FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS DIVISION 2017 DEC 20 AM 11: 37

CLERIQUS PICTAROT GURA MODLE DISTURDA OT FUCKIDA FOREITY, FOREICEA

NERTHA DUGE, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.:

vs.

2.17-CV-705-FEM-29MRM

MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC., a Foreign Profit Corporation,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

1

COMES NOW Plaintiff, NERTHA DUGE, (hereinafter "Plaintiff") on behalf of herself, other employees and former employees similarly situated, by and through the undersigned counsel, and files this Complaint against Defendants, MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC. (hereinafter "MARRIOTT" or "Defendant") and states as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, (29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., hereinafter called the "FLSA") to recover unpaid back wages, minimum wages, overtime wages, an additional equal amount of liquidated damages, obtain declaratory relief, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is based upon 29 U.S.C.
 \$216(b).

3. This Court has the authority to grant declaratory relief pursuant to the FLSA and the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act ("DJA"), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02.

PARTIES

4. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff, NERTHA DUGE, is and was a resident of Lee County, Florida.

5. At all times material hereto, MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC. was and continues to be a Foreign Profit Corporation. Further, at all times material hereto, MARRIOTT was, and continues to be, engaged in business in Florida, with a principle place of business in Lee County, Florida.

6. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was "engaged in commerce" within the meaning of §7 of the FLSA.

7. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an "employee" of the Defendants within the meaning of FLSA.

8. At all times material hereto, Defendant was the "employer" within the meaning of FLSA.

9. Defendant was and continues to be an "employer" within the meaning of FLSA.

10. At all times material hereto, Defendant was and continue to be an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of FLSA.

11. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of Defendant is in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum during the relevant time periods.

2

12. At all times material hereto, Defendant has two (2) or more employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce.

13. At all times material hereto, the Plaintiff was "engaged in commerce" and subject to individual coverage of the FLSA.

14. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action are/were "non-exempt" employees of Defendant, who held similar positions to Plaintiff and who (a) worked in excess of forty (40) hours during one or more weeks during the relevant time periods but who did not receive pay at one and one-half times their regular rate for their hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.

15. At all times material hereto, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business performed by Defendant.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

16. On or about January 20, 2016 until on or about May 4, 2017, Plaintiff worked for Defendant. At the time of her termination she was performing non-exempt work as a Housekeeper and was paid between \$8.50 per hour.

17. At various material times hereto, Plaintiff worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week.

18. At various material times hereto, Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff, and others similarly situated to her, for all hours worked.

19. Specifically, Plaintiff performed laundry work prior to her scheduled shift and/or after her scheduled shift and not paid for the work she performed before or after she clocked out.

3

20. As a result she has not been properly compensated for all hours worked including overtime wages.

21. From at least January 20, 2016 until on or about May 4, 2017, Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff at a rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single work week.

22. Plaintiff should be compensated at the rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as required by the FLSA.

23. Defendant has violated Title 29 U.S.C. §206 and §207 from at least June 20,2016 and continuing through May 4, 2017, in that:

- Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for the period of employment with Defendant;
- b. No payments, and provisions for payment, have been made by Defendant to properly compensate Plaintiff for overtime wages, at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as provided by the FLSA;
- No payments, and provisions for payment, have been made by Defendant to properly compensate Plaintiff for minimum wages for every hour worked; and
- d. Defendant has failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.

24. Plaintiff has retained the BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A. to represent her in the litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its services.

COUNT I VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. §207 OVERTIME COMPENSATION

25. Plaintiff, and others similarly situated to her, realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 24 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

26. From at least June 20, 2016 and continuing through May 4, 2017, Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for which Plaintiff was not compensated at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay.

27. Plaintiff was and is entitled to be paid at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.

28. At all times material hereto, Defendant failed and continues to fail to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.

29. Defendant's actions were willful and/or showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidences by its failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week when it knew, or should have known, such was, and is due.

30. Defendant has failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of her rights under the FLSA.

31. Due to intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, suffered and continue to suffer damages and lost compensation for time worked over forty (40) hours per week, plus liquidated damages.

5

32. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

33. At all times material hereto, Defendant failed to comply with Title 29 and United States Department of Regulations, 29 C.F.R. §§516.2 and 516.4, with respect to those similarly situated to the named Plaintiff by virtue of the management policy, plan or decision that intentionally provided for the compensation of such employees at a rate less than time and a half for their overtime.

34. Based upon information and belief, the employees and former employees of Defendant similarly situated to Plaintiff were not paid proper overtime for hours worked in excess of forty (40) in one or more workweeks because Defendant failed to properly pay Plaintiff proper overtime wages at time and a half the regular rate of pay for such hours.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered in her favor against Defendant:

- Declaring, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the maximum hour provisions of the FLSA;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff overtime compensation in the amount due to her for Plaintiff's time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to the overtime award;
- Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs and expenses of the litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment interest;

f. Issue an Order, as soon as is practicable, authorizing Plaintiff to send notice of the instant lawsuit to all similarly situated non-exempt employees employed by Defendants within the past three years.

Respectfully submitted on this 19th day of December 2017.

BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A.

511 **[**_ []

By:

١

Bill B. Berke, Esq. Florida Bar No. 0558011 berkelaw@yahoo.com 4423 Del Prado Blvd. S. Cape Coral, FL 33904 Telephone: (239) 549-6689 Attorney for Plaintiff 15-44 (Rev 12-12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings of tuber papers as required by law, except as purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM)

			, T		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS NERTHA DUGE			DEFENDANTS MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC. 2017 DEC 20 ANTI: 37		
			County of Pasidanca		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lee (EXCEPTINUS PLAINTIFE CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant		
			NOTE IN LAND CO THE TRACT	OF LAND INT DIST D	HELOCYHOX OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2	Maress and Islephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)		
	E LAW FIRM, P.A., 4423 Del Prado Bl	vd. S.,			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an X" in One Box Only)	III. C	I ITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaint) and One Box for Defendant)
TI US Government Plausuff	3 3 Federal Question (US Government Not a Party)		PTF DEF PUF DEF Cutizen of This State □ 1 □ 1 1 1 0 1 □ 1		
D 2 U.S Government Defendant			Citizen of Another State		
			zen or Subject of a preign Country	3 7 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 365 Personal Injury	RY 🗇 6	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 	375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Autorust
 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 	315 Airplane Product Product Liability 167 Health Care/	., [., ,			430 Banks and Banking
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	1 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical Slander Personal Injury			PROPERTY RIGHTS 2820 Copyrights	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation
🗇 151 Medicare Act	□ 330 Federal Employers' Product Liabilit			🗇 830 Patent	□ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Tiability IT 368 Asbestos Person 340 Marine Injury Product	nal		□ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 1 480 Consumer Credit
(Excludes Veterans)	7 345 Marine Product Liability		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	1 490 Cable/Sat TV
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability PERSONAL PROP 350 Motor Vehicle 7 370 Other Fraud	ERTY 73 7	10 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923)	 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
□ 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 371 Truth in Lendm	g 77	20 Labor/Management	7 863 DIWC DIŴW (405(g))	1 890 Other Statutory Actions
 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 	Product Liability 7 380 Other Personal 7 360 Other Personal Property Damag	, n 7	Relations 40 Railway Labor Act	□ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters
□ 196 Franchise	Injury 7 385 Property Dama	ae 🖸 7	51 Family and Medical		□ 895 Freedom of Information
	362 Personal Injury - Product Liabilit Medical Malpractice		Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation		Act R96 Arbitration
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITI		91 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	7 899 Administrative Procedure
1 210 Land Condemnation	J 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: J 441 Voting J 463 Alten Detainee		Income Security Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Act Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 	→ 441 Voting → 405 Aner Detailed → 442 Employment → 510 Motions to Vac	ate		🗇 8°1 IRS—Third Party	□ 950 Constitutionality of
3 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations			26 USC 7609	State Statutes
□ 245 Tort Product I tability □ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 7 530 General 7 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 7 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION		
	Employment Other:		62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration		
	Other 550 Civil Rights		Actions		
	448 Education 555 Prison Conduct 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of				
<u></u>	Continement				
	n One Box Only) moved from 7 3 Remanded from the Court Appellate Court		instated or 3 5 Transfe opened Anothe	erred from D 6 Multidist er District Litigation	
Proceeding Sta	Crie the U.S. Civil Statute under which you Fair Labor Standards Act, as amer	are filme	(specify) (Do not cite iurisdictional sta	1	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of cause Unpaid minimum and overtime wa		0.0.0. <u>3201., et seq.</u>		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTE UNDER RULE 23, F R Cv P	ON 1	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint : XI Yes No
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions). JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 12/19/2017	SIGNATURE OF . /s/ Bill B. Ber		OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
	MOUNT APPLYING IF	P	JUDGE	MAG JI	IDGE
LFP	à.11-ci-1	105-	FtM-29M	2M	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Ex-Employee Claims Marriott International Owes Back Wages</u>