BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055

Email: ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Our File No.: 115583

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Jamie J. Douglas, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Hamilton Law Group, PC,

Defendant.

Docket No:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Jamie J. Douglas, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (hereinafter referred to as "*Plaintiff*"), by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against Hamilton Law Group, PC (hereinafter referred to as "*Defendant*"), as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).
- 3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of New York.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Jamie J. Douglas is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York residing in Suffolk County, New York.
 - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant Hamilton Law Group, PC, is a Pennsylvania Professional Corporation with a principal place of business in Northampton County, Pennsylvania.
- 8. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
 - 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt ("the Debt").
- 11. The Debt was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 12. Sometime after the incurrence of the Debt, Plaintiff fell behind on payments owed.
- 13. Thereafter, at an exact time known only to Defendant, the Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.
- 14. In its efforts to collect the debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by letter ("the Letter") dated October 10, 2017. ("Exhibit 1.")
 - 15. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
 - 16. The Debt was accruing interest at the time the Letter was sent to Plaintiff.
- 17. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account balance, to disclose whether the balance may increase due to interest and fees. *Avila v. Riexinger & Associates, LLC*, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016).
- 18. The Letter fails to include any "safe harbor" language concerning the accrual of interest. *Carlin v. Davidson Fink*, 852 F.3d 207, 216 (2d Cir. 2017); *Avila v. Riexinger & Associates*, *LLC*, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016).
- 19. The Letter fails to indicate the minimum amount Plaintiff owed at the time of receipt of the Letter.

- 20. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the minimum amount he or she owes at the time of receipt of the Letter.
- 21. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow Plaintiff to determine what Plaintiff will need to pay to resolve the debt at the time of receipt of the Letter.
- 22. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine what he or she will need to pay to resolve the debt at the time of receipt of the Letter.
- 23. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the amount of interest owed.
 - 24. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate whether additional interest will be added.
 - 25. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the date additional interest will be added.
- 26. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the amount of additional interest that may be added.
- 27. The Letter fails to contain an explanation, understandable by the least sophisticated consumer, of any interest that may cause the amount stated to increase.
- 28. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the minimum amount owed at the time of receipt of the Letter.
- 29. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine what she will need to pay to resolve the debt at the time of receipt of the Letter.
- 30. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt at the time of receipt of the Letter.
- 31. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of her debt because the consumer would not know whether interest would continue to accrue, or whether the amount of the debt was static.
- 32. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, did not convey "the amount of the debt" clearly from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer.
- 33. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, did not convey "the amount of the debt" accurately from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer.

- 34. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, did not convey "the amount of the debt" without ambiguity from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer.
- 35. Because of the aforementioned failures, the least sophisticated consumer would likely be confused as to the amount of the debt.
- 36. Because of the aforementioned failures, the least sophisticated consumer would likely be uncertain as to the amount of the debt.
 - 37. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
 - 38. The Letter, for all of the foregoing reasons, violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 39. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant attempted to collect an interest bearing consumer debt without providing a "safe harbor" as required, from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.
- 40. This action seeks a finding that Defendant's conduct violates the FDCPA, and asks that the Court award damages as authorized by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
 - 41. Defendant regularly engages in debt collection.
- 42. The Class consists of more than 35 persons from whom Defendant attempted to collect interest bearing consumer debts without providing a "safe harbor" as required.
- 43. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Common questions of law or fact raised by this class action complaint affect all members of the Class and predominate over any individual issues. Common relief is therefore sought on behalf of all members of the Class. This class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 44. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, and a risk that any adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class would, as a practical matter, either be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Class not party to the adjudication, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests. Defendant has acted in a manner applicable to the Class as a whole such that declaratory relief is warranted.

45. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class. The management of the class action proposed is not extraordinarily difficult, and the factual and legal issues raised by this class action complaint will not require extended contact with the members of the Class, because Defendant's conduct was perpetrated on all members of the Class and will be established by common proof. Moreover, Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in actions brought under consumer protection laws.

