# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN EGAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.
Plaintiff,	
V.	
	i Filed Ele

Filed Electronically

LIVE NATION WORLDWIDE, INC.,

Defendant.

# **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

COMES NOW, John Egan, ("Plaintiff") on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated and alleges as follows:

# **INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated against Live Nation Worldwide, Inc. ("Defendant"), alleging violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*, (the "ADA") and its implementing regulations, in connection with Defendant's unlawful policies and practices regarding the sale of tickets for accessible seating on Defendant's websites and at Defendant's entertainment venues.

2. The ADA, enacted over a quarter century ago, is intended to "provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities," 42 U.S.C. § 12101(b)(1), and broadly protects the rights of individuals with disabilities in employment, access to State and local government services, places of public accommodation, transportation, and other important areas of American life.

## Case 2:17-cv-00445-MRH Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 2 of 14

3. Plaintiff, a wheelchair user who is limited in the major life activity of walking, is a member of a class of persons whose rights to full and equal access are protected by the ADA.

4. Defendant owns and operates over one hundred entertainment venues, including Key Bank Pavilion, in Burgettstown, Washington County, Pennsylvania.

5. Defendant also sells tickets to the public through multiple websites it owns and/or operates including www.ticketmaster.com, www.livenation.com, and www.ticketweb.com (collectively the "Websites").

6. The ADA requires public accommodations that sell tickets for single events or for a series of events to implement policies, practices or procedures to ensure that individuals with disabilities have an equal opportunity to purchase tickets for accessible seating:

- (A) During the same hours;
- (B) During the same stages of ticket sales, including, but not limited to, presales, promotions, lotteries, wait-lists, and general sales;
- (C) Through the same methods of distribution;
- (D) In the same types and numbers of ticketing sales outlets, including telephone service, in-person ticket sales at the facility, or third-party ticketing services, as other patrons; and
- (E) Under the same terms and conditions as other tickets sold for the same event or series of events.

28 C.F.R. 36.302(f)(ii).

7. As set forth in detail below, Plaintiff attempted to purchase accessible tickets for a concert through one of Defendant's websites and directly through Defendant's Key Bank Pavilion venue but was unable to successfully execute the purchase because of Defendant's inadequate policies, practices and procedures which caused Defendant to be in violation of the ADA, generally, and 28 C.F.R. 36.302(f)(ii), specifically.

#### Case 2:17-cv-00445-MRH Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 3 of 14

8. Plaintiff's experiences are not an aberration. Defendant's policies, practices and procedures regarding the sale of accessible tickets have been inadequate since at least as early as the late 1990s and as a result Defendant has routinely violated the ADA over an extended period of time notwithstanding repeated formal agreements to come into compliance with the applicable laws and regulations.

9. Unless Defendant is required to modify its current policies and practices regarding the sale of accessible tickets both online and at its venues, Plaintiff and the proposed Class will continue to be denied full and equal access to Defendant's services and venues, and will be deterred from fully using Defendant's services and venues.

10. Because Defendant has routinely violated agreements to modify its unlawful conduct in the past, the injunctive relief being requested by Plaintiff on behalf of the proposed class must necessarily include a robust monitoring and/or audit component to ensure that Defendant actually follows any injunctive mandate that the Court issues as a result of this action.

11. Consistent with 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a), Plaintiff seeks a permanent injunction requiring:

- a) that Defendant modify its policies and practices regarding the sale of accessible tickets both online and at its venues so that Plaintiff and the members of the class have full and equal access to Defendant's ticket services and venues; and
- b) that Plaintiff's experts and other representatives including counsel shall monitor Defendant's policies and procedures both online and at its venues to ensure that any injunctive relief ordered by the Court is actually followed.

12. Plaintiff's claims for permanent injunctive relief are asserted as class claims pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2), which was specifically intended to be utilized in civil rights cases where the plaintiff seeks injunctive relief individually and for the benefit of a class of similarly situated individuals.

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. This Court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 42U.S.C. § 12188(a).

14. Plaintiff's claims asserted herein arose in this judicial district and Defendant does substantial business in this judicial district.

15. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) in that this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the events and/or omissions at issue occurred.

#### PARTIES

16. Plaintiff, John Egan, at all times relevant hereto, is and was a resident of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania. Plaintiff is a member of a protected class under the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12102(2) and the regulations implementing the ADA set forth at 28 CFR §§ 36.101 *et seq.* 

17. Defendant Live Nation Worldwide, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located in Beverly Hills, California. Defendant is a public accommodation pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181(7).

