FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FT. MYERS DIVISION

2017 JUN 22 AM 11: 38

CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS FLORIDA

TODD ERLING, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated.

Plaintiff,

VS.

CASE NO.

AMERICAN GRILLE WITH SUSHI LLC, a Florida Profit Corporation, and CHRIS K. WHITAKER, Individually,

Defendants.

2:17-CV-350-REM-29MRM

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, TODD ERLING, on behalf of himself and other employees and former

employees similarly situated, by and through the undersigned counsel, files this

Complaint against Defendants, AMERICAN GRILLE WITH SUSHI LLC doing

business as LYNQ (hereinafter "LYNQ") and CHRIS K. WHITAKER, Individually,

(collectively, "Defendants") and states as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended 29 U.S.C. §201., et seq. (hereinafter the "FLSA") to recover unpaid overtime wages, minimum wages, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, obtain declaratory relief, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

2. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is based upon 29 U.S.C. §216(h).

PARTIES

3. At all limes material hereto, Plaintiff was, and continues to be a resident of Lee

County, Florida.

4. At all times material hereto Defendant, AMERICAN GRILLE WITH SUSHI LLC doing business as LYNQ, is a Florida Profit Corporation with a principle place of business located at 16230 Summerlin Rd #223, Fort Myers, FL 33908 and was engaged in business in Lee County, Florida.

5. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant, CHRIS K. WHITAKER, was an individual resident believed to be residing in Lee County, Florida.

6. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant, CHRIS K. WHITAKER, managed and operated LYNQ.

7. At all times relevant to this action, CHRIS K. WHITAKER regularly exercised the authority to hire and fire employees of LYNQ.

8. At all times relevant to this action, CHRIS K. WHITAKER determined the work schedules for the employees of LYNQ.

9. At all times relevant to this action, CHRIS K. WHITAKER controlled the finances and operations LYNQ.

10. At all times relevant to this action, CHRIS K. WHITAKER was an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. 201 et. seq.

11. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendants within the meaning of FLSA.

12. At all times material hereto, Defendants were the "employer" within the meaning of FLSA.

13. Defendants were, and continue to be "employers" within the meaning of FLSA.

14. At all times material hereto, Defendants were, and continue to be, an "enterprise

engaged in commerce" within the meaning of FLSA.

15. At all times material hereto, Defendants were, and continue to be, an enterprise engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.

16. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of Defendants were in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum during the relevant time periods.

17. At all times material hereto, Defendant had two (2) or more employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce including but not limited to: paint and painting supplies, equipment, vehicles and ordering and receiving goods that are moving or will move in interstate commerce.

18. At all relevant times, Defendants have been, and continue to be, employers engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA.

19. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" and subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.

20. The additional persons who may become plaintiffs in this action are/were nonexempt employees of Defendants, who held similar positions to Plaintiff and who worked in excess of forty (40) hours during one or more work weeks during the relevant time periods but who did not receive pay at one and one-half times their regular rate for their hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.

21. At all times material hereto, the work performed by the Plaintiff was directly essential to the business performed by Defendants.

3

STATEMENT OF FACTS

22. From on or about April 4, 2017, Defendants hired Plaintiff to work as a nonexempt cook and agreed to pay Plaintiff \$15.00 per hour.

23. At various material times hereto, Plaintiff worked for Defendants in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week.

24. From at least April 4, 2017 and continuing through May 22, 2017, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff at rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single work week. Plaintiff should be compensated at the rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as required by the FLSA.

25. Defendants have violated Title 29 U.S.C. §206 and 207 from at least April 4, 2017 and continuing through May 22, 2017 in that:

- a. Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for the period of employment with Defendants;
- b. No payments, and provisions for payment, have been made by Defendants to properly compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times
 Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours
 per work week as provided by the FLSA; and
- c. Defendants have failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.

26. Plaintiff has retained the law firm of BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A. to represent him in the litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its Services.

4

COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

27. Plaintiff realleges and reincorporates all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 —
26 as if incorporated herein.

28. From at least April 4, 2017 and continuing through May 22, 2017, Plaintiff worked in excess of the forty (40) hours per week for which Plaintiff was not compensated at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay.

29. Rather, throughout his employment Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff, and all employees similarly situated, for any hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.

30. Plaintiff was, and is entitled to be paid at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.

31. Plaintiff estimates that the overtime wages due are \$5,062.50.

32. At all times material hereto, Defendants failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.

33. Defendants' actions were willful and/or showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by its failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per weeks when it knew, or should have known, such was, and is due.

34. Defendants have failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.

35. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer damages and lost compensation for time worked over forty (40) hours per week, plus liquidated damages.

5

36. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

37. At all times material hereto, Defendants failed to comply with Title 29 and United States Department of Labor Regulations, 29 C.F.R. §§516.2 and 516.4, with respect to those similarly situated to the named Plaintiff by virtue of the management policy, plan or decision that intentionally provided for inadequate overtime compensation of such employees at a rate less than time and a half for their overtime hours.

38. Based upon information and belief, Defendants have failed to properly pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, proper overtime wages at time and a half their regular rate of pay for such hours.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered in his favor against Defendants, AMERICAN GRILLE WITH SUSHI LLC and CHRIS K. WHITAKER as follows:

- a. Declaring, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the maximum hour and minimum wage provisions of the FLSA;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff overtime compensation in the amount due to him for Plaintiff's time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to the overtime award;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs and expenses of the litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment interest;

- f. Granting Plaintiff an Order, on an expedited basis, allowing him to send Notice of this action, pursuant to 216(b) and/or FRCP 23, to those similarly situated to Plaintiff; and
- g. Ordering any other further relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 20th day of June 2017,

• • • •

BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A.

Pillbh

By:

Bill B. Berke, Esq. Florida Bar No. 0558011 berkelaw@yahoo.com 4423 Del Prado Blvd. S. Cape Coral, FL 33904 Telephone: (239) 549-6689 *Attorneys for Plaintiff* JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM*.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS TODD ERLING, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,				DEFENDANTS AMERICAN GRILLE WITH SUSHI LLC and CHRIS K. WHITAKER		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lee (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE. IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, J Bill B. Berke, Esq., BERk Cape Coral, Florida 3390	(E LAW FIRM,. P.A., 4	7) 423 Del Prado Blvo	t. S.,	Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintifi
I U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State I I Incorporated or Principal Place I 4 4 of Business In This State		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citizen of Another State □ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and Principal Place □ 5 □ 5 of Business In Another State □ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation □ 6 □ 6		
W NATHER OF SUR			Fo	Foreign Country		
CONTRACT	JRE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TRACT TORTS		FO	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY J 310 Airplane □ 365 Personal Injury J 315 Aurplane Product □ 367 Health Care' J 320 Assault, Libel & Slander Pharmaceutical J 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability Liability □ 367 Assault, Libel & Slander J 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability		Y 🗇 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit
	 346 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice 	Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	0 73 0 74 0 75	LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ft) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XV1 865 RSI (405(g))	.SECURITY □ 490 Cable/Sat TV (1395fl) □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange ½ Lung (923) □ ℃/DIWW (405(g)) □ 890 Other Statutory Actions D Title XVI □ 891 Agricultural Acts (405(g)) □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act - - 896 Aptigration
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 29 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 441 Employment 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty	<u>¥S</u> (7 79	I Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	899 Actionistrative Procedure
	 Grading Control of the second s	Other:		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	IS FLORDA	IVED
	moved from 🗇 3	Remanded from (Appellate Court	1 4 Rein Reoj		r District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	I Fair Labor Standa	ards Act, as amende	e tiling (J ed 29 U	Do not cite jurisdictional stat .S.C. §201., et seq.		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	, D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 06/20/2017		SIGNATURE OF AT		DF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # TFP AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE
		2:	17-C	V-350-Ft	M-29MRM	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Cook Hits American Grill With Sushi with Unpaid OT Lawsuit</u>