Ш	Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document	1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 1 of 32
1 2 3 4 5	Kimberly Channick (#325089) kchannick@alexwalshlaw.com WALSH LAW PLLC 13428 Marcella Avenue, #203 Marina del Rey, CA 90292 Telephone: (310) 596-4545 Alex Walsh (<i>pro hac vice forthcoming</i>)	Seth Meyer (<i>pro hac vice</i> <i>forthcoming</i>) sam@kellerlenkner.com Alex Dravillas (<i>pro hac vice</i> <i>forthcoming</i>) ajd@kellerlenkner.com KELLER LENKNER LLC 150 N. Riverside Plaza
6	awalsh@alexwalshlaw.com WALSH LAW PLLC	Suite 4270 Chicago, Illinois 60606
7	1050 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 500	Telephone: (312) 741-5220
8	Washington D.C. 20036 Telephone: (213) 863-4276	Fax: (312) 971-3502
9	Fax: (202) 780-3678	Warren Postman (#330869)
10	David B. Byrne III (pro hac vice	wdp@kellerlenkner.com KELLER LENKNER LLC
	forthcoming)	1300 I Street, N.W., Suite
11	david.byrne@beasleyallen.com BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW, METHVIN,	400E Washington, D.C. 20005
12	Portis & Mikes, P.C.	Telephone: (202) 918-1123
13	218 Commerce Street	Fax: (312) 971-3502
14	Montgomery, Alabama 36104 Telephone: (334) 269-2343	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
15		
16		ES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17	FOR THE NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18	JOHANNA DOMINGUEZ and)) Case Number:
19	SHARRON MEIJER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,)
20	on behan of an others similarly situated,) CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
21	Plaintiffs,)
22	VS.)
23	JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER,))
24	INC.,) (Jury Trial Demanded)
25)
26		
	Defendant.)
27	Defendant.)))

1	Plaintiffs, Johanna Dominguez and Sharron Meijer ("Plaintiffs"), individually and on
2	behalf of all others similarly situated, bring this action against Defendant Johnson & Johnson
3	Consumer Inc. ("JJCI"), and in support thereof state as follows:
4	NATURE OF THE CASE
5	1. This is a class action lawsuit brought by Plaintiffs and other similarly situated
6	purchasers of certain sunscreen products manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold by JJCI
7	under the brand names "Aveeno" and "Neutrogena." ¹ Recent independent scientific testing,
8	confirmed by JJCI through a massive nationwide recall, has revealed that several of JJCI's
9	Neutrogena and Aveeno sunscreen products contain dangerous and unacceptable levels of
10	benzene, a known human carcinogen (hereinafter the "Products").
11	2. Each and every one of the Products has been marketed and sold as "sunscreen" by
12	JJCI through packaging and other advertising materials, as required by 21 C.F.R. § 201.327(b).
13	3. Each and every one of the Products fails to include labeling indicating that the
14	Product may contain benzene as an active or inactive ingredient.
15	4. The presence of benzene rendered the Products adulterated, misbranded, and
16	unlawful for sale. JJCI's conduct with respect to the Products caused economic damages to
17	Plaintiffs and the putative Class. This suit is brought for injunctive relief and restitution of the
18	full purchase price of the Products.
19	5. Benzene is a simple hydrocarbon, C_6H_6 , often found in crude oil and most easily
20	identified by the smell associated with gasoline. It is used in industrial settings to make plastics,
21	resins, synthetic fibers, and rubber lubricants, as well as dyes, detergents, drugs, and pesticides.
22	6. Benzene is classified as a human carcinogen by the United States Department of
23	Health and Health Services ("DHHS"). The World Health Organization ("WHO") and the
24	International Agency for Research on Cancer ("IARC") have concluded that benzene is a Group 1
25	
26	¹ JJCI is the manufacturer and/or distributor of the Products, and as of 2015, has succeeded to all the debts and liabilities of the Neutrogena brand and the Products. On information and belief,
27	JJCI has, and continues to, operate the Neutrogena brand from its offices in Los Angeles, California. Likewise, on information and belief, JJCI is liable for all claims related to Aveeno
28	products.

compound, *i.e.* it is "carcinogenic to humans."²

- 2 7. Scientific studies have established that exposure to benzene can cause leukemia, 3 other blood and bone marrow disorders (including anemia), and a weakened immune system. In 4 addition, benzene has been linked to multiple myeloma and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. 5 8. The Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") classifies benzene as a Class 1 6 solvent, a group that encompasses materials that "should not be employed in the manufacture of 7 drug substances, excipients, and drug products because of their unacceptable toxicity or ... 8 deleterious environmental effect."³ In those limited cases where use of benzene is "unavoidable 9 in order to produce a drug product with a significant therapeutic advance," the FDA has restricted 10 levels to 2 parts per million ("ppm"). In all other cases, no level of benzene is acceptable. 11 9. The FDA regulates sunscreens to ensure they meet safety and effectiveness 12 standards. All products that claim to provide Broad Spectrum Sun Protection Factor ("SPF") 13 protection, including the Products, are regulated as over-the-counter drugs, rather than as 14 cosmetics. 21 C.F.R. § 352, et seq. The FDA requires sunscreen manufacturers to subject their 15 products to certain testing before they are made available to any consumer. The FDA has also 16 identified those materials that qualify as acceptable active ingredients for products labeled as 17 sunscreen. Benzene is not one of those acceptable ingredients. 18 10. The FDA's regulations provide that an "over-the-counter sunscreen drug product 19 in a form suitable for topical administration is generally recognized as safe and effective and is 20 not misbranded if it meets" certain conditions. 21 C.F.R. § 352.1(a). Among other things, the 21 product must contain "only suitable inactive ingredients which are safe in the amounts 22 administered" and contains only listed active ingredients at levels "that do[] not exceed the 23 amount reasonably required to achieve [their] intended effect." 21 C.F.R. § 330.1(h). 24
- 25

