IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ADAM ELSAYED 4320 Circle Drive Easton, PA. 18045 CIVIL ACTION

On behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees.

Case No.

Plaintiff,

COLLECTIVE ACTION

V.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

BUILD-A-BEAR WORKSHOP, INC., 1954 Innerbelt Business Center Drive St. Louis, MO. 63114

Defendant.

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, ADAM ELSAYED ("Plaintiff"), files this Complaint against Defendant, BUILD-A-BEAR WORKSHOP, INC. ("Defendant"), seeking all relief available under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* ("FLSA") on his own behalf and on behalf of all similarly situated Store Managers ("SMs"), however variously titled. The following allegations are based on personal knowledge as to Plaintiff's own conduct and are made on information and belief as to the acts of others.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff brings this action under the FLSA for recovery of overtime wages pursuant to the FLSA. Defendant's systematic failure and refusal to pay Plaintiff and all other similarly situated SMs for the hours worked over 40 in a workweek violates the FLSA. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself and similarly situated SMs that they are entitled to: (i) unpaid wages

for hours worked above 40 in a workweek, as required by law, earned at any time from August 10, 2014, through present; (ii) liquidated damages; (iii) prejudgment interest; and (iv) attorney's fees and litigation expenses, pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq.

- 2. Plaintiff brings the First Cause of Action on behalf of himself and all similarly situated persons who work or have worked for Defendant as SMs at any Build-A-Bear store location in the United States, on or after August 10, 2014, who elect to opt-in to this action (the "FLSA Collective").
- 3. Plaintiff also brings the Second Cause of Action on behalf of himself and all similarly situated persons who participated in Defendant's SM training program ("SMs in Training") at any Build-A-Bear store location in the United States, on or after August 10, 2014, who elect to opt-in to this action (the "FLSA Training Collective").

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

- 4. ADAM ELSAYED ("Elsayed") resides in Easton, Pennsylvania. From approximately November 2015 until approximately September 2016, he was employed by Defendant as a SM at one if its store locations in King of Prussia, Pennsylvania (Montgomery County).
- 5. Elsayed's written consent to join this action is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.

Defendant

- 6. Defendant is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in St. Louis, Missouri.
 - 7. Defendant operates retail stores selling teddy bears and other stuffed animals,

which are built by an interactive process through which customers assemble and customize the stuffed animal of their choice.

- 8. According to its most recent 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, as of December 31, 2016, Defendant operated over 200 stores in the United States. *See* Build-A-Bear Workshop, Inc. Form 10-K at 2.
- 9. At all times relevant, Defendant maintained control, oversight and direction over Plaintiff and other SMs, including timekeeping, payroll and other employment practices that applied to them.
- 10. Defendant applies the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all SMs nationwide.
- 11. Defendant employs individuals, including Plaintiff, who are engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce or engaged in handling, receiving, selling, or otherwise working on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for interstate commerce.
 - 12. Defendant is an employer covered by the FLSA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
 - 14. Plaintiff's claims involve matters of national or interstate interest.
- 15. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
 - 16. Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in Pennsylvania.

17. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) since a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims in this Complaint occurred within this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 18. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant as an SM in approximately November 2015.
- 19. Like the other members of the FLSA Training Collective, when Plaintiff was initially hired as an SM, he was required to undergo approximately 2 weeks of SM training. Plaintiff completed his SM training at the Build-A-Bear store location in Whitehall, Pennsylvania.
- 20. During SM training, the primary duty of Plaintiff and the other members of the FLSA Training Collective was to learn all aspects of the store operations, including reviewing and completing training modules.
- 21. Once Plaintiff's training concluded, he was assigned to work at the Build-A-Bear store location in King of Prussia, Pennsylvania under the title SM.
- 22. Both during training, and continuing through the end of his employment as a SM, Plaintiff, like other members of the FLSA Collective, regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 23. Defendant was aware that Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Training Collective and the FLSA Collective worked more than 40 hours per workweek, yet Defendant failed to pay them overtime compensation for any of the hours worked over 40 in a workweek.
- 24. Instead, Defendant paid Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Training Collective and the FLSA Collective their weekly salaries, with no additional compensation for overtime hours worked.

4

- 25. In addition, Defendant failed to keep accurate records of the hours that Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Training Collective and the FLSA Collective worked.
- 26. The primary duties of Plaintiff and other SMs were non-exempt in nature. They performed the same duties as the hourly employees who were entitled to overtime, such as making stuffed animals, performing customer service, merchandising, receiving and stocking products, and cleaning the store.
- 27. Plaintiff and other SMs were closely supervised by their District Managers ("DMs"), and through common corporate policies and procedures that defined and circumscribed their work. Among other things, DMs not Plaintiff and other SMs were responsible for the overall performance of the stores, and for coaching, evaluating and disciplining store employees.
- 28. During the relevant time period, Defendant uniformly classified SMs as exempt from federal and state overtime pay requirements, including during and after the SMs' training period.
- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendant's unlawful conduct has been pursuant to a corporate policy or practice of minimizing labor costs by violating the FLSA.
- 30. Defendant was aware, or should have been aware, that the FLSA required it to pay Plaintiff and other SMs overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of 40 per week.
- 31. Defendant was aware, or should have been aware, that Plaintiff's and other SMs' primary duties were making stuffed animals, performing customer service, merchandising, receiving and stocking products, and cleaning the store. Defendant was also aware that these duties do not fall within any overtime exemption under the FLSA.
- 32. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and other SMs' overtime was willful.

 Defendant's unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.

5

- 33. All of the work that Plaintiff and other SMs have performed has been assigned by Defendant, and/or Defendant has been aware of all of the work that Plaintiff and other SMs have performed.
- 34. As part of its regular business practice, Defendant has intentionally, willfully, and repeatedly engaged in a pattern, practice, and/or policy of violating the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff and other SMs. This policy and pattern or practice includes, but is not limited to:
 - a. willfully failing to pay Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective and/or the FLSA Training Collective overtime wages for hours that they worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek;
 - b. willfully misclassifying Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective and/or the FLSA Training Collective as exempt from the protections of the FLSA; and
 - c. willfully failing to record all of the time that its employees, including Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective and/or the FLSA Training Collective, have worked for the benefit of Defendant.
- 35. Plaintiff performed the same primary duties as the FLSA Collective and the FLSA Training Collective.
 - 36. Defendant's unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
- 37. Thus, notice should be sent to the FLSA Collective and the FLSA Training Collective pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 38. The members of the FLSA Collective and the FLSA Training Collective are known to Defendant, are readily identifiable, and can be located through Defendant's records.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Fair Labor Standards Act – Overtime Wages (On behalf of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective)

- 39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
 - 40. Defendant has engaged in a widespread pattern and practice of violating the

FLSA, as described in this Collective Action Complaint.

- 41. Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 42. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective were engaged in commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 43. The overtime wage provisions set forth in §§ 201 *et seq*. of the FLSA apply to Defendant.
- 44. Defendant is an employer engaged in commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 45. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective are, or were, employees within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(e) and 207(a).
- 46. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective the overtime wages to which they were entitled under the FLSA.
- 47. Defendant's violations of the FLSA, as described in this Collective Action Complaint, have been willful and intentional. Defendant failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to its compensation of Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective.
- 48. Because Defendant's violations of the FLSA have been willful, a three-year statute of limitations applies to this First Cause of Action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255.
- 49. As a result of Defendant's willful violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective have suffered damages by being denied overtime wages in accordance with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq.

