BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530 Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055 Email: ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 111680

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Nancy Farrell, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Docket No:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Monarch Recovery Management, Inc.,

Defendant.

Nancy Farrell, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (hereinafter referred to as "*Plaintiff*"), by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against Monarch Recovery Management, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "*Defendant*"), as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.*, ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).

3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

1

4. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of New York.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Nancy Farrell is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York residing in Suffolk County, New York.

6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

7. On information and belief, Defendant Monarch Recovery Management, Inc., is a Pennsylvania Corporation with a principal place of business in Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania.

8. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS

10. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt ("the debt").

11. The debt was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

12. Sometime after the incurrence of the debt Plaintiff fell behind on payments owed.

13. The debt was incurred on a credit card issued by Citibank, N.A.

14. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff's debt accrued, and was subject to, interest.

15. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff's debt accrued, and was subject to, late fees.

16. Thereafter, at an exact time known only to Defendant, the debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.

17. In its efforts to collect the debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by letter ("the letter") dated April 21, 2016. ("<u>Exhibit 1</u>.")

18. The letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

19. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

20. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."

21. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive,

and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on any non-enumerated practice.

22. A collection letter is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate.

23. A collection letter is also deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer.

24. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account balance, to disclose whether the balance may increase due to interest and fees. *Avila v. Riexinger & Associates, LLC*, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016).

25. A debt collector has the obligation not just to convey the amount of the debt, but to convey such clearly.

26. The letter sets forth a balance "as of 21 APR 2016."

27. The letter fails to disclose whether the stated amount may increase due to additional interest.

28. The letter fails to disclose whether the stated amount may increase due to additional late fees.

29. The letter fails to indicate whether payment of the amount stated would satisfy the debt.

30. The letter fails to indicate whether payment of the amount stated by any date certain would satisfy the debt.

31. The letter fails to include any "safe harbor" language concerning the accrual of interest and/or fees. *Avila v. Riexinger & Associates, LLC*, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016).

32. The letter, because of the aforementioned failures, and especially because of the use of the phrase "as of 21 APR 2016," would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt.

33. The letter because of the aforementioned failures, and especially because of the use of the phrase "as of 21 APR 2016," would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt because the consumer would not know whether interest and fees would continue to accrue, or whether the amount of the debt was static.

34. The letter, because of the aforementioned failures, could be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that the stated amount was static.

35. The letter, because of the aforementioned failures, could also reasonably read the letter to mean that the stated amount was dynamic due to the continued accumulation of interest and/or late fees.

36. Because the letter is susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer, as described, it is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

37. Because the letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate, it is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

38. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e by using a false, deceptive and misleading representation in its attempt to collect a debt.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

39. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant attempted to collect a consumer debt using the same unlawful form letter herein, from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.

40. This action seeks a finding that Defendant's conduct violates the FDCPA, and asks that the Court award damages as authorized by § 1692k(a)(2) of the FDCPA.

41. Defendant regularly engages in debt collection, using the same unlawful letter described herein, in its attempts to collect delinquent consumer debts from other persons.

42. The Class consists of more than 35 persons from whom Defendant attempted to collect delinquent consumer debts using the same unlawful letter described herein.

43. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Common questions of law or fact raised by this class action complaint affect all members of the Class and predominate over any individual issues. Common relief is therefore sought on behalf of all members of the Class. This class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

44. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, and a risk that any adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class would, as a practical matter, either be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Class not party to the adjudication, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests. Defendant has acted in a manner applicable to the Class as a whole such that

declaratory relief is warranted.

45. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class. The management of the class action proposed is not extraordinarily difficult, and the factual and legal issues raised by this class action complaint will not require extended contact with the members of the Class, because Defendant's conduct was perpetrated on all members of the Class and will be established by common proof. Moreover, Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in actions brought under the FDCPA.

JURY DEMAND

46. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

a. Certify this action as a class action; and

b. Appoint Plaintiff as Class Representatives of the Class, and her attorneys as Class Counsel; and

c. Find that Defendant's actions violate the FDCPA; and

d. Grant damages against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and

e. Grant Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and

f. Grant Plaintiff's costs; together with

g. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: April 4, 2017

BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

By: <u>/s/ Craig B. Sanders</u> Craig B. Sanders, Esq. 100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530 Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055 Case 2:17-cv-02376 Document 1 Filed 04/20/17 Page 6 of 6 PageID #: 6

csanders@barshaysanders.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 111680 Case 2:17-cv-02376 Document 1-1 Filed 04/20/17 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 7



SMONARCH Recovery Management, Inc.



Quick and easy.

When we asked consumers what they wanted, these are the two words we heard most often. At Monarch Recovery Management, we want to work together with you to help solve your debt. For this reason, we have expanded our payment options to make this a quick and easy process.

As an added bonus, all of our payment options are secure and FREE!



This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. New York City Department of Consumer Affairs License #1345051 and #1345050.

Debt collectors, in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., are prohibited from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection efforts, including but not limited to: (i) the use or threat of violence; (ii) the use of obscene or profane language; and (iii) repeated phone calls made with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass. If a creditor or debt collector receives a money judgment against you in court, state and federal laws may prevent the following types of income from being taken to pay the debt: 1. Supplemental security income, (SSI); 2. Social security; 3. Public assistance (welfare); 4. Spousal support, maintenance (alimony) or child support; 5. Unemployment benefits; 6. Disability benefits; 7. Workers' compensation benefits; 8. Public or private pensions; 9. Veterans' benefits; 10. Federal student loans, federal student grants, and federal work study funds; and 11. Ninety percent of your wages or salary earned in the last sixty days.

930CZMORM01_PMTOPT_112370932

Account #:	************2172 1861				
Monarch File #:					
Total Balance as of 21 APR 2016:	\$1203.95				
Creditor: CITIBANK, N	.A.				
Additional THE HOME DEPOT Information:					

10965 Decatur Road

Philadelphia PA 19154-3210 RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

> Choose the solution that works best for YOU. Visit us at **www.monarchrm.com** or scan below using your smartphone.



We're here to help. Contact us with any questions or concerns at 1-800-220-0605.

Case 2:17-cv-02376 Document 1-2 Filed 04/20/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 8

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

))

)

)

))

Nancy Farrell, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

Monarch Recovery Management, Inc.

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Monarch Recovery Management, Inc. 10965 Decatur Road PHILADELPHIA, Pennsylvania 19154

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Craig B. Sanders

100 Garden City Plaza Suite 500 Garden Clty, New York 11530

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 2:17-cv-02376 Document 1-2 Filed 04/20/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 9

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

vas re	ceived by me on (date)						
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	at (place)				
			on (date)	; or			
	□ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u	usual place of abode with (name)				
		, a person	n of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,			
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	\Box I served the summer	Ons on (name of individual)			, who is		
	designated by law to						
			on (date)	; or			
	\Box I returned the sum	nons unexecuted because			; or		
	Other (<i>specify</i>):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.	00		
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this information	is true.				
Date:							
			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16) Case 2:17-cv-02376 Dequire 10 VEIes 14/20/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 10

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS							
NANCY FARRELL				MONARCH RECOVERY MANAGEMENT, INC.							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed PlaintiffSUFFOLK				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant PHILADELPHIA							
(EZ)	KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)					PLAINTIFF CASES (
				NOTE: IN LAND THE TR	CONI ACT	DEMNATIO OF LAND II	N CASES, USE THE NVOLVED.	LOCATION C	Γ		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A		r)	Attorneys (If Known)								
BARSHAY SAND	DERS, PLLC laza, Ste 500, Garden Ci	W 11520									
(516) 203-7600	iaza, ste 500, Gardell Ci	ty, N1 11550									
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in (Dne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP O	F PI	RINCIPA	L PARTIES (
O 1 U.S. Government	 3 Federal Question 		(For l	Diversity Cases Only)	РТ	F DEF		and One.	Box for Defer PIF	ndant) DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government I	Not a Party)	Citizo	en of This State	0		Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T		0 4		
O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	O 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	0 2	2 O 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A	•	O 5	05	
				en or Subject of a oreign Country	0 3	3 O 3	Foreign Nation		06	0 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT CONTRACT		(y) PRTS	FO	ORFEITURE/PENAL'	ТҮ	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHE	R STATUT	TES	
O 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		5 Drug Related Seizure			al 28 USC 158	O 375 False			
O 120 Marine	O 310 Airplane	O 365 Personal Injury -	0 (0)	Property 21 USC 881	l	O 423 With	drawal SC 157	O 400 State		onment	
O 130 Miller Act O 140 Negotiable Instrument	O 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability O 367 Health Care/	0 690	0 Other		28 08	SC 157	O 410 Antitr O 430 Banks		ing	
O 150 Recovery of Overpayment &		Pharmaceutical					RTY RIGHTS	O 450 Comn	nerce	0	
Enforcement of Judgment O 151 Medicare Act	Slander O 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability				O 820 Copy O 830 Pater		O 460 Depor O 470 Racket		nced and	
O 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	O 368 Asbestos Personal				O 840 Trade	emark	Corru	pt Organiz	ations	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	O 340 Marine O 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		LABOR		SOCIA	L SECURITY	●480 Consu O 490 Cable		t	
O 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT	Y 0 710) Fair Labor Standards		O 861 HIA	(1395ff)	O 850 Securi		odities/	
of Veteran's Benefits O 160 Stockholders' Suits	O 350 Motor Vehicle	O 370 Other Fraud	0 720	Act) Labor/Management			c Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	Excha O 890 Other		Astions	
O 190 Other Contract	O 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	O 371 Truth in Lending O 380 Other Personal	0 720	Relations		O 864 SSID		0 890 Ouler 0 891 Agrice			
O 195 Contract Product Liability	O 360 Other Personal	Property Damage) Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical		O 865 RSI (405(g))	O 893 Enviro			
O 196 Franchise	Injury O 362 Personal Injury -	O 385 Property Damage Product Liability	0 / 31	Leave Act				O 895 Freedo Act	m of Info	rmation	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement		FEDED	AL TAX SUITS	O 896 Arbitr		1	
O 210 Land Condemnation	O 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	0 /91	Income Security Act			S (U.S. Plaintiff	O 899 Admir Act/R	eview or A		
O 220 Foreclosure	O 441 Voting	O 463 Alien Detainee		2			efendant)	Agen	cy Decisio	n	
O 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment O 240 Torts to Land	O 442 Employment O 443 Housing/	O 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence				O 871 IRS- 26 U	–Third Party ISC 7609	O 950 Consti State	tutionality Statutes	of	
O 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	O 530 General						State	statutes		
O 290 All Other Real Property	O 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	O 535 Death Penalty Other:	0.46	IMMIGRATION	ation						
	O 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	O 540 Mandamus & Other		2 Naturalization Applica 465 Other Immigration							
	Other O 448 Education	O 550 Civil Rights O 555 Prison Condition	Α	ctions							
	O 448 Education	O 555 Prison Condition O 560 Civil Detainee									
		Conditions of Confinement									
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	Commentent									
	oved from State O 3 Rem	11	4 Reinsta Reop		ther D		O 6 Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer]	Multidistric Litigation - Direct File	-	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	atute under which you are	filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	al stat	tutes unless a	liversity): 15 USC	\$1692			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of cau	15 USC §1692 F	air Deb	t Collection Practices	s Act	Violation					
VII. REQUESTED IN	 CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER RULE 2 	S A CLASS ACTION	D	EMAND \$			CHECK YES onl	•	-		
COMPLAINT:		5, F.K.CV.F.				JU	JRY DEMAND:	• Yes	s O No		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY		(See Instructions) JUDGE				DOC	KET NUMBER				
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO									
April 20, 2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s Cra	1g B.	Sanders							
	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDG	F		MAG. JUI	OGE			
AN				1000	<u></u>		MAG. JUI				

Case 2:17-cv-02376 Document 1-3 Filed 04/20/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 11 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Craig B. Sanders</u>, counsel for <u>Plaintiff</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- □ monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- \Box the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- \Box the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

1. Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: <u>NO</u>

If you answered "no" above:
a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? <u>YES</u>

b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature:	/s Craig B. Sanders	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Monarch Recovery Faces Lawsuit Over 'Deceptive' Collection Letters</u>