1

 ² International Agency for Research on Cancer and World Health Organization, *IARC Monographs on the Identification of Carcinogenic Hazards to Humans* (https://monographs.iarc.who.int/list-of-classifications)

³ Food and Drug Administration, *Q3C – Tables and List Guidance for Industry* (2017) (https://www.fda.gov/media/71737/download)

1	11.	Valisure is an independent pharmacy, registered with the FDA, whose scientists			
2	analyze the s	afety of various consumer products. Recently, Valisure conducted a study on the			
3	potential carcinogenicity of active ingredients in a variety of sunscreens and after sun products,				
4	including nut	nerous products manufactured, marketed, and sold by JJCI. These included:			
5	•	Ultra Sheer Weightless Sunscreen Spray, SPF 100+			
6	•	Ultra Sheer Weightless Sunscreen Spray, SPF 70			
7	•	Ultra Sheer Dry-Touch Water Resistant Sunscreen, SPF 70			
8	•	Ultra Sheer Body Mist Sunscreen Broad Spectrum, SPF 45			
9	•	Ultra Sheer Body Mist Sunscreen Broad Spectrum, SPF 30			
10	•	Invisible Daily Defense Body Sunscreen Broad Spectrum, SPF 60+			
11	•	CoolDry Sport Water-Resistant Sunscreen Spray, SPF 70			
12	•	CoolDry Sport Water-Resistant Sunscreen Spray, SPF 50			
13	•	Beach Defense Oil-Free Body Sunscreen Spray, SPF 100			
14	•	Beach Defense Spray Body Sunscreen, SPF 50			
15	12.	During its study, Valisure detected high levels of benzene in several JJCI product			
16	batches. In p	articular, Valisure identified benzene levels over 2 ppm in ten Neutrogena sunscreen			
17	batches from	five separate products lines. (See table below.) It identified benzene levels of up to			
18	2 ppm in thir	teen Neutrogena sunscreen batches from ten different product lines. ⁴			
19	13.	By way of reference, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health			
20	("NIOSH") r	ecommends protective equipment be worn by any worker expecting to be exposed to			
21	benzene at co	oncentrations of 0.1 ppm for over 10 hours or 1 ppm for 15 minutes. ⁵ NIOSH lists			
22					
23					
24					
25		uld discovery reveal additional sunscreen products that are affected by this action and erve their right to include additional sunscreen products manufactured, sold, and			
26		JJCI should discovery identify additional such products relevant to this action.			
27 28	Safety	ters for Disease Control and Prevention. The National Institute for Occupational and Health, BENZENE: Systemic Agent (2011) .cdc.gov/niosh/ershdb/emergencyresponsecard_29750032.html)			

Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 5 of 32

1 "skin absorption" as one way a person could be exposed to dangerous levels of benzene.⁶

Brand Name	Туре	Description	SPF	UPC	Lot	Exp.	Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient(s)	Benzene Avg ppm	
Neutrogena	Spray	Ultra Sheer Weightless Sunscreen	100+	086800100416	04820E04	2022-01	Avobenzone 3%, Homosalate 15%, Octisalate	<u>6.26</u>	7%
		Spray, SPF 100+					5%, Octocrylene 10%, Oxybenzone 6%	<mark>6.77*</mark>	
Neutrogena	Spray	Ultra Sheer Weightless Sunscreen Spray, SPF 70	70	086800100409	07020E01	2023-02	Avobenzone 3%, Homosalate 15%, Octisalate 5%, Octocrylene 4%, Oxybenzone 6%	5.96	7%
Neutrogena	Spray	Ultra Sheer Weightless Sunscreen Spray, SPF 70	70	086800100409	06920E01	2023-02	Avobenzone 3%, Homosalate 15%, Octisalate 5%, Octocrylene 4%, Oxybenzone 6%	5.76	5%
Sun Bum	Gel	Cool Down Gel	N/A	871760002005	S0082C		N/A (Cosmetic Product)	5.33 5.49*	3%
Neutrogena	Spray	Ultra Sheer Weightless Sunscreen Spray, SPF 70	70	086800100409	02320E01	2022-12	Avobenzone 3%, Homosalate 15%, Octisalate 5%, Octocrylene 4%, Oxybenzone 6%	5.30	2%
Neutrogena	Spray	Beach Defense Oil-Free Body Sunscreen Spray - SPF 100	100	086800101444	04721E02	2023-01	Avobenzone 3%, Homosalate 15%, Octisalate 5%, Octocrylene 10%, Oxybenzone 6%	5.20 5.59*	5%
CVS Health	Spray	After-sun Aloe Vera Soothing Spray	N/A	050428390832	8140449A		N/A (Cosmetic Product)	4.71 4.55*	1%
Neutrogena	Spray	Invisible Daily Defense Body Sunscreen Broad Spectrum SPF 60+	60+	086800111542	04921E01	2024-01	Avobenzone 3%, Homosalate 10%, Octisalate 5%, Octocrylene 10%	4.65 5.27*	4%
Neutrogena	Spray	Ultra Sheer Weightless Sunscreen Spray, SPF 100+	100+	086800100416	03120E02	2021-12	Avobenzone 3%, Homosalate 15%, Octisalate 5%, Octocrylene 10%, Oxybenzone 6%	4.11 6.00**	15%
Neutrogena	Spray	Beach Defense Oil-Free Body Sunscreen Spray - SPF 100	100	086800101444	28020E01	2022-09	Avobenzone 3%, Homosalate 15%, Octisalate 5%, Octocrylene 10%, Oxybenzone 6%	4.01 4.00*	4%
CVS Health	Spray	After-sun Aloe Vera Soothing Sprav	N/A	050428390832	4111849A		N/A (Cosmetic Product)	3.58 3.93*	4%
Neutrogena	Spray	Beach Defense Spray Body Sunscreen SPF 50	50	086800112549	25520E01	2023-08	Avobenzone 3%, Homosalate 10%, Octisalate 5%, Octocrylene 10%	3.52 3.71*	3%
Neutrogena	Spray	Beach Defense Oil-Free Body Sunscreen Spray - SPF 100	100	086800101444	31420E04	2022-10	Avobenzone 3%, Homosalate 15%, Octisalate 5%, Octocrylene 10%, Oxybenzone 6%	3.08 2.64*	2%
Fruit of the Earth	Gel	Aloe Vera Gel	N/A	071661001200	6612940A		N/A (Cosmetic Product)	2.78 2.94*	6%

