IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

HEATHER	EMBRY, on behalf of he	erself
and a class of	of those others similarly s	situated,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No.

LASER SPINE INSTITUTE, LLC,
LSI MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC and
LSI HOLDCO LLC

Defendants.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

HEATHER EMBRY ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and a class of those similarly situated by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby sue LASER SPINE INSTITUTE, LLC, LSI MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC and LSI HOLDCO LLC (collectively "Defendants") and allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action brought pursuant to the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act of 1988, 29 U.S.C. § 2101 et. seq. ("WARN Act"). Defendants are liable under the WARN Act for the failure to provide the Plaintiff and all others similarly situated at least 60 days' advance notice of their termination, as required by the WARN Act.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C § 2104 (a)(5).
 - 3. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2104(a)(5).

THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Heather Embry is a citizen and resident of the State of Florida and was employed by Defendants until termination without cause on or about March 1, 2019. Plaintiff submits to the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 5. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant Laser Spine Institute, LLC was a company that touted its "minimally invasive" medical procedures, including but not limited to spinal procedures, and provided services at various locations, including but not limited to a 176,000-square-foot headquarters at 5332 Avion Park Drive in Tampa, Florida ("Facilities").
- 6. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant LSI Management Company, LLC was a company that provided management services to Defendant Laser Spine Institute, LLC.
- 7. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant LSI HoldCo LLC is the member and holding company of Defendant Laser Spine Institute, LLC and Defendant LSI Management Company, LLC.
 - 8. Defendants had common ownership.
 - 9. Defendants had common directors and/or officers.
 - 10. Defendants had *de facto* exercise of control by the same individuals.

- 11. Defendants had unity of personnel policies emanating from a common source.
- 12. Defendants are a single employer within the meaning of 20 C.F.R. § 639.3(a).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

13. The WARN Act defines a "plant closing" as follows:

The permanent or temporary shutdown of a single site of employment, or one or more facilities or operating units within a single site or employment, if the shutdown results in an employment loss at the single site of employment during any 30-day period for 50 or more employees excluding any part-time employees.

29 U.S.C. § 2101(a)(2).

- 14. WARN Act defines a "mass layoff" as a reduction in force which is not the result of a plant closing and results in an employment loss at a single site of employment during any 30-day period for at least 33% of the active employees (excluding part-time employees) and at least 50 employees (excluding part-time employees); or at least 500 employees (excluding part-time employees). 29 U.S.C. § 2101(a)(3); 20 C.F.R. § 639.3(c).
- 15. The distinction between a plant closing and a mass layoff, according to the regulations, is that a plant closing involves "employment loss which results from the shutdown of one or more distinct units within a single site or the entire site" while a mass layoff "involves employment loss, regardless of whether one or more units are shut down at the site." 20 C.F.R. §639.3(c)(1).
- 16. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees were employees, employed by Defendants, who were their employers, for all relevant and required periods of time.

- 17. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees were terminated as part of plant shutdowns or mass layoffs as defined by the WARN Act, for which they were entitled to receive 60 days advance written notice under the WARN Act.
- 18. On or about March 1, 2019, or on earlier or later dates, approximately 500 other similarly situated employees who reported to the Facilities were terminated as part of plant shutdowns or mass layoffs at the Facilities.
- 19. Pursuant to the WARN Act, Plaintiff maintains this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of each of the other similarly situated former employees.
- 20. Each of the other similarly situated former employees is similarly situated to the Plaintiff in respect to his or her rights under the WARN Act.
- 21. Defendants were required by the WARN Act to give the Plaintiff and the other similarly situated former employees or their representatives at least 60 days advance written notice of their respective terminations.
- 22. Prior to their terminations, neither Plaintiff nor the other similarly situated former employees or their representatives received written notice that complied with the requirements of the WARN Act.
- 23. Defendants failed to pay the Plaintiff and the other similarly situated former employees their respective wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, accrued holiday pay vacation which would have accrued for sixty (60) days following their respective terminations without notice and failed to make 401(k) contributions and provide them with health insurance coverage and other employee benefits.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 24. Plaintiff sues under Rule 23(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the WARN Act, on behalf of herself, and a class of employees who worked at or reported to the Defendants' Facilities and were laid off without cause by Defendants as part or as the reasonably foreseeable result of plant shutdowns or mass layoffs ordered by Defendants at the Facilities (the "Class") on or about March 1, 2019 or on earlier or later dates.
- 25. The persons in the Class ("Class Members") are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable as there are over 500 potential class members.
 - 26. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class Members, namely:
 - (a) Whether the Class Members were employees of Defendants' who worked at or reported to Defendants' Facilities;
 - (b) Whether the Defendants ordered the termination of employment of each of the Class Members without cause on their part and without giving them 60 days advance written notice as required by the WARN Act; and
 - (c) Whether the Defendants were subject to any of the defenses provided for in the WARN Act.
- 27. The claims of the representative parties are typical of the claims of the Class, as they were terminated as part of the plant shutdown or mass layoff and did not receive the requisite notice.
- 28. The representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.

