Bank, the **Bank’s non-payment of fees to agents of borrowers** and the Bank’s policies related to payment or non-payment of agent fees.”⁹

//

*Plaintiff Assisted its Clients with Applying
for PPP Loans Under the CARES Act*

41. To assist its clients with preparing Applications for a PPP loan through Defendants, Plaintiff spent considerable time familiarizing itself with the CARES Act and the related SBA Regulations. In particular, relevant provisions include Section 1102, which permits the SBA to guarantee 100% of Section 7(a) loans under the PPP, and Section 1106 of the Act, which provides forgiveness of up to the full principal amount of qualifying loans guaranteed under the PPP.

42. Complying with the SBA Regulations, Plaintiff assisted Applicants in the PPP Application process. As contemplated by the Federal Government, such assistance contributed to the successful funding of the Applicants’ PPP loans with a Defendant.

43. Based on the SBA Regulations, Plaintiff understood that it was not allowed to charge its clients any fee relating to the Application process and that it was only permitted to receive compensation from the PPP Agents’ share of the Lender Fees the Federal Government entrusted to the Lenders for the PPP Agents benefit.

44. Plaintiff further understood that it was not entitled to the Agent Fees until the Lender received its Lender Fees. Based on information and belief, Defendants have received the

⁹ *United Community Banks, Inc., Form 8-K* (last visited June 18, 2020), <https://ir.ucbi.com/static-files/c7f8eaa8-d6bf-48e8-8ebc-a60c0bf3adea>. UCB is a named defendant in another lawsuit based on the same allegations in the Northern District of Georgia, 1:20-cv-02026-LMM.

Lender Fees for the Applicants Plaintiff assisted, thereby making the Agent Fees immediately due to Plaintiff.

45. To participate in the PPP, “Lenders **must** comply with the applicable lender obligations set forth in this [SBA PPP Final Rule]...”¹⁰.

46. Therefore, Plaintiff believed in good faith that Defendants would comply with the SBA Regulations and pay Plaintiff the statutorily required Agent Fees.

47. However, Defendants violated the SBA Final Rule because they did not pay Plaintiff the Agent Fees the Federal Government entrusted to the Defendants for the benefit of the Plaintiff. Instead, Defendants have illegally retained the Agent Fee portion of the Lender Fees.

48. Except for defendants BofA and BAC, a request for payment of the Agent Fees was made to each and every one of the other Defendants (the “Contacted Defendants”). The Contacted Defendants either refused to pay or failed to respond that they would pay Agent Fees.

49. Plaintiff has not submitted a request for Agent Fees to defendant BofA or BAC as they have publicly stated that they are not paying Agent Fees, and as such, a demand for payment to defendants BofA and BAC is futile.

50. Defendants, as Lenders under the PPP, lack any legal authority under the SBA Regulations to withhold payment of the Agent Fees to Plaintiff.

51. As a result of Defendants’ unlawful actions, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered financial harm by being deprived of the statutorily mandated compensation for the professional services they provided in their critical role as a PPP Agent, assisting Applicants in the preparation of their PPP application. Defendants barred Plaintiff from receiving compensation for their role as PPP Agents in the PPP process, which role resulted in significant benefits to both small businesses and the Lenders.

IV. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

52. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of itself, and all other similarly situated Class members pursuant to Rule 23(a), (b)(2), and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

¹⁰ 85 FR 20812 (1). (*Emphasis Added*).

and seeks certification of the following Nationwide Class:

All Agents who assisted a business in preparing an Application for a PPP loan pursuant to the CARES Act (the “Nationwide Class”).

53. To the extent that a Nationwide Class is not certified, in the alternative, Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of itself, and all other similarly situated Class members pursuant to Rule 23(a), (b)(2), and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and seeks certification of the following Statewide Class:

All Agents who assisted a business in North Carolina in preparing an Application for a PPP loan pursuant to the CARES Act (the “Statewide Class”).

The Statewide and Nationwide Class may hereafter be referred to as the “Class”.

54. For purposes of the Class definition, the term “Agent” has the same meaning as an “agent” under the SBA Regulations.

55. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand, limit, modify, or amend this Class definition, including the addition of one or more subclasses, in connection with Plaintiff’s motion for class certification, or any other time, based upon, *inter alia*, changing circumstances and/or new facts obtained during discovery.

56. The following are excluded from the Class and/or Subclass: (a) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this action and members of their families; (b) the officers, directors, or employees of Defendants; and (c) all persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Class.

57. *Numerosity*: The Class is composed of hundreds or thousands of Agents (the “Class Members”), whose joinder in this action would be impracticable. The disposition of their claims through this class action will benefit all Class Members, the parties, and the courts.

58. *Commonality and Predominance*: Common questions of law and fact affect the

Class. These questions of law and fact predominate over individual questions affecting individual Class Members and, include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Whether Plaintiff is an “agent” as that term is defined by the Cares Act and relevant regulations;
- b. Whether Defendants were obligated to pay Plaintiff and the Class Agent Fees from the Lender Fees it received under the CARES Act;
- c. Whether Defendants failed to pay Agent Fees they were required to pay;
- d. Whether Class Members are entitled to damages; and if so, in what amount;
- e. Whether Defendants are likely to continue to mislead the public and Class Members and continue to violate SBA Regulations regarding paying Agents their earned fees under the CARES Act;
- f. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to an award of reasonable attorney’s fees, pre-judgment interest and costs of suit; and
- g. Whether Defendants were unjustly enriched by their practice of refusing to pay Agent Fees.

59. *Superiority*: In engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendants have acted and/or failed to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and other Class Members. Such behavior requires the Court’s imposition of uniform relief to ensure compatible standards of conduct toward Class Members. A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of Plaintiff’s and the Class Members’ claims. Few, if any, Class Members could afford or would deem it economically reasonable to seek legal redress of the wrongs complained of herein on an individual basis. Absent a class action, Class Members would not likely recover, or have the chance to recover, and Defendants would be permitted to retain the fruits of their misdeeds. Any difficulties that might occur in the management of this proposed class action are insubstantial. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1)(A).

60. *Typicality*: Plaintiff’s claims are typical of, and are not antagonistic to, the claims of the other Class Members. Plaintiff and the Class Members have been injured by Defendants’ uniform, unfair and unlawful practice of denying PPP Agent Fees, as alleged herein.

The factual and legal basis of Defendants' liability to Plaintiff and each Class Member as a result of Defendants' actions are described herein.

61. *Adequacy*: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because it is a member of the Class, and Plaintiff's interests do not conflict with the interests of the other Class Members that Plaintiff seeks to represent. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the other Class Members. Plaintiff has retained counsel with substantial experience in litigating complex cases, including class actions. Both Plaintiff and its counsel will vigorously prosecute this action on behalf of the Class and have the financial ability to do so. Neither Plaintiff nor counsel has any interest adverse to other Class Members.

62. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants keep extensive computerized records of their loan applications through, *inter alia*, computerized loan application systems, and Federally-mandated record-keeping practices. Defendants have one or more databases through which all of the Applicants may be identified and ascertained, and it maintains contact information, including email and mailing addresses. From this information, the existence of the Class Members (i.e., the PPP Agent for the Applicant) can be determined, and thereafter, a notice of this action can be disseminated in accordance with due process requirements.

//

V. CAUSES OF ACTION
COUNT I
DECLARATORY RELIEF
AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

//

63. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

64. Plaintiff assisted its clients with the PPP Loan application process, allowed Defendants to secure customers for PPP lending, and satisfied all prerequisites for obtaining PPP Agent Fees. Defendants failed to pay Agent Fees owed to Plaintiff as required by the SBA Regulations. Instead, Defendants kept the Agent Fees for themselves, in direct violation of the

SBA Regulations.

65. An actual controversy has arisen between Plaintiff and Defendants as to the Agent Fees owed to Plaintiff by Defendants. Through their conduct of refusing to pay Agent Fees and otherwise, Defendants have denied that they owe the statutorily required Agent Fees to Plaintiff and the Class.

66. Plaintiff and the Class seek a declaration, in accordance with SBA Regulations and pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201, that Defendants are obligated to set aside money to pay, and to pay the Agent Fees the PPP Agents have earned for the work performed on behalf of their clients that received a PPP loan from the Defendants.

67. Plaintiff and the Class seek a declaration in accordance with the SBA Regulations that a portion of the Lender Fees paid to Defendants must be paid to Plaintiff and the Class.

//

COUNT II
UNJUST ENRICHMENT
AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

68. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

69. Plaintiff and the Class Members are PPP Agents who assisted small businesses in preparing their Application for a PPP loan from Defendants who, in turn, received a federal guarantee of repayment of the funds as well as a generous Lender Fee for each PPP loan from the U.S. Government.