JURY DEMAND

46. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

- a. Certify this action as a class action; and
- b. Appoint Plaintiff as Class Representative of the Class, and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel; and
- c. Find that Defendant's actions violate the FDCPA; and
- d. Grant damages against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- e. Grant Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- f. Grant Plaintiff's costs; together with
- g. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: September 10, 2018

BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

By: _/s/ Craig B. Sanders
Craig B. Sanders, Esq.
100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500
Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055 BARSHAY | SANDERS PILC 100 GARDEN CITY PLAZA, SUITE 500 GARDEN CITY, NEW YORK 11530

csanders@barshaysanders.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 115583

Case 2:18-cv-05177 Document 1-1 Filed 09/13/18 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: **HAMILTON** LAW **GROUP**



Laurel Justice Center

Mailing Address:

P.O. Box 90301 Allentown, PA 18109

Phone: (610) 837-3000

Jamie Douglas

October 10, 2017

43 Washburn St. Lake Grove, NY 11755-5728

Creditor:

Gary S. Kasten, DO

Debtor:

Jamie Douglas/

| Our File # | Principal Amt | Accrued Int | Pymt/Adj | Total Due |
|------------|---------------|-------------|----------|------------|
| 093.1 | \$1,823.62 | \$264.40 | (\$0.00) | \$2,088.02 |

As you may recall, we previously advised you that in the event you failed to make an acceptable payment agreement with our office regarding this debt we might report this debt to your credit file. As you know, you have failed to make an acceptable agreement. Accordingly, as previously advised WE WILL BE REPORTING THIS DEBT TO YOUR CREDIT FILE WITHIN THE NEXT 7 TO 30 DAYS.

Please understand that if our law firm reports this matter, the negative impact on your credit file will remain on your record up to 7 years from the date of delinquency. Many people in your situation state to us "I don't care about my credit record," and to that hasty comment, we usually point out that 7 years from the date of delinquency is a long time -- too long for anyone to accurately forecast their future need of a new job, car, housing, or money to cover unexpected emergencies and expenses. To summarize, our reporting of this debt to your credit file may cause unnecessary and unknown harm to your future financial health. Accordingly, please contact our office within the next 7 days to make arrangements for payment thereby avoiding any possible chance that this debt is reported to your credit file.

It is our true hope that you heed this warning, and choose to work with us to resolve this debt. Otherwise we will take the above action without further notice to you. Once again, we await your timely reply.

Thank you for your consideration,

HAMILTON LAW GROUP, PC

HLG/jb

| provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil do | This form, approved by the ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC | the Judicial Conference of the CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE | the United OF THIS | I States in Septemb (FORM.) | per 19 | 74, is requir | ed for the use of | the Clerk of Co | urt for th | ie | |
|---|--|--|---|--|-------------------|---|--|--|--|-------------------------|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | | | DEFENDANTS | | | | | | | |
| JAMIE J. DOUG | LAS | | | HAMILTO | N LA | W GROU | P, PC | | | | |
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff SUFFOLK (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) | | | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE THAT THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A | Address, and Telephone Numbe | er) | | Attorneys (If Kno | own) | | | | | | |
| BARSHAY SAND 100 Garden City P (516) 203-7600 | DERS, PLLC laza, Ste 500, Garden Ci | ity, NY 11530 | | | | | | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI | CTION (Place an "X" in C | One Box Only) | III. CIT | TIZENSHIP O | F PR | RINCIPA | L PARTIES | (Place an "X" in | One Box fo | or Plaintiff | |
| O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | • 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government) | Not a Party) | | versity Cases Only) of This State | PTI O 1 | | Incorporated or Proof Business In T | rincipal Place | Box for Defer PIF O 4 | DEF | |
| O 2 U.S. Government Defendant | O 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi | ip of Parties in Item III) | Citizen | of Another State | O 2 | 0 2 | Incorporated and I | | O 5 | O 5 | |
| | | | | or Subject of a eign Country | O 3 | 0 3 | Foreign Nation | | 0 6 | 0 6 | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | | ly) DRTS | EOI | RFEITURE/PENAL | TV | DANT | KRUPTCY | OTHER | STATUT | rec | |
| O 110 Insurance O 120 Marine O 130 Miller Act O 140 Negotiable Instrument | PERSONAL INJURY O 310 Airplane O 315 Airplane Product Liability D 367 Personal Injury - Product Liability O 367 Health Care/ | | Y O 625 1 | O 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 O 690 Other | | O 423 Withd | 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 O 375 False Clair 423 Withdrawal O 400 State Reap 28 USC 157 O 410 Antitrust | | Claims Acteapportion | ms Act oportionment | |
| O 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment O 151 Medicare Act O 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans | | Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability O 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product | | | | PROPER O 820 Copyr O 830 Patent O 840 Trader | | O 430 Banks and Banking O 450 Commerce O 460 Deportation O 470 Racketeer Influenced a Corrupt Organization • 480 Consumer Credit O 490 Cable/Sat TV O 850 Securities/Commoditie Exchange O 890 Other Statutory Actio O 891 Agricultural Acts O 893 Environmental Matter O 895 Freedom of Informatia | | nced and | |
| (Excludes Veterans) O 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits O 160 Stockholders' Suits O 190 Other Contract O 195 Contract Product Liability O 196 Franchise | O 345 Marine Product Liability O 350 Motor Vehicle O 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability O 360 Other Personal Injury O 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice | Liability PERSONAL PROPERT O 370 Other Fraud O 371 Truth in Lending O 380 Other Personal Property Damage O 385 Property Damage Product Liability | O 720 I O 740 I O 751 I | LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigatio | | O 861 HIA (1 O 862 Black | Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI | | | Actions s Iatters | |
| REAL PROPERTY O 210 Land Condemnation O 220 Foreclosure O 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment O 240 Torts to Land O 245 Tort Product Liability | CIVIL RIGHTS O 440 Other Civil Rights O 441 Voting O 442 Employment O 443 Housing/ Accommodations | PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: O 463 Alien Detainee O 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence O 530 General | O 791 I | Employee Retirement Income Security Act | | FEDERAL TAX SUITS O 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) O 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 | | O 896 Arbitration O 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision O 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes | | | |
| O 290 All Other Real Property | O 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment O 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other O 448 Education | O 535 Death Penalty Other: O 540 Mandamus & Other O 550 Civil Rights O 555 Prison Condition O 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement | 0 4 | IMMIGRATION Naturalization Applica 65 Other Immigration ions | | | | | | | |
| V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in ● 1 Original O 2 Remoner Proceeding Control | oved from State O 3 Ren | | 4 Reinstate Reope | | ther Di | | O 6 Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer | L | Iultidistric itigation – Direct File | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | | atute under which you are use: | filing (De | | | | | | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | • | S A CLASS ACTION | DE | 15 U MAND \$ | JSC § | | ebt Collection Pr CHECK YES on RY DEMAND: | ly if demanded i | n compla | int: | |
| VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY | | JUDGE | | | | | ET NUMBER | 168 | <u> </u> | | |
| DATE September 13, 2018 | /s Craig Sander | SIGNATURE OF ATTO | DRNEY OI | FRECORD | | | | | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RECEIPT # AM | IOUNT | APPLYING IFP | | JUDO | ЗE | | MAG. JU | DGE | | | |

Case 2:18-cv-05177 Document 1-2 Filed 09/13/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 9 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

| Case is Eligible for Arbitration ■ | |
|---|------------------------------|
| I,, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligib | le for |
| compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, the complaint seeks injunctive relief, the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason | |
| DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1 | |
| Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks: | |
| RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form) | |
| Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transact events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3. further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related unless both cases are still pending before the court." | tions or A civil 1 (c) |
| NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2) | |
| Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or S County: ☐ Yes ■ No | uffolk |
| 2.) If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? ■ Yes □ No | |
| b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? ■ Yes □ No | |
| c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specific the County in which the offending communication was received: SUFFOLK | |
| If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? — Yes — No (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts). | |
| BAR ADMISSION | |
| I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this co ■ Yes □ No | urt. |
| Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? ☐ Yes (If yes, please explain) ■ No | |
| I certify the accuracy of all information provided above. | |

Signature: /s Craig B. Sanders

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| Jamie J. Douglas, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, |)) |
|--|---|
| Plaintiff(s) | - ′ |
| v. |) Civil Action No. |
| Hamilton Law Group, PC, |) _) |
| Defendant(s) | |
| SUMMONS II | N A CIVIL ACTION |
| To:(Defendant's name and address) Hamilton Law Group, PC 6473-B Ruch Road Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18017 | |
| A lawsuit has been filed against you. | |
| are the United States or a United States agency, or an office 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answ | you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you cer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. ver to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name |
| 100 GARDEN CI | SANDERS PLLC TY PLAZA, SUITE 500 CITY, NY 11530 |
| If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court. | e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. |
| | CLERK OF COURT |
| | |
| | |
| Date: | Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk |

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

| | This Summons for(name o | of individual and title, if any) | | |
|---------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|------|
| was rec | eived by me on(date) | · | | |
| | • | | at(place) | |
| | | | on(date) | ; or |
| | | | usual place of abode with(name)erson of suitable age and discretion | |
| | | - | individual's last known address; or | |
| | = = | | | |
| | • | • | alf of (name of organization) | |
| | | on (date |); or | |
| | [] I returned the summo | ons unexecuted because | | ; or |
| | [] Other (specify): | | | |
| | | | | |
| | My fees are \$ | for travel and \$ | for services, for a total of | \$ |
| | I declare under penalty o | f perjury that this information | is true. | |
| | | | | |
| Date: | | | | |
| | | | Server's signature | |
| | | | Printed name and title | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Server's address | |

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Hamilton Law Group Failed to Clearly Convey Debt Amount in Letter, Lawsuit Alleges</u>