50. As a result of the unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Collective have been deprived of overtime compensation and other wages in amounts to be determined at trial, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Fair Labor Standards Act – Overtime Wages (On behalf of Plaintiff and the FLSA Training Collective)

- 51. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 52. Defendant requires Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Training Collective to participate in two weeks of SM training.
- 53. During SM training, the primary duties of Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Training Collective was, and is, to learn all aspects of the store operations, including reviewing and completing training modules.
- 54. During their SM training period, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Training Collective the overtime wages to which they were entitled under the FLSA.
- 55. Defendant has engaged in a widespread pattern and practice of violating the FLSA during the SMs' training period, as described in this Collective Action Complaint.
- 56. Defendant's violations of the FLSA during the SMs' training period, as described in this Collective Action Complaint, have been willful and intentional. Defendant failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to their compensation of Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Training Collective.

8

- 57. Because Defendant's violations of the FLSA with respect to FLSA Training Collective have been willful, a three-year statute of limitations applies to this Second Cause of Action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255.
- 58. As a result of Defendant's willful violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Training Collective have suffered damages by being denied overtime wages in accordance with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq.
- 59. As a result of the unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff and the members of the FLSA Training Collective have been deprived of overtime compensation and other wages in amounts to be determined at trial, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, prays for the following relief:

- a. Designation of this action as an FLSA collective action on behalf of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C.
 § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA Collective, apprising them of the pendency of this action, permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Join pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and tolling of the statute of limitations;
- b. Designation of this action as an FLSA collective action on behalf of Plaintiff and the FLSA Training Collective and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA Training

Collective, apprising them of the pendency of this action, permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Join pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and tolling of the statute of limitations;

- A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA;
- d. An award of unpaid wages for all hours worked in excess of 40 in a workweek at a rate of time and one-half of the regular rate of pay due under the FLSA;
- e. An award of liquidated damages under the FLSA as a result of Defendant's willful failure to pay overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of 40 in a workweek;
- f. An award of damages representing Defendant's employer's share of FICA, FUTA, state unemployment insurance, and any other required employment taxes;
- g. An award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- h. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees and an award of service payments to the Plaintiff; and
- i. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by this Collective Action Complaint.

Case 5:17-cv-03579-JLS Document 1 Filed 08/10/17 Page 11 of 13

Date: August 10, 2017

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Respectfully Submitted,

Jason Conway (PA I.D. No. 317113)

CONWAY LEGAL, LLC

1700 Market Street, Suite 1005

Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 278-4782 Facsimile: (215) 278-4807

E-mail: jconway@conwaylegalpa.com

SHAVITZ LAW GROUP, P.A.

Gregg I. Shavitz* Camar R. Jones*

1515 South Federal Highway, Suite 404

Boca Raton, FL 33432 Telephone: (561) 447-8888 Facsimile: (561) 447-8831

E-mail: gshavitz@shavitzlaw.com cjones@shavitzlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Collective Members

^{*} To apply for admission pro hac vice

EXHIBIT A

CONSENT TO JOIN FORM

- 1. I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against Defendant(s) **Build a Bear**, and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 2. I hereby designate the Shavitz Law Group, P.A. to represent me in bringing such claim, and to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement. I agree to be bound by any adjudication of this action by the Court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.
- 3. I also consent to join any other related action against Defendant(s) or other potentially responsible parties to assert my claim and for this Consent Form to be filed in any such action.

Docusigned by: Llam Elsayed Signature
Signature 04F3
Adam Elsayed
Print Name

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

HOAN ELSAMED		:	CIVIL ACTION					
v.		:						
BUILD-A-BEAR WORKSHOP	, luc -	:	NO.					
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.								
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:								
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.								
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.								
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.								
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.								
(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse si management cases.)	complex and t	hat need spec	al or intense management by description of special	()				
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.								
August 10, 2017 Date	Attorney		Attorney for					
(215) 278 - 4782	(215) 2	13-4807 .	je conway@conwaylesplpa.con	<u>. </u>				
Telephone	FAX Nu	mber	E-Mail Address	_				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. ASEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	cket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	IONS ON NEAT FAGE OF	r msro	T(NL)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
Adam Elsayed 4320 Circle Drive, Easton	, PA 18045			Build-A-Bear Workshop, Inc. 1954 Innerbelt Business Center Drive, St. Louis, MO 63114			
(b) County of Residence of	First Listed Plaintiff No	orthampton, PA		County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant	Vone	
(EX	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES)		NOTE INLAND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O		
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name)	Uddrass and Talanbona Number			Attorneys (If Known)			
Jason Conway (PA Bar #	3171 13)	,					
Conway Legal, LLC 1700 Market Street, Suite	1005, Philadelphia, P	A 19103					
215-278-4782					<u>-</u>		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Oi	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif, and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government	•			PT		PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz	en of This State	1 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citiz	en of Another State	2	Principal Place	
Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)				of Business In	•	
				en or Subject of a	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	7.01	h.,	Fo	oreign Country	Click here for: Natare a	of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT		RTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability		of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a))	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care Pharmaceutical	ŀ		PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Reapportionment☐ 410 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury	-		☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers` Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona	.1		☐ 830 Patent ☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product			New Drug Application 3 840 Trademark	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPEI		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud371 Truth in Lending		10 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	0.7	20 Labor Management	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	Exchange	
195 Contract Product Liability196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Relations 40 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	7	51 Family and Medical Leave Act		☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	_	90 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 3 463 Alien Detainee	7 7	91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Accommodations ☐ 530 General ☐ 530 Death Penalty Employment Other:		te		3 871 IRS Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
240 Torts to Land245 Tort Product Liability					20 030 7009	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
290 All Other Real Property			7.4	IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application			
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Otl ☐ 550 Civil Rights		65 Other Immigration Actions			
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition	.	Actions			
		560 Civil Detainee • Conditions of					
	<u> </u>	Confinement			<u> </u>		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X")		Remanded from	□ 4 Pai	nstated or	erred from 🗊 6 Multidist	riet	
	ate Court	Appellate Court		opened Anothe	er District Litigation		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	itute under which you	are filing	(specify) (Do not cite jurisdictional sta	<u> </u>	Direct Tile	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	29 U.S.C. 201, et	seq.					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIV	Brief description of ca Failure to pay over						
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTIO	N 1	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only	y if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: X Yes ONO							
VIII. RELATED CAS							
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE /			DOCKET NUMBER _		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD							
08/10/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY MILLARY MILLAR							
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	, ,	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

Case 5:17-cv-03579-JLS Document 1-3 Filed 08/10/17 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calendar.	_							
Address of Plaintiff: QDA: LISA-1FD, 4320 CIECLE DE.	EMSTER IN 16045							
Address of Defendant: Bown - A-BEAR Wicksmit, him, 1954 houseld								
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: White race of Zinc, Of Pixs, 2 PA. (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)								
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?							
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a								
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes□ No□							
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:							
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:								
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	rear previously terminated action in this court?							
	Yes□ No ⊙							
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated							
	Yes□ No□							
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier								
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊡							
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	nts case filed by the same individual?							
•	Yes No W							
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in one category only)								
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:							
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	□ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts							
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury							
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation							
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury							
5. Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury							
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)							
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability							
8. Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos							
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases							
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	·							
11 N All other Federal Question Cases	(Please specify)							
(Please specify) FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT								
ARBITRATION CERT	TIFICATION							
I. (Check Appropriate Counsel of record do hereby cert	ategory)							
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of							
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:	•							
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.								
DATE: Argust 10, 20,1	317113							
Attorney-at-Law	3 : 7 : . 3 Attorney I.D.#							
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if th	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.							
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court							
DATE: Avas: 10, 2017 June Country.	3;7;3. Attorney I.D.#							
CIV. 609 (5/2012) Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#							

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Fmr. Store Manager Sues Build-A-Bear Workshop Over Unpaid Overtime