70. To participate in the PPP, “Lenders **must** comply with the applicable lender obligations set forth in this [SBA PPP Final Rule]...”¹¹. Despite their efforts as PPP Agents, Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class Members the Agent Fees in violation of the SBA PPP Final Rule.

71. Instead, Defendants have retained the full amount of the Lender Fees from

¹¹ 85 FR 20812 (1). (Emphasis Added).

which the SBA Regulations require Agent Fees to be paid. Therefore, Defendants have unfairly retained fees intended to benefit and compensate Plaintiff and the Class for their efforts in promoting the interests of the CARES Act and ensuring small businesses receive PPP loans.

72. By holding themselves out as PPP lenders, Defendants' conduct requested Plaintiffs, and the Class Members, to assist Applicants with their PPP Applications and have the Applications submitted to Defendants for approval.

73. Defendants have been, and continue to be unjustly enriched, to the detriment and at the expense of the Class Members.

74. Defendants have unjustly benefitted through the illegal retention of the Agent Fee portion of the Lender Fees paid by the Federal Government to the Defendants for the benefit of the Plaintiff and the Class.

75. If Defendants' practice of retaining the full amount of Lender Fees despite the efforts of PPP Agents who, under the SBA Regulations, are entitled to a portion of the Lender Fees as Agent Fees, then the purpose and intent of the CARES Act would be upset because PPP Agents would receive no due compensation for assisting small businesses seeking a PPP Loan.

76. Plaintiff and the Class have no other means of obtaining compensation because **the SBA Regulations prohibit PPP Agents from receiving payment from any source other than the Lender Fees and expressly prohibit collecting any fees from the Applicants.**

77. Defendants' conduct willfully and intentionally negates the terms of the SBA Regulations by unilaterally refusing to forward to the PPP Agents the regulatorily required Agent Fees that the Federal Government entrusted to the Lenders. Defendants' actions render those terms superfluous and undermine the intent of Congress to promote small business loans under the PPP and CARES Act.

78. Defendants should not be allowed to retain the proceeds from the benefits conferred upon it by Plaintiff and the U.S. Government.

79. Plaintiff and the Class were injured as a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' misconduct. Therefore, Plaintiff seeks disgorgement of Defendants' unjustly acquired profits and other monetary benefits resulting from Defendants' unlawful conduct, an

injunction preventing Defendants from continuing their unlawful conduct, and all other relief afforded under the law that this Court deems just and proper.

//

COUNT III
CONVERSION
AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

80. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

81. Under the SBA Regulations, Plaintiff and the Class, as PPP Agents, have a right to, title in, and the legal right of possession of, Agent Fees that must be paid from the amount of Lender Fees provided to Defendants for lending money pursuant to approved Applications.

82. The SBA Regulations state that “Agent fees *will* be paid out of lender fees” and provide guidelines on the amount of Agent Fees that should be paid to the PPP Agent, depending on the size of the PPP loan secured.

83. Additionally, the SBA Regulations require that Lenders, not Borrowers, pay the Agent Fees. The SBA Regulations unequivocally state that “Agents may not collect fees from the applicant.”

84. Plaintiff and the Class fulfilled the role of PPP Agent by assisting small businesses with their Applications. Due to Plaintiff’s efforts, Defendants made federally backed PPP loans, entitling Defendants to Lender Fees from the U.S. Government. As such, Plaintiff has a right to receive, and title to, the regulatorily-mandated Agent Fees.

85. Although Plaintiff is entitled to Agent fees under the SBA Regulations, Defendants have failed to pay the required Agent Fees, which the Federal Government paid to the Defendants as part of the Lender Fees. Defendants have no legal claim, authorization, or approval for this wrongful withholding of the Agent Fees. Therefore, Defendants have appropriated, assumed, and exercised dominion over the Plaintiff’s and Class’ Agent Fees.

86. In North Carolina, money may be the subject of a conversion claim if the money can be identified by source, amount, and destination. That requirement is met because the Agent

Fees are a segregated portion of the Lender Fees awarded through the SBA Regulations for a successfully funded PPP loan.

87. At the time they unlawfully retained the Agent Fees, Defendants knew or should have known that the Agent Fees were owed to Plaintiff and the other Class Members.

88. Defendants' improper acts or practices of refusing to pay Plaintiff and the other Class Members the mandated Agent Fees are the proximate cause of the damages sustained by the Plaintiff and the Class Members.

