Ca	e 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1	Filed 09/07/18 PageID.1 Page 1 of 8
1	DAVID F. MCDOWELL (CA SBN 12 DMcDowell@mofo.com	5806)
2	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 707 Wilshire Boulevard	
3	Los Angeles, California 90017-3543 Telephone: 213.892-5200 Facsimile: 213.892-5454	
4	Facsimile: 213.892-5454	
5	ALEXANDRA E. LAKS (CA SBN 29) ALaks@mofo.com	1861)
6	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street	
7	San Francisco, CA 94105-2482	
8	Telephone: 415.268-7000 Facsimile: 415.268-7522	
9	Attorneys for Defendant	
10	ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.	
11	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
12	SOUTHERN DISTI	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
13		
14	CHRISTIAN DUKE, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated	, Case No. <u>'18CV2081 LAB NLS</u>
15	Plaintiff,	
16	V.	NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO FEDERAL COURT
17	adidas AMERICA, INC.,	BY DEFENDANT ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.
18	Defendant.	
19		Complaint Filed: July 3, 2018
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Adidas America, Inc.'s Notice of Removal to Fedi Case No.	ERAL COURT

sf-3932098

2

1

DEFENDANT ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, defendant adidas America, Inc., ("Adidas") hereby removes to this Court the state action 3 described below, which is within the original jurisdiction of this Court and properly 4 removed under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, 1446, and 1453. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 5 § 1446(d), copies of this Notice of Removal are being served upon counsel for 6 Plaintiff Christian Duke and filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of the 7 County of San Diego as an exhibit to a Notice of Filing. A copy of the Notice of 8 Filing is attached hereto (without exhibits) as **Exhibit 1**. 9

10

PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND TIMELINESS OF REMOVAL

On July 3, 2018, Plaintiff Christian Duke filed a purported class action 1. 11 captioned Duke v. adidas America, Inc, Case No. 37-2018-00033037-CU-BT-CTL, 12 against Adidas in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San 13 Diego ("State Court Action"). 14

2. Adidas was served with the State Court Action Summons and 15 Complaint on August 8, 2018. (See Ex. 2D.) Adidas' removal notice is timely. 16 See 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b) (removal is timely if filed within 30 days of defendants' 17 receipt of the pleading). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), true and correct copies of 18 all process, pleadings, and orders served upon Adidas in the State Court Action are 19 attached to this Notice as **Exhibit 2**. 20

3. The San Diego County Superior Court is located within the Southern 21 District of California. 28 U.S.C. § 84(d). This Notice of Removal is therefore 22 properly filed in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). 23

24

ALLEGATIONS OF THE COMPLAINT

This action is a putative class action against Adidas on behalf of all 4. 25 California citizens who are current or former Adidas consumers and "whose 26 "personal information and/or confidential information was compromised as a result 27 of a data breach announced by Adidas on or about June 28, 2018." (Compl. ¶ 31, 28 ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT 1 CASE NO. sf-3932098

1	attached as Exhibit 2A.) Plaintiff Christian Duke is a California citizen residing in
2	San Diego County. (Id. \P 6.) Plaintiff alleges that Adidas collects and maintains
3	personal information on its adidas.com website, that it undertook a duty to protect
4	such information as confidential, and that it breached that duty by failing to
5	implement reasonable security procedures. (Id. $\P\P$ 3, 5.) Plaintiff claims that, as a
6	result of Adidas' "failure to safeguard personal information" (<i>id.</i> \P 5), on or around
7	June 26, 2018, Adidas disclosed that hackers breached Adidas' computer systems
8	and accessed contact information, usernames, and encrypted passwords of
9	consumers who made purchases via adidas.com/US (the "Incident"). (Id. ¶¶ 3-4.)
10	Plaintiff also alleges that Adidas failed to timely notify Plaintiff and class members
11	of the Incident. (Id. ¶¶ 30, 76.)
12	5. As a result of this alleged conduct, Plaintiff claims that he and class
13	members were injured because they (i) "face years of constant surveillance of their
14	financial and personal records, monitoring, and loss of rights" (Compl. \P 30); (ii)
15	lost money and property by purchasing identity theft protection services (<i>id.</i> $\P\P$ 76,
16	87); and (iii) are subject to an increased risk for future identity theft and fraudulent
17	activity on their financial accounts. (Id. \P 87.)
18	6. Based on these allegations, Plaintiff seeks to bring claims on behalf of
19	the following class:
20	All current and former consumers who made purchases on or through
21	Adidas.com who are California citizens and whose personal and/or confidential information was compromised as a result of the data breach announced by Adidas on or about June 28, 2018.
22	
23	(<i>Id.</i> ¶ 31.)
24	7. The Complaint purports to state claims for: (i) negligence; (ii) breach
25	of contract; (iii) breach of implied contract; (iv) violation of the California
26	Customer Records Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.80 et seq.; and (v) for unlawful and
27	unfair business practices in violation of the California Unfair Competition Law
28	(UCL), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq. Plaintiff seeks: (i) compensatory
	Adidas America, Inc.'s Notice of Removal to Federal Court Case No. sf-3932098

and punitive damages (Compl. ¶ 56); (ii) "restitution for costs incurred associated
with the data breach and disgorgement of all profits accruing to Adidas because of
its unlawful and unfair business practices" (*id.* ¶ 89); (iii) statutory damages and
statutory penalties; (*id.* ¶ Prayer for Relief, d); and (iv) attorneys' fees and costs.
(*Id.* ¶ Prayer for Relief, f.) Plaintiff also seeks equitable, injunctive, and declaratory
relief. (*Id.* ¶ Prayer for Relief, c.)

8. Adidas disputes Plaintiff's allegations, believes the Complaint lacks
merit, and denies that Plaintiff or the putative class has been harmed in any way, or
that the class meets the requirements of Rule 23. Adidas assumes the truth of the
allegations for the purposes of removal only and reserves all defenses, motions, and
pleas.

12

BASIS FOR REMOVAL

This action is within the original jurisdiction of this Court, and 9. 13 removal is therefore proper under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), 14 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), which grants district courts original jurisdiction over covered 15 16 class actions in which the class has more than 100 members, the parties are 17 minimally diverse, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000. As set forth below, this action satisfies each of the requirements of Section 1332(d)(2) for 18 19 original jurisdiction under CAFA, and may therefore be removed to this Court. See 20 Ibarra v. Manheim Invs., Inc., 775 F.3d 1193, 1195 (9th Cir. 2015); Bryant v. NCR 21 *Corp.*, 284 F. Supp. 3d 1147, 1152 (S.D. Cal. 2018) (action properly removed 22 where CAFA requirements were satisfied).

10. Covered Class Action. This action meets the CAFA definition of a
class action: "any civil action filed under [R]ule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure or similar State statute or rule of judicial procedure." 28 U.S.C.
§§ 1332(d)(1)(B), 1453(a) & (b). Here, Plaintiff filed the complaint as a class
action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California

1 Rules of Court 3.765 (see Compl. \P 31) – state statutes similar to the Rule 23. The 2 action is accordingly a covered class action.

3

Class Action Consisting of More than 100 Members. Plaintiff 11. 4 brings this purported class action on behalf of all citizens of California "who made 5 purchases on or through Adidas.com," and "whose personal and/or confidential 6 information was compromised" as a result of the Incident. (Compl. ¶ 31.) Plaintiff alleges that "numerous Californians" were affected by the Incident, as California is 7 a "larger 'base' than any other U.S. state" (*id.* ¶ 15), and that, while the exact 8 9 number of class members is unknown to him, Plaintiff believes the class size is "significant." (Id. ¶ 33.) Queries show that approximately 2,700,415 individuals 10 11 who made purchases through adidas.com/US are California residents. (See 12 Declaration of Allison Kruse ("Kruse Decl.") ¶ 4.) Plaintiff alleges that all of these accounts were "compromised." (Compl. ¶ 5.) The aggregate number of putative 13 class members is greater than 100 persons for purposes of 28 U.S.C. 14 15 § 1332(d)(5)(B).

16 12. **Diversity**. The required diversity of citizenship under CAFA is 17 satisfied because "any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A). Plaintiff Christian Duke is a 18 19 citizen and resident of California. (Compl. ¶ 6.) adidas America, Inc. is 20 incorporated under the laws of Oregon, and its principal place of business is in Oregon. (See Declaration of Kurt Tandan ("Tandan Decl." ¶ 2.) As of the time of 21 22 removal, the citizenship of Adidas has not changed. Accordingly, a member of the 23 purported class in this case—Plaintiff Christian Duke—is a citizen of a state 24 (California) different from a defendant (Oregon), thus satisfying the diversity 25 requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A).

26 13. **Amount in Controversy**. Under CAFA, the claims of individual class 27 members are aggregated to determine if the amount in controversy exceeds the 28 required "sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs." 28 U.S.C. ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT 4 CASE NO. sf-3932098

1 § 1332(d)(2), (6). "A removing defendant need only include a plausible allegation 2 that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional threshold, and the defendant's amount in controversy allegation should be accepted if not contested by 3 4 the plaintiff or questioned by the court." *Bryant*, 284 F. Supp. 3d at 1149 (citing Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens, 135 S. Ct. 547, 554 (2014)). If 5 6 the amount is contested and the complaint does not specify damages, the removing 7 defendant bears the burden of establishing the amount in controversy by a "preponderance of the evidence." Abrego v. Dow Chem. Co., 443 F.3d 676, 683 8 9 (9th Cir. 2006). "The demonstration concerns what the plaintiff is claiming . . . not 10 whether the plaintiff is likely to win or be awarded everything he seeks." Brill v. 11 *Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.*, 427 F.3d 446, 449 (7th Cir. 2005).

14. Without conceding any merit to the Complaint's allegations, causes of 12 action or relief sought, the amount in controversy here satisfies this jurisdictional 13 threshold. Plaintiff seeks monetary relief in the form of: (i) compensatory and 14 15 punitive damages (Compl. ¶ 56); (ii) restitution and disgorgement (*id.* ¶ 89); (iii) 16 statutory damages and statutory penalties; (*id.* ¶ Prayer for Relief, d); and (iv) attorneys' fees and costs. (*Id.* ¶ Prayer for Relief, f.) 17

18 Plaintiff's request for damages alone exceeds the \$5,000,000 15. 19 threshold. For example, Plaintiff seeks costs associated with purchasing identity theft protection services and other "remedial" measures. (See, e.g., Compl. ¶ 76.) 20 21 The average cost of identity theft protection services across six sample plans is 22 \$17.27 per month. (See Decl. of Alexandra Laks ("Laks Decl.") ¶ 2-3, Ex. A.) 23 Multiplying this cost for a single month across approximately 2,700,415 class 24 members exceeds \$5,000,000.

Plaintiff also demands damages for lost time associated with the "years 25 16. 26 of constant surveillance of their financial and personal records, monitoring, and loss 27 of rights." (Compl. ¶ 30.) The estimated cost of such damages exceeds the 28 \$5,000,000 threshold as well. If Plaintiff's and putative class members' ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT CASE NO. sf-3932098

"surveillance and monitoring" time is valued at the California minimum wage
(\$11.00 per hour),¹ and the "constant" "surveillance and monitoring" is estimated as
just one hour per class member, the potential amount in controversy exceeds
\$15 million (1 hour x \$11.00 per hour x 2,700,415 putative class members =
\$29,704,565).

