	Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1	Filed 10/28/20 Page 1 of 25
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 SHUB LAW FIRM LLC Jonathan Shub (State Bar No. 237708) <i>jshub@shublawyers.com</i> Kevin Laukaitis (Pro Hac Vice to file) <i>klaukaitis@shublawyers.com</i> 134 Kings Highway E Fl 2 Haddonfield, NJ 08033 Telephone: (856) 772-7200 Facsimile: (856) 210-9088 SHEEHAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C. Spencer Sheehan (Pro Hac Vice to file) spencer@spencersheehan.com 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck, NY 11021 Telephone: (516) 268-7080 Facsimile: (516) 234-7800 	
11	Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class	
12	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
13		CT OF CALIFORNIA
14	ANGELA FARVE, individually and on behalf of	
15	all others similarly situated,	Case No.:
16	Plaintiff, vs.	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
17	BLUE DIAMOND GROWERS,	Demand for Jury Trial
18	Defendant.	
19		
20	Plaintiff Angela Farve ("Plaintiff"), on be	half of herself and others similarly situated brings
21 22		ond Growers ("Defendant"), and on the basis of
22	personal knowledge, information and belief, and	
23 24	personar knowledge, mormation and bener, and	myestigation of counsel, aneges as follows.
2 4 25		
26		
27		
28		
	Class Action Complaint	1

	Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 2 of 25
1	INTRODUCTION
2 3	1. Defendant manufactures distributes, markets, labels and sells Vanilla Almondmilk
4	under their Almond Breeze brand ("Product").
5	2. The Product is available to consumers from retail and online stores of third-parties
6	and is sold in sizes including cartons of 32 OZ and 64 OZ.
7	3. During the Class Period, Plaintiff purchased the Product in California.
8	4. Defendant falsely and misleadingly markets the Product to consumers as having a
9	primary characterizing flavor of "Vanilla" that comes from vanilla beans, from the vanilla plant.
10	
11 12	Newille
12	Vanilla
14	Plamon
15	Almond Breeze
16	Breeze
17	Almonut
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	VERIFIED
24	32 FL 0Z (1 0T) 946 mL 00
25	5. In fact, the Product contains undisclosed artificial vanilla flavors and less vanilla than
26	
27 28	consumers expect.
20	2
	Class Action Complaint

	Case 4:20-cv-	07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 3 of 25
1	6. Defe	endant charges a price premium for the Product.
2	7. Plair	ntiff seeks damages and an injunction to stop Defendant's false and misleading
3	marketing practices	with regards to the Product.
4		JURISDICTION
5		
6		Court has jurisdiction over this action under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28
7	U.S.C. § 1332(d).	
8	9. The	amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of
9 10	interest and costs.	
10	10. Plair	ntiff seeks to represent a class of citizens of California and Oregon.
12	11. Defe	endant is a California co-operative corporation.
13	12. Dive	ersity is established because under CAFA, members of the proposed class are
14	citizens of Oregon,	while Defendant is a citizen of California. 28 USC 1332(d)(2)(A).
15	13. CAF	A defines class members as "the persons (named or unnamed) who fall within
16	the definition of the	proposed or certified class in a class action." 28 USC 1332(d)(1)(D).
17	14. Vent	ue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part
18 19	of the events and m	nisrepresentations giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District, and
20	Defendant (1) is au	thorized to conduct business in this District and has intentionally availed itself
21	of the laws and man	rkets of this District through the promotion, marketing, distribution and sale of
22	its products here, (2) resides in this District, and (3) is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.
23		PARTIES
24	15 D1.	diff And 1. Free in a with the fither City on 1. Constants of San Free inc
25		ntiff Angela Farve is a resident of the City and County of San Francisco,
26	California.	
27	16. Duri	ng the Class Period (as defined below), in California, she purchased the Product
28		3
	Class Action Comp	laınt

Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 4 of 25

1	for personal,	family, or household use on occasions including but not necessarily limited to	
2	throughout 20	19 and 2020 and in or around March, 2020.	
3	17.	Plaintiff purchased the Product at locations including Safeway, 699 Lewelling Blvd,	
4	San Leandro,	CA 94579.	
5	18.	Plaintiff would not have purchased or paid more for Product had she realized that	
6 7	much, if not a	ll, of the vanilla flavor came from non-vanilla plant sources.	
8	19.	The front of the Product said "Vanilla" in large letters.	
9	20.	Plaintiff relied upon this representation when she purchased the Product.	
10	21.	She believed that the vanilla flavor in the Product was exclusively and/or	
11	predominantly	y from vanilla beans and was only from the vanilla plant.	
12	22.	Plaintiff would not have purchased the Product had Plaintiff understood the true	
13	flavor composition of the Product.		
14 15	23.	Plaintiff would purchase the Product again in the future if the Product were remedied	
16	to reflect Defe	endant's labeling and marketing claims for it.	
17	24.	Defendant Blue Diamond Growers is a California corporation, with its principal	
18	place of busin	ess in Sacramento, California.	
19		BACKGROUND	
20		BACKOROUND	
21	25.	Flavoring ingredients, especially for products labeled as vanilla, are typically the	
22	most expensiv	re ingredient in a food, and vanilla has reached record high prices in recent years. ¹	
23	26.	Vanilla (Vanilla planifolia Andrews and Vanilla tahitenis Moore) comes from an	
24	orchid plant th	nat originated in Mexico where it was first cultivated.	
25 26	27.	The vanilla orchid produces a fruit pod, the vanilla bean, which is the raw material	
26 27			
28	¹ Finbarr O'Reill	ly, Precious as Silver, Vanilla Brings Cash and Crime to Madagascar, New York Times, Sept. 4, 2018.	
		4	
	Class Action	Complaint	

Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 5 of 25

1 for true vanilla flavorings.