# PLAINTIFF'S FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

18. On March 26, 2017, Plaintiff learned that the Counting Crows would be performing at Defendant's Key Bank Pavilion venue on September 12, 2017.

19. Through the Counting Crows Facebook page, Plaintiff further learned that Defendant would be holding a pre-sale to purchase tickets on March 28, 2017. Tickets were scheduled to go on sale to the general public on March 31, 2017.

20. On March 28, 2017, Plaintiff visited Defendant's Ticketmaster website, entered the presale code "CROWS17," and attempted to purchase an accessible wheelchair seat and a companion seat during the pre-sale. He was unable to purchase his desired seating and received a

# Case 2:17-cv-00445-MRH Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 5 of 14

message on Defendant's website stating, "Sorry we don't have any accessible seating available at the moment."

21. Plaintiff called Defendant's help line for assistance, and was told that Defendant does not sell accessible seating during pre-sales. When Plaintiff informed Defendant's customer service representative how unfair this was he was told there was nothing that could be done, and the customer service representative suggested that Plaintiff contact Defendant's Key Bank Pavilion venue directly.

22. As instructed by Defendant's representative, Plaintiff next called the Key Bank

Pavilion. He again was told that he was not able to purchase accessible seating during pre-sales.

The employee he spoke with told him that she would take his name and number and have someone

call him to help him with his request for accessible seating. Plaintiff never received a call.

23. Also on March 28, 2017, Plaintiff sent an e-mail to Defendant's customer support

e-mail address, stating:

"I tried to order 2 tickets to the Counting Crows & Matchbox 20 show in Burgettstown, PA on September 12, 2017. The tickets went on pre-sale today if you used the code Crows17. I tried to pre-order my seats, which one is a wheelchair accessible seat and I cannot order them. Everyone else is able to pre-order their seats using the code except for those of us needing accessible seating. Is that not illegal? Is that not discrimination? Why aren't individuals with disabilities able to pre-order seats just like other fans? I'm furious! This venue's handicapped seating is awful. It is on the ends of the sections, which means if you don't get your seats early, you stare at the side of amps. I spoke to Ticketmaster, they told me there was nothing I could do. Ticketmaster suggested I call the venue. I spoke to the venue. They told me to contact Ticketmaster. Can someone please tell me why individuals with disabilities needing accessible seating are not give the same opportunity to pre-order tickets like everyone else?"

24. On March 29, 2017, Plaintiff again visited Defendant's Ticketmaster website and

attempted to purchase accessible seating as part of Defendant's pre-sale. He was once again unable

#### Case 2:17-cv-00445-MRH Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 6 of 14

to purchase accessible seating, and received the same message that he had received during his previous attempt to purchase tickets through the website.

25. Plaintiff once more called Defendant's help line for assistance and was again informed that Defendant does not make accessible seats available for purchase during pre-sales. Plaintiff told the customer service representative that Defendant was violating the ADA. At this point, Plaintiff was told that all accessible seating was sold out. Plaintiff pointed out that this representation was inconsistent with what he had been told by Defendant's other help line employees. The representative told Plaintiff to contact Key Bank Pavilion, indicating that they might be able to help him.

26. Plaintiff again contacted Key Bank Pavilion and explained that he was trying to purchase accessible seating as part of the pre-sale. A Key Bank employee reiterated that accessible seating was not available pre-sale. Plaintiff informed the employee that such conduct was discriminatory. The employee stated that was not her issue and stated that Plaintiff was required to wait until seats went on sale to the general public.

27. On March 30, 2017—two days after Plaintiff's sent the above-described e-mail to Defendant's customer support e-mail address--Plaintiff received an e-mail response from Defendant's customer support personnel stating:

"Thanks for contacting us. My name is Samuel and I'll be helping with all your questions today!

We are terribly sorry you are having issues with ordering accessible seats through our Ticketmaster website. If you like we can see if we can assist you with placing 2 accessible seats on hold for you. Please reply to this e-mail . . . and we will complete your request right away."

28. In addition to violating the ADA, the suggestion set forth in Defendant's e-mail response was unavailing to Plaintiff because he is susceptible to sensory seizures and the specific

# Case 2:17-cv-00445-MRH Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 7 of 14

location of available accessible seating within Key Bank Pavilion was important to Plaintiff. Thus, he needed to actually see what specific accessible seats were being offered in order to assess their suitability.

29. As a result of his experiences attempting to purchase accessible seating from Defendant for the Counting Crows concert at Defendant's Key Bank Pavilion, Defendant felt both frustrated and humiliated.