89. Defendants' conduct manifests a knowing and reckless indifference toward, and a disregard of, the rights of Plaintiff and the Class Members.

90. By withholding the Agent fees, Defendants have maintained wrongful control over Plaintiff's property inconsistent with Plaintiff's entitlements under the SBA Regulations.

91. Defendants committed civil conversion by retaining monies owed to Plaintiff and the Class.

92. Plaintiff and the Class have been injured as a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' misconduct. Plaintiff, as such, seeks recovery from Defendants in the amount of the owed Agent Fees, and for all other relief afforded under the law.

//

COUNT IV

BREACH OF AN IMPLIED CONTRACT

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

93. Plaintiff and the Class, as PPP Agents, conferred a benefit upon Defendants by assisting Applicants with their PPP Applications that were submitted to Defendants. Based in part on Plaintiff's work, Defendants received the Lender Fee from the Federal Government, approximately 20% of which was to be forwarded to the PPP Agents (i.e., Plaintiffs and the Class) as payment for the Agent Fee.

94. In performing work to assist Applicants in preparing Applications for a PPP loan for their small business, Plaintiff and the Class had a reasonable expectation of compensation. That reasonable expectation stemmed from the SBA Regulations, which

explicitly stated PPP Agents would receive Agent Fees from the lenders. Those Agent Fees were to be paid out of a portion of the Lender Fees.

95. Despite that reasonable expectation and the plain language of the SBA Regulations, Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class the statutorily required Agent Fees.

96. Instead, Defendants have retained, or stated their entitlement to retain, the Agent Fee portion of the Lender Fees for themselves and thereby, benefited from the work performed by Plaintiff and the Class.

97. It would be unjust to allow Defendants to retain the benefit of Plaintiff's and the Class's Agent Fees in light of their reasonable expectation of payment for the services they rendered.

98. Defendants, regardless of any intent of the parties, have a quasi-contractual obligation to pay for the services by which they benefited and to compensate Plaintiff and the Class for the reasonable value of their services.

99. Plaintiff and the Class have been injured as a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' misconduct. Plaintiffs, as such, seek recovery from Defendants in the amount of the owed Agent Fees, and for all other relief afforded under the law.

//

COUNT V

VIOLATION OF THE NORTH CAROLINA UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE TRADE

PRACTICES ACT – N.C. GEN.STAT. § 75-1.1

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

100. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

101. N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75–1.1(a) (2013) provides that “unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce, are declared unlawful.”

102. Defendants have committed unfair acts and concealed and omitted material facts that have harmed Plaintiff and the Class.

103. Specifically, despite their obligations under the SBA Regulations, Defendants have failed to pay the required Agent Fees, which the Federal Government paid to the Defendants as part of the Lender Fees. Defendants' conduct constitutes an unfair act because Defendants received Lender Fees as a result of Plaintiff and the Class's efforts to assist Applicants in the Application process to secure PPP loans through Defendants, who are SBA approved lenders.

104. By Defendants holding themselves out as PPP Lenders, Defendants necessarily held themselves out as promising to follow the mandatory PPP guidelines and regulations.

105. Nevertheless, Defendants have failed to provide Plaintiff and the Class payment in the amount of the mandatory Agent Fees, and instead retained the Agent Fee portion of the Lender Fees for themselves.

106. Defendants also concealed and omitted material information, specifically, that despite holding themselves out as PPP lenders under the PPP program, that Defendants would refuse, and continue to refuse despite clear regulatory guidance, to pay regulatorily-mandated Agent Fees. Had Plaintiff and the Class known that Defendants would refuse to pay Agent Fees, they would have taken their loans to other SBA Lenders who complied with the SBA Regulations.

107. Defendants' unfair acts and omissions occurred in connection with the sale or advertisement of services, namely, services related to the processing and financing of PPP loans under the CARES Act and SBA Regulations.

108. Defendants intended that Plaintiff and the Class rely on their omissions because, had they stated they would not pay Agent Fees as required under the SBA Regulations, Plaintiff and the Class would not have helped secure PPP loans from Defendants for their clients. By concealing and omitting their intention not to pay required Agent Fees, Defendants improperly obtained business from Plaintiff and the Class for which Defendants were compensated through the Lender Fees.

109. Plaintiff and the Class have been injured as a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' misconduct. Plaintiffs, as such, seek recovery from Defendants in the amount of the owed Agent Fees, and for all other relief afforded under the law.