- 2 28. The vanilla bean is not consumed by itself it is heated in the sun for weeks until
 3 being soaked in alcohol solution and its flavor constituents extracted (vanilla extract).
- 4 29. Vanilla's "desirable flavor attributes...make it one of the most common ingredients
 5 used in the global marketplace, whether as a primary flavor, as a component of another flavor, or
 6 for its desirable aroma qualities."²
- 8 30. Though the main flavor molecule in vanilla is vanillin, it contains over 200
 9 compounds including volatile constituents such as acids, ethers, alcohols, acetals, heterocyclics,
 10 phenolics, hydrocarbons, esters and carbonyls.
- 11 31. The popularity of vanilla in the 19th century led to the isolation of the vanillin
 12 molecule from vanilla, which became the first artificial flavor.
- 32. This availability of low-cost vanillin resulted in companies adulterating foods
 purporting to contain vanilla, by either including no vanilla or a trace or *de minimis* amount, boosted
 by added synthetic vanillin from tree bark or petroleum by-products.
- 17 33. However, vanillin separated from the rest of the vanilla bean lacked the other
 18 components of vanilla's flavor.
- 34. Consumer and industry groups have long sought to prevent this deception because
 consumers want vanilla in vanilla flavored products to come from a real source, i.e., from vanilla
 beans from the vanilla plant.
- 35. The earliest attempt to prevent fraud in vanilla products was the U.S. Pharmacopeia
 standard, which required a specific weight of vanilla beans as the source for vanilla extract.
- 25

22

13

26

 ²⁷ Daphna Havkin-Frenkel, F.C. Bellanger, Eds., Handbook of Vanilla Science and Technology, Wiley, 2018; Kristiana Lalou <u>Queen of flavors: Vanilla rises above transparency concerns to lead category</u>, Food Ingredients First, Sept. 3, 2019 (describing vanilla as "versatile").

36. The focus was on the weight of actual vanilla beans, because this would prevent 1 2 companies from adding vanillin to a small amount of vanilla beans. 3 Consumer deception continued into the 20th century, with government entities 37. 4 regularly penalizing companies that deceived consumers by labeled their products and foods as 5 'vanilla" but were little more than vanillin with caramel coloring. 6 38. Congress later directed the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") to establish 7 standards to prevent the marketing of foods from which traditional constituents were removed and 8 new or different (often cheaper and artificial) ingredients were substituted. 9 10 39. The FDA established strict rules regarding use of the term "vanilla" on the labels of 11 food products, so consumers would not be buying food with small amounts of vanilla and lots of 12 vanillin if they were not told of it. 13 40. Vanilla is not subject to the general flavor regulations because vanilla is (1) uniquely 14 vulnerable to being adulterated, (2) the most widely used flavor and (3) one of the most expensive 15 flavors. 16 41. The vanilla labeling requirements are designed "so that consumers can determine 17 18 whether the product is flavored with a vanilla flavoring derived from vanilla beans, in whole or in 19 part, or whether the food's vanilla flavor is provided by flavorings not derived from vanilla beans" 20 such as vanillin. 21 42. The FDA regulations regarding vanilla effectively establish custom and practice in 22 the industry so that consumers' experience with that custom and practice primes them to infer certain 23 qualities and attributes from products labeled in a certain consistent way. 24 25 43. According to the flavor industry trade group, FEMA, where a product's front label 26 representation is "Vanilla" without qualification, such as "flavored," "artificial flavors" or "with 27 other natural flavors," it "lead[s] consumers to believe that it is flavored with vanilla extract, or 28 6

Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 7 of 25

1	another vanilla	a flavoring derived solely from vanilla beans, as defined in the federal standard of	
2	identity when in fact it is not. ³		
3	44.	When a food contains vanillin, consumers were told through the front label which	
4	would state "ar	rtificially flavored."	
5	45.	Demand for real vanilla "has been steadily increasingdue to consumer demand for	
6 7	natural foods tl	hat are free of artificial ingredients." ⁴	
8	46.	According to one flavor supplier, today's consumers "want real vanilla, not imitation	
9	[vanilla] flavor	ring."	
10	47.	According to recent industry reports, "Food companies are dropping artificial	
11	flavors, colorin	ng, preservatives and other additives with scary names and focusing more on natural,	
12		d fresh ingredients." ⁵	
13			
14	48.	Nielsen has reported that 62% of consumers say they try to avoid artificial flavors. ⁶	
15	49.	Another study by New Hope Network concludes that "71% of consumers today are	
16	avoiding artific	cial flavors." ⁷	
17	50.	Label Insight determined that 76% of consumers avoid products with artificial	
18	flavors. ⁸		
19 20	51.	As "natural, organic and better-for-you trends proliferate, demand has flourished for	
20 21	naturally sourc	ed vanilla." ⁹	
22			
23 24	("FEMA"), " <u>Labe</u>	gan and Joanna Drake, The Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association of the United States <u>eling Vanilla Flavorings and Vanilla-Flavored Foods in the U.S.</u> ," Perfumer & Flavorist, Vol. 43 at 46, ("Hallagan & Drake").	
25	⁴ Chagrin Valley	Soap & Salve Company, FAQs, <u>Why Are The Prices of Vanilla Bean Products Always Increasing?</u> by your favorite foods may be getting new recipes, CNBC, September 19, 2016	
26	⁶ Nielsen, <u>Reachir</u>	ng For Real Ingredients: Avoiding The Artificial, Sept. 6, 2016.	
26 27	⁸ Thea Bourianne	⁵ , <u>Natural color and flavor trends in food and beverage</u> , Natural Products Insider, Oct. 11, 2019. , <u>Exploring today's top ingredient trends and how they fit into our health-conscious world</u> , March 26-	
		ono, Suppliers utilize cost-effective vanilla ingredient solutions, Beverage Industry (last updated Oct.	
28	14, 2016).	7	
	Class Action C	•	