# **DEFENDANT'S LONG HISTORY OF SIMILAR VIOLATIONS OF THE ADA**

30. Defendant and its corporate predecessors have had a pattern and practice of violating the ADA's requirements related to tickets for accessible seating since at least the late 1990s. Indeed, Defendant and its corporate predecessors have been engaged in the same illegal practices that are at issue in this lawsuit since the late 1990s.

31. For example, in 2005 Defendant entered into a settlement agreement with the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") in connection with its unlawful practices related to accessible seating. The Settlement Agreement in that matter included a Factual Background section, which stated:

From 1998 through 2002, the United States has received complaints from individuals with disabilities who have been unable to purchase tickets for Accessible Seats for Attractions or who have been unable to purchase such tickets in a manner that is equal to that afforded non-disabled Consumers. These complaints challenge several different practices, and include:

\*Requiring individuals who seek to purchase tickets for Accessible Seats and Companion Seats over the Internet, to do so through a series of e-mail communications with a Sales Agent, while individuals seeking to purchase tickets for non-accessible seats can consummate their purchase directly on the website, in a matter of minutes;

\*Failure of a Sales Agent receiving email communications to respond in a timely fashion;

# Case 2:17-cv-00445-MRH Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 8 of 14

\*Requiring individuals to wait long periods of time when purchasing tickets for Accessible Seating over the telephone while they waited for a customer service agent who was trained to sell tickets for Accessible Seats;

\*Inability to purchase tickets for Accessible Seats in a "pre-sale;"

\*Perception that [Defendant's corporate predecessor] does not retain sufficient Accessible Seating tickets.

Settlement Agreement dated December 22, 2005, between DOJ and Ticketmaster, LLC.

32. Defendant's unlawful practices regarding accessible seating have continued

unabated notwithstanding the DOJ settlement in 2005.

33. For instance, in July 2012 Defendant was sued in a class action lawsuit relating to

its accessible seating practices and policies at the Walt Disney Concert Hall and the Hollywood

Bowl in Los Angeles, California (the "LA Class Action").

34. Defendant entered into a class settlement agreement regarding the LA Class Action

in 2013. The Notice explaining the settlement stated:

[P]urchasers of accessible and companion seating will be afforded the same opportunity to locate and purchase particular seats *at the same time as they are made available to purchasers of non-accessible seating*.

(emphasis added).

35. Defendant's unlawful practices have continued unabated notwithstanding the LA Class Action settlement in 2013.

36. As a result of Defendant's inadequate policies, practices and procedures, and notwithstanding its past agreements to change these practices and follow the applicable requirements of the ADA, Defendant continues to violate the law.

37. As a result, Plaintiff and members of the class have been denied equal access to Defendant's services and entertainment venues and will continue to be denied equal access unless this Court enters the requested injunctive relief.

#### Case 2:17-cv-00445-MRH Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 9 of 14

38. Plaintiff wishes to use Defendant's ticket services and continue visiting Defendant's venues. He has repeatedly used Defendant's services and visited Defendant's venues in the past.

39. However, Plaintiff will be deterred and impeded from accessing and using Defendant's services and venues, so long as Defendant continues to employ the same policies and practices that have and will prevent Plaintiff from obtaining tickets, or will cause Plaintiff significant difficulty in obtaining tickets.

40. As an individual with a mobility disability who is dependent upon a wheelchair, Plaintiff is directly interested in whether public accommodations, like Defendant, employ policies and practices that impede full accessibility to individuals with disabilities.

# CLASS ALLEGATIONS

41. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and seeks to certify the following class: all wheelchair users who have attempted, and/or will attempt, to purchase accessible and/or companion seating through Defendant's websites or through one of Defendant's venues.

42. <u>Numerosity:</u> The class described above is so numerous that joinder of all individual members in one action would be impracticable. The disposition of the individual claims of the respective class members through this class action will benefit both the parties and this Court, and will facilitate judicial economy.

43. <u>Typicality:</u> Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the class. The claims of Plaintiff and members of the class are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful conduct.

# Case 2:17-cv-00445-MRH Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 10 of 14

44. <u>Common Questions of Fact and Law:</u> There is a well-defined community of interest and common questions of fact and law affecting members of the class in that they all have been and/or are being denied their civil rights to full and equal access to, and use and enjoyment of, Defendant's services and venues due to the policies and practices described above.

45. <u>Adequacy of Representation:</u> Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the class because his interests do not conflict with the interests of the members of the class. Plaintiff will fairly, adequately, and vigorously represent and protect the interests of the members of the class and has no interests antagonistic to the members of the class. Plaintiff has retained counsel who are competent and experienced in the prosecution of class action litigation, generally, and who possess specific expertise in the context of class litigation under the ADA.

46. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, making appropriate both declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to Plaintiff and the Class as a whole.

#### SUBSTANTIVE VIOLATION

47. The allegations contained in the previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference.

48. Plaintiff, a wheelchair user, is an individual with a disability within the meaning of the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12102(1)(A).

49. Defendant, a service, sales and entertainment establishment, and a place of entertainment, recreation and public gathering, is a public accommodation under the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7).

50. Title III of the ADA prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or

# Case 2:17-cv-00445-MRH Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 11 of 14

accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

51. Title III defines discrimination generally as denying individuals with disabilities, either directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements, the opportunity to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of an entity, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(i), or providing individuals with disabilities, either directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements, an unequal opportunity to participate in or benefit from a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(ii).

52. Title III defines discrimination specifically as:

[A] failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations.

# 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).

53. Title III's implementing regulations require public accommodations that sell tickets for single events or for a series of events to modify their policies, practices or procedures to ensure that individuals with disabilities have an equal opportunity to purchase tickets for accessible seating:

- (A) During the same hours;
- (B) During the same stages of ticket sales, including, but not limited to, presales, promotions, lotteries, wait-lists, and general sales;
- (C) Through the same methods of distribution;

- (D) In the same types and numbers of ticketing sales outlets, including telephone service, in-person ticket sales at the facility, or third-party ticketing services, as other patrons; and
- (E) Under the same terms and conditions as other tickets sold for the same event or series of events.

28 C.F.R. 36.302(f)(ii).

54. Defendant's policies and practices regarding the sale of accessible tickets through its websites and venues have violated the ADA and its implementing regulations.

55. Defendant's policies and practices generally violate the ADA by denying Plaintiff and the members of the class the opportunity to benefit from Defendant's goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations, and by providing Plaintiff and the members of the class unequal access to Defendant's goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations.

56. Defendant's policies and practices specifically violate the ADA by failing to provide Plaintiff and the class members an equal opportunity to purchase accessible seating during the same hours and same stages of ticket sales, through the same methods of distribution, in the same types and numbers of ticketing sales outlets, and under the same terms and conditions as other tickets sold.

57. Defendant should be required to make the following modifications to its policies and practices:

- (A) Sell accessible seating during the same hours as non-accessible seating is sold;
- (B) Sell accessible seating during the same stages as non-accessible seating is sold;
- (C) Sell accessible seating through the same methods of distribution as non-accessible seating is sold;

## Case 2:17-cv-00445-MRH Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 13 of 14

- (D) Sell accessible seating under the same terms and conditions as other tickets are sold;
- (E) Sell accessible seating in the same types and numbers of ticketing sales outlets; and
- (F) All other modifications required to afford Plaintiff and the members of the class full and equal access to Defendant's services.

58. Plaintiff's requested modifications are reasonable and are necessary to afford Defendant's goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities.

59. Without Plaintiff's requested modifications, individuals with disabilities will be denied access to Defendant's goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations, and will not be able to enjoy the same level of access, benefit and advantage afforded to persons without disabilities.

60. Defendant's conduct is ongoing and continuous, and Plaintiff and the class members have been and will be harmed by Defendant's conduct.

61. Unless Defendant is restrained from continuing its ongoing and continuous course of conduct Defendant will continue to violate the ADA and will continue to inflict injury upon Plaintiff and the Class.

62. Defendant's failure to comply with the ADA and its ongoing discrimination entitle Plaintiff and the Class to declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as costs and reasonable attorneys' fees. 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a).

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the class, prays for:

a. A declaratory judgment that Defendant is in violation of the general and specific requirements of Title III of the ADA and its implementing regulations as described above, in that Defendant's has failed to provide full

and equal access to its services and has failed to modify its policies and practices in order to afford its services to Plaintiff and the Class;

- b. A permanent injunction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a), which directs (i) Defendant to modify and change its policies and practices regarding ticket sales in order to afford full and equal access to Plaintiff and the members of the class; and (ii) that Plaintiff shall monitor Defendant's policies and practices to ensure that the injunctive relief ordered above is implemented and remains in place.
- c. An Order certifying the class proposed by Plaintiff, and naming Plaintiff as class representative and appointing Plaintiff's counsel as class counsel;
- d. Payment of costs of suit;
- e. Payment of reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- f. The provision of whatever other relief the Court deems just, equitable and appropriate.