//

COUNT VI
VIOLATIONS OF THE CARES ACT
AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

110. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

111. The CARES Act provides a stimulus package in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and includes the PPP, which assists small businesses seeking to maintain payroll and other authorized expenses.

112. There is an implied cause of action arising under the CARES Act.

113. The CARES Act, along with the SBA's Regulations, provides for the payment of Agent Fees to authorized representatives who assisted PPP loan applicants with their PPP Applications (i.e., PPP Agents consisting of the Plaintiff and the Class Members).

114. In flagrant disregard for the law, Defendants have failed and/or refused to pay the Agent Fees to the Applicants' authorized representatives (i.e., PPP Agents consisting of the Plaintiff and the Class Members), and instead, kept the fees to enrich themselves.

115. Plaintiffs and Class Members are PP Agents under the CARES Act and the SBA Regulations and, therefore, are entitled to the Agent Fees they have earned. The Agent Fees have been paid to the Lenders by the Federal Government and are to be paid by the Lenders to the Plaintiffs and Class Members as set forth in the CARES Act and the SBA Regulations.

116. Nevertheless, Defendants refused to pay Plaintiffs and the Class Members the authorized Agent Fees.

117. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' failure and/or refusal to comply with the CARES Act and the Rule, Plaintiffs and the Class Members have suffered damages in excess of \$5 million.

//

COUNT VII

VIOLATIONS OF THE SBA's 7(a) LOAN PROGRAM, 15 U.S.C. § 636(a)
AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

118. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

119. The PPP was added to the SBA's 7(a) loan program, which is designed to assist small businesses in obtaining financing.

120. There is an implied cause of action arising under the SBA's 7(a) loan program, as applied through the CARES Act.

121. The SBA Regulations provide for the payment of Agent Fees to authorized representatives that assisted PPP Applicants with their PPP Applications (i.e., PPP Agents consisting of the Plaintiff and the Class Members).

122. In flagrant disregard for the law, Defendants have failed and/or refused to pay Agent Fees to Plaintiffs and the Class Members, and instead, have kept the fees to enrich themselves.

123. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful actions, Plaintiffs and the Class Members have suffered damages in excess of \$5 million

//

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, prays for the following relief:

- a. For an Order certifying the Class as defined above, appointing Plaintiff as Class representative, and appointing Plaintiff's counsel as Class counsel;
- b. For an Order declaring Defendants' actions to be unlawful;
- c. For a declaration that all regulatorily-mandated and calculated Agent Fees are owed to Plaintiff and the Class and should be deposited into a mutually agreeable fund or funds within 60 days, to be distributed to the PPP Agents who are entitled to the funds;
- d. For all injunctive and other equitable relief available to Plaintiff and Class Members;

- e. For an award of all recoverable compensatory, statutory, and other damages sustained by Plaintiff and Class Members;
- f. For reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses as permitted by applicable statutes and law;
- g. For costs related to bringing this action;
- h. For pre- and post-judgment interest as allowed by law; and,
- i. Such further relief at law or in equity that this Court deems just and proper.

//

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: July 21, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/T. Ryan Langley

HODGE & LANGLEY LAW FIRM, PC
T. Ryan Langley, Esq. (NC Bar 51273)
229 Magnolia St.
Spartanburg, SC 29306
Telephone: (864) 585-3873
Facsimile: (864) 585-6485
rlangley@hodgelawfirm.com

GRAYLAW GROUP, INC.
Michael E. Adler, Esq. (CA Bar 236115)*
26500 Agoura Road, #102-127
Calabasas, CA 91302
Telephone: (818) 532-2833
Facsimile: (818) 532-2834

GERAGOS & GERAGOS, PC
Mark J. Geragos (CA Bar No.: 108325)*
Ben J. Meiselas (CA Bar No.: 277412)*
644 South Figueroa Street
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 625-3900
Facsimile: (213) 232-3255

DHILLON LAW GROUP INC.
Harmeet K. Dhillon (CA Bar No. 207873)*
Nitoj P. Singh (CA Bar No. 265005)*
177 Post St., Suite 700
San Francisco, CA 94108
Telephone: (415) 433-1700
Facsimile: (415) 520-6593

*Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed
Class*

**Pro hac vice application forthcoming*

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Fisher, P.A., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff _____
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
T. Ryan Langley
229 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC 29306
864-585-3873

DEFENDANTS

Bank of America, N.A.; Bank of America, Corp.; Fifth Third Bank; Fifth Third Bancorp.; First Citizens Bank & Trust Company; Truist Financial Corp.; Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; Community First Bank, Inc.; et al

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant _____
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.](#)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) <input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding
- 2 Removed from State Court
- 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
- 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

Brief description of cause:

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE _____

DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPL. DUES _____ FILED _____ JUDGE _____

Print

Save As...

