UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA WEST PALM BEACH DIVISION

CASE NO.:

JUDITH MARILYN DONOFF on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v. CLASS ACTION

DELTA AIR LINES, INC.,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Judith Marilyn Donoff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, sues Defendant Delta Airlines, Inc. ("Delta") and alleges as follows.

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a class action filed to redress injuries that Plaintiff and a class of consumers have suffered, and will continue to suffer, as a result of Delta's deceptive practices relating to its presentation of the charge for trip insurance sold on its website. On its website, and throughout the online process of purchasing a flight ticket and trip insurance, Delta leaves the consumer with the false impression that the charge for trip insurance is a pass-through fee, i.e., a fee that is passed on to another entity and for which Delta has no financial interest. The net impression of Delta's representations and omissions to its consumers is that, when consumers purchase a trip insurance policy, the funds to cover the policy's cost ultimately go to an independent third-party insurance company, whom Delta identifies as the company brokering the policy for sale to the consumer.

Indeed, Delta identifies this third-party insurance company as "the licensed producer," or insurance agent, for the trip insurance policies. In reality, and despite lacking a license to broker insurance policies, Delta retains or ultimately receives an undisclosed kickback from every policy sold.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- 2. Plaintiff is an individual who is domiciled in, and is thus a citizen of, Florida.
- 3. Defendant Delta is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Georgia. It does business regularly throughout the United States, including in Florida. Delta also maintains a registered agent in Florida.
- 4. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A) because this is a class action for a sum exceeding \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and in which at least one class member is a citizen of a state different from Delta.
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Delta because the causes of action asserted herein arise from (i) Delta operating, conducting, engaging in, or carrying on a business or business venture in this state and (ii) Delta committing a tortious act within this state. This Court further has personal jurisdiction over Delta based on its consent and waiver by establishing a registered agent in Florida for the purpose of receiving service of process. Moreover, Delta purposefully availed itself of Florida's consumer market through the advertisement, promotion, and sale of trip insurance policies in Florida.
- 6. Venue is proper in this Court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) because Delta resides in this district for purposes of the statute, as it is subject to the personal jurisdiction of this Court for purposes of this action. Moreover, a substantial part of the events or omissions

giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 7. In addition to operating flights nationwide, Delta operates hundreds of daily flights to and from sixteen different airports in Florida. As part of its business, Delta sells tickets to consumers through its website, www.delta.com.
- 8. When a consumer visits Delta's website, the site allows the consumer to select his or her preferred destination and travel dates.
- 9. Upon the consumer's selection of his or her specific flights, Delta's website provides the consumer with the price to purchase the selected flights.
- 10. Before the consumer completes his or her purchase, Delta's website requires consumers to make an election regarding purchasing a trip insurance policy with a third-party insurance provider. There is no way to purchase a ticket on Delta's website without making an election regarding trip insurance.
- 11. Delta markets the third-party trip insurance to its consumers in a uniform fashion—each consumer sees the same marketing language when purchasing a ticket.
- 12. After the consumer selects the desired flights, the consumer must proceed to a page to enter passenger information and contact information. After entering this information, the consumer has two options: "Skip to Payment" or "NEXT: TRIP EXTRAS."
- 13. If the consumer selects the "NEXT: TRIP EXTRAS" button, the consumer is brought to a webpage to select "Trip Extras" for purchase, which include in-flight Wi-Fi and a "Mileage Booster."
- 14. Under the available "Trip Extras," there is a breakdown of charges for "Total Trip Extras," "Flight Total," and "Trip Total."

- 15. After selecting any "Trip Extras," the consumer must select a button that reads "REVIEW + PAY" in order to continue with the purchase.
- 16. After selecting "REVIEW + PAY," or after selecting "Skip to Payment" on the prior webpage, the consumer is brought to a webpage to finalize payment. Regardless of whether the consumer, selects "Skip to Payment" or selects "NEXT: TRIP EXTRAS" and then "REVIEW + PAY," the consumer will ultimately arrive at the same payment webpage.
- 17. This page contains a section for the consumer to select his or her method of payment and input his or her payment information. A section below the fields for entry of payment information relates to trip insurance. It is titled "RECOMMENDED: ADD TRIP INSURANCE." On the same line as this heading, the section states: "*REQUIRED: PLEASE SELECT YES OR NO TO CONTINUE." Under this heading is the line: "Protect your trip to [Destination] for \$[Price] total." Next to this line is a green text box with an arrow pointing to the price that states: "Highly Recommended." Under this, there is a line that states: "Piece of mind is only a click away." This is followed by a list of reasons to purchase trip insurance, with each itemized reason preceded by a green checkmark. This is followed by a quote from USA today, which states: "We can't predict who will get sick, if Mother Nature will cancel flights or if luggage gets lost, but we can play it safe by purchasing protection." This is then followed by a line that states how many "customers protected their trip in the last 7 days."
- 18. To the right of this marketing language, there is a "YES" and "NO" button, one of which must be selected by the consumer to complete the ticket purchase. Under the "NO" button is the following language: "I understand by selecting 'No' I am declining coverage and I am responsible for all cancellation fees and delay expenses for my \$[Price] trip."
 - 19. Consumers are required to make an insurance election, as they are unable to