- 29. Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class action employment litigation.
- 30. There is no conflict of interest between the Plaintiff and other members of the class.
- 31. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy—particularly in the context of WARN Act litigation, where the individual Plaintiff and class members may lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against a corporate defendant and separate actions would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual class members and the adjudications with respect to individual class members would be dispositive of the interests of other members.
 - 32. Defendants have acted on grounds that apply generally to the class.
- 33. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class Members that predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class, including but not limited to:
 - (a) Whether the Class Members were employees of Defendants' who worked at or reported to Defendants' Facilities;
 - (b) Whether the Defendants ordered the termination of employment of each of the Class Members without cause on their part and without giving them 60 days advance written notice as required by the WARN Act; and
 - (c) Whether the Defendants were subject to any of the defenses provided for in the WARN Act.

CAUSE OF ACTION WARN ACT VIOLATIONS

- 34. At all relevant times, the Defendants employed 100 or more employees, exclusive of part-time employees, or employed 100 or more employees who in the aggregate worked at least 4,000 hours per week exclusive of hours of overtime within the United States as defined by the WARN Act, and employed more than 50 employees at the Facilities.
- 35. At all relevant times, the Defendants were an "employer" of the Class Members as that term is defined by the WARN Act.
- 36. On or about March 1, 2019, and at previous and subsequent times, Defendants ordered "plant shutdowns" or "mass layoffs" as those terms are defined by the WARN Act.
- 37. Defendants' actions at the Facilities resulted in an "employment loss" as that term is defined by the WARN Act for at least 33% of its workforce, and at least 50 of its employees, excluding (a) employees who worked less than six of the twelve months prior to the date WARN notice was required to be given and (b) employees who worked an average of less than 20 hours per week during the 90-day period prior to the date WARN notice was required to be given.
- 38. Defendants' termination of the Class Members' employment constituted plant shutdowns or mass layoffs as defined by the WARN Act.
- 39. The Plaintiff and each of the Class Members who were employed by Defendants and then terminated by Defendants as a result of Defendants' executing plant shutdowns or mass layoffs at the Facilities were "affected employees" as defined by the WARN Act.

- 40. The Plaintiff and each of the Class Members are "aggrieved employees" of the Defendants as that term is defined by the WARN Act.
- 41. Pursuant to the WARN Act, Defendants were required to provide at least 60 days prior written notice of the termination, or notice as soon as practicable, to the affected employees, on their representative, explaining why the sixty (60) days prior notice was not given.
- 42. Defendants failed to give at least sixty (60) days prior notice of the termination in violation of the WARN Act.
- 43. The Defendants failed to pay the Plaintiff and each of the Class Members their respective wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, accrued holiday pay and accrued vacation for 60 working days following their respective terminations, and failed to make the pension and 401(k) contributions, provide other employee benefits under ERISA, and pay their medical expenses for 60 calendar days from and after the dates of their respective terminations.
- 44. As a result of Defendants' failure to pay the wages, benefits and other monies as asserted, the Plaintiff and Class Members were damaged in an amount equal to the sum of the members' unpaid wages, accrued holiday pay, accrued vacation pay, accrued sick leave pay and benefits which would have been paid for a period of sixty (60) calendar days after the date of their terminations.

RELIEF SOUGHT

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff and Class Members demand trial by jury and judgment against the Defendants as follows:

- a. An amount equal to the sum of: unpaid wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, accrued holiday pay, accrued vacation pay pension and 401(k) contributions and other ERISA benefits, for sixty (60) working days following the member employee's termination, that would have been covered and paid under the then applicable employee benefit plans had that coverage continued for that period, all determined in accordance with the WARN Act, 29 U.S.C§2104(a)(1)(A);
- b. Certification that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (a) and (b) and the WARN Act, Plaintiff and the Other Similarly Situated Former Employees constitute a single class;
 - c. Designation of Plaintiff as Class Representative;
 - d. Appointment of the undersigned attorneys as Class Counsel;
- e. Interest as allowed by law on the amounts owed under the preceding paragraphs;
- f. The reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs and disbursements the Plaintiff incurs in prosecuting this action, as authorized by the WARN Act; and
 - g. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff further demands a jury trial on all issues so triable as of right.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ryan D. Barack

Ryan D. Barack

Florida Bar No. 0148430

Primary: rbarack@employeerights.com Secondary: jackie@employeerights.com

Michelle Erin Nadeau Florida Bar No. 0060396

Primary: <u>mnadeau@employeerights.com</u> Secondary: <u>jackie@employeerights.com</u>

Kwall Barack Nadeau PLLC 304 S. Belcher Road, Suite C Clearwater, Florida 33765 (727) 441-4947 (727) 447-3158 Fax Attorneys for Plaintiff JS 44 (Rev. 02/19)

Case 8:19-cv-00539 Decument 0.0 Filed 0.3/0.4/19 Page 1 of 1 PageID 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de					974, 18 Tequi	ired for the use of	the Clerk of Co	urt for til	C
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		-			
HEATHER EMBRY, on behalf of herself and a class of those othe similarly situated				LASER SPINE INSTITUTE, LLC, LSI MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC, and LSI HOLDCO, LLC					
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff	lillsborough		County of Residence	of First List	ed Defendant	Hillsborough	l	
• •	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA			County of Residence		LAINTIFF CASES O			
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATI OF LAND IN	ON CASES, USE TH NVOLVED.	HE LOCATION (ЭF	
RVAN D ^{A.} tBanack, Fikwanel	Addrass vand Talenhovee Numbes	T)I C		Attorneys (If Known)					
304 S. Belcher Rd., Suite									
(727-441-4947) rbarack@									
H. D. GIG OF WIDIGD	CONTON		TTT - CT		DINGIBA	I DADETEG			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI		ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in and One Box fo		
☐ 1 U.S. Government	★ 3 Federal Question			P			· ·	PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	1 🗇 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		□ 4	≯ 4
□ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P	rincipal Place	□ 5	1 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)				of Business In A	Another State		
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	1 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						here for: Nature o			
CONTRACT		ORTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	î	NKRUPTCY		STATUTI	ES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR ☐ 365 Personal Injury -	Y □ 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appe	eal 28 USC 158 drawal	 □ 375 False Cl □ 376 Qui Tan 		
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	□ 69	0 Other		JSC 157	3729(a))	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment☐	Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			DDODE	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Re ☐ 410 Antitrus		nent
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury			□ 820 Copy		☐ 430 Banks a		3
151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			☐ 830 Pater		☐ 450 Commer		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product				nt - Abbreviated Drug Application	☐ 460 Deportate ☐ 470 Racketee		ed and
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability			☐ 840 Trade	emark	Corrupt	Organizatio	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER ☐ 370 Other Fraud		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SOCIAL	(1395ff)	 □ 480 Consum □ 485 Telepho 		ner
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending	j	Act	☐ 862 Black	k Lung (923)	Protecti	on Act	
190 Other Contract195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	□ 72	0 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIW ☐ 864 SSID	C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 490 Cable/Sa☐ 850 Securitie		dities/
☐ 195 Contract Floduct Elability ☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage	□ 74	0 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (Exchange		nues/
	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	□ 75	1 Family and Medical			□ 890 Other St		ions
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	NS 🔀 79	Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERA	AL TAX SUITS	☐ 891 Agricult ☐ 893 Environ		tters
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		1 Employee Retirement	☐ 870 Taxe	s (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 895 Freedom	ı of Inform	ation
220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		efendant)	Act		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	;			—Third Party JSC 7609	☐ 896 Arbitrati ☐ 899 Adminis		cedure
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	□ 530 General			1		Act/Rev	iew or App	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other:	□ 46	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application	1		Agency 950 Constitu	Decision	f
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe		5 Other Immigration			State St		1
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions					
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainee -							
	 	Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)	Commencia							
		Remanded from	J 4 Rein	stated or	erred from	☐ 6 Multidistri	ict 🗆 8	Multidist	trict
	ate Court	Appellate Court		, I I tuli bit	r District	Litigation Transfer	-	Litigation Direct Fil	n -
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	atute under which you a	re filing (I	Po not cite jurisdictional state on Act of 1988, 29 U	utes unless di	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	ause:							
WIL DEOLIEGED IN				on Act of 1988, 29 U		•	1 1.		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☑ CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	V D	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only: URY DEMAND:		compiain No	ıt:
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)								
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE 03/04/2019		signature of at /s/ Ryan D. Bar		OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		73/ Tyan D. Dai	aun						
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		

Date:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the Middle District of Florida HEATHER EMBRY, on behalf of herself and a class of those others similarly situated, *Plaintiff(s)* Civil Action No. v. LASER SPINE INSTITUTE, LLC. LSI MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC, and LSI HOLDCO, LLC Defendant(s) SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION To: (Defendant's name and address) Laser Spine Institute, LLČ CT CORPORATION, Registered Agent for: 1200 South Pine Island Road Plantation, FL 33324 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Ryan D. Barack Kwall Barack Nadeau PLLC 304 S. Belcher Rd., Suite C Clearwater, FL 33765 (727) 441-4947 rbarack@employeerights.com If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (no	me of individual and title, if an	y)			
was red	ceived by me on (date)		<u> </u>			
	☐ I personally served	d the summons on the ind	vidual at (place)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I left the summons	s at the individual's reside	nce or usual place of abode with (nam	e)		
		,	a person of suitable age and discretio	on who resides there	·,	
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or					
	☐ I served the summ	ons on (name of individual)			, who is	
	designated by law to	accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because			; or	
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a t	total of \$0.0	0 .	
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this info	rmation is true.			
Date:						
		_	Server's signatui	re		
		_	Printed name and t	title		
		_	Server's addres.	s		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Date:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the Middle District of Florida HEATHER EMBRY, on behalf of herself and a class of those others similarly situated, *Plaintiff(s)* Civil Action No. v. LASER SPINE INSTITUTE, LLC. LSI MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC, and LSI HOLDCO, LLC Defendant(s) SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION To: (Defendant's name and address) LSI Managment Company, LLC CT CORPORATION, Registered Agent for: 1200 South Pine Island Road Plantation, FL 33324 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Ryan D. Barack Kwall Barack Nadeau PLLC 304 S. Belcher Rd., Suite C Clearwater, FL 33765 (727) 441-4947 rbarack@employeerights.com If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (n	ame of individual and title, if an	y)			
was rec	ceived by me on (date)		·			
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the ind	ividual at (place)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I left the summon	s at the individual's reside	ence or usual place of abode with (name)			
		,	a person of suitable age and discretion who res	ides there,		
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or					
	☐ I served the sumn	nons on (name of individual)		, wł	no is	
	designated by law to	accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)			
			On (date)	; or		
	☐ I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted because	e		; or	
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under pena	lty of perjury that this info	rmation is true.			
Date:						
2		-	Server's signature			
		_	Printed name and title			
		_	Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Date:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the Middle District of Florida HEATHER EMBRY, on behalf of herself and a class of those others similarly situated, *Plaintiff(s)* Civil Action No. v. LASER SPINE INSTITUTE, LLC. LSI MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC, and LSI HOLDCO, LLC Defendant(s) SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION $To: \textit{(Defendant's name and address)} \\ \text{CT CORPORATION, Registered Agent for: LSI Holdco, LLC}$ 1200 South Pine Island Road Plantation, FL 33324 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Ryan D. Barack Kwall Barack Nadeau PLLC 304 S. Belcher Rd., Suite C Clearwater, FL 33765 (727) 441-4947 rbarack@employeerights.com If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was re	ceived by me on (date)	·		
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)	
			on (date)	
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)	
		, a perso	on of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh	alf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or
	☐ Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	n is true.	
Date:				
Dute.			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Laser Spine Institute Hit with WARN Act Class Action After Sudden Tampa Facility Closure</u>