6 17. Plaintiff also requests punitive damages in connection with his 7 negligence claim. (See Compl. ¶ 56.) Punitive damages are considered part of the 8 amount in controversy. Guglielmino v. McKee Foods Corp., 506 F.3d 696, 700-9 701 (9th Cir. 2007) (punitive damages properly considered in amount in 10 controversy). Punitive damages may be awarded for negligence in the context of 11 data breach litigation. Cf. In re Yahoo! Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig., 313 12 F. Supp. 3d 1113, 1148-49 (N.D. Cal. 2018) (denying dismissal of punitive 13 damages claims for alleged negligence, breach of implied good faith and fair dealing, and violations of the Consumer Records Act in relation to security breach). 14 15 Considering Plaintiff's alleged actual or compensatory damages in connection with 16 Plaintiff's request for punitive damages, the amount in controversy is more than 17 satisfied.

Plaintiff's request for restitution and disgorgement "of all profits 18 18. 19 accruing to Adidas because of its unlawful and unfair business practices" (Compl. 20 ¶ 89), statutory damages, and attorneys' fees further pushes Plaintiff's claims above 21 the \$5,000,000 threshold. See, e.g., Gibson v. Chrysler Corp., 261 F.3d 927, 942-22 43 (9th Cir. 2001) (attorneys' fees are properly included in the amount in 23 controversy in a class action). While Adidas disputes that it is liable to Plaintiff or 24 the putative class, or that Plaintiff or the putative class suffered injury or incurred 25

26

27

28

¹ See Minimum Wage, State of California Department of Industrial Relations, https://www.dir.ca.gov/dlse/faq_minimumwage.htm (last accessed September 5, 2018).

damages in any amount, for purposes of CAFA, the matter in controversy exceeds
 \$5 million.

3	19.	No CAFA Exclusions.	The action does not fall within any exclusion	
4	to removal j	urisdiction recognized b	by 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because Adidas is not a	
5	citizen of the	e State of California, the	e state in which the action was filed. Because	
6	no other exc	lusion applies, this actio	on is removable pursuant to CAFA, 28 U.S.C.	
7	§§ 1332(d) a	and 1453(b).		
8		DEMANI	D FOR JURY TRIAL	
9	20.	Adidas demands trial b	y jury on all issues raised in this action upon	
10	which a jury	v trial is permitted.		
11		<u>C</u>	ONCLUSION	
12	21.	For all of the reasons st	tated above, this action is within the original	
13	jurisdiction	of this Court pursuant to	28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). Accordingly, this action	
14	is removable pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, 1446, and 1453.			
15	WHE	REFORE, Defendant A	didas gives notice that the above-described	
16	action pending against it in the Superior Court of the County of San Diego,			
17	California, i	s removed to this Court.		
18				
19	Dated: Sept	tember 7, 2018	DAVID F. MCDOWELL	
20			ALEXANDRA E. LAKS MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
21				
22			By: <u>/s/ David F. McDowell</u> DAVID F. MCDOWELL	
23				
24			Attorneys for Defendant ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.	
25				
26				
27				
28				
	ADIDAS AMERICA	A, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO	FEDERAL COURT	

Case 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-1 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.9 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
Christian Duke				adidas America, In	с.	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O. NDEMNATION CASES, USE TH OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Jeffrey R. Krinsk (109234 Finkelstein & Krinsk LLP, 92101; Tel: (619) 238-13	4) / Trenton R. Kashim 550 West C St., Suite	a (291405)	CA		(125806) / Alexandra E. er LLP, 707 Wilshire Blvd	3CV2081 LAB NLS . Laks (291861) I., Suite 6000, Los Angeles,
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	□ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government 1	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	▲ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	of Business In A	Another State
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature o BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other:	X X C C X X C C	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other 20 Other 20 Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 21 MMIGRATION 32 Naturalization Application 35 Other Immigration Actions	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 	 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Rein Reoj	estated or 5 Transfe pened Anothe: (specify)	r District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (<i>Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity</i>): 28 U.S.C. § 1332 Brief description of cause: Purported class action arising out of alleged data security incident					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	0	EMAND \$		if demanded in complaint: X Yes □No
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 09/07/2018		signature of at s/David F. McD		OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE Exhibit 3

EXHIBITS TO NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Ex.	Description	Page
1	Defendant adidas America, Inc.'s Notice of Filing Notice of Removal of Action to Federal Court, Case No. 37-2018-00033037- CU-BT-CTL (San Diego Super. Ct.) (without exhibits)	1
2	True and correct copies of all process, pleadings, and orders served upon Adidas in Case No. 37-2018-00033037-CU-BT-CTL (San Diego Super. Ct.)	4
3	Civil Cover Sheet	37

EXHIBIT 1

1	DAVID F. MCDOWELL (CA SBN 125806)	
2	dmcdowell@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
3	707 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90017-3543	
4	Telephone: (213) 892-5200 Facsimile: (213) 892-5454	
5	ALEXANDRA E. LAKS (CA SBN 291861) alaks@mofo.com	
6	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street	
7	San Francisco, CA 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000	
8	Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	
9	Attorneys for Defendant ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.	
10		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	
12	COUNTY OF	
13 14	CHRISTIAN DUKE, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	Case No. 37-2018-00033037-CU-BT-CTL
14	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.'S NOTICE OF FILING NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO FEDERAL
16	v.	COURT
17	adidas AMERICA, INC.,	Judge: Hon. Randa Trapp Dept.: C-70
18	Defendant.	1
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-2 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.12 Page 3 of 41

1	TO THE CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE
2	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, AND TO PLAINTIFF AND HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:
3	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a Notice of Removal of this action was filed in the United
4	States District Court for the Southern District of California, on September 7, 2018, under Federal
5	Case No, effectuating the removal of this action to Federal Court.
6	PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), the filing of
7	the Notice of Removal of Civil Action in the Federal Court, together with the filing of a copy of
8	the Notice of Removal of Civil Action with this Court, effects the removal of this action and the
9	State Court proceeding may proceed no further unless and until this action is remanded by the
10	Federal District Court. A copy of said Notice of Removal (without exhibits) is attached to this
11	Notice as Exhibit 1 and is served and filed herewith.
12	
13	Dated: September 7, 2018 DAVID F. MCDOWELL
14	ALEXANDRA E. LAKS MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
15	
16	By: DAVID F. MCDOWELL
17	Attorneys for Defendant
18	ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.
19 20	
20	
21 22	
22	
23	
24	
26	
27	
28	
ļ	sf-3931919 2 ADIDAS AMERICA'S NOTICE OF FILING REMOVAL OF ACTION TO FEDERAL COURT

Ca	ase 3:18	8-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-2 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.14 Page 5 o	f 41	
1		PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	is 125 N	I declare that I am employed with the law firm of Morrison & Foerster LLP, whose address Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105-2482. I am not a party to the within cau	SS	
3	and I ar	im over the age of eighteen years.	<i>sc</i> ,	
4		I further declare that on September 7, 2018, I served a copy of:		
5 6		DEFENDANT ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.'S NOTICE OF FILING NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO FEDERAL COURT		
7	×	BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION on the parties listed below through the		
8	5	OneLegal system.		
9	×	BY U.S. MAIL [Code Civ. Proc sec. 1013(a)] by placing a true copy thereof analogod in a goaled envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid addressed as		
10		enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, addressed as follows, for collection and mailing at Morrison & Foerster LLP, 425 Market Street,		
11		San Francisco, California 94105-2482 in accordance with Morrison & Foerster LLP's ordinary business practices.		
12		I am readily familiar with Morrison & Foerster LLP's practice for collection and		
13	processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and know that in the ordinary course of Morrison & Foerster LLP's business practice the			
14		document(s) described above will be deposited with the United States Postal Service on the same date that it (they) is (are) placed at Morrison & Foerster LLP with		
15		postage thereon fully prepaid for collection and mailing.		
16		Jeffrey R. Krinsk Attorneys for Plaintiff		
17		Trenton R. Kashima CHRISTIAN DUKE FINKELSTEIN & KRINSK LLP		
18		13181 Crossroads Parkway North		
19		550 West C Street, Suite 1760 San Diego, CA 92101		
20		Telephone: (619) 238-1333 Facsimile: (619) 238-5425		
21		Email: jrk@classactionlaw.com		
22		Email: trk@classactionlaw.com		
23		I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the ing is true and correct.		
24		Executed at San Francisco, California, this 7th day of September, 2018.		
25				
26		No and		
27		Kristin M. Marttila	_	
28		(typed) (signature)		

sf-3931919

19 3 ADIDAS AMERICA'S NOTICE OF FILING REMOVAL OF ACTION TO FEDERAL COURT

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2A

Duke v. adidas America, Inc., Case No. 37-2018-00033037-CU-BT-CTL COMPLAINT

Cas	e 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS I	Document 1-2	Filed 09/07/18	PageID.17 Page 8 of 41
1 2 3 4 5 6	FINKELSTEIN & KRINSK I Jeffrey R. Krinsk, Esq. (SBN jrk@classactionlaw.com Trenton R. Kashima, Esq. (SB trk@classactionlaw.com 550 West C Street, Ste. 1760 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 238-1333 Facsimile: (619) 238-5425 Attorneys for Plaintiff	109234)		ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego 07/03/2018 at 03:12:07 PM Clerk of the Superior Court By Jacqueline J. Walters,Deputy Clerk
7				
8	SUPERIC	OR COURT OF	THE STATE O	F CALIFORNIA
9		COUNTY	OF SAN DIEG	0
10	CHRISTIAN DUKE, on beh all others similarly situated,	alf of himself a	and Case No: 37-	2018-00033037-CU-BT-CTL
11			CLASS ACT	FION COMPLAINT for:
12		Plaintiff,	1) Neglige	
13	V.			of Contract
14	adidas AMERICA, INC.,		,	of Implied Contract
15		Defendants	•	-
16			Records	on of the California Customer s Act, California Civil Code 1798.80, <i>et seq</i> .
17			5) Unlawful	and Unfair Business Practices
18			Under Profess	California Business and ions Code Section 17200 <i>et seq</i> .
19 20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	COMPLAINT			Exhibit 2 Page 5
				č

Plaintiff Christian Duke ("Plaintiff" or "Duke"), individually and on behalf of the class of similarly situated California citizens, alleges the following against adidas America, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Adidas") based upon personal knowledge with respect to himself and on information and belief derived from, among other things, investigation of counsel and review of public documents as to all other matters.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Adidas is an Oregon corporation. Adidas holds itself out as a major purveyor of active sportswear including apparel and footwear (i.e. "Sportswear") that is the majority of Adidas sales within California and, particularly, Sportswear sales via adidas.com/US.

2. Adidas is licensed by the State of California and is subject to the laws and regulations including, *inter alia*, regulation through the California Attorney General's Office and the Secretary of State.