Class Action Complaint

1	52.	Manufacturers have responded, "by transitioning from artificial to natural	
2	ingredients,"	including "natural vanilla ingredients."	
3	53.	However, global climate disruptions and natural disasters befalling the primary	
4	vanilla produ	cing country of Madagascar, have caused vanilla shortages.	
5	54.	This disruption in available vanilla has caused companies to cut corners when it	
6	comes to usin	g vanilla in their products.	
7 0	55.	In early 2018, in response to reports of a surge in fraudulent vanilla flavored foods,	
8 9		ustry representatives – FEMA – urged companies to return to truthfully labeling vanilla	
10	flavored food		
11		5.	
12		SPECIFIC MISREPRESENTATIONS <u>.</u> MATERIAL OMISSIONS, AND DECEPTIVE ACTS	
13		Misleading and Deceptive "Vanilla" Labeling	
14	56.	A food is considered misbranded if "its labeling is false or misleading in any	
15	particular." 2	21 U.S.C. § 343(a).	
16	57.	A food label contains numerous pieces of information including a (1) statement of	
17 18	identity and/o	or common or usual name, (2) flavor designation and (3) ingredient list.	
10	58.	This labeling information is relied upon by consumers when choosing amongst	
20	various simila	ar products.	
21	59.	California has enacted regulations identical to those of the FDA for labeling foods.	
22	60.	In a consumer survey of over four hundred Americans across demographic groups	
23	almost sixty-e	eight (68) percent of people viewing the Product's front label expected its vanilla taste	
24	-	from vanilla beans from the vanilla plant. See 21 C.F.R. § 101.22(i)(1) ("If the food	
25 26		rtificial flavor which simulates, resembles or reinforces the characterizing flavor, the	
26 27			
28	¹⁰ Hallagan and	Drake.	
	Class Action Complaint		
		F	

Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 9 of 25

1	name of the food on the principal display panel or panels of the label shall be accompanied by the
2	common or usual name of the characterizing flavor, e.g., 'vanilla'").
3	61. Fifty-eight (58) percent of respondents indicated they would be less likely to
4	purchase the Product if they had known the vanilla flavoring did not come from the vanilla plant.
5	62. Consumers will not feel they need to double check the ingredient list because the
6 7	lack of any front label qualifying terms gives them the impression that the flavor is only from the
8	characterizing ingredient of vanilla beans.
9	63. Nevertheless, the fine print back panel ingredient listing of "Natural Flavors" will
10	not disclose to consumers that (1) the Product contains artificial vanilla, viz, vanillin, which provides
11	much or most of the vanilla taste and (2) the amount of real vanilla in the Products is <i>de minimis</i>
12	and/or less than expected.
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	INGREDIENTS: ALMONDMILK (FILTERED WATER, ALMONDS), CANE SUGAR, CALCIUM CARBONATE, NATURAL FLAVORS, SEA SALT, POTASSIUM CITRATE, SUNFLOWER LECITHIN, GELLAN GUM, VITAMIN A PALMITATE, VITAMIN D2, D-ALPHA-TOCOPHEROL (NATURAL VITAMIN E). INGREDIENTS: ALMONDMILK (FILTERED WATER, ALMONDS), CANE SUGAR, CALCIUM CARBONATE, NATURAL FLAVORS, SEA SALT, POTASSIUM CITRATE, SUNFLOWER LECITHIN, GELLAN GUM, VITAMIN A PALMITATE, VITAMIN D2, D-ALPHA- TOCOPHEROL (NATURAL VITAMIN E).
23 24 25 26 27	64. This conclusion is supported by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, a method of targeted and non-targeted detection which can identify the range of volatile compounds responsible for vanilla's flavor with minimal to no degradation.
28	9
	Class Action Complaint

Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 10 of 25

				Conc.
1	MS Scan #	Area Integration	Peak Assignment	PPM w/w
	284	108541	acetic acid	0.029
2	353 388		propionic acid acetoin	0.003 0.003
	439		butyric acid	0.008
3	449	87672	hexanal	0.023
	538		hexyl alcohol	0.003
4	545 565		pentanoic acid 2-heptanone	0.014 0.009
	581		heptanal	0.003
5	589		pentyl acetate	0.010
	603 663		dimethyl sulfone hexanoic acid	0.013 0.207
6	673			0.094
	680	30850	6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one	0.008
7	690		2-pentylfuran	0.012 0.030
	707 711		2-ethyl-5-methylpyrazine	0.006
8	737		cyclotene	0.012
	746	102618	limonene	0.027
9	750		benzyl alcohol	0.070 0.002
	759		3-methyl-2(5H)-furanone heptanoic acid	0.073
10	778		2-acetylpyrrole	0.033
10	791		gamma-hexalactone	0.042
11	807		trans-2-octenal nonanal	0.019 0.072
	841			1.277
12	870		octanoic acid	0.276
12	875		benzoic acid	0.064 0.016
13	917 922		2-methoxy-4-methylphenol (p-creosol) decanal	0.015
15	933		naphthalene-d8 (internal standard)	1.000
14	968		nonanoic acid	0.512
14	1014		2,4-decadienal	0.072 0.014
15	1032 1038		glyceryl triacetate (Triacetin) 2,4-decadienal	0.166
15	1061		decanoic acid	0.159
1.0	1076		alpha-hydroxybenzeneacetic acid (Mandelic acid)	0.118
16	1091 1138		p-hydroxybenzaldehyde	0.087 34.134
1 -	1130		4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzyl alcohol (vanillyl alcohol)	2.375
17	1180	136776	gamma-decalactone	0.037
10	1203		acetovanillone	0.036 0.019
18	1213 1224		veratraldehyde vanillyl acetate	0.008
10	1232		lauric acid	0.057
19	1263	12285	dihydroactinidiolide	0.003
			Total (excluding internal standard)	40.27
20				
	65. Th	e results re	eveal that the Product contains an abnorn	nal excess of vanillin relative
21				
	to the modile of m		ananta in vanilla, which is a strong india	aton it contains added youillin
22	to the profile of m	mor comp	onents in vanilla, which is a strong indic	ator it contains added vaniilin
23	from non-vanilla s	sources.		
24				
	66. Wł	nen vanilli	n is present from vanilla beans, it wi	11 be accompanied by other
25	00. 11	ien vannin	ii is present from vanina beans, it wi	in be decompanied by other
	1			
26	compounds preser	nt in vanill	a in small amounts, such as p-hydroxybe	nzoic acid and vanillic acid.
27				
- /	67. Th	e skewed	ratios of vanillin to other compounds	and non-detection of certain
28			1	
			10	
	Class Action Com	nlaint	10	
	Class Action Com	Plain		

Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 11 of 25

markers does not mean the Products do not contain vanilla. 1 2 68. Assuming that all vanillin in the Product came from vanilla beans, it would be 3 expected to contain p-hydroxybenzoic acid and vanillic acid at levels above the threshold for 4 detection, based on their relative amounts in a sample of real vanilla. 5 69. That the Product does not indicate these compounds is indicative of added vanillin 6 7 and less vanilla than consumers expect. 8 70. Further support for the presence of added vanillin is based on the typical usage of 9 vanilla at not greater than one percent of the total weight of ingredients. 10 11 The absolute amount of vanillin in such a percentage would be approximately 0.1% 71. 12 or 10 PPM. 13 72. However, the vanillin content of 34.134 PPM is over three times greater than if a 14 standard amount of vanilla was used. 15 73. The added vanillin requires the front label to state "artificially flavored." 16 74. Consumers are misled by the front label and unable to cure the deception because the 17 Product's ingredient list only indicates it is flavored by "Natural Flavors." 18 19 75. Defendant's marketing and promotion of the Product is designed to – and does – 20 deceive, mislead, and defraud plaintiff and consumers. 21 76. Defendant sold more of the Product and at higher prices than it would have in the 22 23 absence of this misconduct, resulting in additional profits at the expense of consumers. 24 77. The value of the Product that plaintiff purchased and consumed was materially less 25 than its value as represented by defendant. 26 78. Had plaintiff and class members known the truth, they would not have bought the 27 Products or would have paid less for it. 28 11 **Class Action Complaint**

1	79.	As a result of the false and misleading labeling, the Product is sold at a premium
2	price, approxii	mately no less \$3.79 for cartons of 32 OZ and \$5.19 for cartons of 64 OZ, excluding
3	tax, compared	to other similar products represented in a non-misleading way, and higher than the
4	price of the Pr	oduct if represented in a non-misleading way.
5		Reliance and Economic Injury
6 7	80.	When purchasing the Product, Plaintiff sought a product with a materially greater
8		
9		illa than it actually contained.
10	81.	When purchasing the Product, Plaintiff sought a product that was natural in that its
11	vanilla flavor	was provided exclusively by vanilla beans.
12	82.	Plaintiff read and relied on Defendant's false and misleading product name,
13	statements, sta	tement of identity and misleading claims in its labeling and advertising of the product.
14	83.	Plaintiff also saw and relied on statements on the Product, which misleadingly
15	reference only	"vanilla" even though much, if not all, of the vanilla flavoring comes from non-
16	vanilla sources	5.
17 18	84.	Plaintiff purchased the Product, and paid more for it than she would have paid
10 19	believing the p	product had qualities she sought (e.g., only vanilla flavor from vanilla beans from the
20	vanilla plant) ł	based on the misleading labeling and marketing; but the product was unsatisfactory to
21	her because th	ose representations were false and misleading.
22	85.	The Product costs significantly more per ounce compared to other similar products
23	which lack pro	ominent and unqualified front label claims of "Vanilla."
24	86.	Plaintiff paid more for the Product than she would have had she not been misled by
25	the false and n	nisleading labeling and advertising complained of herein.
26 27	87.	For these reasons, the Product was worth less than what plaintiff paid for them.
27	88.	Plaintiff lost money as a result of Defendant's deception in that Plaintiff did not 12
	Class Action C	

	Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 13 of 25		
1	receive what she paid for.		
2	89. Plaintiff altered her position to her detriment and suffered damages in an amount		
3	equal to the amount she paid for the Product.		
4	90. By engaging in its misleading and deceptive marketing, sales and pricing scheme,		
5	Defendant reaped and continues to reap increased sales and profits.		
6 7	CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS		
, 8			
9			
10	of Civil Procedure.		
11	92. The class that Plaintiff seeks to represent (the "Class") is composed of and defined		
12	as follows:		
13	All persons residing in California and Oregon who have purchased the Product for their own		
14	use (which includes feeding their families), and not for resale, since May 9, 2014.		
15	Excluded from the Class are: governmental entities; Defendant; any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; Defendant's officers, directors, affiliates, legal		
16 17	representatives, employees, co-conspirators, successors, subsidiaries, and assigns; and, any judge, justice, or judicial officer presiding over this matter and the members of their immediate families and judicial staff.		
18	93. For the purposes of this Complaint, the term "Class Members" refers to all members		
19	of the Class, including the Plaintiff.		
20	94. Plaintiff reserves the right to redefine the Class, and/or requests for relief.		
21 22	95. This action is maintainable as a class action under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure		
22	Rule 23(a), and (b)(2) and (b)(3).		
24	96. Numerosity. The Class consists of thousands of persons throughout the States of		
25	California and Oregon. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, and		
26	the disposition of their claims in a class action will benefit the parties and the Court.		
27	97. Commonality and Predominance. The questions of law and fact common to the Class		
28	13		
	Class Action Complaint		

Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 14 of 25

1	has the capacity to generate common answers that will drive resolution of this action. They
2	predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. Common questions of
3	law and fact include, but are not limited to, the following:
4	98. Whether Defendant contributed to, committed, or is responsible for the conduct
5	alleged herein;
6 7	99. Whether Defendant's conduct constitutes the violations of law alleged herein;
8	100. Whether Defendant acted willfully, recklessly, negligently, or with gross negligence
9	in the violations of laws alleged herein;
10	101. Whether Class Members are entitled to injunctive relief; and
11	102. Whether Class Members are entitled to restitution and damages.
12	103. By seeing the name, labeling, display and marketing of the Product, and by
13	purchasing the Product, all Class Members were subject to the same wrongful conduct.
14	104. Absent Defendant's material deceptions, misstatements and omissions, Plaintiff and
15	other Class Members would not have purchased the Product.
16 17	105. Typicality. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class, respectively,
17	because she purchased the Product and was injured thereby. The claims of Plaintiff and other Class
19	
20	Members are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same false, misleading and
21	unlawful conduct.
22	106. Adequacy. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because her interests
23	do not conflict with those of other Class Members. Each Class Member is entitled to damages
24	reflecting a similar and discrete purchase or purchases that each Class Member made. Plaintiff has
25	retained competent and experienced class action counsel, who intends to prosecute this action
26	vigorously. The Class Members' interests will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and
27	her counsel.
28	14
	Class Action Complaint

1	107. Superiority. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and
2	efficient adjudication of this controversy, because joinder of all Class Members is impracticable.
3	The amount at stake for each consumer, while significant, is such that individual litigation would
4	be inefficient and cost-prohibitive. Plaintiff anticipates no difficulty in the management of this
5	action as a class action.
6	108. This Court should certify a class under Rule 23(b)(2) and (b)(3) because Defendant
7 8	has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the Class, by making illegal, unfair,
9	misleading and deceptive representations and omissions regarding the Product.
10	109. Notice to the Class. Plaintiff anticipates that this Court can direct notice to the Class,
11	to be effectuated by publication in major media outlets and the Internet.
12	FIRST CLAIM
13	(ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA CLASS)
14	(Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 <i>et seq.</i> – Unlawful Conduct Prong of the UCL)
15	110. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all allegations contained in the complaint as if
16	fully set forth herein. California Business & Professions Code section 17200 ("UCL") prohibits any
17	"unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice."
18	111. The acts, omissions, misrepresentations, practices, and non-disclosures of Whole
19	
20	Foods, as alleged herein, constitute "unlawful" business acts and practices in that they violate the
21	Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act ("FFDCA") and its implementing regulations, including, at
22	least, the following sections:
23	112. 21 U.S.C. § 343, which deems food misbranded when the label contains a statement
24	that is "false or misleading in any particular," with "misleading" defined to "take[] into account
25	
26	(among other things) not only representations made or suggested by statement, word, design, device,
27	or any combination thereof, but also the extent to which the labeling or advertising fails to reveal
28	facts material";
	15
	Class Action Complaint

	Case 4:20	-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 16 of 25								
1	113.	21 U.S.C. § 321(n), which states the nature of a false and misleading advertisement;								
2	114.	21 C.F.R. § 101.18(b), which prohibits true statements about ingredients that are								
3	misleading in light of the presence of other ingredients;									
4	115. 21 C.F.R. Part 169, Food Dressings and Flavorings;									
5	116. 21 C.F.R. § 101.22(i), which sets forth a framework to truthfully identify the sour									
6	of a product's	flavor; and								
7 8	117.	21 C.F.R. § 102.5 which prohibits misleading common or usual names.								
9	118.	Defendant's conduct is further "unlawful" because it violates the California False								
10	Advertising Law ("FAL") and the Consumer Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), as discussed in the									
11	claims below.									
12	119.	Defendant's conduct also violates the California Sherman Food, Drug, and Cosmetic								
13		alth & Saf. Code section 109875, et seq. ("Sherman Law"), including, at least, the								
14	following sect									
15	120.	Section 110100 (adopting all FDA regulations as state regulations);								
16 17	120.	Section 110290 ("In determining whether the labeling or advertisement of a food								
18		all representations made or suggested by statement, word, design, device, sound, or								
19										
20	any combination of these, shall be taken into account. The extent that the labeling or advertising									
21	fails to reveal facts concerning the food or consequences of customary use of the food shall									
22	also be consid									
23	122.	Section 110390 ("It is unlawful for any person to disseminate any false advertisement								
24		. An advertisement is false if it is false or misleading in any particular.");								
25	123.	Section 110395 ("It is unlawful for any person to manufacture, sell, deliver, hold, or								
26 27	offer for sale a	any food that is falsely advertised.");								
27	124.	Section 110398 ("It is unlawful for any person to advertise any food, drug, device,								
	Class Action (Complaint								
		r								

Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 17 of 25

1 or cosmetic that is adulterated or misbranded.");

- 2 125. Section 110400 ("It is unlawful for any person to receive in commerce any food ...
 3 that is falsely advertised or to deliver or proffer for delivery any such food...."); and
- 4 126. Section 110660 ("Any food is misbranded if its labeling is false or misleading in any
 5 particular.").

127. Each of the challenged statements made and actions taken by Whole Foods violates
the FFDCA, the CLRA, the FAL, and the Sherman Law, and therefore violates the "unlawful" prong
of the UCL.

10 128. Defendant leveraged its deception to induce Plaintiff and members of the Class to
11 purchase products that were of lesser value and quality than advertised. Defendant's deceptive
12 advertising caused Plaintiff and members of the Class to suffer injury in fact and to lose money or
13 property, as it denied them the benefit of the bargain when they decided to purchase the Product
14 over other products that are less expensive, and contain virtually the same or immaterially different
16 amounts of vanilla.

17 129. Had Plaintiff and the members of the Class been aware of Defendant's false and
 18 misleading advertising tactics, they would not have purchased the Product at all or would have paid
 19 less than what they did for it.