14 14. Valisure determined that benzene is not unavoidably present in the sunscreen
15 products. Indeed, many of the sunscreens that Valisure tested contained no benzene. Nor is
16 benzene's presence in the products related to any known, let alone significant, therapeutic
17 advance. Benzene is not a listed active or inactive ingredient on the label of any of the Products,
18 and JJCI has never otherwise warned consumers that the Products may contain benzene.

19 15. Products with avoidable levels of benzene do not "contain[] only suitable inactive
20 ingredients which are safe in the amounts administered" or contain only listed active ingredients
21 at levels "that do[] not exceed the amount reasonably required to achieve [their] intended effect."
22 21 C.F.R. § 352.1(a); 21 C.F.R. § 330.1(e)(h).

23

24

16. Accordingly, per FDA guidelines, any significant detection of benzene in the Products should be deemed unacceptable.

25

17. Valisure states that the presence of benzene in the Products may be the result of

- 26
- ⁶ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Benzene (October 30, 2019) (https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npgd0049.html)

Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 6 of 32

contamination. Valisure does not identify how this contamination could have occurred, but its testing showed how readily detectable this dangerous contaminant is in the Products.

- 3 18. As Valisure concluded, the presence of a known human carcinogen in the Products 4 is especially troubling as the Products are "widely recommended for the prevention of skin cancer 5 and regularly used by adults and children in large volumes."⁷ Because "[s]unscreen products are 6 typically used in many times higher volume than standard drug products like tablets or capsules," 7 "even a relatively low concentration limit can result in very high total exposure."⁸ As one 8 researcher and clinician from Yale University has explained, "Considering that human skin has a 9 large total surface area ($\sim 1.85 \text{ m}^2$), and that $\sim 28.5 \text{ g}$ of sunscreen is needed per application to 10 properly cover that skin surface, it follows then that there is not a safe level of benzene that can 11 exist in sunscreen products."9
- 12 19. To put this figure in context, at the FDA conditional restriction limit of 2 ppm for 13 benzene, 28.5 g of sunscreen would contain 57,000 ng of benzene in a single application which 14 may reasonably be used 4 times per day, therefore amounting to 228,000 ng of benzene exposure 15 per day. Other comparable carcinogens, such as N-Nitrosodimethylamine ("NDMA"), have 16 permissible daily intakes of around 96 ng. This means a sunscreen with a benzene detection of 17 6.26 ppm, such as JJCI's Ultra Sheer Weightless Sunscreen Spray, SPF 100+, equates to 18 approximately 695,800 ng of benzene in one day or 7,248 times the limit for comparable 19 carcinogens.

20 20. On May 25, 2021, Valisure filed a citizen petition with the FDA, detailing its
21 findings and asking the FDA to recall all batches of sunscreen products in which benzene was
22 detected, including all batches of Neutrogena products containing the carcinogen.

23

24

27

1

2

21. As Valisure explained in its petition, the presence of benzene in the Products

- ⁷ Light, Kucera, and Wu, *Valisure Citizen Petition on Benzene in Sunscreen and After-sun Care Products*, p. 2 (May 24, 2021).
 - ⁸ *Id*. at 16.

 ⁹ Email from Dr. Christopher Bunick, MD, PhD, Associate Professor of Dermatology at
 28 Yale University, New Haven, CT to Valisure.

renders them adulterated under Section 501¹⁰ of the Federal Drug and Cosmetics Act ("FDCA") and misbranded under Section 502¹¹ of the FDCA, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 351 and 21 U.S.C. § 352, respectively. The Products are also misbranded under Cal. Health & Safety Code 4 § 111330 and N.Y. Educ. Law § 6802(13).