proceed with purchasing their airline tickets on Delta's website until they choose whether to purchase a trip insurance policy. The consumer cannot simply ignore the insurance offering and

move on to purchasing a ticket.

- 20. If the consumer selects the "YES" button, it turns green and a green icon with a checkmark appears next to the button. If the consumer selects "NO," the button turns red and a red icon with an exclamation point appears next to the button.
- 21. This marketing is intended to create the impression that the trip insurance is in the consumer's best interest—while hiding the fact that Delta is pushing the product because it is in its financial interest to generate sales. In other words, the consumer is deceived into believing that Delta is acting in the consumer's best financial interest, and not its own.
- 22. For example, Delta includes the green textbox with the word "Recommended" at the top of the insurance-election section, and then represents to the consumer that the party "recommending" the purchase of insurance is AGA Service Company, not Delta. This representation is designed to hide Delta's financial interest in the purchase of trip insurance policies.
- 23. After the section that portrays the trip insurance policies as something in the consumer's best financial interest, Delta proceeds to conceal its financial motivation in pushing the product by stating that a different entity—AGA Service Company—is the entity recommending and brokering the trip insurance policies being sold on its website.
- 24. Specifically, below the marketing language is the following language. "Terms, conditions and exclusions apply. Benefits underwritten by BCS Insurance Company or Jefferson Insurance Company, depending on your state of residence. *Recommended by AGA Service Company, the licensed producer* and administrator of this plan." (emphasis added).

25. Delta's website thus represents to the consumer that it is AGA Service Company, and not Delta, who is recommending the trip insurance and who is "the licensed producer" for the trip insurance policies.

26. A "producer" is insurance parlance for an insurance agent or broker, i.e., the one who makes a commission on the sale of insurance. Thus, by stating that AGA Service Company is "the" producer, Delta represents that it is not acting as an agent or broker, i.e., it is not making a commission on sales of trip insurance policies.

27. In fact, Delta cannot sell insurance to the consumer (and thus cannot receive commissions on sales) because it is not licensed as an insurer or insurance agent in Florida or anywhere else.

28. Like Florida, other states across the country prohibit the unlicensed sale or brokerage of insurance, including the receipt of commissions by people or entities without a license. For example, the New York Attorney General's Office has issued an official opinion that an insurer may not pay any commission to transportation companies for the sale of travel insurance unless the transportation company is a licensed and appointed insurance agent or broker. *See* Ex. 1, N.Y. General Counsel Opinion No. 4-23-2008 ("May an insurer pay an insurance commission to a cruise line or tour operator that is not a licensed and appointed insurance agent, or a licensed insurance broker? . . . No. An insurer may not pay an insurance commission to a cruise line or tour operator that is not either a licensed and appointed insurance agent, or a licensed insurance broker.").

29. Further enhancing the idea that the trip insurance is a pass-through charge is the presentation of the invoice. When a consumer buys a trip insurance policy on Delta's website, the cost of the policy is not included in the consumer's bill for the purchased airfare. Rather the

consumer's insurance cost is itemized separately even though the airfare and trip insurance cost are paid via a one-time credit card charge on Delta's website. In contrast, when a consumer elects to purchase from Delta a seat upgrade or "Trip Extra," the cost of that "add on" is included in the fare price. The lack of inclusion of the trip insurance cost in the consumer's airfare purchase price further indicates to the consumer that Delta treats the insurance cost as a pass-through charge, not as a profit vehicle for itself.