130. In accordance with California Business & Professions Code section 17203, Plaintiff
 seeks an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to conduct business through unlawful, unfair,
 and/or fraudulent acts and practices and to commence a corrective advertising campaign.

131. Plaintiff also seeks an order for the disgorgement and restitution of all monies from
 the sale of the Product that was unjustly acquired through acts of unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent
 competition.

27

6

28

	Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 18 of 25
1 2 3	<u>SECOND CLAIM</u> <u>(ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA CLASS)</u> (Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, <i>et seq.</i> – Unfair and Fraudulent Conduct Prong of the UCL)
4	132. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the allegations of the preceding paragraphs
5	as if fully set forth herein.
6	133. California Business & Professions Code section 17200 prohibits any "unlawful,
7	unfair or fraudulent business act or practice."
8	
9	134. The false and misleading labeling of the Product, as alleged herein, constitutes
10	"unfair" business acts and practices because such conduct is immoral, unscrupulous, and offends
11	public policy. Further, the gravity of Defendant's conduct outweighs any conceivable benefit of
12	such conduct.
13	135. The acts, omissions, misrepresentations, practices, and non-disclosures of Defendant
14	as alleged herein constitute "fraudulent" business acts and practices, because Defendant's conduct
15	is false and misleading to Plaintiff and members of the Class.
16	136. Defendant's labeling and marketing of the Product is likely to deceive Class Members
17	about the flavoring source and amount of vanilla in the Product.
18 19	137. Defendant either knew or reasonably should have known that the claims and
20	statements on the labels of the Product were likely to deceive consumers.
21	138. In accordance with California Business & Professions Code section 17203, Plaintiff
22	seeks an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to conduct business through unlawful, unfair,
23	
24	and/or fraudulent acts and practices and to commence a corrective advertising campaign.
25	139. Plaintiff also seeks an order for the disgorgement and restitution of all monies from
26	the sale of the Product that were unjustly acquired through acts of unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent
27	competition.
28	10
	18 Class Action Complaint

	Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 19 of 25									
1	<u>THIRD CLAIM</u> (ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA CLASS)									
2	(Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17500, <i>et seq.</i> – False and Misleading Advertising)									
3 4	140. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all allegations contained in the complaint as if									
5	fully set forth herein.									
6	141. California False Advertising Law (Cal. Business & Professions Code sections 17500									
7	and 17508) prohibits "mak[ing] any false or misleading advertising claim."									
8	142. As alleged herein, Defendant, in its labeling of the Product, makes "false [and]									
9 10	misleading advertising claim[s]," as it deceives consumers as to the flavor composition and amount									
11	of vanilla in the Product.									
12	143. In reliance on these false and misleading advertising claims, Plaintiff and members									
13	of the Class purchased and used the Product without the knowledge that the Product did not get its									
14	vanilla taste from vanilla beans.									
15 16	144. Defendant knew or should have known that its labeling and marketing was likely to									
10	deceive consumers.									
18	145. As a result, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to injunctive and equitable relief,									
19	restitution, and an order for the disgorgement of the funds by which Defendant was unjustly									
20	enriched.									
21	<u>FOURTH CLAIM</u> (ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA CLASS)									
22 23	(Violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1750 <i>et seq. –</i> California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act "CLRA")									
23	146. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the allegations of the preceding paragraphs									
25	as if fully set forth herein.									
26	147. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the California									
27	Class against Defendant.									
28	19									
	Class Action Complaint									

1	148. The CLRA prohibits deceptive practices in connection with the conduct of a busines								
2	that provides goods, property, or services primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.								
3	149. Defendant's false and misleading labeling and other policies, acts, and practices were								
4	designed to, and did, induce the purchase and use of the Product for personal, family, or household								
5	purposes by Plaintiff and Class Members, and violated and continue to violate the following sections								
6 7	of the CLRA:								
8	a.	§ 1770(a)(5): representing that goods have characteristics, uses, or benefits which they do not have;							
9	b.	§ 1770(a)(7): representing that goods are of a particular standard, quality, or							
10		grade if they are of another;							
11	c.	§ 1770(a)(9): advertising goods with intent not to sell them as advertised; and							
12 13	d.	§ 1770(a)(16): representing the subject of a transaction has been supplied in accordance with a previous representation when it has not.							
14	150. D	efendant profited from the sale of the falsely, deceptively, and unlawfully							
15	advertised Produ	ct to unwary consumers.							
16	151. D	efendant's wrongful business practices constituted, and constitute, a continuing							
17	course of conduc	et in violation of the CLRA.							
18 19	152. Pı	ursuant to the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code § 1782(a), Plaintiff will provide a letter							
20	to Defendant con	neurrently with the filing of this Class Action Complaint with notice of its alleged							
21	violations of the CLRA, demanding that Defendant correct such violations, and providing it with								
22	the opportunity to correct its business practices. If Defendant does not thereafter correct its business								
23	practices, Plaintiff will amend (or seek leave to amend) the complaint to add claims for monetary								
24	relief, including restitution and actual damages under the Consumers Legal Remedies Act.								
25	153. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 1780, Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, her								
26 27	reasonable attorn	ey fees and costs, and any other relief that the Court deems proper.							
27 28	reasonable automog rees and costs, and any other rener that the court deems proper.								
20		20							
	Class Action Complaint								