5 Federal and analogous state law prohibits the manufacture, distribution, and 22. 6 receipt of any misbranded or adulterated drug. See 21 U.S.C. § 331(a); Cal. Health & Safety 7 Code § 111440; N.Y. Educ. Law § 6811. Nonetheless, JJCI waited nearly two months before 8 removing the some of the Products from the market. Despite announcing a nationwide recall of many aerosol Products on July 14, 2021,¹² as of the date of this filing, JJCI continues to market, 9 10 sell, and profit from the Products using false and misleading statements regarding their safety.¹³

11 Despite the Valisure petition's extensive reporting on the presence of benzene in 23. 12 its products, JJCI waited nearly two months to recall any of the Products or warn members of the 13 public of the risks to their health or safety.

14 24. When JJCI finally did announce the presence of benzene in the Products, it also 15 revealed that not only had Neutrogena products been adulterated and mislabeled, but that benzene 16 was also present in at least the Aveeno brand Protect + Refresh aerosol sunscreen product line.

17 25. Despite the Valisure petition's extensive reporting on the presence of benzene in 18 its products, JJCI waited nearly two months before taking any action to remedy the mislabeling of

19 20

1

2

3

25 ¹¹ Section 502(a) declares that a drug or device is misbranded if its labeling proves false or misleading in any particular. 26

¹² https://www.neutrogena.com/sunscreen-recall.html

27 ¹³ To date, JJCI has made no recall of Ultra Sheer Dry-Touch Water Resistant Sunscreen 28 SPF 70.

¹⁰ Section 501(a)(2)(B) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act provides that a drug 21 (including a drug contained in a medicated feed) shall be deemed to be adulterated if the methods 22 used in, or the facilities or controls used for, its manufacture, processing, packing, or holding do not conform to or are not operated or administered in conformity with current good manufacturing 23 practice to assure that such drug meets the requirement of the act as to safety and has the identity and strength, and meets the quality and purity characteristics, which it purports or is represented to 24 possess.

1 the Products.

23

24

28


2 26. To date, JJCI has not explained why or how benzene is present in the Products, or
3 whether JJCI conducted testing that could and should have detected benzene.

4 27. The revelation that the Products contain unacceptable levels of benzene, and are
5 therefore adulterated and misbranded, stands in stark contrast to JJCI's long-standing branding,
6 marketing, and advertising strategy for Neutrogena and Aveeno products, including its sunscreen.
7 That strategy revolves around convincing consumers that the Products are safe and healthy.

8 28. The packaging for the Products, as well Neutrogena's website, have long 9 represented to consumers that the Products are "#1 Dermatologist Recommended."¹⁴ The basis 10 for this representation, which clearly aims to portray the product as safe and healthy, is nowhere 11 specified on either the Products packaging or website. And the representation remains unchanged 12 even in the wake of Valisure's discovery and citizen's petition. Nowhere do Defendants explain 13 if or how dermatologists recommended the Products with knowledge that they contain benzene. 14 However, the Neutrogena website acknowledges that "[t]he strong relationship between 15 Neutrogena® Corporation and dermatologists gave the company an exceptional competitive advantage."15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

 25
 26
 27
 ¹⁴ https://www.neutrogena.com/the-bar/why neutrogena.html?q=dermatologist%20recommended (last visited July 9, 2021)


¹⁵ *Id.* (last visited July 9, 2021).



28


Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 10 of 32





I	Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 12 of 32
1	in benzene ppm.
2	
3	helioplex®
4	weightless clean feel
5	non-greasy
6	water resistant (80 minutes)
7	
8	NET WT 5.0 OZ (141 g)
9	
10	30. The packaging for the Aveeno Products, meanwhile, represents to consumers that
11	the Aveeno Products have been "Dermatologist recommended for over 65 years." The
12	representation remains unchanged even in the wake of Aveeno's recall. Nowhere does JJCI
13	explain if or how dermatologists recommend the Products with knowledge that they contain
14	benzene.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 13 of 32



(last

32. Another commercial, advertising the Neutrogena Beach Defense line, features
 children playing on a beach and happily being sprayed with Neutrogena sunscreen. The
 commercial emphasizes that the product is the "sun care brand used most by dermatologists and
 their families." Smiling children appear as the word "families" is heard.¹⁸

5 33. Neutrogena also touts itself as a "[1]eading the way" in product testing. The 6 company's website has an entire page dedicated to its supposedly high product-testing standards; 7 among other claims, Neutrogena purports to "not only follow individual country regulations, but 8 also look to incorporate the best thinking and practices from top authorities for skincare products 9 around the world." The webpage goes on to explain that the company "set[s] a high bar for using 10 ingredients. Our ingredients are screened for quality, manufacturing process, government 11 regulations, published research, and our own ingredient safety databases." The company also 12 makes specific claims about it manufacturing process, emphasizing that "[s]afety goes beyond the 13 ingredients list," with attention also paid to "how our ingredients are used, our manufacturing 14 safeguards, how the products are used, and testing requirements for our products."¹⁹