Reset

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.
 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
 Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.
PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

Fisher, P.A., individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Bank of America, N.A.; Bank of America, Corp.; Fifth
Third Bank; Fifth Third Bancorp.; First Citizens Bank
& Trust Company; Truist Financial Corp.; Wells
Fargo Bank, N.A.; Community First Bank, Inc.; et al

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Counsel for Defendants Truist Financial
Chery I L. Haas McGUIRE WOODS LLP
1230 Peachtree St. NE, Suite 2100
Atlanta, GA 30309

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are:

Hodge/Langley Law Firm
T. Ryan Langley
229 Magnolia Street
Spartanburg, SC 29306
864-585-3873

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____ , who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 _____ .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

Fisher, P.A., individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Bank of America, N.A.; Bank of America, Corp.; Fifth
Third Bank; Fifth Third Bancorp.; First Citizens Bank
& Trust Company; Truist Financial Corp.; Wells
Fargo Bank, N.A.; Community First Bank, Inc.; et al

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

Enu Mainigi
Williams and Connolly
725 12th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are:

Hodge/Langley Law Firm
T. Ryan Langley
229 Magnolia Street
Spartanburg, SC 29306
864-585-3873

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____ , who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT WESTERN DISTRICT
OF NORTH CAROLINA

Fisher, P.A., individually and on behalf of)	
all others similarly situated,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
)	RULE 26.01
Bank of America, N.A.; Bank of America,)	
Corp.; Fifth Third Bank; Fifth Third Bancorp.;)	
First Citizens Bank & Trust Company;)	ANSWERS TO
Truist Financial Corp.; Wells Fargo Bank,)	INTERROGATORIES
N.A.; Community First Bank, Inc.;)	
Community First Bancorp, Inc.)	
)	Case No.:
Defendants.)	

The Plaintiff herein, by and through their undersigned counsel of record, hereby answer the local Rule 26.01 Interrogatories of the court as follows:

(A) State the full name, address and telephone number of all persons or legal entities who may have subrogation interest in each claim and state the basis and extent of said interest.

ANSWER: None known at this time.

(B) As to each claim, state whether it should be tried jury or nonjury and why.

ANSWER: Plaintiff is informed and believes it is entitled to a trial by jury.

(C) State whether the party submitting these responses is a publicly owned company and separately identify: (1) each publicly owned company of which it is a parent, subsidiary, partner or affiliate; (2) each publicly owned company which owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares or other indicia of ownership of the party; and (3) each publicly owned company in which the party owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares.

ANSWER: Party submitting these responses is not publicly owned.

(D) State the basis for asserting the claim in the division in which it was filed (or the basis of any challenge to the appropriateness of the division).

This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §1331 because the action arises under the laws of the United States, including the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act, the CARES Act (P.L. 116-136), and the SBA Regulations. The division is proper as Defendants conduct significant business related to the allegations of the Complaint in this location.

(E) Is the action related in whole or part to any other matter filed in this District, whether civil or criminal? If so, provide (1) a short caption and the full case number of the related action; (2) an explanation of how the matters are related; and (3) a statement of the status of the related action. Counsel should disclose any cases which may be related regardless of whether they are still pending. Whether cases are related such that they should be assigned to a single judge will be determined by the Clerk of Court based on a determination of whether the cases: arise from the same or identical transactions, happenings or events; involve the identical parties or property; or for any other reason would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

ANSWER: This matter does not relate in whole or part to any other matter filed in this District to the Plaintiff's knowledge.

HODGE & LANGLEY LAW FIRM, PC

s/T. Ryan Langley
T. Ryan Langley
Federal ID No. 002537
229 Magnolia Street
PO Box 2765
Spartanburg, SC 29304
(864) 585-3873

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: July 21, 2020
Spartanburg, SC

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [North Carolina CPA Firm Sues Banks Over Alleged Refusal to Pay PPP Loan Agents](#)