	Case 4:20	D-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 21 of 25								
1 2	(Breach	<u>FIFTH CLAIM</u> (<u>ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA AND OREGON CLASS)</u> a of Express Warranty, Implied Warranty, and Magnuson-Moss Warranty)								
3	154.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.								
4	155.	The Product was manufactured, labeled and sold by defendant or at its express								
5	directions and instructions, and warranted to plaintiff and class members that it possessed									
6	substantive, quality, compositional and/or environmental which they did not.									
7 8	156.	Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive descriptions and								
9										
10	157.	The amount and proportion of the characterizing component, vanilla, has a material								
11	bearing on pr	ice and consumer acceptance of the Product and consumers do not expect non-vanilla,								
12		a product's characterizing flavor is labeled "vanilla."								
13	158.	This duty is based, in part, on defendant's position as one of the most recognized								
14 15	companies in	the nation in this sector.								
15 16	159.	Plaintiff provided or will provide notice to defendant, its agents, representatives,								
	retailers and t	heir employees.								
18	160.	Defendant received notice and should have been aware of these misrepresentations								
19	due to numer	ous complaints by consumers to its main office over the past several years regarding								
20	the Products,	of the type described here.								
21	161.	The Products did not conform to their affirmations of fact and promises due to								
22 23	defendant's a	ctions and were not merchantable.								
23 24	162.	Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Products or paid as much								
25	if the true fac	ts had been known, suffering damages.								
26										
27										
28		21								
	Class Action									

	Case 4:20	-cv-07570-JSW Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 22 of 25									
1 2	<u>SIXTH CLAIM</u> (ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA AND OREGON CLASS) (Fraud)										
3	163. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.										
4	164.	Defendant misrepresented the substantive, quality, compositional and/or									
5	environmental attributes of the Product.										
6	165. The amount and proportion of the characterizing component, vanilla, has a material										
7											
8	bearing on price and consumer acceptance of the Product and consumers do not expect non-vanilla,										
9	flavors where a product's characterizing flavor is labeled "vanilla."										
10	166.	Defendant's fraudulent intent is evinced by its failure to accurately identify the									
11 12	Product on the front label and ingredient list, when it knew its statements were neither true nor										
12	accurate and n	nisled consumers.									
14	167.	Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Products or paid as much									
15	if the true fact	s had been known, suffering damages.									
16 17		<u>SEVENTH CLAIM</u> (ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA AND OREGON CLASS) (Unjust Enrichment)									
18	168.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.									
19	169.	Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Product was not as represented									
20		to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek									
21	-	disgorgement of inequitably obtained profits.									
22	restrution and	disgongement of mequitably obtained profits.									
23		PRAYER FOR RELIEF									
24	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and other members of the proposed Class										
25		For judgment and relief on all of the legal claims as follows:									
26	А.	Certification of the Class, certifying Plaintiff as representative of the Class, and									
27	D	designating Plaintiff's counsel for the Class;									
28	В.	A declaration that Defendant has committed the violations alleged herein; 22									
	Class Action (Complaint									

1	C.	For restitution and disgorgement pursuant to, without limitation, the California
2		Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq., Cal Civ. Code § 1780, and Cal.
3		Civ. Code § 1750 et seq. except that no monetary relief is presently sought for
4		violations of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act;
5	D.	For declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to, without limitation, the California
6		Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq., 17500, et seq., and Cal. Civ. Code
7		§ 1750 et seq.;
8	E.	For damages, declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to California Civil Code §
9		1780 and 1750 except that no monetary relief is presently sought for violations of
10		the Consumers Legal Remedies Act;
11	F.	An award of compensatory damages, the amount of which is to be determined at
12		trial except that no monetary relief is presently sought for violations of the
13		Consumers Legal Remedies Act;
14	G.	For punitive damages;
15	H.	For interest at the legal rate on the foregoing sums;
16	I.	For attorneys' fees;
17	J.	For costs of suit incurred; and
18	К.	For such further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.
19		JURY TRIAL DEMAND
20	Plain	tiff demands a jury trial on all causes of action so triable.
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		23
	Class Action	

	Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW	Document 1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 24 of 25
1	Dated: October 28, 2020	
2		Respectfully Submitted By:
3		SHUB LAW FIRM LLC
4		<u>/s/ Jonathan Shub</u> Jonathan Shub (State Bar No. 237708)
5		jshub@shublawyers.com
6		Kevin Laukaitis (Pro Hac Vice to file) klaukaitis@shublawyers.com
7		134 Kings Highway E Fl 2 Haddonfield, NJ 08033
8		Telephone: (856) 772-7200 Facsimile: (856) 210-9088
9		
10		SHEEHAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C. Spencer Sheehan (Pro Hac Vice to file)
11		spencer@spencersheehan.com 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409
12		Great Neck, NY 11021 Telephone: (516) 268-7080
13		Facsimile: (516) 234-7800
14		GREG COLEMAN LAW PC
15		Alex Straus (State Bar No. 321366) <i>alex@gregcolemanlaw.com</i> 16748 McCormick Street
16		Los Angeles, CA 91436
17		Telephone: (310) 450-9689 Facsimile: (310) 496-3176
18		Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		24
	Class Action Complaint	24

CLRA Venue Declaration Pursuant to California Civil Code Section 1780(d)

I, Jonathan Shub, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law licensed to practice in the State of California and a member of
the bar of this Court. I am an attorney at Shub Law Firm LLC, counsel of record for Plaintiff in
this action. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and, if called as a
witness, I could and would competently testify thereto under oath.

2. The Complaint filed in this action is filed in the proper place for trial under Civil Code
 Section 1780(d) in that a substantial portion of the events alleged in the Complaint occurred in the
 Northern District of California. I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
 New Jersey and/or California and the United States that the foregoing is true and correct and that
 this declaration was executed in Haddonfield, New Jersey this 28th day of October, 2020.

<u>/s/ Jonathan Shub</u>
Jonathan Shub

JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 10/2020) Case 4:20-cv-07570-JSW Document 1-1 Filed 10/28/20 Page 1 of 2 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	х х			DEFENDAN	ГС		
I. (a) FLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS Dive Discourse			
ANGELA FARVE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated				Blue Diamond Growers			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Francisco (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Sacramento (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF			
				NOTE: IN LANI THE TR	ACT OF LA	AND INVOLVED.	CATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Know	vn)		
Jonathan Shub, Esq., Sl			vy. E.,				
2nd Floor, Haddonfield							
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)			PRINCI	PAL PARTIES (Place an '	
1 U.S. Government Plaintif	f 3 Federal Question	- (- Dente)		Diversity Cases Only)	РТF Х 1	DEF 1 Incorporated or Prince	ox for Defendant) PTF DEF cipal Place 4 × 4
	(U.S. Government No	n a r ariy)	Citizen	zen of Another State		of Business In This S 2 Incorporated and Prin	
2 U.S. Government Defende	ant X 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship o	of Parties in Item III) Citizer		1 or Subject of a n Country	2	of Business In Anoth 3 Foreign Nation	-
IV. NATURE OF SI	UIT (Place an "X" in One Box	Only)	•				
CONTRACT		RTS		FORFEITURE/PE	NALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL I	INJURY	625 Drug Related S		422 Appeal 28 USC § 158	375 False Claims Act
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Inju	ury – Product	Property 21 US 690 Other	C § 881	423 Withdrawal 28 USC § 157	376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a))
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Liability 367 Health Care/	,	LABOR		PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment
150 Recovery of	320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Pharmaceuti	cal Personal	710 Fair Labor Stan	dards Act	820 Copyrights	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking
Overpayment Of Veteran's Benefits	Liability	Injury Produ 368 Asbestos Per	-	720 Labor/Managen		830 Patent	
151 Medicare Act	340 Marine	Product Liab		Relations		835 Patent-Abbreviated New	450 Commerce 460 Deportation
152 Recovery of Defaulted	345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PR	ROPERTY	740 Railway Labor 751 Family and Med	1	Drug Application 840 Trademark	470 Racketeer Influenced &
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	355 Motor Vehicle Product	× 370 Other Fraud		Leave Act	lical	880 Defend Trade Secrets	Corrupt Organizations
153 Recovery of	Liability 371 Truth in Lendi 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury -Medical Mahractice 385 Property Dam		0	790 Other Labor Lit	igation	Act of 2016	480 Consumer Credit
Overpayment			ial Property	791 Employee Retir Income Security		SOCIAL SECURITY	485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act
of Veteran's Benefits			mage Product		-	861 HIA (1395ff)	490 Cable/Sat TV
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract		Liability		IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization		862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securities/Commodities/
195 Contract Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PE		Application		864 SSID Title XVI	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions
196 Franchise	440 Other Civil Rights HABEAS CO 441 Voting 463 Alien Detained			465 Other Immigrat Actions		865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY	442 Employment	510 Motions to V		Actions		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	893 Environmental Matters
210 Land Condemnation	443 Housing/	Sentence				870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or	895 Freedom of Information Act
220 Foreclosure	Accommodations 530 General 445 Amer. w/Disabilities- Employment OTH		L			Defendant) 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC	896 Arbitration
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land			•			§ 7609	899 Administrative Procedure
245 Tort Product Liability	446 Amer. w/Disabilities-Other					Ū	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
290 All Other Real Property	448 Education	550 Civil Rights					950 Constitutionality of State
		555 Prison Condition					Statutes
		560 Civil Detaine Conditions of Confinement	of				
V. ORIGIN (Place an × 1 Original Proceeding 2	Removed from 3 State Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	Reope	ened Anot	sferred from her District	(specify) Litigation–Tran	8 Multidistrict sfer Litigation-Direct File
VII CHOBLOI	e the U.S. Civil Statute under		ng <i>(Do not c</i>	ite jurisdictional statute	es unless di	versity):	
ACTION 28 USC § 1332 - Diversity of Citizenship Brief description of cause:							
	onsumer Class Action for	or mislabeling	of Vanilla	a content			
VII. REQUESTED I COMPLAINT:	N CHECK IF THIS IS A UNDER RULE 23, Fe	CLASS ACTION d. R. Civ. P.	N DEM	AND \$ 5,000,000	.00	CHECK YES only if dem JURY DEMAND:	nanded in complaint: X Yes No
VIII. RELATED CASE(S), HIDGE DOCKET NUMBER							
IF ANY (See instru				DOCKET N	UMBER		
IX. DIVISIONAL A	SSIGNMENT (Civil I						
(Place an "X" in One Box O	only) × SAN FR	ANCISCO/OA	KLAND	SA	N JOSI	E EUREKA-	-MCKINLEYVILLE
DATE 10/28/2020	SIGNAT	URE OF ATT	ODNEV (ог ресоръ	/s/ Jc	onathan Shub	
DATE	SIGNAL	UNE OF ALL	UNICI	OF NECOKD			

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-CAND 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet. The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)."
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - (1) United States plaintiff. Jurisdiction based on 28 USC §§ 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 - (2) <u>United States defendant</u>. When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - (3) Federal question. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - (4) <u>Diversity of citizenship</u>. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - (1) Original Proceedings. Cases originating in the United States district courts.
 - (2) <u>Removed from State Court</u>. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 USC § 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - (3) <u>Remanded from Appellate Court</u>. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - (4) <u>Reinstated or Reopened</u>. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 - (5) <u>Transferred from Another District</u>. For cases transferred under Title 28 USC § 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - (6) <u>Multidistrict Litigation Transfer</u>. Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 USC § 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
 - (8) Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. Check this box when a multidistrict litigation case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

Please note that there is no Origin Code 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC § 553. <u>Brief Description</u>: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS-CAND 44 is used to identify related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- IX. Divisional Assignment. If the Nature of Suit is under Property Rights or Prisoner Petitions or the matter is a Securities Class Action, leave this section blank. For all other cases, identify the divisional venue according to Civil Local Rule 3-2: "the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated."

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Says Almond Breeze Vanilla Almondmilk Label Misleading Without Disclosure of Artificial Flavors</u>