Dated: April 7, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ R. Bruce Carlson R. Bruce Carlson Gary F. Lynch Kevin Abramowicz CARLSON LYNCH SWEET KILPELA & CARPENTER, LLP 1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15222 (412) 322-9243 (412) 231-0246 bcarlson@carlsonlynch.com glynch@carlsonlynch.com kabramowicz@carlsonlynch.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

#### JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

# Case 2:17-cv-00445-WRH Decover Sheet 04/07/17 Page 1 of 3

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS JOHN EGAN			DEFENDANTS LIVE NATION WORLDWIDE, INC.		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Allegheny</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Carlson Lynch Sweet Kil 1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Pittsburgh, PA 15222	pela & Carpenter, LLP	r)	Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		TF DEF □ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In □	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 D 2 Incorporated and a of Business In .	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 151 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise <b>REAL PROPERTY</b> 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	RTS         PERSONAL INJURY         365 Personal Injury - Product Liability         367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability         368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability         368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product         370 Other Fraud         371 Truth in Lending         380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability         PRISONER PETITION         Habeas Corpus:         463 Alien Detainee         510 Motions to Vacate Sentence         530 General         535 Death Penalty Other:         540 Mandamus & Othe         555 Prison Condition         560 Civil Rights         550 Civil Rights	of Property 21 USC 881 Geodesic Geodesic Geodes	BANKRUPTCY           422 Appeal 28 USC 158           423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157           PROPERTY RIGHTS           820 Copyrights           830 Patent           840 Trademark           SOCIAL SECURITY           861 HIA (1395ff)           862 Black Lung (923)           863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))           864 SSID Title XVI           865 RSI (405(g))           FEDERAL TAX SUITS           870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)           871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES         375 False Claims Act         376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))         400 State Reapportionment         410 Antitrust         430 Banks and Banking         450 Commerce         460 Deportation         470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations         480 Consumer Credit         490 Cable/Sat TV         850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange         890 Other Statutory Actions         891 Agricultural Acts         895 Freedom of Information Act         896 Arbitration         995 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from $\Box$ 3	Remanded from Appellate Court		er District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DN Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Title III of the Am Brief description of ca Public accommod	iuse:	(specify e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta ities Act, 42 U.S.C. 12181,	/	Direct File
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: □ Yes XNo
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 04/07/2017		SIGNATURE OF ATT /s/ R. Bruce Ca	TORNEY OF RECORD I <b>rlson</b>		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY       RECEIPT #	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE

JS 44A REVISED June, 2009 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

#### PART A

This case belongs on the (  $\bigcirc$  Erie O Johnstown • Pittsburgh) calendar.

- 1. ERIE CALENDAR If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean. Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
- 2. JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
- 3. Complete if on ERIE CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in County and that the \_\_\_\_\_resides in \_\_\_\_\_County.
- 4. Complete if on JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in County and that the resides in County.

**PART B** (You are to check ONE of the following)

1. O This case is related to Number\_\_\_\_\_ . Short Caption\_\_\_\_

2. O This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.

#### DEFINITIONS OF RELATED CASES:

CIVIL: Civil cases are deemed related when a case filed relates to property included in another suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions as another suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another suit EMINENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership groups which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related. HABEAS CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual shall be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be deemed related.

#### PARTC

10.0

I. CIVIL CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).

- 1. O Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
- 2.  $\mathbb{O}$  Labor-Management Relations
- 3. O Habeas corpus
- 4. O Civil Rights
- 5. Ŏ Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
- 6. **O** Eminent Domain
- All other federal question cases
- 7. **Ŏ** 8. **Ŏ** All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious prosecution, and false arrest
- 9. O Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases.
  - Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education), V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)

I certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation Sheet are true and correct

#### /s/ R. Bruce Carlson

Date: April, 7, 2017

ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

## **INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44**

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

**II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case 2:17-cv-00445-MRH Document 1-2 Filed 04/07/17 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

JOHN EGAN	)
	)
Plaintiff(s) V.	) )
LIVE NATION WORLDWIDE, INC.	)
	)
Defendant(s)	)

# SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Civil Action No.

To: (Defendant's name and address) Live Nation Worldwide, Inc.

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: R. Bruce Carlson

Carlson Lynch Sweet Kilpela & Carpenter, LLP 1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15222

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

# **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	e of individual and title, if any)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)						
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individuation	al at (place)				
	1 5		on (date)	; or			
	$\Box$ I left the summons a	at the individual's residence of	or usual place of abode with (name)	-			
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,					
	on (date)						
	$\Box$ I served the summo	ns on (name of individual)		, who is			
	designated by law to a	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or			
	$\Box$ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or			
	□ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this informati	ion is true.				
Date:							
Dute.			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: