IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

VICTORIA ESNAULT, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated;

Civil Action No.:

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

CBA OF GA INC., d/b/a CREDIT BUREAU ASSOCIATES OF GEORGIA,

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendant.

Plaintiff, VICTORIA ESNAULT (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), an Georgia resident, brings this Class Action Complaint by and through the undersigned counsel, against Defendant CBA OF GA INC., d/b/a CREDIT BUREAU ASSOCIATES OF GEORGIA (hereinafter "Defendant" or "CBA"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C.
§ 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws... [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C.

§§ 1692(b) & (c).

2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of Georgia consumers seeking redress for Defendant's actions of using an unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.
- 6. Defendants actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA").
- 7. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

8. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of Georgia and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).

- 9. Defendant is a collection agency with its principal office located at 64 Sailors Drive, Suite 102, Ellijay, Georgia 30540.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 11. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

- 12. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 13. Some time prior to April 29, 2017, an obligation was allegedly incurred to DR AMANDA HESS-DISCOVER.
- 14. The DR AMANDA HESS-DISCOVER obligation arose out of a transaction in which money, property, insurance or medical services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 15. The alleged DR AMANDA HESS-DISCOVER obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C.\\$ 1692a(5).
- 16. DR AMANDA HESS-DISCOVER is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(4).
- 17. DR AMANDA HESS-DISCOVER directly or through an intermediary contracted the Defendant to collect the alleged DR AMANDA HESS-DISCOVER debt.
- 18. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and internet.

- 19. On or about April 29, 2017, Defendant mailed a Collection Letter (the "Letter") to the Plaintiff regarding the alleged debt owed to DR AMANDA HESS-DISCOVER. *See*Exhibit A.
- 20. Plaintiff received the letter on a date after April 29, 2017 and read it.
- 21. The Collection Letter stated, in part: "\$2.00 PROCESSING FEE WILL BE ADDED TO EACH DEBIT/CREDIT CARD TRANSACTION PROCESSED THROUGH THE MAIL OR BY PHONE."
- 22. Upon information and belief, the underlying DR AMANDA HESS-DISCOVER agreement creating the debt did not expressly authorize CBA to charge a processing fee of \$2.00.
- 23. Upon information and belief, there is no law in Georgia that expressly permits CBA to charge a processing fee of \$2.00.
- 24. As a result of the Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, the Plaintiff was harmed. The Plaintiff was harmed by being asked to pay more money than she actually owed, by being asked to pay more money than the Defendant could legally receive, by being subjected to abusive collection practices from which she had a substantive right to be free, and by having her options to pay the debt made more expensive and difficult by the Defendant tacking on an additional, illegal and entirely arbitrary \$2.00 fee simply for the privilege of paying with a debit or credit card by mail or by phone.
- 25. Defendant's actions as described herein are part of a pattern and practice used to collect consumer debts.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

26. Plaintiff brings this claim on behalf of the following case, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3) on behalf of the following class:

- The Class consists of (a) all individuals with addresses in the State of Georgia (b) to whom Defendant (c) sent a letter in connection with the collection of a consumer debt owed to DR AMANDA HESS-DISCOVER (d) which attempts to charge a 2.00 processing fee for debit/credit card transactions processed through the mail or over the phone (e) within a date of one year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date 21 days after the filing of this action.
- 27. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendant and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collects and/or have purchased debts.
- 28. Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are the Defendant and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of the Defendant and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 29. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendant's written communications to consumers, in the form attached as *Exhibit A*, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692f.
- 30. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 31. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor his attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.

- 32. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the Plaintiff Classes defined above are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendant's written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as *Exhibit A*, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692f.
 - (c) <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members.

 The Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class have claims arising out of the Defendant's common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - (d) Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are averse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
 - (e) <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members

would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.

- 33. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 34. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e

- 35. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 36. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 37. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 38. Defendant violated said section by:
 - Falsely representing that they were entitled to charge an amount they were not

- entitled to in violation of §1692e(2);
- Threatening to charge a fee in which they were not legally entitled to charge in violation of §1692e(5);
- Making a false and misleading representation in violation of §1692e(10).
- 39. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e *et seq.* of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT II

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692f et seq.