3. In the course of its business, Adidas collects and maintains personal, private and legally protected information about its consumers that actively purchased Adidas Sportswear on its adidas.com website (collectively, "members"). Adidas had and undertook a non-delegable duty to maintain members' highly personal and private information as confidential and purports to maintain and follow reasonable policies and procedures to protect such information from non-consensual disclosure such as hereby allowed by Adidas.

4. On at least June 26, 2018, Defendant publicly disclosed that hackers breached the Adidas computer system(s) and accessed the contact information, user names and encrypted passwords potentially other protected private information (hereinafter sometimes, "personal information").

5. Plaintiff is a person directly and adversely affected by Defendant's breach and brings this class action lawsuit on behalf of himself and all other current and former Adidas consumers that provided protected information and who are citizens of California and whose personal information has been compromised as a result of Defendant's failure to safeguard personal information as promised. Plaintiff is seeking damages, restitution, and injunctive relief requiring Adidas to implement and maintain reasonable and effective security practices and procedures.

1

2

3

4

5

6

Case 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-2 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.19 Page 10 of 41

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Duke is a citizen of California residing in San Diego County. Plaintiff has received only elemental information about Defendant's misconduct and received no suitable information regarding protection against Defendant's misconduct.

7. Defendant Adidas is a corporation duly existing under and by virtue of the laws of Oregon while authorized to transact business and doing substantial in the State of California, including Defendant's conduct of business to the allegations against Defendant.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This Court has jurisdiction of this action under Article VI, section 10 of the California Constitution and section 410.10 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Jurisdiction is also proper under the California Business and Professions Code section 17200 *et seq*.

9. This Court has jurisdiction over Adidas because it is licensed in California, conducts business in California, and is a de facto citizen of California. Defendant maintains numerous offices in California and conducts significant business within California by California citizens.

10. Venue is proper in this Court because Plaintiff is a citizen of San Diego County, is authorized to do business in California and regularly conducts business in San Diego County, including not by way of limitation, business inextricable from Defendant's conduct as described herein.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

11. Adidas recognizes that its members' personal information is highly sensitive and that it has a duty to safeguard and secure such information. Adidas' privacy policy provides, in pertinent part, the following:

Protecting your Privacy

adidas America, Inc. ("adidas" or "we", "us", or "our") is strongly committed to protecting the privacy of your personal information collected at or in connection with an adidas website. This Online Privacy Policy (the "Policy") applies to those adidas websites that display or link to this Policy (including adidas.com/us, miadidas.com/us, and adidas.com and referred to in this Policy as the "Website"). This Policy applies to data collected by adidas through its Websites and services that display these terms. It does not apply to those adidas sites, services and products that do not display or link to this Policy or that have their own privacy policies. This Policy describes the information we collect and how we use it. By visiting our

Ca	e 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-2 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.20 Page 11 of 41
1	Website, you are accepting the practices described in this Policy. If you do not agree to the terms of this Policy, please do not use the Website.
2 3	Consent to Collection and Processing
3	This Website may be operated, in whole or in part, from a country other than the
4	United States. By using our Website, you consent to having your personal information transferred to and processed in a jurisdiction outside of the United States.
5	What Information about me does adidas.com collect and store?
6	Our privacy policy is simpley Execut as disclosed below we don't sell trade aire
7 8	Our privacy policy is simple: Except as disclosed below, we don't sell, trade, give away, or rent your personal information to any company outside of the adidas Group. One of the basic principles we've tried to follow in designing this Website is to limit the information we collect from and share about you.
9	Personal Information
10 11	We collect and store information that you provide to our Website or our Customer Service Department or that we receive from third parties (such as companies providing commercially available databases). For example, when you place an order,
11	we collect and store some or all of the following information that you provide: name, billing address, shipping address, email address, telephone number, and credit card
	number and expiration date. If you sign up for an adidas account, which allows you
13	to use the same sign-in details anywhere in the world, we will ask for your name, e- mail address, date of birth and password. We will also collect the following
14	additional information, if you choose to provide it when you sign-up for an account:
15	telephone number, gender, personal preferences, social identifier if you choose to log in to your account via social media and age. (Emphasis supplied.) We collect your e-
16	mail addresses and zip code if you subscribe to our newsletter and we collect your mobile number if you opt in to receive text messages. You may unsubscribe at any
10	time by following the instructions located within each newsletter or linked to each text message. We also collect and store your geolocation information. Registration
18	may be required and personal information collected in certain areas of the Website in which you specifically and knowingly provide such information, e.g. community
10	postings (i.e., chat or bulletin boards), suggestions, or customer service requests. When we run a contest or sweepstakes relating to our Website, it will be accompanied
20	by a set of rules. The rules for each contest/sweepstakes will specify how the information gathered from you for entry will be used and disclosed, if it is different
20 21	than as described in this Policy. Personal information will be collected only if you voluntarily submit it to our sponsors or us.
22	Usage Information
	* * *
23 24	How does adidas.com use your personal information?
24	We may use your personal information to provide the products and services that you
25	have ordered or requested, to process and ship orders, to provide customer service, to provide other services to you, and to personalize the Website, our communications
26	with you, and your shopping experience. For example, when you create an adidas account, you can use your account details to log in from any location and on any
27 28	<i>device.</i> (Emphasis supplied.) The global single sign-on for your account will allow adidas systems to identify you wherever you are, so you will not need to register with
20	us again if you sign in from a different country. Your information also may be used to - 3 -
	COMPLAINT Exhibit 2
	Page 8

contact you about sales, new products, new site features, special offers, and personalized offers based on your location, unless you have opted to not receive promotional communications. *The information we collect may be combined with information obtained from companies within the adidas Group and from other companies*. (Emphasis supplied.) We may also combine this information with other information we collect as you interact with our brand across apps, social media and marketing messages we send you. Aggregating data allows adidas to update and correct the information contained in our database and to provide you with product recommendations and special offers.

How does adidas.com share your personal Information?

adidas Group - Except as described in this Policy, we will not disclose your personal information outside of adidas and the adidas Group without your consent. For further information about the companies within the adidas Group, please visit http://www.adidas-group.com. When you create an adidas account, we will share some of your account details (such as your name, email address, password and date of birth) with other adidas Group entities so you can have a global single sign-on for your account that will allow adidas systems to identify you wherever you are in the world. (Emphasis supplied.) We may also share your personal information with other adidas Group entities if both entities are responsible for your personal information, or if the other entities are acting as our service providers and processing your personal information on our instructions or on our behalf. adidas Partners -Some adidas Websites allow you to choose to share your personal information with select adidas partners so that they can contact you about their products, services or offers. Other sites do not share your contact information with third parties, but give you a choice as to whether you wish to receive communications from adidas on behalf of a business partners about its specific offer (without transferring your personal information to the third party). Co-Branded Sites - Some adidas services are co-branded by adidas and another company, with the privacy policy of both adidas and the other company displayed at the website. The information you provide, such as on registration forms, is collected by both adidas and the other company. Third-party Service Providers - We may hire other companies to provide services on our behalf, such as marketing, analytics, credit card processing, shipping, stocking orders, providing customer service, and fraud protection. These service providers have access only to such personal information needed to perform their functions and are contractually obligated to maintain the confidentiality and security of your information. They are restricted from using, selling, distributing or altering this data in any way other than to provide the requested services. For example, we will share some of your account details (such as your name, email address, password and date of birth) with our cloud service providers for the purposes of identification and authentication, so you can quickly and efficiently sign-on to your adidas account from anywhere in the world. Legal and Safety Disclosures - We may access or disclose information about you, including the content of your communications, in order to: (a) comply with the law or respond to lawful requests or legal process (emphasis added); (b) protect the rights or property of adidas or our customers, including the enforcement of our agreements or policies governing your use of our Websites and services; or (c) act on a good faith belief that such access or disclosure is necessary to protect the personal safety of adidas employees, customers or the public. Corporate Transactions - We may disclose personal information as part of a corporate transaction such as a merger or sale of assets.

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

How does adidas.com use usage information?

Ca	e 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-2 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.22 Page 13 of 41
1 2 3 4	We use Usage Information to help us determine how people use parts of the Website and who our readers are. This allows us to improve our Website and ensure that it is as appealing as we can make it for as many people as possible. We also use Usage Information to provide statistical "ratings" information in aggregated form to our partners and other third parties about how our users collectively use the Website. We may combine Usage Information about you with other information, including Personal Information, about you in order to personalize the Website and our
5	communications with you and to provide you with information likely to be of interest to you.
6	What steps are taken to help secure personal information?
7	AN ATHLETE CAN'T PLAY WITHOUT CONFIDENCE, AND YOU SHOULDN'T ORDER FROM A COMPANY THAT DOESN'T FOLLOW SECURE
8 9	DATA PRACTICES. OUR GOAL IS TO DELIVER PERFORMANCE TO YOU IN EVERY WAY. THAT INCLUDES SECURITY. PERSONAL INFORMATION COLLECTED AT OUR WEBSITE IS STORED IN SECURE OPERATING
10	ENVIRONMENTS THAT ARE NOT AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC. ONLY THOSE EMPLOYEES AND SERVICE PROVIDERS WHO NEED ACCESS TO DEPSONAL INFORMATION IN OPDER TO DO THEIR JORS ARE ALLOWED
11	PERSONAL INFORMATION IN ORDER TO DO THEIR JOBS ARE ALLOWED ACCESS, EACH HAVING CONFIDENTIALITY OBLIGATIONS. TO PROTECT THE SECURITY OF YOUR INFORMATION DURING TRANSMISSION, WE
12	USE SECURE SOCKETS LAYER (SSL) SOFTWARE, WHICH ENCRYPTS INFORMATION YOU INPUT. FOR EXAMPLE, WHEN USING A CREDIT
13	CARD TO MAKE AN ON-LINE PURCHASE, YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION IS ENCRYPTED BEFORE YOU CONDUCT YOUR
14	TRANSACTION. MOST BROWSERS WILL GIVE A VISUAL INDICATION OF WHEN YOUR CONNECTION IS SECURE. FOR EXAMPLE, INTERNET
15 16	EXPLORER WILL SHOW A PADLOCK ICON IN THE LOWER RIGHT OF THE BROWSER WINDOW. IF YOU PLACE AN ORDER THROUGH OUR WEBSITE, YOU WILL BE ASKED TO SET UP AN ACCOUNT AND PROVIDE AN E-MAIL
17	ADDRESS AND PASSWORD. IN ORDER TO HELP PROTECT YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION, YOU SHOULD BE CAREFUL ABOUT PROVIDING YOUR PASSWORD TO OTHERS. IF YOU WISH TO CANCEL
18	YOUR ACCOUNT, OR IF YOU BECOME AWARE OF ANY LOSS, THEFT OR UNAUTHORIZED USE OF YOUR PASSWORD, PLEASE CONTACT
19	CUSTOMER SERVICE. PLEASE REFER TO THE LAST SECTION OF THIS POLICY (HOW TO CONTACT US) FOR MORE INFORMATION. WHILE WE
20	TRY OUR BEST TO SAFEGUARD YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION ONCE WE RECEIVE IT, NO TRANSMISSION OF DATA OVER THE INTERNET OR
21 22	ANY OTHER PUBLIC NETWORK CAN BE GUARANTEED TO BE 100% SECURE. (Emphasis supplied.)
23	12. In addition to its storing the confidential personal information of its current consumer
24	membership, Adidas also stores, maintains, and shares the personal information of former members
25	- even years after their relationship with Adidas has ended. Indeed, preliminary reports indicate that
26	hackers gained access to member information dating back many years.
27	
28	- 5 -
	COMPLAINT Exhibit 2 Page 10

The Data Breach

13. By June 26, 2018, Adidas announced that hackers had breached its network and obtained certain personal information of individuals that had purchased Adidas Sportswear through the Adidas website. The information obtained by hackers included, at the least, the full names, contact information, user names, and encrypted passwords.

14. Adidas may not have detected the unauthorized network activity until June 26, 2018 when Adidas discovered that individual/entities had or could use login credentials to access Adidas' network.

15. Recent reports also indicate that numerous Californians were affected by the data breach, which, according to current tallies, is significantly a larger "base" than any other U.S. state.

Adidas Shared Personal Information with Companies that Failed to Maintain Suitable Security Measures to Safeguard Members' Personal Information

16. According to security experts, data theft of the kind experienced by Adidas "has become a booming business."¹

17. On information or belief, Adidas entrusted its members' personal information while failing to encrypt such information, failing to implement "multi-factor authentication" and failing to employ behavior monitoring technology to promptly detect unusual activity and transfer of data.

18. Encryption is a way to enhance the security of a message or file by scrambling the contents so that it can be read only by someone who has the right encryption key to unscramble it. Encryption is "considered the most effective way to achieve data security." While encryption is not necessarily a cure-all, it "could have made the personal information in this case less valuable to hackers or harder to access in bulk."

19. In addition, based on information and belief, Adidas did not have systems in place to require its employees to provide multiple layers of authentication in all areas of its computer systems.

¹ Reed Abelson and Julie Creswell, *Data Breach at Anthem May Forecast a Trend*, N.Y. Times, Feb. 6, 2015, <u>https://www.nytimes.com/2015/02/07/business/data-breach-at-anthem-may-lead-to-others.html</u> (last visited July 2, 2018).

20. "Multi-factor authentication" adds an extra layer of protection by requiring two or more of the different types of authentication before accessing secured data. For example, along with the person's username and password, the user may also be required to enter a temporary key or password sent via e-mail or text message in order to gain access to the system.²

21. Adidas may also have failed to implement behavior analytics technology which would have detected unusual transfers of large amounts of data from its computer systems. Because of this, the hackers were perhaps able to navigate inside and transfer data from Adidas' computer database for a length of time until the unusual activity was finally detected. At least one expert has opined that the failure to notice the "ex-filtration" of large amounts of data from its system over this period of time is a "pretty shocking" situation.³

22. Although Adidas has received periodic warnings from enforcement sources and cybersecurity experts – and observed multiple high-profile data breaches involving, for example, Target Corp., The Home Depot, Inc., and JPMorgan Chase, among others – Adidas entrusted its members' personal information within a process that failed to maintain reasonable security procedures or implement basic safeguards to protect personal information.

Effect on Victims of Adidas' Failure to Protect Members' Information

23. The ramifications of Adidas' failure to protect the personal information of its members are severe. Identity thieves can use the information taken in the breach to perpetrate a variety of crimes that harm victims. For instance, identity thieves may commit various types of government fraud such as immigration fraud, obtaining a driver's license or identification card in the victim's name but with another's picture, and/or using the victim's information to obtain government benefits.

- 24. Under SSA policy, individuals cannot obtain a new Social Security number if there is evidence of ongoing problems due to misuse of the Social Security number. Even then, the SSA recognizes that "a new number probably will not solve all your problems. This is because other
- ² J.K. Wall, Anthem's IT system had cracks before hack, Indianapolis Business Journal, <u>https://www.ibj.com/articles/51789-anthems-it-system-had-cracks-before-hack</u> (last visited July 2, 2018).
 ³ Id.

governmental agencies (such as the IRS and state motor vehicle agencies) and private businesses (such as banks and credit reporting companies) will have records under your old number. Along with other personal information, credit reporting companies may use the number to identify your credit record. So using a new number will not guarantee you a fresh start.

any number of frauds, such as obtaining a job, procuring a house, or even giving false information to

police during an arrest. Personal information can be used to submit false insurance claims, obtain

prescription drugs or medical devices for black-market resale. As a result, Plaintiff and members of

the class now face an immediate risk of identity theft and other problems associated with the

time consuming and difficult. The Department of Justice's Bureau of Justice statistics found that

"among victims who had personal information used for fraudulent purposes, 29% spent a month or

more resolving problems."⁴ Likewise, credit-monitoring services are not preventative, meaning they

discovered, and also between when personal information is stolen and when it is used. According to

[L]aw enforcement officials told us that in some cases, stolen data may be held for up to a year or more before being used to commit identity theft. Further, once stolen

data have been sold or posted on the Web, fraudulent use of that information may continue for years. As a result, studies that attempt to measure the harm resulting

the U.S. Government Accountability Office, which conducted a study regarding data breaches:

from data breaches cannot necessarily rule out all future harm.

disclosure of personal information and will need to monitor their credit for an indefinite duration.

Identity thieves can also use a victim's confidential personal information to commit

The processes of discovering and dealing with the repercussions of identify theft are

Additionally, there is commonly lag time between when harm occurs and when it is

There is a strong probability that Plaintiff and the Class could be at risk of fraud and

Additionally, the FBI has recently been investigating "fraudulent tax returns filed in

28

1

2

3

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

cannot catch identity theft until after it happens.

identity theft for extended periods of time.

⁴ Erika Harrell and Lynn Langton, *Victims of Identity Theft*, 2012 (Bureau of Justice Statistics), Dec. 2013 <u>https://www.bjs.gov/index.cfm?ty=pbdetail&iid=4911</u> (last visited July 2, 2018).

several states through the popular software [Intuit] TurboTax, the latest instance of creative tricks

- 8 -

cybercriminals are using to profit from stolen data."⁵ Indeed, TurboTax had to temporarily suspend
 electronic filings of state tax returns "after spotting an uptick in people using stolen personal
 information to file fraudulent returns and claim tax refunds."⁶

- 30. As a result of Defendant's negligent security practices and delay in notifying affected customers, Plaintiff and other similarly situated former Adidas customers now face years of constant surveillance of their financial and personal records, monitoring, and loss of rights. Plaintiff and members of the class (defined below) are subject to an increased and concrete risk of identity theft as a direct result of Defendant's exposure of their confidential personal information.
- 9

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

31. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rules of Court 3.765, Plaintiff seeks certification of the following class of individuals.

All current and former consumers who made purchases on or through Adidas.com who are California citizens and whose personal and/or confidential information was compromised as a result of the data breach announced by Adidas on or about June 28, 2018.

32. Excluded from the Class is Adidas, including any entity in which Adidas has a controlling interest, is a parent or subsidiary, or which is controlled by Adidas, as well as the officers, directors, affiliates, legal representatives, heirs, predecessors, successors, and assigns of Adidas. Also excluded are the judges and court personnel in this case and members of their immediate families.

33. The members of the class are so numerous that the joinder of all members is impractical. While the exact number of class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, based on the information and belief, it is significant.

34. There are questions of law and fact common to the classes, which predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. These common questions of law and fact, include without limitation:

25 26

27

28

⁵ Shan Li, *FBI probes rash of fraudulent state tax returns filed through TurboTax*, LA Times, Feb. 11, 2015 <u>http://www.latimes.com/business/la-fi-turbotax-fbi-20150212-story.html</u> (last visited July 2, 2018). ⁶ Id.

1	(a) Whether Adidas owed a duty to Plaintiff and members of the class to adequately
2	protect their personal information and to provide timely and accurate notice of the
3	data breach to Plaintiff and members of the class;
4	(b) Whether Adidas knew or should have known that its computer systems and related
5	products were vulnerable to attack;
6	(c) Whether Adidas' conduct, including its entrustment of the personal information of
7	Plaintiff and the class to Adidas, resulted in or was a proximate cause of the breach of
8	its systems, resulting in the loss of many consumers' personal information;
9	(d) Whether Plaintiff and members of the class suffered injury, including ascertainable
10	losses, as a result of Adidas' conduct including negligent entrustment and failure to
11	act;
12	(e) Whether Adidas' storage, protection, and sharing protocols were reasonable under
13	industry standards;
14	(f) Whether Adidas violated California Civil Code section 1798.81.5 by failing to
15	implement reasonable security procedures and practices;
16	(g) Whether Adidas violated California Civil Code section 1798.82 by failing to
17	promptly notify class members that their personal information had been
18	compromised;
19	(h) Whether Plaintiff and members of the class may obtain injunctive relief against
20	Adidas under Civil Code section 1798.84 and under California's Unfair Competition
21	Law, Cal. Bus. and Prof. Code. section 17200, et seq.;
22	(i) Whether Plaintiff and members of the class are entitled to recover damages and/or
23	statutory damages;
24	(j) Whether Plaintiff and members of the class are entitled to equitable relief, including
25	injunctive relief, restitution, disgorgement and/or other equitable relief.
26	35. All members of the proposed class are readily ascertainable by objective criteria.
27	Adidas has access to addresses and other contact information of members of the class, which can be
28	used for providing notice to many class members.
	- 10 - Exhibit 2

36. Adidas' liability can be determined by facts common to all members of the class.

37. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of other class members because Plaintiff's information, like that of other members of the class, was misused and/or disclosed by Defendant and requires responsive efforts.

38. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the members of the class. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in litigating class actions.

39. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, adjudication of this controversy through a class action will avoid the possibility of inconsistent and potentially conflicting adjudication of the asserted claims. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

40. Damages for any individual class members may be insufficient to justify the cost of individual litigation, so that in the absence of class treatment, Adidas' violations of law inflicting substantial damages in the aggregate would go un-remedied without certification of the Class.

41. Class certification is appropriate because Adidas has acted or has refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate as to the class as a whole.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Negligence (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
43. In collecting the Personal Information of its current and former users/customers,
Adidas owed Plaintiff and members of the Class a duty to exercise reasonable care in safeguarding
and protecting that information. This duty included, among other things, maintaining and testing the
security systems of any entities who were granted access to the Personal Information of its members
prior to sharing such information and taking other reasonable security measures to protect and
adequately secure the personal data of Plaintiff and the Class from unauthorized access and use.
Adidas' security system and procedures for handling the Personal Information of its members were

intended to affect Plaintiff and members of the Class. Adidas was aware that by taking such sensitive Personal Information of its members, it had a responsibility to take reasonable security measures to protect the data from being stolen and, in the event of theft, easily accessed.

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

44. The duty Adidas owed to Plaintiff and members of the Class to protect their Personal Information is also underscored by the Adidas response, which recognize the importance of maintaining the confidentiality of Personal Information and were established to protect individuals from the improper disclosure of their Personal Information.

45. Additionally, Adidas had a duty to timely disclose to Plaintiff and members of the Class that their personal information had been or was reasonably believed to have been compromised. Timely disclosure is appropriate so that Plaintiff and members of the Class could, among other things, report the theft of sensitive numbers to the Internal Revenue Service, monitor their credit reports for identity fraud, undertake appropriate measures to avoid unauthorized charges on their debit card or credit card accounts, and change or cancel their debit or credit card PINS (personal identification numbers) to prevent or mitigate the risk of fraudulent cash withdrawals or unauthorized transactions.

46. There is a close causal connection between Adidas' failure to take reasonable security standards to protect its current and former members' Personal Information and the injury to Plaintiff and members of the Class. When individuals have their Personal Information stolen, they are placed at risk for identity theft, and immediately need credit monitoring services and purchase credit reports to determine whether identify theft has occurred.

47. Adidas is morally to blame for not protecting the data of its members by failing to take reasonable security measures. If Adidas had taken reasonable security measures in the storage and sharing of its members' Personal Information, data thieves would not have been able to access the Personal Information of Plaintiff and members of the Class.

48. The policy of preventing future harm weighs in favor of finding a special relationship
between Adidas and members of the Class. Adidas' members rely on Adidas' written representation
to keep their Personal Information safe. Indeed, Plaintiff and members of the Class are in fact are
required to share their Personal Information with Adidas to make purchases. If companies like

Case 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-2 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.30 Page 21 of 41

Adidas are not held accountable for failing to take reasonable security measures to protect their customers' Personal Information and ensure that companies with which it shares such information do the same, then they will not take the steps that are necessary to protect against future cyber-attacks and data breaches.

49. It was foreseeable that if Adidas did not take better and/or more reasonable measures to ensure that the companies with which it shared its members' Personal Information did not enact and maintain reasonable safeguards to protect such information, that the Personal Information of Plaintiff and members of the Class would be stolen. Major companies, like Adidas, face a higher threat of security breaches than other companies, due in part to the large amounts and type of data they possess and the value of such information on the black market. Adidas should have known to take precautions to secure its members' Personal Information and ensure that the companies with which it shares such information do the same, especially in light of recent data breaches and extensive warnings regarding cyberattacks and network vulnerability in the industry.

50. Adidas breached its duty to exercise reasonable care in protecting the Personal Information of Plaintiff and the Class by seemingly failing to ensure that the companies with which it shared members' Personal Information implemented and maintained adequate security measures to safeguard such information, including encryption, implementation of multi-factor authentication, and usage of behavior monitoring technology to detect unusual activity and transfers of data.

51. Adidas further breached its duty to immediately notify Plaintiff and members of the Class about the data breach.

52. But for Adidas' failure to ensure that the companies with which it shared members' Personal Information implemented and maintained adequate security measures to safeguard such information, including encryption, implementation of multi-factor authentication, and usage of behavior monitoring technology to detect unusual activity and transfers of data, the Personal Information of Plaintiff and members of the Class would not have been stolen, and they would not be at a heightened risk of identity theft for the future.

53. Adidas' negligence was a substantial factor in causing harm to Plaintiff and members of the Class.

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 54. As a direct and proximate result of Adidas' failure to ensure that the companies with 2 which it shared members' Personal Information implemented and maintained adequate security 3 measures to safeguard such information, the Personal Information of current and former Adidas 4 members was accessed by unauthorized individuals who could use the information to commit 5 identity fraud, medical fraud, tax fraud, or debit and credit card fraud. Plaintiff and the Class face a 6 heightened risk of identity theft for years to come.

55. Neither Plaintiff nor other members of the Class contributed to the data breach, nor did they contribute to Adidas' use of insufficient security measures to safeguard members' personal information.

56. Plaintiff and the class seek compensatory damages and punitive damages with interest, the costs of the suit and attorneys' fees, other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Breach of Contract (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

57. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

58. Adidas' privacy policies are set forth herein by at least paragraph 11.

59. Adidas' privacy policy, along with any other documents produced by Adidas to Plaintiff and the class relating to privacy, constitute an agreement between Adidas and its members.

60. Adidas has breached its agreement with Plaintiff and members of the Class to protect their Personal Information by (1) failing to implement security measures designed to prevent this attack even though the industry has been repeatedly warned about the risk of cyber-attacks; and (2) failing to ensure that the companies with which it shared members' Personal Information implemented and maintained adequate security measures to safeguard such information, including encryption, implementation of multi-factor authentication, and usage of behavior monitoring technology to detect unusual activity and transfers of data.

28

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

61. The damages sustained by Plaintiff and members of the Class as described herein were the direct and proximate result of Adidas' breaches of its contractual obligations. Indeed, Plaintiff and members of the class have been damaged by Adidas' breach of its contractual obligations because their Personal Information has been compromised and they are at and increased risk for future identity theft and fraudulent activity on their accounts. Plaintiff and members of the Class have been deprived of the value of their Personal Information and have lost money and property as a result of Adidas' unlawful and unfair conduct.

62. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, seeks (a) damages suffered by members of the Class, (b) equitable relief, and (c) injunctive relief requiring Adidas to implement safeguards consistent with its contractual promises.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Breach of Implied Contract (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

63. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

64. When Plaintiff and members of the Class provided their Personal Information to Adidas as a condition of purchasing products, Plaintiff and members of the Class entered into implied contracts with Adidas pursuant to which Adidas agreed to safeguard and protect such information.

65. Plaintiff and members of the Class would not have provided and entrusted their Personal Information to Adidas in order to purchase products in the absence of the implied contract between them and Adidas.

66. Plaintiff and members of the Class performed their obligations under the implied contracts with Adidas.

67. Adidas has breached its agreement with Plaintiff and members of the Class to protect their Personal Information by (1) failing to implement security measures designed to prevent this attack even though the industry has been repeatedly warned about the risk of cyber-attacks, and (2) failing to ensure that the companies with which it shared members' Personal Information

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

implemented and maintained adequate security measures to safeguard such information, including encryption, implementation of multi-factor authentication, and usage of behavior monitoring technology to detect unusual activity and transfers of data.

68. The damages sustained by Plaintiff and members of the class as described herein were the direct and proximate result of Adidas' breaches of its implied contracts. Indeed, Plaintiff and members of the Class have been damaged by Adidas' breach of its implied contractual obligations because their Personal Information has been compromised and they are at an increased risk for future identity theft and fraudulent activity on their accounts. Plaintiff and members of the Class have been deprived of the value of their Personal Information and have lost money and property as a result of Adidas' unlawful and unfair conduct.

69. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, seeks (a) damages suffered by members of the Class, (b) equitable relief, and (c) injunctive relief requiring Adidas to implement safeguards consistent with its contractual promises.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of the California Customer Records Act California Civil Code Section 1798.80 *et seq.* (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

70. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

71. The California Legislature enacted Civil Code section 1798.81.5 "to ensure that personal information about California residents is protected." The statute requires that any business that "owns or licenses personal information about a California resident shall implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of the information, to protect the personal information from unauthorized access, destruction, use, modification, or disclosure." Cal. Civil Code § 1798.81.5(b).

72. Likewise, "[a] person or business that conducts business in California, and that owns or licenses computerized data that includes personal information, shall disclose a breach of the security of the system following discovery or notification of the breach in the security of the data to a resident of California whose unencrypted personal information was, or is reasonably believed to

1

2

3

4

5

6

have been, acquired by an unauthorized person. The disclosure shall be made in the most expedient time possible and without unreasonable delay[.]" Cal. Civil Code § 17982(a).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

73. "Personal information" is defined by the statute as "any information that identifies, relates to, describes, or is capable of being associated with, a particular individual, including, but not limited to, his or her name, signature, social security number, physical characteristics or description, address, telephone number, passport number, driver's license or state identification card number, insurance policy number, education, employment, employment history, bank account number, credit card number, debit card number, or any other financial information, medical information, or health insurance information." Cal. Civil Code § 1798.80(e). "Personal information" under Civil Code section 1798.81.5(d)(1)(A) also includes "an individual's first name or first initial and his or her last name in combination with" the individual's social security number.

74. Adidas is a "business" within the meaning of Civil Code section 1798.80(a). Plaintiff and members of the Class are "individual[s]" within the meaning of the Civil Code section 1798.80(d).

75. Adidas violated sections 1798.81.5(b) and 17982(a) of the California Customer Records Act by (1) failing to implement security measures designed to prevent this attack even though the industry has been repeatedly warned about the risk of cyber-attacks; (2) failing to ensure that the companies with which it shared members' Personal Information implemented and maintained adequate security measures to safeguard such information, including encryption, implementation of multi-factor authentication, and usage of behavior monitoring technology to detect unusual activity and transfers of data; and (3) failing to promptly notify all affected former and current members that their personal information had been acquired (or was reasonably believed to have been acquired) by unauthorized persons in the data breach.

76. In addition to the other harm set forth herein, Adidas' failure to timely notify members of the breach has caused damage to Plaintiff and the Class who have had to buy theft identity protection services or take other measures to remediate the breach caused by Adidas' negligence. 77. By violating Civil Code sections 1798.81.5 and 1798.82, Adidas "may be enjoined" under Civil Code section 1798.84(e).

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

78. Accordingly, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter an injunction requiring Adidas to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures to protect employees' and members' data in compliance with the California Customer Records Act, including, but not limited to: 1) ordering that Adidas, consistent with industry standard practices, engage third party security auditors/penetration testers as well as internal security personnel to conduct testing, including simulated attacks, penetration tests, and audits on Adidas' systems on a periodic basis; (2) ordering that Adidas engage third party security auditors and internal personnel, consistent with industry standard practices, to run automated security monitoring; (3) ordering that Adidas audit, test, and train its security personnel regarding any new or modified procedures; (4) ordering that Adidas, consistent with industry standard practices, conduct regular database scanning and securing checks; (5) ordering that Adidas, consistent with industry standard practices, periodically conduct internal training and education to internal security personnel how to identify and contain a breach when it occurs and what to do in response to a breach; (6) ordering Adidas to meaningfully educate its members and employees about the threats they face as a result of the loss of their personal information to third parties, as well as the steps they must take to protect themselves; and (7) ordering Adidas to ensure that the companies with which it shares members' Personal Information implement and maintain adequate security measures to safeguard members' Personal Information, including the measures outlined above, as well as the use of encryption to protect members' Personal Information, implementation of multi-factor authentication to access such information, and implementation of behavior monitoring technology to detect unusual activity and transfers of data, prior to sharing such information.

Plaintiff further requests that the Court require Adidas to (1) identify and notify all
members of the Class who have not yet been informed of the data breach; and (2) to notify affected
customers of any future data breaches by email within 24 hours of Adidas' discovery of a breach or
possible breach and by mail within 72 hours.

80. As a result of Adidas' violation of Civil Code sections 1798.81.5 and 1798.82, 1 2 Plaintiff and members of the Class have and will incur economic damages relating to time and 3 money spent remedying the breach, including but not limited to, expenses for bank fees associated 4 with the breach, any unauthorized charges made on financial accounts, lack of access to funds while 5 banks issue new cards, tax fraud, as well as the costs of credit monitoring and purchasing credit 6 reports. 7 81. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the Class, seek all remedies 8 available under Civil Code section 1798.84, including, but not limited to: (a) damages suffered by 9 Plaintiff and members of the Class; and (b) equitable relief. 10 82. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the Class, seek reasonable 11 attorneys' fees and costs under applicable law. 12 FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION Unlawful and Unfair Business Practices Under California Business and Professions Code 13 Section 17200, et seq. (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class) 14 83. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth 15 herein. 16 84. Adidas' acts and practices, as alleged in this Complaint, constitute unlawful and 17 unfair business practices, in violation of the Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") and Cal. Bus. & Prof. 18 Code § 17200, et seq., and because Adidas' conduct was negligent: 19 (a) Adidas' practices were unlawful and in violation of California Civil Code section 20 1798.81.5(b) because Adidas failed to take reasonable security measures in 21 protecting its members' Personal Information; 22 (b) Adidas' practices were unlawful and in violation of California Civil Code section 23 1798.82 because Adidas has unreasonably delayed informing Plaintiff and members 24 of the Class about the breach of security after Adidas knew the data breach 25 occurred. 26 85. The acts, omissions, and conduct of Adidas constitute a violation of the unlawful 27 prong of the UCL because they failed to comport with a reasonable standard of care and California 28 - 19 -

public policy as reflected in statutes such as the Information Practices Act of 1977 and Cal. Civ. Code § 1798, *et seq.* which seek to protect customer data and ensure that entities who solicit or are entrusted with personal data utilize reasonable security measures.

86. In failing to protect members' Personal Information (and unduly delaying informing them of the data breach), Adidas has engaged in unfair business practices by engaging in conduct that undermines or violates the stated policies underlying the California Customer Records Act and the Information Practices Act of 1977. In enacting the California Customer Records Act, the Legislature stated that: "[i]dentity theft is costly to the marketplace and to consumers" and that "victims of identity theft must act quickly to minimize the damage; therefore expeditious notification of possible misuse of a person's personal information is imperative." 2002 Cal. Legis. Serv. Ch. 1054 (A.B. 700). Adidas' conduct also undermines California public policy as reflected in other statutes such as the Information Practices Act of 1977, Cal. Civ. Code § 1798, et seq., which seeks to protect individuals' data and ensure that entities who solicit or are entrusted with personal data utilize reasonable security measures.

87. As a direct and proximate result of Adidas' unlawful and unfair business practices as alleged herein, Plaintiff and members of the Class have suffered injury in fact. Plaintiff and members of the Class have been injured in that their Personal Information has been compromised and they are at an increased risk for future identity theft and fraudulent activity on their financial accounts. Members of the Class have also lost money and property by purchasing credit-monitoring set-vices they would not otherwise had to but for Adidas' unlawful and unfair conduct.

88. As a direct and proximate result of Adidas' unlawful and unfair business practices as alleged herein, Plaintiff and members of the Class face an increased risk of identity theft and medical fraud, based on the theft and disclosure of their Personal Information.

Because of Adidas' unfair and unlawful business practices, Plaintiff and members of
the Class are entitled to relief, including restitution for costs incurred associated with the data breach
and disgorgement of all profits accruing to Adidas because of its unlawful and unfair business
practices, declaratory relief, and a permanent injunction enjoining Adidas from its unlawful and
unfair practices.

Case 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-2 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.38 Page 29 of 41

90. The injunctive relief that Plaintiff and members of the California Class are entitled to includes, but is not limited to: (1) ordering that Adidas, consistent with industry standard practices, engage third party security auditors/penetration testers as well as internal security personnel to conduct testing, including simulated attacks, penetration tests, and audits on Adidas' systems on a periodic basis; (2) ordering that Adidas engage third party security auditors and internal personnel, 6 consistent with industry standard practices, to run automated security monitoring; (3) ordering that Adidas audit, test, and train its security personnel regarding any new or modified procedures; (4) 8 ordering that Adidas, consistent with industry standard practices, conduct regular database scanning and securing checks; (5) ordering that Adidas, consistent with industry standard practices, 10 periodically conduct internal training and education to inform internal security personnel how to identify and contain a breach when it occurs and what to do in response to a breach; (6) ordering Adidas to meaningfully educate its former and current members and employees about the threats they face as a result of the loss of their personal information to third parties, as well as the steps they 14 must take to protect themselves; and (7) ordering Adidas to ensure that the companies with which it shares members' Personal Information implement and maintain adequate security measures to 16 safeguard members' personal information, including the measures outlined above, as well as the use of encryption to protect members' Personal Information, implementation of multi-factor authentication to access such information, and implementation of behavior monitoring technology to 19 detect unusual activity and transfers of data, prior to sharing such information.

91. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of members of the Class, also seeks reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under applicable law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class set forth above, respectfully requests the following relief:

a. That the Court certify this case as a class action, appoint Plaintiff to be Class representative, and the undersigned counsel to be Class counsel;

27 b. That the Court award Plaintiff and members of the Class appropriate relief, including 28 actual and statutory damages, restitution and disgorgement;

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

11

12

13

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	c. That the Court award Plaintiff and members of the Class equitable, injunctive and						
2	declaratory relief as maybe appropriate under applicable law set forth above;						
3	d. That the Court award Plaintiff and members of the Class actual damages,						
4	compensatory damages, statutory damages, and statutory penalties, to the full extent permitted by						
5	law, in an amount to be determined;						
6	e. That the Court award Plaintiff and members of the Class pre-judgment and post-						
7	judgment interest;						
8	f. That the Court award Plaintiff and members of the Class reasonable attorneys' fees						
9	and costs as allowable by law; and						
10	g. That the Court award Plaintiff and members of the Class such other, favorable relief						
11	as allowable under law or at equity.						
12	JURY DEMAND						
13	Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.						
14							
15	Respectfully submitted,						
16	FINKELSTEIN & KRINSK LLP						
17							
18	Dated: July 3, 2018By: s/ Jeffrey R. KrinskJeffrey R. Krinsk, Esq. (SBN 109234)						
19	jrk@classactionlaw.com						
20	Trenton R. Kashima, Esq. (SBN 291405) trk@classactionlaw.com						
21	550 West C Street, Ste. 1760 San Diego, California 92101						
22	Telephone: (619) 238-1333						
23	Facsimile: (619) 238-5425						
24	Attorneys for Plaintiff						
25							
26							
27							
28							
	- 22 -						
	COMPLAINT Exhibit 2 Page 27						

EXHIBIT 2B

Duke v. adidas America, Inc., Case No. 37-2018-00033037-CU-BT-CTL SUMMONS

	Case 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS	Document 1-2	Filed 09/07/18	PageID.41	Page 32 of 41
--	----------------------------	--------------	----------------	-----------	---------------

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): adidas AMERICA, INC.

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

CHRISTIAN DUKE, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gow/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee weiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away, if you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service, if you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (*www.lawihelpcalifornia.org*), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (*www.courtinto.ca.gov/selfhelp*), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived frees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. JAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su varisión. Lee la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papelas legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo prolegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar pare su respueste. Puede encontrar estos formulanos de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que la quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pide al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatemente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos pare obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Contes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cuelquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

CASE MUNICEO

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): San Dicgo Superior Court	(Milmeno del Caso): 37-20 18-00033037-C U- BT- CTL
330 W. Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101	37-2018-00033037-C0-91-C1L

Hall of Justice

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (Et nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Finkelstein & Krinsk LLP, Jeffrey Krinsk, 550 W. C St., #1760, SD, CA 92101 (619) 238-1333

DATE: (Fecha)	07/05/2018		Clerk, by (Sacretario)	J. Dat	J. Walters	, Deputy (Adjunto)
		mmons, use Proof of Service of Summ ste citatión use el formulario Proof of S NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVI 1 as an individual defendan 2 as the person sued under 3 on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (corr CCP 416.20 (defi CCP 416.40 (ass other (specify): 4 by personal delivery on (d	Service of Summ ED; You are sen t. the fictitious nar <i>didas</i> operation; unct corporation; ociation or partn	ions, (POS-0 ved me of (specify muric	1): Ca, InC CCP 416.60 (mi CCP 416.70 (co	nor)
Judicial C	od for Mandatory Usa ounci of California (Rev. July 1, 2009)	SUM	MONS		Code of	Civil Frocedure 5() 412.20, 455 www.countinfo.ca.gov

SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

07/03/2018 at 03:12:07 PM Clerk of the Superior Court By Jacqueline J. Walters, Deputy Clerk

EXHIBIT 2C

Duke v. adidas America, Inc., Case No. 37-2018-00033037-CU-BT-CTL CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Case 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-2 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.43 Page 34 of 41

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Ba	r number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Jeffrey R. Krinsk (109234)/Trenton R. Ka 550 West C Street, Suite 1760, San Diego.	shima (291405)	
San Diego, CA 92101		ELECTRONICALLY FILED
TELEPHONE NO.: (619) 238-1333 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Christian D	FAX NO.: (619) 238-5425	Superior Court of California,
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF		County of San Diego
STREET ADDRESS: 330 W. Broadway	AN DILGO	07/03/2018 at 03:12:07 PM
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 W. Broadway		Clerk of the Superior Court
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego 92101		By Jacqueline J. Walters, Deputy Clerk
BRANCH NAME: Hall of Justice		
CASE NAME:	lu e	
Christian Duke v. adidas America,		CASE NUMBER:
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	37-201 8 -00033037-CU-BT-CTL
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	()	
	low must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type th		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto Tort Auto (22)		(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Other PI/PD/WD (23) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (0		Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)		Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
factors requiring exceptional judicial man	agement:	ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
a Large number of separately repr		er of witnesses
b. K Extensive motion practice raising	-	with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumir c. 🖌 Substantial amount of document		ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court ostjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply):		declaratory or injunctive relief c. 🖌 punitive
		Viol. Cal. Civ. Code/Bus. & Prof. Code
	ass action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file	and serve a notice of related case. (You	may/use form/CM-0/15/)
Date: July 3, 2018	× /	
Jeffrey R. Krinsk		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE	SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
	e first paper filed in the action or proceedir	ng (except small claims cases or cases filed
	r Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rul	les of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
 in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any co 	ver sheet required by local court rule	
		u must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
Unless this is a collections case under ru	le 3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	eet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400-3.403, 3.740; Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10 www.courtinfo.ca.gov

Exhibit 2 Page 31

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

CM-010

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check **one** box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the **primary** cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex. **CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES**

Contract

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Nealigent Infliction of Emotional Distress Other PI/PD/WD Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort **Business Tort/Unfair Business** Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35) Employment Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach–Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute **Real Property** Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure) **Unlawful Detainer** Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential) Judicial Review Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41) Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County) Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case **Miscellaneous Civil Complaint** RICO (27) Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment) Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) **Miscellaneous Civil Petition** Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43) **Civil Harassment** Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Election Contest Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim Other Civil Petition

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

EXHIBIT 2D

Duke v. adidas America, Inc., Case No. 37-2018-00033037-CU-BT-CTL PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS & COMPLAINT

Case 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-2 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.46 Page 37 of 41

A second defendance of the second	POS-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address) Jeffrey R. Krinsk, Esq. SBN: 109234 FINKELSTEIN & KRINSK 550 West C Street Suite 1760 San Diego, CA 92101 TELEPHONE NO.: (619) 238-1333 FAX NO. (619) 238-5425 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): : SAN DIEGO/SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT STREET ADDRESS: 330 W. BROADWAY CITY AND ZIP CODE: SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3409 BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL DIVISION	ELECTRONICALLY FILED (Seimot of California, Seimotal of California) Dega of Seimota of Seimota MA 00:98:01 ₪ 8 10:000 MA 00:98:01 ₪ 80 MA 00:98:01 ₪ 80 MA 00:98:01 ₪ 80 MA 00:98 MA
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Chrstian Duke, etal. DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: adidas America, Inc.	CASE NUMBER: 37-2018-00033037-CU-BT-CTL
PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS	Ref. No. or File No.: Duke v Adidas
(Separate proof of service is required for each party served	.)
 At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I served copies of: 	
a. ✓ summons b. ✓ complaint c. ✓ Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) package d. ✓ Civil Case Cover Sheet e. □, cross-complaint	
f. 🗹 other (specify documents): Notice of Case Assignment and Case Management C	onference on Mandatory eFile

- 3. a. Party served (specify name of party as shown on documents served): adidas America, Inc.
 - b. Person (other than the party in item 3a) served on behalf of an entity or as an authorized agent (and not a person under item 5b on whom substituted service was made) (specify name and relationship to the party named in item 3a):

DWT California ,Inc., as Agent for Service - by leaving with Travis Parker, Authorized to Accept

4. Address where the party was served: 865 S Figueroa St Ste 2400

Los Angeles, CA 90017-2566

5.	I	served	the	party	(check	proper	box)
----	---	--------	-----	-------	--------	--------	------

Case

a. by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive service of process for the party (1) on (*date*): 8/8/2018 (2) at (*time*): 1:20 PM

- b. by substituted service. On (date): at (time): | left the documents listed in item 2 with or in the presence of (name and title or relationship to person indicated in item 3b):
 - (1) (business) a person at least 18 years of age apparently in charge at the office or usual place of business of the person to be served. I informed him of her of the general nature of the papers.
 - (2) (home) a competent member of the household (at least 18 years of age) at the dwelling house or usual place of abode of the party. I informed him or her of the general nature of the papers.
 - (3) (physical address unknown) a person at least 18 years of age apparently in charge at the usual mailing address of the person to be served, other than a United States Postal Service post office box. I informed him of her of the general nature of the papers.
 - (4) I thereafter mailed (by first-class, postage prepaid) copies of the documents to the person to be served at the place where the copies were left (Code Civ. Proc., §415.20). I mailed the documents on (date): from (city): or a declaration of mailing is attached.
 - (5) I attach a declaration of diligence stating actions taken first to attempt personal service.

Case 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-2 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.47 Page 38 of 41

PETITIONER: Chrstian Duke, etal.		CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT: adidas America, Inc.		37-2018-00033037-CU-BT-CTL
c. by mail and acknowledgment of shown in item 4, by first-class ma	of receipt of service. I mailed the documents listed in ail, postage prepaid,	item 2 to the party, to the address
(1) on (date):	(2) from (city):	
(Attach completed Notice	btice and Acknowledgment of Receipt and a postage-p and Acknowledgement of Receipt.) (Code Civ. Proc.,	§ 415.30.)
	lifornia with return receipt requested. (Code Civ. Proc.	., § 415.40.)
d. by other means (specify means	of service and authorizing code section):	
Additional page describing servi 6. The "Notice to the Person Served" (on the		
a. 🔲 as an individual defendant.		
b. as the person sued under the fict	itious name of (specify):	
c. d. On behalf of (specify): adidas		
d. ☑ On behalf of (specify): adidas a under the following Code of Civil	New Address of the Addres	
✓ 416.10 (corporati		nization, form unknown)
416.20 (defunct o		
416.30 (joint stoc	k company/association) 🔲 416.70 (ward or conser	
	on or partnership) 416.90 (authorized per	son)
416.50 (public en	tity) 415.46 (occupant) other:	
7 Demon who conved non-ex-		
 Person who served papers a. Name: Jose Martinez - Nationwi 	de Legal, LLC REG: 12-234648	
b. Address: 110 West C. St, Suite 1		
c. Telephone number: (619) 232-7500		
d. The fee for service was: \$ 280.00		
e. I am:		
(1) V not a registered California pro	cess server. er Business and Professions Code section 22350(b).	
 (2) exempt from registration under (3) registered California process 	senier.	
(i) owner e	mployee independent contractor.	
(ii) Registration No.: 2013119	507	
(iii) County: Los Angeles		
 I declare under penalty of perjury ur or 	ider the laws of the State of California that the foregoi	ng is true and correct.
	I and I certify that the foregoing is true and correct.	
Date: 8/8/2018		
Nationwide Legal, LLC		
110 West C. St, Suite 1211 San Diego, CA 92101		
(619) 232-7500		
www.nationwideasap.com	1	7
		11A
Jose Martinez (NAME OF PERSON WHO SERVED PAPER	S/SHERIFF OR MARSHAL)	(SIGNATURE)
		(signatione)
000 010 (Day lating of 2007)		
OS-010 [Rev January 1, 2007]	PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS	Page 2 of 2

POS-010/SD15126

Case 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-2 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.48 Page 39 of 41

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address) _ Jeffrey R. Krinsk, Esq. SBN: 109234 FINKELSTEIN & KRINSK 550 West C Street Suite 1760 San Diego, CA 92101		FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NO.: (619) 238-1333 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	FAX NO.: (619) 238-5425	
SAN DIEGO/SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT		
STREET ADDRESS: 330 W. BROADWAY		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3409		REFERENCE NUMBER:
		Duke v Adidas
PLAINTIFF: Chrstian Duke, etal.		
DEFENDANT: adidas America, Inc.		
DECLARATION OF DILIGE	NCE	CASE NUMBER: 37-2018-00033037-CU-BT-CTL

I received the within assignment for filing and/or service on July 05, 2018 and that after due and diligent effort I have not been able to serve said person. I attempted service on this servee on the following dates and times:

Servee: adidas America, Inc.

Documents: Summons; Complaint; Alternative Dispute (ADR) package; Civil Case Cover Sheet; Notice of Case Assignment and Case Management Conference on Mandatory eFile Case;

Address: 865 S Figueroa St Ste 2400 Los Angeles, CA 900172566

As enumerated below:

 7/6/2018 -- 1:30 PM
 865 S Figueroa St Ste 2400

 Business
 Los Angeles, CA 900172566

 Server arrived at the location and security had to call up to grant access. At 1:35PM, they called back and said the people that would be authorized to accept anything are not in and to try another time. Building security explained to the server that they are extremely paranoid most of the time, and turn people away.

7/9/2018 1:00 PM	865 S Figueroa St Ste 2400		
Business	Los Angeles, CA 900172566		

Receptionist at lobby couldn't allow server access upstairs after being denied by the receptionist

 7/11/2018 -- 2:12 PM
 865 S Figueroa St Ste 2400

 Business
 Los Angeles, CA 900172566

Per receptionist at lobby, she won't allow server up since "the authorized people are not in the office".

OTTAN	NWIDE
-	LEONL

County: Los Angeles Registration No.: 2013119507 Nationwide Legal, LLC 110 West C. St, Suite 1211 San Diego, CA 92101 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that I am over the age of 18, not a party to this action and that the foregoing is true and correct. This declaration was executed on 8/8/2018.

Signature:

Jose Martinez

DECLARATION OF DILIGENCE

Order#: SD15126/DilFormat.mdl Exhibit 2 Page 36

EXHIBIT 3

Case 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-2 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.50 Page 41 of 41

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
Christian Duke				adidas America, In	с.	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C NDEMNATION CASES, USE T. OF LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, J Jeffrey R. Krinsk (109234 Finkelstein & Krinsk LLP, 92101; Tel: (619) 238-13	4) / Trenton R. Kashim , 550 West C St., Suite	ia (291405)	CA			. Laks (291861) d., Suite 6000, Los Angeles
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	□ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT n of This State		
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)		n of Another State	of Business In A	Another State
				n or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		aly) DRTS	EC	RFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 9 700 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice VIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURN Bergen Schult Calability Berg	x □ 62 □ 69 TY □ 71 □ 72 □ 74 □ 75 iS □ 79 □ 79 □ 46	LABOR Other LABOR Comparison of Property 21 USC 881 Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Act Fair Labor Standards Act Comparison Act Act Comparison Act Comparison Act Act Comparison Act Act Comparison Act Act Comparison Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 ■ ROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 865 RSI (405(g)) ■ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 375 False Claims Act 375 Gui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 895 Achitration 895 Achitration 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from \Box 3 te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	(specify)	r District Litigation Transfer	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you ar 28 U.S.C. § 1332 Brief description of cause: Purported class action arising out of					-	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Image: Constraint of the second states				EMAND \$		if demanded in complaint: X Yes □No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 09/07/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATT S/David F. McD		OF RECORD		
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUE	Exhibit 3

Case	3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-3 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.51 Page 1 of 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DAVID F. MCDOWELL (CA SBN 125806) DMcDowell@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 707 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90017-3543 Telephone: 213.892-5200 Facsimile: 213.892-5454 ALEXANDRA E. LAKS (CA SBN 291861) ALaks@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268-7000 Facsimile: 415.268-7522 Attorneys for Defendant ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.
10	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	
14	CHRISTIAN DUKE, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, Case No. <u>'18CV2081 LAB NLS</u>
15	Plaintiff, DECLARATION OF ALLISON KRUSE IN SUPPORT OF ADIDAS
16	v. AMERICA, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO
17	adidas AMERICA, INC.,
18	Defendant. Complaint Filed: July 3, 2018
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	DECL. OF ALLISON KRUSE IN SUPPORT OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO FEDERAL COURT CASE NO.

sf-3933291

I, Allison Kruse, declare:

I am a Senior Manager of Business Analytics at adidas America, Inc., 2 1. ("Adidas"). The facts contained in this Declaration are personally known to me in 3 that capacity. I make this declaration in support of Adidas' Notice of Removal of 4 Action to Federal Court. 5

2. In my role as Senior Manager of Business Analytics, I am a member of 6 Adidas' eCommerce team. In the ordinary course of business, the eCommerce 7 team analyzes account information on Adidas' website, adidas.com/US, to support 8 9 Adidas' business initiatives.

3. As a member of the eCommerce team, I have access to customer 10 account information on adidas.com/US which, among other things, can be queried 11 by geographic location (i.e. the state of the consumer associated with the account 12 that made a purchase through adidas.com/US). The data is maintained by Adidas in 13 the ordinary course of business in its Single Consumer View database. I am 14 15 familiar with that database and understand how gueries are run in it as a result of my position as Senior Manager of Business Analytics. 16

On or about September 4, 2018, I requested that a member of the 17 4. Digital Analytics team query the Single Consumer View database to determine the 18 19 number of adidas.com/US consumers who are California residents. The results of that query show that approximately 2,700,415 adidas.com/US consumers are 20California residents. 21

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California 22 that the foregoing is true and correct. 23

Executed this 6th of September 2018, in Portland, Oregon.

24

25

1

26

27

28

Usien Kruse

DECL. OF ALLISON KRUSE IN SUPPORT OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO FEDERAL COURT CASE NO. sf-3933291

Cas	e 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-4	Filed 09/07/18 PageID.53 Page 1 of 2					
1 2	DAVID F. MCDOWELL (CA SBN 125806) DMcDowell@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP						
3	707 Wilshire Boulevard						
4	Los Angeles, California 90017-3543 Telephone: 213.892-5200 Facsimile: 213.892-5454						
5		861)					
6	ALEXANDRA E. LAKS (CA SBN 291 ALaks@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP						
7	425 Market Street						
8	San Francisco, CA 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268-7000 Facsimile: 415.268-7522						
9	Attorneys for Defendant						
10	ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.						
11	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT					
12	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
13							
14	CHRISTIAN DUKE, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	Case No. <u>'18CV2081 LAB NLS</u>					
15		DECLARATION OF KURT					
16	Plaintiff,	TANDAN IN SUPPORT OF ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.'S					
17		NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO FEDERAL COURT					
18	adidas AMERICA, INC.,						
19	Defendant.	Complaint Filed: July 3, 2018					
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	DECL. OF KURT TANDAN IN SUPPORT OF REMOVAL OF ACCASE NO	CTION TO FEDERAL COURT					

sf-3933297

Cas	e 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-4 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.54 Page 2 of 2							
1	I, Kurt Tandan, declare:							
2	1. I am the Associate General Counsel at adidas America, Inc.,							
3	("Adidas"). The facts contained in this Declaration are personally known to me in							
4	that capacity. I make this declaration in support of Adidas' Notice of Removal of							
5	Action to Federal Court.							
6	2. In my role as Associate General Counsel, I am familiar with Adidas'							
7	books and records. Adidas is incorporated under the laws of Oregon. Adidas'							
8	principal place of business is in Oregon, where its corporate offices are located and							
9	where its core executive and administrative functions are primarily carried out.							
10	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California							
11	that the foregoing is true and correct.							
12								
13	Executed this 5 th of September, 2018, in Portland, Oregon.							
14								
15	$\frac{4}{\text{Kurt Tandan}}$							
16								
17								
18								
19 20								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25 26								
26 27								
27								
20	DECL. OF KURT TANDAN IN SUPPORT OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO FEDERAL COURT							

Case	3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-5 F	iled 09/07/18 PageID.55 Page 1 of 2							
1	DAVID F. MCDOWELL (CA SBN 125806) DMcDowell@mofo.com								
2	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 707 Wilshire Boulevard								
3	Los Angeles, California 90017-3543 Telephone: 213.892-5200 Facsimile: 213.892-5454								
4									
5	ALEXANDRA E. LAKS (CA SBN 2918 ALaks@mofo.com	61)							
6 7	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Erangiage CA 04105 2482								
7 8	San Francisco, CA 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268-7000 Facsimile: 415.268-7522								
9									
10	Attorneys for Defendant ADIDAS AMERICA, INC.								
11	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT							
12	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
13									
14	CHRISTIAN DUKE, on behalf of	Case No							
15	himself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF ALEXANDRA E. LAKS IN SUPPORT OF ADIDAS							
16	V.	AMERICA, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO							
17	adidas AMERICA, INC.,	FEDERAL COURT							
18	Defendant.	Complaint Filed: July 3, 2018							
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24 25									
23 26									
20									
28									
I	DECL. OF ALEXANDRA E. LAKS IN SUPPORT OF REMOVAL CASE NO.	OF ACTION TO FEDERAL COURT							

sf-3932100

Case	3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-5 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.56 Page 2 of 2							
1	I, Alexandra E. Laks, declare:							
2	1. I am a member of the California State Bar and an Associate in the law							
3	firm of Morrison & Foerster, LLP, attorneys for Defendant adidas America, Inc.,							
4	("Adidas"). The facts contained in this Declaration are personally known to me in							
5	that capacity. I make this declaration in support of Adidas' Notice of Removal of							
6	Action to Federal Court.							
7	2. Attached as Exhibit A to this declaration is a true and correct copy of							
8	website comparing six identity theft protection plans, including the cost of such							
9	plans. I accessed this website on September 6, 2018 at							
10	https://www.nextadvisor.com/identity_theft_protection_services/compare.php.							
11	3. The cost of the identity theft protection plans range from \$27.42 per							
12	month to \$14.99 per month. The average cost is \$17.27 per month.							
13	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California							
14	that the foregoing is true and correct.							
15	Executed on this 6th day of September, 2018, in San Francisco, California.							
16								
17	/s/ Alexandra E. Laks							
18	Alexandra E. Laks							
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
	DECL. OF ALEXANDRA E. LAKS IN SUPPORT OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO FEDERAL COURT							

EXHIBITS TO DECLARATION OF ALEXANDRA E. LAKS

Ex.	Description	Page
Α	True and correct copy of website comparing six identity theft protection plans,	1
	including the cost of such plans. Accessed on September 6, 2018 at	
	https://www.nextadvisor.com/identity_theft_protection_services/compare.php.	

EXHIBIT A

Case 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-6 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.59 Page 3 of 4

9/6/2018	Reviews of				
MextAdvisor	In-depth, Independent	Research	Ad Disclosure	Get Newsletter	Follow {14.9K followers
CREDIT CARDS	PERSONAL FINANCE	TECHNOLOGY	SMALL BUSINESS]

Identity Theft Protection Overview | Compare Identity Theft Protection | Blog

Identity Theft Protection Reviews & Prices

Identity theft is the fastest growing crime in the U.S., with more than 13 million victims each year. Just being careful isn't enough to protect your identity. If you are serious about protecting yourself from identity theft, sign up for one of our recommended identity theft protection services. They all provide daily monitoring of the information that is most often compromised by identity thieves. Our top-rated services combine multiple types of monitoring, such as credit reports, public records, credit cards and social security number. Some also include software to protect your computer and even throw in access to your credit scores. Have more questions about identity theft and which service might be best for you? Visit our identity theft protection blog.

Identity Theft P	rotection Comparis	son Chart				Updated 06-28-2018
ervice Name / Rating	Price	Fraud Monitoring	ID Theft Insurance/ Guarantee	Reports Delivered	Computer Security	Bottom Line
Go To Site Read Review	Free 30-day trial & \$3 discount (all plans); \$16.99/mo individual; \$22.99/mo couple; \$24.99/mo family	Monitors 3-bureau credit reports, credit cards, public records, SSN, bank accounts, applications, Internet security	\$1,000,000 insurance	3 bureau credit scores and a public record report each quarter	ZoneAlarm Internet security suite; anti-keylogging software; ID Vault software	Most complete identity theft protection service we reviewed; 3- bureau credit report monitoring; crec report/score updates every quarter; \$3 discount & free 30-day trial
Go To Site Read Review	2 months free & \$19.99/mo (w/annual prepay) or 30-day free trial & \$19.99/mo (w/monthly plan)	Monitors 3-bureau credit reports, credit applications, SSN, driver's license, passport, non-credit loans, address change, credit card and bank account activity, sex offender registry, court records	\$1,000,000 insurance	Experian credit scores daily; 3- bureau credit reports & scores quarterly	None	Comprehensive credit and identity monitoring (with Premium membership) and unique Experian CreditLock feature combined with competitive pricing and an easy-to- use website
Co To Site Read Review	\$24.74/mo* (w/annual prepay & our 10% discount for the first year)	Monitors 3-bureau credit reports, applications, credit cards, SSN, driver's license, address change, credit card and bank account activity, investment accounts, sex offender registry, court records	\$1,000,000 protection package**	Equifax credit scores monthly; 3- bureau credit reports & scores annually	None	Thorough identity theft protection ar 3-bureau credit report monitoring; annual 3-bureau credit reports and scores; monthly Equifax credit scores; somewhat costly even with 10% discount for the first year
PrivacyGuard Go To Site Read Review	30-day trial for \$1; \$14.99/mo (after our 25% discount)	Monitors 3-bureau credit reports, Internet security, SSN, bank account numbers, debit/credit cards	\$1,000,000 insurance	All 3 bureau reports & scores monthly	Norton Internet Security 2014	Solid credit protection with monthly credit report/score updates as well a social security and financial accour monitoring; 30-day trial for \$1; includes computer protection software from Norton
Go To Site Read Review	\$27.42/mo (paid annually); No free trial	Monitors 3-bureau credit reports, credit cards, public records, SSN, bank accounts, driver's license, passports, medical IDs, address change, payday loan applications	\$1,000,000 warranty	3-bureau credit scores updated in real-time; 3-bureau credit reports quarterly	None	Strong identity monitoring paired wit comprehensive, 3-bureau FICO sco monitoring; on the pricey side
ervice Name / Rating	Price	Fraud Monitoring	ID Theft Insurance/ Guarantee	Reports Delivered	Computer Security	Bottom Line
Co To Site Read Review	\$16.50/mo* (w/annual prepay & our 10% discount for the first year)	Monitors credit and debit cards, SSN, driver's license on Internet black market and address change verification	\$1,000,000 protection package**	None, unless plan is upgraded	None	Valuable identity theft protection an customer support for an affordable price, yet lacks in terms of credit report monitoring; 10% discount fo the first year

All Services Reviewed:

Credit Cards Credit Monitoring Credit Repair Online Stamps Personal Loans Savings Accounts Virtual Phone

VolP

https://www.nextadvisor.com/identity_theft_protection_services/compare.php

Popular Services Reviewed:

Credit Cards Credit Monitoring Identity Theft Protection VoIP Services

Case 3:18-cv-02081-LAB-NLS Document 1-6 Filed 09/07/18 PageID.60 Page 4 of 4

9/6/2018 Reviews of the Best Identity Theft Protection Services for 2018 NextAdvisor.com			ection Services for 2018 NextAdvisor.com
Email Marketing Identity Theft	Small Business Loans Tax Preparation	Web Hosting Website Building	NextAdvisor Home Latest Blog Headlines
			Find Us On: 💓 🚹 in 👫
\sim	B		About Us Blog Contact Us Terms & Privacy Policy Site Map Copyright© 2006 - 2018 NextAdvisor.com - All rights reserved.

Advertiser Disclosure: NextAdvisor is a consumer information site that offers free reviews and ratings of online services. Many of the companies whose services we review provide us compensation when someone who clicks from our site becomes their customer. This is how we make money to support our site. The results of our analyses, calculators, reviews and ratings are based on objective quantitative and qualitative evaluation of all the cards on our site and are not affected by any compensation NextAdvisor may receive. Compensation may impact which products we review and write about and where those products appear. We do not review all products in a given category. All opinions expressed on this site are our own.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Lawsuit Removed to Fed. Court Claims Adidas Hack Caused by Inadequate Data Security Systems