1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTF	RICT COURT					
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON						
10	AT SEATTL	E					
11	N.F. by and through her parents and guardians, M.R. and K.F., and A.H. by and						
12	through G.H. and L.C., both individually, and	NO.					
13	on behalf of the MICROSOFT CORPORATION WELFARE PLAN, and on behalf of similarly						
14	situated individuals and plans,	COMPLAINT (CLASS ACTION)					
15	Plaintiffs,	,					
16	v.	[REDACTED]					
17	MICROSOFT CORPORATION WELFARE						
18	PLAN; and MICROSOFT CORPORATION,						
19	Defendants.						
20	I. PARTIES	i					
21	1. <i>N.F.</i> Plaintiff N.F. is the 17-year-old daughter and dependent of M.R. and						
22	K.F. and resides in Snohomish County, Washington. Plaintiff N.F. is a beneficiary, as						
23	defined by ERISA § 3(8), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(8), of the Microsoft Corporation Welfare Plan.						
24	N.F.'s coverage is through M.R.'s employment with the Microsoft Corporation.						
25	2. A.H. Plaintiff A.H. is the 16-year old son and dependent of G.H. and L.C.						
26	and resides in King County, Washington. Plainti	off A.H. is a is a beneficiary, as defined					
	[REDACTED] COMPLAINT (CLASS ACTION) - 1	SIRIANNI YOUTZ SPOONEMORE HAMBURGER 701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2560 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104 TEL. (206) 223-0303 FAX (206) 223-0246					

25

26

by ERISA § 3(8), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(8), of the Microsoft Corporation Welfare Plan. A.H.'s coverage is through L.C.'s employment with the Microsoft Corporation

- 3. *Microsoft Corporation Welfare Plan.* The Microsoft Corporation Welfare Plan ("Plan") is an "employee welfare benefit plan" under ERISA § 1003, 29 U.S.C. § 1002(1). The Plan covers more than 50 employees. The Plan is located in King County, Washington. The Plan is a group health plan that provides both medical/surgical benefits and mental health/substance use disorder benefits. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 1185a.
- 4. *Microsoft Corporation*. Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") is the designated plan administrator and named fiduciary for the Plan. 29 U.S.C. § 1002(16)(A)(i). Microsoft is located in King County, Washington.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to ERISA § 502(e)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(1).
- 6. Venue is proper under ERISA § 502(e)(2), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2) because, *inter alia*, a defendant resides or may be found in this district.
- 7. In conformity with 29 U.S.C. § 1132(h), plaintiffs have served this Complaint by certified mail on the Secretary of Labor and the Secretary of Treasury.

III. NATURE OF THE CASE

8. Plaintiffs seek to end Microsoft's standard discriminatory practice of excluding all coverage for outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs. Microsoft excludes coverage of outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs even when medically necessary to treat a mental health condition. It excludes coverage of outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs even though it covers medical treatment provided in other types of intermediate residential programs, such as skilled nursing facilities. Plaintiffs seek to enforce the Federal Mental Health Parity Act and its

implementing regulations as incorporated into the terms of Microsoft's health plans in order to end such discriminatory and illegal practices.

9. On October 3, 2008, Congress enacted the Paul Wellstone and Pete Domenici Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act of 2008 ("Parity Act"). *See* 29 U.S.C. § 1185a. The Parity Act became effective one year later on October 3, 2009. The purpose of the law was to end discrimination in the provision of coverage for mental health treatment, as compared to medical and surgical services. *See Coal. for Parity, Inc. v. Sebelius*, 709 F. Supp. 2d 10, 13 (D.D.C. 2010). While the Parity Act does not require Microsoft to cover mental health services, if Microsoft chooses to cover mental health services in its health plans – and it does – such coverage must be provided "at parity" with medical/surgical benefits. This means that Microsoft must ensure that:

[T]he treatment limitations applicable to such mental health or substance use disorder benefits are no more restrictive than the predominant treatment limitations applied to substantially all medical and surgical benefits covered by the plan (or coverage) and there are no separate treatment limitations that are applicable only with respect to mental health or substance use disorder benefits.

29 U.S.C. § 1185a(a)(3)(A)(ii) (emphasis added); see also 29 C.F.R. § 2590.712(c)(4).

- 10. ERISA requires Microsoft to administer the Plan in a manner that includes the requirements of federal law, including the Parity Act and its implementing regulations. By applying its blanket exclusion of outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs in violation of the Parity Act and its regulations, Microsoft is systemically and uniformly failing to properly administer its Plan. It is also breaching its fiduciary duties to plaintiffs and class members, who have not received the benefits to which they are entitled.
- 11. In addition, Microsoft's application of the outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare program exclusion violates the provider non-discrimination provision of the

[REDACTED] COMPLAINT (CLASS ACTION) - 3 SIRIANNI YOUTZ
SPOONEMORE HAMBURGER
701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2560
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
TEL. (206) 223-0303 FAX (206) 223-0246

25

26

Affordable Care Act. 42 U.S.C. § 300gg-5(a); 29 U.S.C. § 1185d. This law prohibits Microsoft from discriminating against a health care provider that is acting within the scope of the provider's license under applicable state law. *Id.*

12. This lawsuit seeks remedies under ERISA arising out of Microsoft's failure to comply with the terms of the Plan, as modified by applicable federal law. It further seeks to recover the benefits that have been wrongfully denied to plaintiffs and the class she seeks to represent. It also seeks a court order declaring Microsoft's blanket exclusion of coverage for wilderness therapy void and unenforceable. Finally, it seeks to require Microsoft to provide accurate information to all participants and beneficiaries concerning the coverage of outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs.

IV. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 13. *Definition of Class*. The class consists of all individuals who:
 - (1) have been, are or will be participants or beneficiaries under the Plan, at any time on or after October 3, 2009 and/or the relevant statute of limitations; and
 - (2) have required, require or are expected to require treatment in an outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare program or programs for a mental health condition.
- 14. *Size of Class*. The class of Plan participants and beneficiaries who have required treatment in outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs for a mental health condition is expected to be so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
- 15. *Class Representatives N.F. and A.H.* Named plaintiffs N.F. and A.H. are enrollees in the Plan which is subject to ERISA. N.F. and A.H. are each diagnosed with a mental health condition. N.F. and A.H. required treatment for their mental health conditions at licensed outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs in 2016. N.F.

and A.H. both submitted claims seeking coverage of their mental health treatment at licensed outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs in 2016. Microsoft denied both plaintiffs' requests because of the blanket exclusion contained in the Microsoft Plan. Their claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the class, and, through their parents, N.F. and A.H. will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class.

- 16. Common Questions of Law and Fact. This action requires a determination of whether Microsoft's application of its blanket exclusion of all coverage for outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs to treat mental health conditions violated the requirements of the Parity Act. The Parity Act requires that such coverage be provided in strict parity with medical/surgical services generally. The Parity Act forbids Microsoft from applying treatment limitations and exclusions on mental health coverage when similar exclusions are not predominantly imposed on medical/surgical services. Adjudication of this issue will in turn determine whether Microsoft is liable under ERISA for its conduct.
- 17. Separate Suits Would Create Risk of Varying Conduct Requirements. The prosecution of separate actions by class members against Microsoft would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct. Certification is therefore proper under FRCP 23(b)(1).
- 18. *Microsoft Has Acted on Grounds Generally Applicable to the Class.* Microsoft, by imposing a uniform, blanket exclusion of outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs, has acted on grounds generally applicable to the class, rendering declaratory relief appropriate respecting the whole class. Certification is therefore proper under FRCP 23(b)(2).
- 19. Questions of Law and Fact Common to the Class Predominate Over Individual Issues. The claims of the individual class members are more efficiently

adjudicated on a class-wide basis. Any interest that individual members of the class may have in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions is outweighed by the efficiency of the class action mechanism. Upon information and belief, there has been no class action suit filed against these defendants for the relief requested in this action. This action can be most efficiently prosecuted as a class action in the Western District of Washington, where Microsoft has its principal place of business, does business, and where N.F. and A.H. reside. Issues as to Microsoft's conduct in applying standard policies and practices towards all members of the class predominate over questions, if any, unique to members of the class. Certification is therefore additionally proper under FRCP 23(b)(3).

20. *Class Counsel*. Plaintiffs have retained experienced and competent class counsel.

V. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 21. During the relevant time periods, N.F., A.H. and class members have been, are or will be participants or beneficiaries of the Microsoft Corporation Welfare Plan which is subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1003.
- 22. During the relevant time periods, and continuing to the present, N.F., A.H. and other members of the class have been or are diagnosed with conditions that are considered to be mental health conditions under the Plan and the Parity Act.
- 23. N.F., A.H. and members of the class have required, currently require or will require mental health treatment at licensed outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs for their mental health conditions. Microsoft, however, has excluded all coverage of such treatment through the application of blanket exclusions and treatment limitations.

[REDACTED] COMPLAINT (CLASS ACTION) - 6

- 24. The application of these uniform exclusions and limitations is not "at parity" with Microsoft's coverage of medical/surgical services, which includes coverage of medically necessary treatment at skilled nursing facilities, a form of residential treatment facilities for medical conditions. As a result, N.F., A.H. and members of the class have paid for mental health treatment they received from outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs out of their own pockets. Other class members have been forced to forego needed treatment due to Microsoft's conduct.
- 25. In light of the established Plan documents, statements and written representations by Microsoft to the parents of N.F. and A.H., any attempt by class members to pursue administrative remedies is futile. Nonetheless, N.F. and A.H. have completed the internal appeal process within the Plan to no avail. They have exhausted their administrative remedies.

VI. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

FIRST CLAIM: BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTIES ERISA §§ 404(a)(1), 502(a)(2); 29 U.S.C. §§ 1104(a), 1132(a)(2)

- 26. Plaintiffs re-allege all paragraphs above.
- 27. Microsoft is a fiduciary under ERISA § 3(21)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A), because it is identified in the Plan as the Plan Administrator and named fiduciary.
- 28. ERISA imposes strict fiduciary duties upon plan fiduciaries. ERISA § 404(a)(1)(C), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(C), states, in relevant part, that a plan fiduciary must discharge its duties with respect to a plan "solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries and ... in accordance with the documents and instruments governing the plan insofar as such documents and instruments are consistent with the provisions of this title and Title IV."
 - 29. ERISA § 409(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1109(a), states, in relevant part:

Any person who is a fiduciary with respect to a plan who breaches any of the responsibilities, obligations, or duties imposed upon fiduciaries by this title shall be personally liable to make good to such plan any losses to the Plan resulting from each such breach, and to restore to such plan any profits of such fiduciary which have been made through each such breach, and to restore to such plan any profits of such fiduciary which have been made through use of assets of the Plan by the fiduciary, and shall be subject to such other equitable or remedial relief as the court may deem appropriate, including removal of such fiduciary.

- 30. The terms of an ERISA plan include applicable provisions of substantive federal law, such as the requirements in the Parity Act and certain provisions of the Affordable Care Act. Defendants have failed to comply with the terms of the Plan, which include the applicable requirements of the Parity Act, the Affordable Care Act and their implementing regulations. Under ERISA, defendants have both a fiduciary and a legal duty to ensure that the Plan complies with the applicable federal law.
- 31. Microsoft violated its obligations under ERISA § 404(a)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1) by failing to act in accordance with the documents and instruments governing the Plan, as governed by applicable federal law, and thereby breached its fiduciary duties to the Plan, the plaintiffs and all class members.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of these acts and omissions, plaintiffs, the Plan and class members have suffered losses (including harm to the integrity of the Plan) such that they are entitled to relief under ERISA against Microsoft.
- 33. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves, the class and the Plan, seek recovery of all losses to the Plan, including (but not limited to) relief compelling Microsoft to restore to the Plan and all class members all losses (including interest) arising from the breaches of fiduciary duties when treatment required by the terms of the Plan as governed by the Parity Act and the Affordable Care Act was denied.

SECOND CLAIM: CLAIM FOR RECOVERY OF BENEFITS, CLARIFICATION OF RIGHTS UNDER TERMS OF THE PLANS ERISA § 502(a)(1)(B), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B)

- 34. Plaintiffs re-allege all paragraphs above.
- 35. ERISA § 502(a)(1)(B), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B) provides that a participant or beneficiary may bring an action to "recover benefits due to him under the terms of his plan, to enforce his rights under the terms of the plan, or to clarify his rights to future benefits under the terms of the plan."
- 36. Plaintiffs and the class they seek to represent are entitled to recover benefits due them due to the improper exclusion and/or limitation of coverage of medically necessary mental health treatment in wilderness therapy programs. They are also entitled to a declaration of their rights to coverage of medically necessary mental health treatment in outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs without the application of Microsoft's blanket exclusions and limitations.

THIRD CLAIM: CLAIM TO OBTAIN OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF AND TO ENFORCE THE TERMS OF THE PLANS ERISA § 502(a)(3), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3)

- 37. Plaintiffs re-allege all paragraphs above.
- 38. Pursuant to ERISA § 502(a)(3), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3), plaintiffs and the class seek to have Microsoft provide the class with corrective notice and information, including reformation of the relevant health plan documents.
- 39. ERISA § 502(a)(3), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3), further provides that a participant or beneficiary may obtain other appropriate equitable relief to redress violations of ERISA or enforce plan terms. To the extent full relief is not available under ERISA § 502(a)(1)(b), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B) or ERISA § 502(a)(2), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(2), then plaintiffs and the class seek equitable remedies including, without limitation, unjust enrichment, disgorgement, restitution, surcharge and consequential

[REDACTED]
COMPLAINT (CLASS ACTION) - 9

SIRIANNI YOUTZ
SPOONEMORE HAMBURGER
701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2560
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
TEL. (206) 223-0303 FAX (206) 223-0246

damages arising out of Microsoft's failure to administer its Plan as governed by the applicable provisions of the Federal Parity Act and the Affordable Care Act.

VII. DEMAND FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs request that this Court:

- 1. Certify this case as a class action; designate the named plaintiffs N.F. and A.H., by and through their parents, as class representative; and designate JORDAN LEWIS P.A., Jordan Lewis, and SIRIANNI YOUTZ SPOONEMORE HAMBURGER, Eleanor Hamburger and Richard E. Spoonemore, as class counsel;
- 2. Enter judgment on behalf of the Plan, plaintiffs and the class for losses due to Microsoft's breaches of fiduciary duty and failure to pay benefits;
- 3. Declare that Microsoft may not apply contract provisions, policies or practices that wholly exclude or impermissibly limit coverage of outdoor/wilderness behavioral healthcare programs to treat mental health conditions when such exclusions and/or limitations are not predominantly applied to medical and surgical services;
- Enjoin Microsoft from further violations of the terms of its Plan as governed by the Federal Parity Act and implementing regulations, and certain provisions of the Affordable Care Act;
- 5. Enter judgment in favor of plaintiffs and the class for damages in an amount to be proven at trial due to the failure to provide benefits due under the Plan as modified by the Federal Parity Act and certain provisions of the Affordable Care Act;
- 6. Award plaintiffs and the class their attorney fees and costs under ERISA § 502(g), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g); and
 - 7. Award such other relief as is just and proper.

Case 2:17-cv-01889 Document 1 Filed 12/18/17 Page 11 of 11

1	DATED: December 18, 2017.
2	SIRIANNI YOUTZ
3	SPOONEMORE HAMBURGER
4	s/ Eleanor Hamburger _s/ Richard E. Spoonemore
5	Eleanor Hamburger (WSBA #26478)
6	Richard E. Spoonemore (WSBA #21833) 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3650
7	Seattle, WA 98104 Tel. (206) 223-0303; Fax (206) 223-0246
8	Email: ehamburger@sylaw.com
9	<u>rspoonemore@sylaw.com</u>
10	JORDAN LEWIS, P.A.
11	<u>s/ Jordan M. Lewis</u> Jordan M. Lewis (FLBA # 97997)
12	Pro hac vice admission pending 4473 N.E. 11th Avenue
13	Fort Lauderdale, FL 33334
14	Tel. (954) 616-8995; Fax (954) 206-0374 Email: <u>jordan@jml-lawfirm.com</u>
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
16	1 1000 2 10 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

[REDACTED] COMPLAINT (CLASS ACTION) - 11 SIRIANNI YOUTZ
SPOONEMORE HAMBURGER
701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2560
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
TEL. (206) 223-0303 FAX (206) 223-0246

Case 2:17-cv-01889 Document 1 1 2/18/17 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of illitiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	HONS ON NEXT FAGE O	T THIS FC	JKM.)		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS N.F. by and through her paren	ts and quardians. M.R. and	K.F., and A.H. by and	through	DEFENDANTS	DODATION WELFARE	DI ANI and
N.F. by and through her parents and guardians, M.R. and K.F., and A.H. by and G.H. and L.C., both individually, and on behalf of the MICROSOFT CORPORA WELFARE PLAN, and on behalf of similarly situated individuals and plans, (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Snohomish						
				County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	
(EZ	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)		NOTE BY AND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C	*
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	NDEMNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)		
Eleanor Hamburger and Sirianni Youtz Spoonemo		re				
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2 II. BASIS OF JURISDI			шс	 TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti
		пе Бох Ошу)		(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government I	Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2	
				en or Subject of a preign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ely) PRTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	□ 69	of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/		o ouici		☐ 400 State Reapportionment
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 151 Medicare Act☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal	,		☐ 830 Patent ☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product			New Drug Application	470 Racketeer Influenced and
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	RTY	LABOR	■ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud☐ 371 Truth in Lending	□ 71	10 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	☐ 380 Other Personal		20 Labor/Management	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Relations 40 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability		51 Family and Medical Leave Act		☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 🗆 79	90 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee	≱ 79	91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	e	meome security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence ☐ 530 General			26 USC 7609	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty	2.4	IMMIGRATION		State Statutes
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth		62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration		
	Other 448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions		
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of				
		Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in		D 116	5 4 D :	7.5-		70 M 10 T 4 T 4
	te Court	Appellate Court		pened Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation Transfer	
VI CAUSE OF ACTIO	FRISA 8 502(e)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 113	re ming (<i>i</i> 2(e)(1)	Do not cite jurisdictional state	utes uniess diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	brief description of ca		ciary du	ty recovery of denied	henefits, and injunction	<u> </u>
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Seeking remedies for breach of fiduc CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			EMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASI						•
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	_
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTOR						
12/18/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/ Eleanor Har	nburge	er (WSBA #26478)		
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUD)GE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Washington						
N.F. by and through her parents and and K.F., and A.H. by and through both individually, and on behalf of the CORPORATION WELFARE PLAN, similarly situated individuals and plaintiff(s) V. MICROSOFT CORPORATION WELFARE MICROSOFT CORPORATION WELFARE PLAN, and an analysis of the components of the comp	G.H. and L.C., ne MICROSOFT and on behalf of and plans, FARE PLAN; and)))) () () ()))	vil Action No.			
2 Genaum (s)		,				
	SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL	ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address)						
MICROSOFT CORPORATION WELFARE PLAN c/o MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Plan Administrator c/o CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY, Registered Agent 300 DESCHUTES WAY SW, STE 304 TUMWATER, WA 98501-7719						
A lawsuit has been filed aga	inst you.					
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,						
Richa Siriar 701 F	nor Hamburger ard E. Spoonemore nni Youtz Spoonem Fifth Avenue, Suite de, WA 98104	ore Hamburg	er			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.						
			CLERK OF COURT			
Date:			a	l Dtr Cl - I		
			Signature of Cleri	к or Deputy Cierk		

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

		ne of individual and title, if ar	ny)				
was re	ceived by me on (date)		·				
	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)						
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	ides there,			
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or				
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)						
	designated by law to	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	e	; or			
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date:		-					
			Server's signature				
		_	Printed name and title				
		_	Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Washington						
N.F. by and through her parer and K.F., and A.H. by and the both individually, and on behad CORPORATION WELFARE Is similarly situated individually situated individually situated individually situated individually situated individual v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION MICROSOFT CORPORATION Defendant	nrough Ğ.H. and L.C., alf of the MICROSOFT PLAN, and on behalf of duals and plans, s) N WELFARE PLAN; and RPORATION,)))) ()) () () () () () () () () ()				
	SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address) MICROSOFT CORPORATION c/o CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY, registered agent 300 DESCHUTES WAY SW, STE 304 TUMWATER, WA 98501-7719						
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Eleanor Hamburger Richard E. Spoonemore Sirianni Youtz Spoonemore Hamburger 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2560 Seattle, WA 98104						
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.						
		CLERK OF COURT				
Date:						
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

		ne of individual and title, if ar	ny)				
was re	ceived by me on (date)		·				
	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)						
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	ides there,			
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or				
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)						
	designated by law to	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	e	; or			
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date:		-					
			Server's signature				
		_	Printed name and title				
		_	Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Microsoft Accused of Denying Coverage for Mental Health Treatment</u>