15 34. Neutrogena's product testing webpage links to another JJCI webpage regarding the 16 company's safety and care commitment. This webpage notes that, "Your safety is our priority. 17 That's why our safety assessment process meets or exceeds industry and regulatory standards for 18 baby and beauty personal care products. It's a process that never ends-we continually review our 19 product ingredients against the latest research and consumer feedback. We believe our process is 20 among the most rigorous in the world and is at the core of our Safety & Care Commitment."²⁰ 21 The webpage goes on to state that "Our Safety & Care Commitment means that every product is 22 carefully reviewed and evaluated against internationally recognized standards." The webpage

- 23
- Neutrogena Beach Defense TV Commercial, 'More Protection. More Sun.', https://www.ispot.tv/ad/OBGJ/neutrogena-beach-defense-more-protection-more-sun (last visited July 13, 2021).
- ¹⁹ Neutrogena Product Testing, https://www.neutrogena.com/producttesting.html (last visited July 13, 2021).
- 20 *Commitment*, https://safetyandcarecommitment.com/commitment (last visited July 13, 2021).

Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 15 of 32

then reiterates the tenants of product testing that appear on the Neutrogena website.²¹

2 35. Aveeno's website likewise aggressively markets its products as contributing to 3 consumers' health and emphasizes that its products are comprised of ingredients from nature. 4 Aveeno's "About Aveeno" webpage is replete with claims about the safety and supposedly 5 "natural" origins of its ingredients. For example, the webpage states "Healthy Skin, Naturally: 6 Nature fuels our healthy spirit, just like healthy skin fuels yours. We research and work with 7 scientists and dermatologists around the world to unlock the therapeutic power of nature's most 8 restorative ingredients, giving you clinically-proven products that nurture and care for your skin, 9 so you can care for what's most important in life." The webpage goes on to explain that the 10 company was started based on two brothers' belief that "nature holds the secret to human health" 11 and notes that since the creation of the brand, it has "published 70 years of clinical evidence 12 supporting the benefits of not just oat, but other natural ingredients." Under the section about 13 Aveeno's supposed "Commitment to Wellness," the company notes when it comes to its 14 ingredients "Good enough' is never good enough for Aveeno®. Our internal standards for safety 15 testing and ingredient quality far exceed those set by regulators around the world.... We think 16 about every element we use in every one of our products-where it came from, what it does and 17 how it impacts you and your skin. Only ingredients that pass our strict 5-step safety assurance process are used."22 18

19 36. On Aveeno's webpage dedicated to sun products, the company goes even further
20 to advertise its products as safe and healthy. The webpage notes "Soak Up The Sun Worry Free:
21 The best sun care leaves you feeling carefree. Aveeno's powerful and hydrating sun protection
22 with broad spectrum SPF keeps your skin safe and healthy so you can enjoy sunny moments
23 without a single worry."²³

24 25

26

27

28

1

37. Representations made on JJCI's Neutrogena and Aveeno websites remain today,

²¹ Id.

²² About Aveeno, https://www.aveeno.com/about (last visited July 14, 2021).

²³ https://www.aveeno.com/sun

Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 16 of 32

despite the recall of their products, making no mention of Valisure's findings. These
 representations do not, and upon information and belief have never, explained whether or not
 JJCI itself bothered to test its products for benzene and, if such testing did actually occur, what
 the results were.

5 38. In addition, the website for JJCI's corporate parent, Johnson & Johnson, continues 6 to promote a wide variety of articles claiming that chemical sunscreens, like the Products, are 7 safe. For example, one webpage titled "The Science of Sunscreen: 3 Experts Tackle Common 8 Myths About Its Safety" notes that both mineral and chemical sunscreens "are considered safe 9 and effective, and have been used by consumers for decades." The article quotes a Dr. Joshua 10 Zeichner as stating "[d]espite anecdotal reports questioning the safety of the ingredients in 11 sunscreen, there is no data that shows there is any harm to your health by using it." The article 12 also notes a 2011 review of sunscreen ingredients, which "found that none were shown to have toxicity in humans."²⁴ The referenced article, "Current Sunscreen Controversies: A Critical 13 14 Review" by Mark Burnett and Steven Wang, unsurprisingly did not examine the toxicity of 15 benzene.

39. Other articles on the Johnson & Johnson website tout Neutrogena's products as
essential to health, including, ironically, cancer prevention. For example, one article titled "8
Things We Learned From the New Neutrogena Documentary In the Sun," notes that
"[p]revention [of melanoma] starts with sunscreen."²⁵ Another article titled "The ABCs and 123s
of Smart Summer Skin Care," encourages readers to share the provided sunscreen facts and "have

21

22

²⁴ Sunny Sea Gold, *The Science of Sunscreen: 3 Experts Tackle Common Myths About Its Safety*, (May 20, 2019), https://www.jnj.com/health-and-wellness/sunscreen-safety-myths-experts-tackle-the-science-of-sun-protection; *see also* Krista Bennett DeMaio, *5 Things We Now Know About the Safety and Effectiveness of Sunscreen*, (May 23, 2017), https://www.jnj.com/health-and-wellness/5-things-we-now-know-about-safety-and-effectiveness-of-sunscreen (noting that "[s]unscreen is . . . safe to use" and that "[w]e've been using some of the[] ingredients [in sunscreen] for 30 years with a proven safety record—and there's much more evidence of benefit than harm")