- 30. Moreover, if a consumer seeks to have questions answered about the insurance offered on Delta's website, the "terms, conditions and exclusions apply" link takes the consumer to an Allianz Global Assistance ("Allianz") link. That link notes that, if the consumer changes her mind about the purchase, "[w]ithin 10 days of purchasing the program, Allianz Global Assistance will process a full refund of premium to you." Conspicuously absent in that representation is any mention of Delta, further evidence that Defendant represents the trip insurance as a "pass through" charge, wherein it is simply a conduit for funds to Allianz without any profit interest.
- 31. Additionally, Delta's website has a webpage entitled "Trip Protection" for consumers who seek more information about the insurance offered on Delta's website. This webpage contains the Allianz logo and repeats the statement: "AGA Service Company is the licensed producer and administrator of these plans."
- 32. On the same page Delta tells consumers the "U.S. State Department recommends trip protection," and that "Allianz Global Assistance is a trusted Delta partner and the leader in the travel insurance and assistance industry."
- 33. Another webpage on Delta's website is entitled "Taxes & Carrier-Imposed Fees." On this webpage, Delta tells the consumer: "We don't want you to have any unpleasant surprises on your bill. We're making every effort to let you know about any taxes and carrier-imposed fees

that might be included." This is followed by an extensive list of various fees. Nowhere on this list is there any disclosure of Delta's retention or receipt of a commission or kickback for every trip

insurance policy sold on its website.

34. These statements and omissions to the consumer on Delta's website, especially

Delta's representation that another entity is "the producer" of the trip insurance policies, reinforce

the impression of the trip insurance premium as a pass-through charge—one where Delta has no

profit interest in the sales of trip insurance policies on its website.

35. Delta's representation that another entity is "the producer" of the trip insurance is

also an affirmative misrepresentation because Delta's actual role in the sale of the trip insurance

policies is analogous (if not identical) to that of an insurance agent, who receives commissions on

policies sold. In addition to AGA Service Company, Delta is or acts as an insurance agent and is

also a "producer" of the trip insurance policies.

36. Delta's representations and omissions necessarily inform the consumer that Delta

does not receive a commission or otherwise profit from the sale of trip insurance.

37. After a consumer elects to purchase a trip insurance policy and proceeds to

complete the purchase of an accompanying airfare, Allianz, not Delta, sends the consumer an email

containing a copy of the purchased insurance policy. Nowhere in that communication or

accompanying insurance policy is there any reference to Delta having a role in the provision of the

insurance.

38. The net impression of all of Delta's representations and omissions to its consumers

on its website and during the online purchase process—including, but not limited to, Delta's

assertion that another entity is "the" producer for the insurance (and by necessary implication,

Delta is not)—is that the cost of the trip insurance policies is a pass-through charge, where Delta

simply collects the money for the insurance policy from the consumer and forwards it on to the actual insurance provider, without any profit interest in the charge.

- 39. This net impression is reinforced by the fact that state laws generally prohibit the unlicensed sale of insurance, including the receipt of commissions on sales of insurance.
- 40. It is thus reasonable for consumers to expect that Delta is not receiving a commission or any other remuneration from any sales of insurance.
- 41. In reality, and completely unbeknownst to its consumers, the trip insurance is a hidden profit center for Delta, as Delta retains or ultimately receives for itself a portion of the funds for every trip insurance policy its consumers purchase on its website.
- 42. Delta, instead of disclosing its profit interest in the trip insurance policies sold on its website, disguises its financial interest by leaving consumers with the impression that the cost of an insurance policy is a "pass through" charge. Indeed, Delta affirmatively tells consumers that a different entity is "the producer" of the insurance, without any suggestion or indication that Delta is retaining or ultimately receiving a portion of this charge for itself.
- 43. This is in contrast to other charges that Delta offers on its website, such as more desirable seats and early boarding rights, where Delta bundles the cost of these optional fees into the overall price of a consumer's ticket, thereby signaling Delta's profit interest to the consumer.
- 44. The trip insurance program on Delta's website represents an illegal kickback scheme—one in which Delta hides and misleads consumers about its role and profit interest in the insurance policies sold on its website.
- 45. The price for the trip insurance sold on Delta's website is a price of Allianz, not Delta.
 - 46. Delta provides no services to the consumer in connection with the sale of trip

insurance on its website.

- 47. No contractual relationship is formed between the consumer and Delta in connection with a consumer's purchase of trip insurance on Delta's website.
- 48. No bargained-for exchange takes place between the consumer and Delta in connection with a consumer's purchase of trip insurance on Delta's website.
- 49. On April 10, 2018, Plaintiff purchased a trip insurance policy on Delta's website. Plaintiff received an email from the insurance provider attaching her policy, neither of which referenced Delta.
- 50. Delta has never disclosed to Plaintiff, or any of the class members, the true nature of its relationship with Allianz, Jefferson Insurance Company, or BCS Insurance Company. Specifically, Delta has not disclosed the fact that it retains or receives a substantial kickback or commission on the policies made available on its website.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

51. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

Class Definition

52. Plaintiff seeks to represent the following class:

All persons who purchased a trip insurance policy on Delta's website within the applicable limitations period (the "Class Period").

Excluded from this class are Delta, its affiliates, subsidiaries, agents, board members, directors, officers, and employees. Also excluded from the class are the district judge and magistrate judge assigned to this case, their staff, and their immediate family members.

53. This class action is brought pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2) because Delta has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to all the members of the class, thereby making final injunctive relief or declaratory relief concerning the class appropriate.

- 54. This class action is also brought pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) because the questions of law or fact common to Plaintiff's claim and the class members' claims predominate over any question of law or fact affecting only individual class members and a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the controversy.
- 55. Delta has subjected Plaintiff and the members of the class to the same unfair, unlawful, and deceptive practices and harmed them in the same manner. The conduct described above is Delta's standard business practice.

A. Numerosity

- 56. The individual class members are so numerous that joinder of all members in a single action is impracticable. Delta operates thousands of flights a day, and upon information and belief, it has sold thousands of trip insurance policies during the Class Period.
- 57. While Plaintiff estimates the proposed class numbers in the millions, the exact number of class members, as well as the class members' names and addresses, can be identified from Delta's or the insurer's business records.

B. Commonality/Predominance

- 58. Common questions of law and fact exist as to Plaintiff's and the class members' claims. These common questions predominate over any questions solely affecting individual class members, including, but not limited to, the following:
- a. Whether Delta engaged in a deceptive and unfair business practice by misleading the class about its financial interest in making available trip insurance policies and its receipt or retention of a kickback;
- b. Whether the representations made about insurance premiums collected by Delta would lead the reasonable consumer to believe it was a pass-through charge;

- c. Whether Delta receives undisclosed kickbacks, commissions, or fees from the sale of trip insurance;
- d. Whether Delta manipulated the class through trip insurance products in order to maximize its own profits at the expense of the class;
- e. Whether Delta retains or receives a commission or kickback for the sale of trip insurance policies without a license;
- f. Whether and to what extent Delta's conduct has caused injury to the Plaintiff and the class members; and
 - g. Whether Delta unlawfully enriched itself at the expense of the class.

C. Typicality

- 59. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the putative class members' claims because of the similarity, uniformity, and common purpose of Delta's unlawful conduct. Plaintiff, like all class members, was damaged through his payment of money that Delta deceptively presented as a pass-through charge to the insurance company, when in fact Delta enriched itself in this process.
- 60. Each class member has sustained, and will continue to sustain, damages in the same manner as Plaintiff as a result of Delta's wrongful conduct and willful nondisclosures.

D. Adequacy

- 61. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interest of each member of the class because he has suffered the same wrongs as the class members.
- 62. Plaintiff is fully cognizant of his responsibilities as class representative and has retained León Cosgrove, LLP to prosecute this case. León Cosgrove, LLP is experienced in complex class action litigation, including litigation related to unfair and deceptive trade practices, and has the financial and legal resources to meet the costs of and understand the legal issues

associated with this type of litigation.

63. Class action treatment is superior to the alternatives, if any, for the fair and efficient

adjudication of the controversy alleged herein because such treatment will permit a large number

of similarly-situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously,

efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of evidence, effort, and expense that numerous

individual actions would engender.

E. The Prerequisites of Rule 23(b)(2) Are Satisfied.

64. The prerequisites to maintaining a class action for injunctive and equitable relief

pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) exist as Delta has acted or refused to act on

grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive and equitable

relief with respect to the class as a whole.

65. Delta's actions are generally applicable to the class as a whole, and Plaintiff seeks,

among other things, equitable remedies with respect to the class as a whole.

F. The Prerequisites of Rule 23(b)(3) Are Satisfied.

66. The questions of law and fact enumerated above predominate over questions

affecting only individual members of the class, and a class action is the superior method for fair

and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

67. The likelihood that individual members of the class will prosecute separate actions,

and their interest in so doing, is small due to the extensive time and considerable expense necessary

to conduct such litigation.

68. This action will be prosecuted in a fashion to ensure the Court's able management

of this case as a class action on behalf of the class. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty likely to be

encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

COUNT I VIOLATION OF FLORIDA DECEPTIVE AND UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES ACT

- 69. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 68 as if fully set forth herein and further alleges the following.
- 70. This count is brought pursuant to the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act ("FDUTPA").
- 71. At all times material, Plaintiff and all members of the class were consumers within the meaning of Section 501.203, Fla. Stat., and are entitled to relief under FDUTPA in accordance with Section 501.211, Fla. Stat.
- 72. At all times material, Delta conducted trade and commerce within the meaning of Section 501.203, Fla. Stat.
- 73. Delta has engaged in unlawful schemes and courses of conduct through one or more of the unfair and deceptive acts and practices alleged above.
- 74. The misrepresentations and deceptions, and concealment and omissions of material facts, alleged in the preceding paragraphs occurred in connection with Delta's trade and commerce in Florida.
- 75. Delta's unfair and deceptive acts and practices violate FDUTPA, Section 501.201 and 501.211, Fla. Stat.
- 76. As a direct and proximate result of Delta's FDUTPA violations, Plaintiff and the class have been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial. Plaintiff and the class have a monetary, out of pocket loss, as they paid money to Delta as a result of its deceptive conduct.
- 77. Plaintiff and the class are entitled to actual damages, declaratory and injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs, and all other remedies available under FDUTPA.

COUNT II UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 78. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 68 as if fully set forth herein and further alleges the following.
 - 79. This is a count for unjust enrichment.
- 80. Plaintiff and each member of the class conferred a direct benefit on Delta through their payment for trip insurance, allowing Delta to enrich itself to the detriment of the class.
- 81. Delta appreciated, accepted, and retained this benefit, as it garnered substantial profits by virtue of its insurance kickback scheme.
- 82. Under the circumstances, it would be unjust and inequitable to allow Delta to retain this benefit, as it was obtained through deceptive representations.
- 83. Independently, it would also be unjust and inequitable to allow Delta to retain this benefit because Delta is not legally entitled to receive commissions for sales of trip insurance in the first place because it does not have a license to broker insurance.
- 84. Plaintiff and the class suffered damages as a result of Defendant's unjust enrichment.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Named plaintiff and the plaintiff class request the following relief:

- a. Certification of the class;
- b. A jury trial and judgment against Delta;
- c. An order requiring Delta to make full disclosure to consumers of its receipt or retention of trip insurance premiums sold on its website and the amount of the kickback it retains or receives;
- d. The costs of suit, including reasonable attorney's fees, in accordance with

FDUTPA;

- e. General, actual, compensatory, and exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- f. Restitution of the amount Delta was unjustly enriched as a result of the wrongs alleged herein, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- g. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by applicable law; and
- h. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial as to all claims so triable.

Dated: September 17, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Alec H. Schultz

Scott B. Cosgrove
Fla. Bar No. 161365
Alec H. Schultz
Fla. Bar No. 35022
John R. Byrne
Fla. Bar No. 126294
Jeremy L. Kahn
Fla. Bar No. 105277
LEÓN COSGROVE, LLP
255 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800
Coral Gables, Florida 33134
Tel: 305.740.1975

Tel: 305.740.1975 Email: scosgrove@leoncosgrove.com

Email: aschultz@leoncosgrove.com
Email: jbyrne@leoncosgrove.com
Email: jkahn@leoncosgrove.com

Counsel for Plaintiff and the Class

Case 9:18-cv-81258-DMM Document 1-1 Entered on FLSD Docket 09/17/2018	Page 1 of 4
EXHIBIT 1	
$\mathbf{\mathcal{L}\Lambda\Pi \mathbf{IDII}}$ 1	



The Office of General Counsel issued the following opinion on April 23, 2008, representing the position of the New York State Insurance Department.

RE: Selling Travel Insurance

Questions Presented:

- 1. May an insurer pay an insurance commission to a cruise line or tour operator that is not a licensed and appointed insurance agent, or a licensed insurance broker?
- 2. May a cruise line or tour operator share an insurance commission with a travel agent who is a licensed agent but who has not been appointed by the respective insurer?
- 3. May a cruise line or tour operator pay a commission on a trip package that includes travel insurance to a travel agent that is not licensed to sell insurance?

Conclusions:

- 1. No. An insurer may not pay an insurance commission to a cruise line or tour operator that is not either a licensed and appointed insurance agent, or a licensed insurance broker.
- 2. No. A cruise line or tour operator may not share an insurance commission with a travel agent who is a licensed agent but who has not been appointed by the respective insurer.
- 3. No. A cruise line or tour operator may not pay a commission on a trip package that includes travel insurance to a travel agent that is not licensed to sell insurance.

Facts:

The inquirer reports that some travel agents in New York solicit and sell travel insurance in connection with the sale of cruises and other tour packages. The inquirer also states that, in some cases, a cruise line or tour operator pays insurance commissions to travel agents. Further, the inquirer reports that in some instances, a cruise line or tour operator pays the travel agent a general commission based upon the total purchase price of a vacation package, which includes the cost of insurance.

As an example, the inquirer submitted a copy of a "Booked Confirmation Invoice – Agent Copy", which itemizes the charges for a particular cruise. For some of the charges, the commission rate applies directly to the particular charge. The invoice lists the price, commission rate and commission earned for the "ABC-Vacation Protection Plan," which the Department surmises to be the insurance to which the inquirer refers. The inquirer states that the commission is paid by the insurer to the cruise line, and that the cruise line pays a commission to the travel agent.

Analysis:

Insurer Payment of Commissions

N.Y. Ins. Law §§ 2114, 2115 and 2116 (McKinney Supp. 2008) are germane to the inquirer's query. These statutes prohibit an insurer or an insurance agent from paying a commission to a person who acts as an insurance agent or broker without a license. Insurance Law § 2115 applies to property/casualty insurance agents. It reads in relevant part as follows:

(a)(1) No insurer doing business in this state, and no agent or other representative thereof . . . shall pay any commission or other compensation to any person, firm, association or corporation for acting as insurance agent in this state, except to a licensed insurance agent of such insurer

Insurance Law § 2114, which applies to life, accident and health agents, contains similar language.¹

Insurance Law § 2116 applies to brokers. It states:

No insurer authorized to do business in this state, and no officer, agent or other representative thereof, shall pay any money or give any other thing of value to any person, firm, association or corporation for or because of his or its acting in this state

9/17/20 tase 9:18-cv-81258-DMM Document 1-1 🕒 ହେମ୍ପର୍ଜ୍ୟ ଓଡ଼ି Docket 09/17/2018 Page 3 of 4

as an insurance broker, unless such person, firm, association or corporation is authorized so to act by virtue of a license issued or renewed pursuant to the provisions of section two thousand one hundred four of this article

Insurance Law § 2101(a) defines "agent" in relevant part as follows:

(a) In this article, "insurance agent" means any authorized or acknowledged agent of an insurer, fraternal benefit society or health maintenance organization issued a certificate of authority pursuant to article forty-four of the public health law, and any sub-agent or other representative of such an agent, who acts as such in the solicitation of, negotiation for, or sale of, an insurance, health maintenance organization or annuity contract, other than as a licensed insurance broker, except that such term shall not include:

Insurance Law § 2101(c) defines "insurance broker" in relevant part as follows:

(c) In this article, "insurance broker" means any person, firm, association or corporation who or which for any compensation, commission or other thing of value acts or aids in any manner in soliciting, negotiating or selling, any insurance or annuity contract or in placing risks or taking out insurance, on behalf of an insured other than himself, herself or itself or on behalf of any licensed insurance broker. . .

In addition to the proscription against paying commissions to nonlicensees, Insurance Law §§ 2114 and 2115 prohibit an insurer from paying a commission to a licensed insurance agent other than an insurance agent "of such insurer." An agent "of such insurer" is an agent who has been appointed pursuant to the procedures set out in Insurance Law § 2112. See Opinion of Office of General Counsel No. 04-04-17 (April 20, 2004). Accordingly, an insurer may not pay a commission to an agent unless the agent is both licensed and appointed. Further, the agent must be licensed and appointed at the time the agent places the business upon which the commission is based. See Opinion of Office of General Counsel No. 04-04-17 (April 20, 2004).

In view of the statutory framework, an insurer may not pay an insurance commission to a cruise line or tour operator that is not either a licensed and appointed insurance agent, or a licensed insurance broker.

Moreover, Insurance Law § 2102 generally prohibits the sale of insurance without a license. According to Insurance Law § 2102(a)(1):

No person, firm, association or corporation shall act as an insurance producer or insurance adjuster in this state without having authority to do so by virtue of a license issued and in force pursuant to the provisions of this chapter.

Insurance Law § 2101(k), in turn, defines an "insurance producer" as "an insurance agent, insurance broker, reinsurance intermediary, excess line broker, or any other person required to be licensed under the laws of this state to sell, solicit or negotiate insurance." Thus, a cruise line or tour operator that acts as an insurance agent but is unlicensed as such runs afoul of Insurance Law § 2102. Nevertheless, a travel agent may apply for a limited license to act as an insurance agent with respect to travel insurance, pursuant to Insurance Law § 2103(g). That statute authorizes:

a baggage or accident and health insurance agent's license to any ticket selling agent or representative of a railroad company, steamship company, carrier by air, or public bus carrier, who shall act thereunder as insurance agent only in reference to the issuance of baggage or accident insurance tickets primarily for the purpose of covering risk of travel.

Licensed Agent not Appointed

As noted above, Insurance Law §§ 2114 and 2115 prohibit an insurer from paying a commission to a licensed agent who has not been appointed by the insurer. At the same time, the statutes apply to an "agent or other representative" of the insurer. Thus, a cruise line or tour operator that sells or assists the insurer to sell insurance would constitute "an agent or other representative." Accordingly, the cruise line or tour operator may not pay or share any commission with a licensed agent who has not been appointed by the insurer.

Travel Package Including Insurance

The inquirer asks whether a cruise line or tour operator may pay a commission as a flat percentage of the total price of a trip package where the package includes the cost of insurance to a travel agent who is not licensed as an insurance agent. Where, as here, a non-insurance commission is based in part on the sale of insurance, the commission is (at least in part) an insurance commission. As discussed above, an insurer and its agent or other representative may not pay a commission to a person who acts as an insurance agent without a license, or to a licensed insurance agent who has not been appointed by the insurer. Thus, a cruise line or tour operator may not calculate its commissions in this manner. Rather, the price of the travel insurance must be excluded from the package price before applying the commission rate when the commission for the trip package is to be paid to a person who is not licensed as an insurance agent or broker.

Moreover, if the cruise line or the tour operator were to require the purchase of, or otherwise provide, insurance in connection or conjunction with the purchase of travel services, such conduct would run afoul of Insurance Law § 2324 and/or § 4224. See Opinion of Office of General Counsel No. 00- 07-19 (July 27, 2000).

The cruise line or tour operator also would violate these statutes if the cruise line or the tour operator were to pay the traveler's cost for the insurance (or otherwise "absorb" it into the cost of the travel package) rather than offer the insurance for a separate charge. See Opinion of Office of General Counsel No. 00- 07-19 (July 27, 2000). Insurance Law §§ 2324 and 4224 prohibit an insurer or its agent from offering or giving a rebate not specified in the policy. These statutes also proscribe the "tying" of the sale of insurance to the purchase of a particular product or service. Insurance Law § 2324(a) reads as follows:

(a) No authorized insurer, no licensed insurance agent, no licensed insurance broker, and no other representative of any such broker shall make, procure or negotiate any contract of insurance other than as plainly expressed in the policy or written contract issued or to be issued as evidence thereof, or shall directly, or indirectly, by giving or sharing a commission or in any manner whatsoever, pay or allow or offer to pay or allow to the insured as an inducement to the making of insurance or after insurance has been effected, any rebate from the premium which is specified in the policy, or any special favor or advantage

9/17/2018 ase 9:18-cv-81258-DMM Document 1-1 E មាម៉ែល ប៉ាក្ខារ Docket 09/17/2018 Page 4 of 4

in the dividends or other benefit to accrue thereon, or shall give or offer to give, any valuable consideration or inducement of any kind which is not specified in such policy or contract

Insurance Law § 4224(c) sets forth similar provisions with regard to life and accident and health insurance and annuities.

The only exception to the requirement that the coverage be offered as optional and for a separate charge pertains to accident and health insurance offered to certain groups expressly provided by statute. See Insurance Law § 4235 (c)(1)(L).³ There are no such similar exceptions set forth in the Insurance Law for property/casualty coverages; in fact, group property/casualty insurance is not permissible. Thus, a policy that contains both types of coverage must be offered on an optional basis and for a separate charge. But if property/casualty coverages are involved, they must be offered on an individual, not group, basis.

For further information you may contact Senior Attorney Brenda Gibbs at the Albany Office.

Department of Financial Services

Consumer Quicklinks	Industry Quicklinks	Website	Language Assistance
File a Complaint	Check Insurance License Status	Accessibility	Español (Spanish)
Obtain a Lien Release on a Car	Serve Process	Disclaimer	中文 (Chinese)
File a FOIL Request	File a 90-Day Foreclosure Notice	Privacy Policy	Русский (Russian)
Learn about Tenant's Rights	Get Approval for a Title	Site Map	Italiano (Italian)
File an External Appeal	Report Fraud	PDF Reader	Kreyòl ayisyen (Haitian-Creole)
Report Fraud	Independent Adjusters		한국어 (Korean)
DMV Insurance Codes			Polski (Polish)

CONNECT WITH US

DFS Secure Portal





REGISTER TO VOTE

Sign up online or download and mail in your application.

REGISTER NOW

¹ Insurance Law § 2114 and 2115 each may be relevant here because a travel insurance policy may provide life, accident and health coverage, as well as property/casualty coverage.

² This is not to imply, however, that an insurer may include any inducement it wants in the policy. See Opinion of General Counsel No. 07-06-03 (June 4, 2007).

³ Insurance Law § 4235(c)(1)(L) describes, as a permissible group for accident and health insurance, customers of certain types of financial institutions, provided certain criteria are met. The statute allows a financial institution to pay the insurance premiums of the group members provided that all eligible members of the group are insured under the policy.

JS 44 (Rev. GASES) il Becokrol 1258-DMM Docume CIVIL (EDIVER SINIFIC D Docket 09/17/2018 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS J	udith Marilyn Donoff		DEFENDANT	TS Delta Ai	r Lines, Inc.		
	f First Listed Plaintiff Pal	-	County of Residen	(IN U.S. I IN LAND C	ted Defendant PLAINTIFF CASES OF TONDEMNATION CONDEMNATION CONTROL TO FLAND INVOL	ASES, USE THE I	LOCATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	ddress, and Telephone Number))	Attorneys (If Know		1 Of Errob II (OE	, 22.	
Scott B. Cosgrove, Ale	c H. Schultz, John R. I	Byrne, Jeremy L. Kah					
Leon Cosgrove, LLP, 2 (d) Check County Where Action				LUCIE DINDI	AN RIVER OKEECH	OBEE	NDS
(a) check county where redu	MITHOSC. MIAMI-DADE	MONKOE Z BROWARD	TALM BEACH MAKTIN 31	LECCIE INDIA	ANRIVER - OREECIN	OBEE - INGILEAR	103
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)	For Diversity Cases Only		L PARTIES (Place an "X" in On and One Box for	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	U.S. Government I	eral Question Not a Party)		PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In This	-	PTF DEF ☐ 4 ☐ 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	-	ersity ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	□ 2 □ 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A	•	□ 5
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		V /	Click here for: Nature of Suit Coo		HAD LIDTON	OTHER 6	
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure	_	NKRUPTCY eal 28 USC 158	375 False Cla	STATUTES laims Act
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury	of Property 21 USC 88	1 423 With 28 U	ndrawal JSC 157 ERTY RIGHTS yrights	☐ 376 Qui Tam	n (31 USC eapportionment st .nd Banking
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			nt – Abbreviated ng Application	450 Commer	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY ☐ 370 Other Fraud	LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 840 Trad SOCIA □ 861 HIA	lemark AL SECURITY	☐ 470 Racketee Corrupt Orga ☐ 480 Consum ☐ 490 Cable/Sa	ner Credit
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act		C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	850 Securitie Exchange 890 Other St 891 Agricult	es/Commodities/ tatutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY	362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDER	AL TAX SUITS		mental Matters n of Information
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee		or D	es (U.S. Plaintiff Defendant)		ion strative Procedure
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence Other:	•	□ WSC 760	S—Third Party 26 19	Act/Review of Agency Deci	or Appeal of
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -		IMMIGRATION			□ 950 Constitu	utionality of State
290 All Other Real Property	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee – ☐ Conditions of	☐ 462 Naturalization Applicati ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions	ion		Statutes	
V. ORIGIN 1 Original Proceeding 2 Remore from S Court	State See VI	Confinement Reinstated 5 Transfer another (specify)		Dist from Judg	rict Judge n Magistrate gment	Multidistrict □9 Litigation □9 – Direct File	Remanded from Appellate Court
VI. RELATED/ RE-FILED CASE(S)	(See instructions): a) JUDO		✓ NO b) Related		ES 🗹 NO CKET NUMBER	ł:	
VII. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are fi	ling and Write a Brief Staten				ess diversity):
VIII CHOOL OF HOTE	LENGTH OF TRIAL		for both sides to try entire ca	ise)			
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DEMAND \$ more than \$5,00	20.000	CHECK YES only i		complaint:
ABOVE INFORMATION IS DATE September 17, 2018			WLEDGE TTORNEY OF RECORD	., 301	az <i>DENIA</i> IV.		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	AMOUNT IF	P IIIDGE		MAG IIIDGE			

United States District Court

for the

Southern District of Florid

Judith Marilyn Donoff,)))
Plaintiff(s) v. Delta Air Lines, Inc.,	
Defendant(s)	

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Delta Air Lines, Inc.

c/o Corporation Service Company 1201 Hays Street

Tallahassee, FL 32301

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney,

whose name and address are: Scott B. Cosgrove, Esq.

Alec H. Schultz, Esq. John R. Byrne, Esq. Jeremy L. Kahn, Esq.

255 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800

Coral Gables, FL 33134

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

		CLERK OF COURT
D.	00/47/0040	
Date:	09/17/2018	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (na	me of individual and title, if a	any)			
was rec	ceived by me on (date)		·			
	☐ I personally served	d the summons on the ind	dividual at (place)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)					
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,		
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or					
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)					
	designated by law to	accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of organization)			
		on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the sum	se	; or			
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.					
ъ.						
Date:		-	Server's signature			
		-	Printed name and title			
		_	Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset