UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_

BRACHA EISEN on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

#### ENHANCED RECOVERY COMPANY, LLC

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

#### Introduction

1. Plaintiff Bracha Eisen seeks redress for the illegal practices of Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC, concerning the collection of debts, in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq.* ("FDCPA").

#### **Parties**

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff is a consumer debt.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Jacksonville, Florida.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

#### Allegations Particular to Bracha Eisen

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 10. The Defendant sought to collect on a balance, purportedly owed to Sprint.
- 11. The Plaintiff does not and has never had any contractual relationship with Sprint.
- 12. The balance that the Defendant was seeking to collect was non-existent; the Defendant made the Plaintiff believe that she in fact owed such an amount to Sprint when it was not the case.
- 13. The Defendant deceptively engaged in the collection of an invalid debt purportedly owed by the Plaintiff.
- 14. The Plaintiff herself, contacted Sprint on or about November 27, 2017 and received confirmation that there was no Sprint account in her name.
- 15. Section 1692e of the FDCPA states:

"A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section:

- (2) The false representation of --
- (A) the character, amount, or legal status of any debt."
- 16. Section 1692(f) of the FDCPA states:

"A debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section:

(1) The collection of any amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law."

- 17. The Defendant misrepresented the legal status of the alleged debt, as the debt was not owed by the Plaintiff.<sup>1</sup>
- 18. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(2)(A) and 1692f(1) of the FDCPA for the false representation of the character, amount, or legal status of the debt, and for collecting on a debt which was not expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.
- 19. The Plaintiff further disputed the debt with the Defendant in writing on October 9, 2017by mailing a letter of dispute to 8014 Bayberry Rd, Jacksonville, Florida 32256.
- 20. The Plaintiff subsequently pulled her credit report on November 28, 2017 and discovered that the Defendant had re-reported the alleged Sprint debt, yet had failed to report it as disputed.
- 21. Upon information and belief, it is the Defendant's pattern and practice that when rereporting the debt to the credit reporting agencies to not include the disputed nature of the disputed debt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Lee v. Kucker & Bruh, LLP, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 110363, 2013 WL 3982427 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 2, 2013). ("Defendants argue that they are not liable for violating the FDCPA because they did not know that they were misrepresenting that Mr. Lee's account was delinquent. ([Footnote 1] Defendants rely on the decision in *Stonehart v. Rosenthal*, No. 01 Civ. 651, 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11566, 2001 WL 910771, at \*6 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 13, 2001) (holding that to "state a claim under § 1692e(2) of the FDCPA, [the plaintiff] must show that [the debt collector] knowingly misrepresented the amount of the debt"), and similar district court cases inside and outside this circuit. These cases, however, are at odds with binding Second Circuit precedent.), See also <u>Goldman v. Cohen</u>, No. 01 Civ. 5952, 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 25517, 2004 WL 2937793, at \*10, n.11 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 17, 2004), aff'd on other grounds, 445 F.3d 152 (2d Cir. 2006). (concluding that analysis in *Stonehart* contradicts the plain language of 1692k(c) and the law as stated by the Second Circuit). This argument is contrary to binding Second Circuit precedent. The Defendants here are strictly liable for their violation of § 1692e. This Court holds that the misrepresentation in the Three Day Notice, the Verification and the Petition for summary nonpayment eviction of a debt supposedly owed by Mr. Lee for rent and fuel charges, when in fact he was current on his payments, is a violation of § 1692e(2)(A)."), <u>Arias v. Gutman, Mintz, Baker & Sonnenfeldt LLP</u>, No. 16-2165-cv, 2017 BL 407422 (2d Cir. Nov. 14, 2017). ("[S]ection 1692f contains a non-exhaustive list of unfair practices, including the collection of an invalid debt."))

#### Case 1:18-cv-05657 Document 1 Filed 10/10/18 Page 4 of 8 PageID #: 4

- 22. The Defendant failed to communicate the fact that a disputed debt was disputed, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8). See. Hooks v. Forman, Holt, Eliades & Ravin, LLC, 717 F.3d 282, 285-86 (2d Cir. 2013). ("[O]nce a debt has been disputed, a debt collector cannot communicate the debtor[s] information to others without disclosing the dispute. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8)... Such debtor consumers would also undoubtedly benefit from having the fact of the dispute reported whenever the debt collector communicates with others about the debt, in accordance with § 1692e(8)").
- 23. On November 28, 2017 the Plaintiff disputed the debt directly with the national credit bureaus and informed them that debt being reported was invalid and non-existent.
- 24. Despite the fact that the Defendant knew the debt was invalid and non-existent, it nevertheless re-reported the debt as a valid debt, without a notation of a dispute.
- 25. Defendant intentionally gives false information regarding the reporting of disputes to the credit bureaus.
- 26. Defendant violated the FDCPA since it reported information about the debt that it knew to be false at the time that the reporting was made.<sup>2</sup>
- 27. It is unfair and unconscionable that Defendant can use the credit reporting tool to extract payment from debtors, yet when the consumer wishes to have his or her dispute reported to the credit reporting agency, the Defendant does not actually forward the dispute when communicating further.
- 28. Plaintiff did in fact dispute the invalid debt with the Defendant, yet the Defendant did not register the dispute with the credit reporting agencies.
- 29. As a result of Defendant's deceptive, misleading and unfair debt collection practices, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Janjua v. Ocwen Loan Servicing LLC, 1:14-cv-06303-CBA-JO (E.D.N.Y. Feb. 26, 2016). (Bagley, J.) ("[T]he plain language of 1692e(8), indicates that a debt collector violates this provision if it communicates information about a debt that it knows or should know to be false at the time the communication is made.")

#### Case 1:18-cv-05657 Document 1 Filed 10/10/18 Page 5 of 8 PageID #: 5

Plaintiff has suffered actual damages.

- Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 31. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.
- 32. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 33. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 34. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 35. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to Defendant's collection efforts.
- 36. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived her of her right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.
- 37. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 38. As an actual and proximate result of the acts and omissions of the Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress

-5-

#### Case 1:18-cv-05657 Document 1 Filed 10/10/18 Page 6 of 8 PageID #: 6

and acute embarrassment for which she should be compensated in an amount to be established by a jury at trial.

#### AS AND FOR A CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of herself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 39. Plaintiff re-states, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by reference, paragraphs one (1) through thirty eight (38) as if set forth fully in this cause of action.
- 40. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 41. The class consists of all persons whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York who communicated with Defendant's representatives within one year prior to the date of the within complaint up to the date of the filing of the complaint; (a) the Defendant collected upon a non-existent and invalid debt; (b) the Defendant did not have the proper credit reporting information; (c) when subsequently re-reporting the debt with the credit bureaus, the Defendant failed to report the disputed debt as disputed; (d) the Defendant made false statements in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692e(2), 1692e(5), 1692e(8) and 1692f.
- 42. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, a class action is appropriate and preferable in this case because:
  - A. Based on the fact that form collection letter and telephonic communications are at the heart of this litigation, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
  - B. There are questions of law and fact common to the class and these questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. The

#### Case 1:18-cv-05657 Document 1 Filed 10/10/18 Page 7 of 8 PageID #: 7

principal question presented by this claim is whether the Defendant violated the FDCPA.

- C. The only individual issue is the identification of the consumers who received such collection letters and such telephonic communications (*i.e.* the class members), a matter capable of ministerial determination from the records of Defendant.
- D. The claims of the Plaintiff are typical of those of the class members. All are based on the same facts and legal theories.
- E. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members' interests. The Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in bringing class actions and collection-abuse claims. The Plaintiff's interests are consistent with those of the members of the class.
- 43. A class action is superior for the fair and efficient adjudication of the class members' claims. Congress specifically envisions class actions as a principal means of enforcing the FDCPA. 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k). The members of the class are generally unsophisticated individuals, whose rights will not be vindicated in the absence of a class action. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications resulting in the establishment of inconsistent or varying standards for the parties and would not be in the interest of judicial economy.
- 44. If the facts are discovered to be appropriate, the Plaintiff will seek to certify a class pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

45. Collection attempts, such as those made by the Defendant are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."

#### Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

- 46. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 47. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

- A. Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);
- B. Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- C. Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Dated: Woodmere, New York October 9, 2018

> /s/ Adam J. Fishbein Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. (AF-9508) Attorney At Law Attorney for the Plaintiff 735 Central Avenue Woodmere, New York 11598 Telephone: (516) 668-6945 Email: fishbeinadamj@gmail.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Adam J. Fishbein Adam J. Fishbein (AF-9508)

WO CEDEMETRE ANVENUE 98 AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action fite Welto Brack his & graail.com UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the Eastern District of New York ) ) **BRACHA EISEN** ) *Plaintiff(s)* ) v. Civil Action No. )

ENSENCED REGOVERY GOMPANY LLC Filed 10/10 PROVERY GOMPANY, LLC

CENCERCERCE

Defendant(s)

#### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

#### DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

#### Case 1:18-cv-05657 Document 1-1 Filed 10/10/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 10

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

vas re	ceived by me on (date)	·						
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individual at	(place)					
		; or	; or					
	□ I left the summons							
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there							
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	$\Box$ I served the summer	ons on (name of individual)		, who				
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
			on (date)	; or				
	$\Box$ I returned the sum	nons unexecuted because			; or			
	<b>Other</b> ( <i>specify</i> ):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.	00			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:								
			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. 15 USC 1692 Fair Debt Collection Resign Adam J. Fishbein 735 Central Arcase 1:18-cv-05657 Document 1-2 Filed 10/10/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 11 Woodmere, NY 11598 516 668 6945 fishbeinadam Commil Com LR SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I (a) DI AINTIEES				DEFENDANTS			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANIS			
BRACHA EISEN							
				ENHANCED RECOVERY COMPANY, LLC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	FLORIDA	
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	<i>,</i>	
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	NDEMNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED.	THE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, )	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		<b>TIZENSHIP OF P</b> . (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
□ 1 U.S. Government	✗ 3 Federal Question		(		rf def	PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	1 🗖 1 Incorporated or P		
					of Business In	This State	
□ 2 U.S. Government	□ 4 Diversity		Citize	Citizen of Another State 🛛 2 🗇 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 🗔 5 🗔 5			
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)			of Business In	Another State	
				5	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation		
				reign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	hyNature of Suit Code E RTS	Description	18 DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		5 Drug Related Seizure	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act	
□ 120 Marine	□ 310 Airplane	□ 365 Personal Injury -	1 102	of Property 21 USC 881	□ 423 Withdrawal	□ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
□ 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product Product Liability		<b>6</b> 9	0 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a))	
<ul> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment</li> </ul>	Liability I 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHTS	<ul> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> <li>410 Antitrust</li> </ul>	
& Enforcement of Judgment	,	Personal Injury			□ 820 Copyrights	□ 430 Banks and Banking	
□ 151 Medicare Act	□ 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability				□ 830 Patent	□ 450 Commerce	
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product			835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	<ul> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and</li> </ul>	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability			840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY           □ 861 HIA (1395ff)	■ 480 Consumer Credit ■ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
□ 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 355 Motor Vehicle	□ 370 Other Plaud □ 371 Truth in Lending		Act	□ 862 Black Lung (923)	□ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
□ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	□ 380 Other Personal	<b>1</b> 72	0 Labor/Management	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange	
<ul> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> </ul>	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage <b>385</b> Property Damage	<b>1</b> 74	Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	<ul> <li>864 SSID Title XVI</li> <li>865 RSI (405(g))</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> </ul>	
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		1 Family and Medical		893 Environmental Matters	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		Leave Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	895 Freedom of Information Act	
210 Land Condemnation	□ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		<ul> <li>790 Other Labor Litigation</li> <li>791 Employee Retirement</li> </ul>	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 896 Arbitration	
220 Foreclosure	□ 441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act	or Defendant)	899 Administrative Procedure	
<ul> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>442 Employment</li> <li>443 Housing/</li> </ul>	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	;		871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
240 Forts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			20 USC 7009	□ 950 Constitutionality of	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION		State Statutes	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration			
	Other	550 Civil Rights	CI 🗠 40	Actions			
	□ 448 Education	□ 555 Prison Condition					
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of					
		Confinement					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)						
		Remanded from	J 4 Rein	stated or 🛛 5 Transfe			
Proceeding Sta	ite Court	Appellate Court	кеор	bened Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation Transfer		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you a	re filing (L	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	<b>DN</b> Brief description of ca						
	Brief description of ca	deception and credi	t reporti	na issue			
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	CHECK YES only	y if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2				JURY DEMAND	: X Yes □No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S)						
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
			FORNEY	ERECORD	DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 10/09/2018		SIGNATURE OF AT	I OKNEY C	JF KECUKD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

### None Kings Case 1:18-cv-05657 Document 1-2 Filed 10/10/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 12 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

I, \_\_\_\_\_, counsel for\_\_\_\_\_, is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

\_\_\_\_\_, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action

Plaintiff

~	
~	

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason class action

#### **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1**

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

#### **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

#### NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

1.)		led in the Easte Yes	rn District removed from No	a New	York State Court located in Na	assau or Suffolk		
2.)			e to the claim or claims, o No	or a sub	ostantial part thereof, occur in	Nassau or Suffolk		
	,	ssions giving rise Yes	e to the claim or claims, o No	or a sub	ostantial part thereof, occur in	the Eastern		
	c) If this is a Fair Debt Coll received:	lection Practice A	Act case, specify the County	in whic	ch the offending communication v	was		
If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).								
	BAR ADMISSION							
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.							
	V	Yes			No			
	Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?							
		Yes (	If yes, please explain		No			
	I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.							
	Signature:							
	Print	Save As			Reset	Last Modified: 11/27/2017		

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Enhanced Recovery Company Attempted to Collect 'Invalid' Debt, Lawsuit Says</u>