- 40. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 41. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.
- 42. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692f, a debt collector may not use any unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 43. Defendant violated said section by:
 - Attempting to collect an amount not expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692f(1).
- 44. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692f *et seq*. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

45. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a

trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and Plaintiff's Counsel as Class Counsel;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: April 26, 2018

By: /s/ Misty Ann Oaks
Misty Ann Oaks, Esq.
The Oaks Firm
3315 Charlston Court
Decatur, Georgia 30034
Tel: (404) 725-5697

Fax: (775) 320-3695 Attorneys for Plaintiff

PRO HAC VICE TO BE FILED

/s/ Yitzchak Zelman Yitzchak Zelman, Esq. Marcus & Zelman, LLC 1500 Allaire Avenue - Suite 101 Ocean, NJ 07712

Office: (732) 695-3282 Fax: (732) 298-6256 Email: <u>yzelman@MarcusZelman.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiff

(478) 452-5586



(800) 452-5586

Apr 29, 2017

CBA of GA
PO Box 579
Milledgeville, GA 31059-0579

Creditor	Account #	Demonitor		
DR AMANDA HESS-DISCOVER	PARTIES AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTIES AND T	Regarding	Amt Owed	Serv Date
			193.69	08/01/16
195人以表示是是原则是有情情			1	
			1	
	MARKE LEVEL			
				1
			Total Amoun	t Owed 3.89
			Construction of the Constr	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO PERSONS NAMED IN COLUMN TRANSPORT NAMED IN COLUMN TWO PERSONS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO

To ensure proper credit, make payment directly to this office.

Use your account number, B14886, on your payment.

We accept Visa, Mastercard, and automatic debits from your checking/savings account.

This communication is an attempt to collect a debt by a debt collector. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose

Please disregard this NOTICE if PAYMENT has already been made to US!

SEND PAYMENT TO PO BOX 579 MILLEDGEVILLE, GA 31059

*** Please detach below and return in the enclosed cryelope with your payment ***

AVOID ANY PROCESSING FEES for Debit and Credit Card transactionsMake your payments at www.cbaga.com.

PO Box 579

Milledgeville, GA 31059-0579

ELECTRONIC SERVICE REQUESTED

Phone Number

I authorized CBA to contact me at the above number

THE LORA DION OVEY CODE ON THE BACK OF THE CARD STANDARD AND STANDARD STAND

SE SO PROCESSING FEE AND SE ACCED TO SECH CREST CARD TRANSACTION DECOESSED THROUGH THE MAD OR BY PHONE: BY SELECTING HAS OFFICIAL ACCEDING TO THE ACCESSING FEED HERSENANT TO RECOESSING PROMIDED THE SELECTION OF THE ACCESSING SASSICHED MAD FEED TO THE ACCESSING SASSICHED MAD FEED THE BEAUTIFUL THROUGH THE PERSON SASSICHED MAD FEED THROUGH THE PROCESSING SASSICHED MAD FEED THROUGH THROUGH THE PROCESSING SASSICHED MAD FEED THROUGH THROUGH THROUGH THE PROCESSING SASSICHED MAD FEED THROUGH THRO

Statement Balance \$193.89

CBA Account Number 886

Apr 29, 2047

http://displatedajtajtojthajejjejtejtejje

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED)

I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S)		DEFENDANT(S)			
VICTORIA ESNAULT, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated		CBA OF GA INC., d/b/a CREDIT BUREAU ASSOCIATES OF GEORGIA			
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Henry (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED			
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUME-MAIL ADDRESS) THE OAKS FIRM 3315 Charlston Court Decatur, GA 30034 404.725.5697 attyoaks@yahoo.com	IBER, AND	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)		ZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES N "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY)			
1 U.S. GOVERNMENT PLAINTIFF 2 U.S. GOVERNMENT DEFENDANT 1 U.S. GOVERNMENT (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY) 4 DIVERSITY (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES IN ITEM III)	$\square_2 \square_2 \text{CI}$ $\square_3 \square_3 \text{CI}$	DEF PLF DEF I CITIZEN OF THIS STATE 4			
IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X "IN ONE BOX ONLY) 1 ORIGINAL 2 REMOVED FROM APPELLATE COURT 2 STATE COURT 3 REMANDED FROM APPELLATE COURT	4 REINSTATED (REOPENED	TRANSFERRED FROM DESCRIPTION OF TRANSFER TO DISTRICT JUDGE OF TRANSFER TO DISTRICT JUDGE OF TRANSFER TO DISTRICT JUDGE JUDGMENT			
MULTIDISTRICT 8 LITIGATION - DIRECT FILE					
V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE I JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNL 15 U.S.C. 1692 Defendant violated the FDCPA (IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW)	UNDER WHICH YOU LESS DIVERSITY)	ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE			
1. Unusually large number of parties. 6. Problems locating or preserving evidence					
2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses.	7. Pending parallel investigations or actions by government.				
3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex	☐ 8. Multiple use of experts.				
4. Greater than normal volume of evidence.5. Extended discovery period is needed.		9. Need for discovery outside United States boundaries. 10. Existence of highly technical issues and proof.			
CONTINUED ON REVERSE					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
AMOUNT \$		OF SUIT CAUSE OF ACTION			
(Referral)					

Case 1:18-cv-01812-ELR-JKL Document 1-2 Filed 04/26/18 Page 2 of 2

VI. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

VIOLUTIONE OF BOTT (TEACEAN A I	NONE BOX GIVET)				
CONTRACT - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT 152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS (Excl. Veterans) 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERAN'S BENEFITS CONTRACT - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 110 INSURANCE 120 MARINE 130 MILLER ACT 140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT 151 MEDICARE ACT 160 STOCKHOLDERS SUITS 190 OTHER CONTRACT 195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY 196 FRANCHISE REAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 210 LAND CONDEMNATION 220 FORECLOSURE 230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT 240 TORTS TO LAND 245 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY 290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY TORTS - PERSONAL INJURY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 310 AIRPLANE 315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY 320 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY 340 MARINE 345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY 350 MOTOR VEHICLE 355 MOTOR VEHICLE 355 MOTOR VEHICLE 356 PERSONAL INJURY - MEDICAL MALPRACTICE 367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CARE/ PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CARE/ PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY 367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CARE/ PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY 367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CARE/ PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY 367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CARE/ PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY 367 PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 370 OTHER FRAUD 371 TRUTH IN LENDING 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY 360 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY 360 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY 360 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY 360 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAG	CIVIL RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS 441 VOTING 442 EMPLOYMENT 443 HOUSING' ACCOMMODATIONS 445 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Employment 446 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Other 448 EDUCATION IMMIGRATION - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 462 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION 465 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS PRISONER PETITIONS - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 463 HABEAS CORPUS - Alien Detaince 510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE 530 HABEAS CORPUS DEATH PENALTY 540 MANDAMUS & OTHER 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed Pro se 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed Pro se 560 CIVIL DETAINEE: CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT PRISONER PETITIONS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 555 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed by Counsel 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed by Counsel FORFEITURE/PENALTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 625 DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY 21 USC 881 690 OTHER LABOR - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT 720 LABORMOMT, RELATIONS 740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT 751 FAMILY and MEDICAL LEAVE ACT 790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION 791 EMPL. RET. INC. SECURITY ACT PROPERTY RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 820 COPYRIGHTS 830 PATENT 835 PATENT-ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATIONS (ANDA) - a/k/a Hatch-Waxman cases	SOCIAL SECURITY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.Civ.P. 23 DEMAND \$ JURY DEMAND YES NO (CHECK YES ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT)					
VIII. RELATED/REFILED CASE JUDGE	DOCKET NO				
 □ 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER IS □ 2. SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT OF □ 3. VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT OF THE SAME BEANKRUPTCY JUDGE, □ 5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY PRO SE L 	OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK INCLUD ANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERET	AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. ED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. TO WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME			
	S AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOI T (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE.	LVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS			

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>CBA of GA Facing Lawsuit for Allegedly Demanding 'Processing Fee' for Card Payments</u>