1 a safe, healthy summer!"²⁶

2	40. Neutrogena even created the "Choose Skin Health Movement," which was
3	purportedly designed to "change the future of skin health and reduce the risk of skin cancer
4	through education, empowerment, and early detection." ²⁷ Several celebrities filmed spots for the
5	campaign, including Jennifer Garner, Kristen Bell, and Kerry Washington. In the kick-off video
6	for the campaign, Ms. Garner emphasized the statistics on skin cancer and ended by stating that
7	she chooses "Neutrogena suncare because "[she] choose[s] skin health."28 In another such video,
8	Garner states that she "wears Neutrogena Ultra Sheer 45 every day because" she chooses "skin
9	health." ²⁹ This sunscreen is from the same product line as many of the products Valisure revealed
10	to be contaminated. In yet another video, Ms. Washington advises viewers to "[p]rotect yourself
11	and those you love. Choose skin health for a lifetime of healthy skin." ³⁰
12	41. JJCI's failure to prevent the presence of benzene in the Products, and its continued
13	sale of these dangerous and illegal products, constitutes actionable fraud. As of the date of this
14	filing, JJCI continues to mislead and defraud consumers by making affirmative
15	
16	
17	²⁶ The ABCs and 123s of Smart Summer Skin Care, (June 15, 2016), https://www.jnj.com/health-and-wellness/the-abcs-and-123s-of-smart-summer-skin-care. And the
18	sunscreen-safety related articles do not stop there. See, e.g., Elizabeth Marglin, 6 Summer Sun Safety Tips From Seasoned Moms, (June 7. 2017), https://www.jnj.com/health-and-wellness/6-
19	summer-sun-safety-tips-from-seasoned-moms; Gigi Ross, <i>Being Sun Smart for UV Safety Month</i> , (July 19, 2013), https://www.jnj.com/our-company/being-sun-smart-for-uv-safety-month.
20	 ²⁷ Neutrogena is kicking off its 2016 Choose Skin Health Campaign, HAPPI (July 1, 2016),
21	https://www.happi.com/issues/2016-07-01/view_breaking-news/neutrogena-is-kicking-off-its-2016-choose-skin-health-campaign/
22	²⁸ Neutrogena, Jennifer Garner Shares Why You Should Join the #ChooseSkinHealth
23	Movement, YOUTUBE (May 21, 2014),
24	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l1ep2dy4tS4&list=PLPA6DFZGPXhk7_EodvLLFRZRJK-mTwojI&index=14
25	²⁹ Neutrogena, Jennifer Garner Shares Her Daily Sunscreen, YOUTUBE (May 22, 2014), https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=38AIdCY1evQ
26	³⁰ Neutrogena, Kerry Washington Gets Personal About Her Skin Health, YOUTUBE (July
27	22, 2015), https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HokEk 1hwKI&list=PLPA6DFZGPXhk7 EodvLLFRZRJK
28	-mTwojI

Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 18 of 32

misrepresentations that portray the product as safe, and omitting from the Products' packaging
 and marketing materials information about the actual danger of the Products, including any
 warning to consumers that the Products may contain unacceptable levels of benzene rendering
 them adulterated, misbranded and illegal.

42. Because benzene is not a necessary ingredient in the Products—and if it were,
concentrations above 2 ppm are entirely prohibited by federal law—the Products are illegal and
unfit for sale in trade or commerce. This prohibition on any sale of the Products whatsoever
renders the adulterated, misbranded, and unlawfully sold Products legally worthless. If the
Products had been truthfully and accurately labeled, no consumer would have purchased the
Products. Accordingly, Plaintiffs and the Classes were injured by the full purchase price of the
Products.

12 43. Plaintiffs and the Classes paid for suncare products free of carcinogens. Because
13 JJCI sold them products that may contain dangerous levels of benzene, Plaintiffs and the Classes
14 were deprived of the benefit of their bargain.

15 44. Plaintiffs are further entitled to damages for the injury sustained in being exposed
16 to high levels of acutely toxic benzene, damages related to JJCI's conduct, and injunctive relief.

17

18

45.

PARTIES

Plaintiff Johanna Dominguez is a resident of Riverside, California.

19 46. Plaintiff Dominguez has purchased Neutrogena Beach Defense Spray Body 20 Sunscreen, SPF 50 and Ultra Sheer Body Mist Sunscreen Broad Spectrum, SPF 70 during the 21 relevant class period. When purchasing the Products, Plaintiff Dominguez reviewed the 22 accompanying labels and disclosures, and understood them as representations and warranties by 23 the manufacturer, distributor, and pharmacy that the Product was properly manufactured, free 24 from defects, and safe for its intended use. Plaintiff Dominguez relied on these representations 25 and warranties in deciding to purchase the Products manufactured by JJCI, and these 26 representations and warranties were part of the basis of the bargain, in that she would not have 27 purchased the Products from JJCI if she had known that it was not, in fact, properly manufactured 28 free from defects, unadulterated, and properly labeled.

1 47. Plaintiff Sharron Meijer is a resident of Brooklyn, New York. 2 48. Plaintiff Meijer has purchased Neutrogena Ultra Sheer Dry Touch Water Resistant 3 Sunscreen Lotion SPF 70 and Neutrogena Ultra Sheer Dry Touch Water Resistant Sunscreen 4 Lotion SPF 55. When purchasing the Products, Plaintiff Dominguez reviewed the accompanying 5 labels and disclosures, and understood them as representations and warranties by the 6 manufacturer, distributor, and pharmacy that the Product was properly manufactured, free from 7 defects, and safe for its intended use. Plaintiff Meijer relied on these representations and 8 warranties in deciding to purchase the Products manufactured by JJCI, and these representations 9 and warranties were part of the basis of the bargain, in that she would not have purchased the 10 Products from JJCI if she had known that it was not, in fact, properly manufactured free from 11 defects, unadulterated, and properly labeled. 12 49. Plaintiffs have standing to represent members of the Classes because there is 13 sufficient similarity between the specific Products purchased by the Plaintiffs and the other 14 Products purchased by the Classes. Specifically, each and every one of the Products are marketed 15 and labeled in the same way—as "sunscreen"—and fail to indicate to consumers that the Products 16 may contain benzene as an active or inactive ingredient; accordingly, all members of the Classes 17 were injured in substantially the same manner. 18 50. Defendant Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. is a New Jersey corporation with its 19 headquarters at 199 Grandview Road, Skillman, New Jersey, 08558. JJCI is a subsidiary of the 20 Johnson & Johnson conglomerate. JJCI is the manufacturer and/or distributor of the Products, 21 and as of 2015, has succeeded to all the debts and liabilities of the Neutrogena brand and the 22 Products. On information and belief, JJCI has, and continues to, operate the Neutrogena brand 23 from its offices in Los Angeles, California. Likewise, on information and belief, JJCI is liable for 24 all claims related to Aveeno products. 25 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 26 51. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 27 § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one 28 member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than JJCI, there are more

> 18 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000
 exclusive of interest and costs.

52. Venue is appropriate in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because
substantial acts in furtherance of the alleged improper conduct, including the dissemination of
deceptive information regarding the benefits of the Products occurred within this District. Venue
is also proper under 18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) because JJCI transacts substantial business in this
District.

8 53. This Court has jurisdiction over JJCI because JJCI is authorized to conduct and do 9 business in California. JJCI has marketed, manufactured, promoted, distributed, and sold 10 sunscreen protection products, including the Products, from California. JJCI has established 11 sufficient minimum contacts with this State by having availed itself of the markets in this State 12 through its promotion, manufacture, sale, distribution and marketing of its sunscreen protection 13 products, such that exercise of jurisdiction by this Court permissible. A substantial portion of all 14 claims alleged on behalf of Plaintiffs and the Classes arise out of conduct occurring in the State of 15 California.

16

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

17 54. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated 18 consumers pursuant to Rule 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 19 seeks certification on behalf of all members of the following class(es): 20 The Nationwide Subclass All consumers who purchased any lotion or spray Product in the in the United States for 21 personal use or consumption. 22 The New York Subclass All consumers who purchased any lotion or spray Product in the in the State of New York 23 for personal use or consumption. 24 55. Excluded from each Class are individuals who allege personal bodily injury 25 resulting from the use of Products. Also excluded from each Class are JJCI, any parent 26 companies, subsidiaries, and/or affiliates, officers, directors, legal representatives, employees, co-27 conspirators, all governmental entities, and any judge, justice or judicial officer presiding over 28

1 this matter.

22

27

28

56. Numerosity: Plaintiffs do not know the exact size of each Class, but given the
nature of the claims and JJCI's sales of the Products across California, New York, and the United
States, Plaintiffs believe that each of the Subclasses is so numerous that joinder of all members is
impracticable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that the proposed Subclasses each contain tens
of thousands of purchasers of JJCI's Products who have been damaged by JJCI's conduct as
alleged herein.

8 57. **Typicality.** Plaintiffs' claims are typical to those of all Class members because 9 members of each Class have been similarly injured through JJCI's uniform misconduct described 10 above and were subject to JJCI's deceptive sunscreen claims that accompanied each and every 11 sunscreen product in the Neutrogena and Aveeno collections. Plaintiffs are advancing the same 12 claims and legal theories on behalf of herself and all members of each Class. Specifically, each 13 and every one of JJCI's Products fails to include labeling indicating to consumers that the 14 Products may contain benzene as an active or inactive ingredient. Accordingly, the misleading 15 effect of all of the Sunscreen Products are substantially the same, and Plaintiffs' claims are 16 typical for the Classes.

17 58. Common Questions of Law and Fact. Plaintiffs' claims raise questions of law
18 and fact common to all members of each Class, and they predominate over any questions
19 affecting only individual Class members. The claims of Plaintiffs and all prospective Class
20 members involve the same alleged defect. These common legal and factual questions include the
21 following:

- (a) whether JJCI's Products contained benzene;
- (b) whether JJCI's representations and omissions, seen in their marketing,
 advertising, packaging, labeling, and other promotional materials, are true,
 or are misleading, or objectively reasonably likely to deceive;
 (c) whether the alleged conduct constitutes violations of the laws asserted;
 - (d) whether JJCI's alleged conduct violates public policy;
 - (e) whether JJCI engaged in false or misleading advertising;

20 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 22 of 32
(f) whether JJCI's manufacturing, marketing, distributing, and selling of the
Products violates California's Sherman Food, Drug, and Cosmetics Law,
Cal. Health & Safety Code § 111225, et seq.;
(g) whether JJCI's business practices as alleged herein are unlawful under the
Consumers Legal Remedy Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq.;
(h) whether JJCI's business practices as alleged herein were and are likely to
deceive reasonable consumers in the United States by obfuscating the true
nature of the Products, all in violation of California Business and
Professions Code § 17500;
(i) whether JJCI is liable to Plaintiffs and the Classes for unjust enrichment;
(j) whether JJCI's marketing and sale of the Products in New York constitutes
a deceptive act or practice in the conduct of trade or commerce, as
prohibited by New York's Consumer Protection from Deceptive Acts and
Practices Law, N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349, et seq.;
(k) whether JJCI's marketing of the Products in New York constitutes false
advertising in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce, as
prohibited by New York's Consumer Protection from Deceptive Acts and
Practices Law, N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 350, et seq.;
(1) whether Plaintiffs and members of the Classes are entitled to damages
and/or restitution and the proper measure of that loss; and
(m)whether Plaintiffs and the members of the Classes are entitled to
declaratory and injunctive relief.
59. Adequacy of Representation. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect and
represent the interests of each Class. Plaintiffs have retained counsel who are highly experienced
in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiffs intend to vigorously prosecute this
action on behalf of the Classes. Plaintiffs have no interests that are adverse or antagonistic to
those of the Classes.
60. Superiority . A class action is superior to the other available methods for a fair

Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 23 of 32

1 and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered 2 by the Plaintiffs and individual Class members is relatively small compared to the burden and 3 expense of individual litigation of their claims against JJCI. It would thus be virtually impossible 4 for Plaintiffs and Class members, on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs 5 done to them. Further, it is desirable to concentrate the litigation of the members' claims for each 6 Class in one forum, as it will conserve party and judicial resources and facilitate the consistency 7 of adjudications. Plaintiffs know of no difficulty that would be encountered in the management 8 of this case that would preclude its maintenance as a class action. 9 61. Plaintiffs seek preliminary and permanent injunctive and equitable relief on behalf 10 of the entire Class, on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, to enjoin and prevent JJCI 11 from engaging in the acts described above, such as continuing to market and sell the Products that 12 may be adulterated with benzene, and requiring JJCI to provide a full refund of the purchase price 13 of the Products to Plaintiffs and Class members. 14 62. Unless the Classes are certified, JJCI will retain monies received as a result of its 15 conduct that were taken from Plaintiffs and the members of each Class. Unless a class-wide 16 injunction is issued, JJCI will continue to commit the violations alleged and both the Classes and 17 general public will continue to be misled. 18 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq. 19 (On Behalf of the Nationwide Subclass) 20 Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in all 63. 21 preceding paragraphs of this complaint. 22 64. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the 23 proposed Nationwide Subclass against JJCI. 24 65. JJCI's manufacturing, marketing, distributing, and selling of the Products violates 25 California's Sherman Food, Drug, and Cosmetics Law, Cal. Health & Safety Code § 111225, et 26 seq. ("Sherman Law"). 27 66. The relevant part of the Sherman Law declares that a drug is misbranded if its 28 labeling is false or misleading in any particular way and further provides that it is unlawful for

Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 24 of 32

any person to misbrand any drug. Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 111330, 111440, 111445. The Sherman Law defines a "person" as "any individual, firm, partnership, trust, corporation, limited liability company, company, estate, public or private institution, association, organization, group, city, county, city and county, political subdivision of this state, other governmental agency within the state and any representative, agent, or agency of any of the foregoing." Cal. Health & Safety Code § 109995. JJCI is a corporation and, therefore, a "person" within the meaning of the Sherman Act.

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

67. The business practices alleged above are unlawful under the Consumers Legal Remedy Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq. ("CLRA"), which forbids deceptive advertising.

10 68. The business practices alleged above are unlawful under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code.
11 § 17200, et seq. by virtue of violating Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code. § 17500, et seq., which forbids
12 untrue advertising and misleading advertising.

69. There is no benefit to consumers or competition by deceptively marketing
sunscreen products. Indeed, the harm to consumers and competition caused by JJCI's deceptive
marketing of the Products is substantial.

16 70. Plaintiffs and other members of the putative class had no way of knowing that the
17 Products they bought were not actually as marketed. Thus, they could not have reasonably
18 avoided the injury each of them suffered.

The gravity of the consequences of JJCI's conduct as described above outweighs
any justification, motive or reason therefore, particularly considering the available legal
alternatives which exist in the marketplace, and it is immoral, unethical, unscrupulous, offends
established public policy, or is substantially injurious to Plaintiffs and other members of the
putative class.

72. JJCI's deceptive marketing of the Products is likely to deceive reasonable
consumers throughout the United States. Indeed, Plaintiffs and other members of the putative
class were unquestionably deceived regarding the true danger of the Products, as JJCI's
marketing of the Products nowhere discloses that the Products may contain benzene, but instead
portrays the Products as safe and healthy. Said acts are deceptive business acts and practices.

1	73. This deception caused Plaintiffs and other members of the putative class to			
2	purchase the Products. Had they known and understood the true nature and quality of the			
3	Products, Plaintiffs and other members of the National Subclass would not have purchased the			
4	Products.			
5	74. As a result of the business practices described above, Business and Professions			
6	Code § 17203 entitles Plaintiffs and other members of the Nationwide Subclass to an order			
7	enjoining such future conduct on the part of JJCI and such other solely injunctive or declaratory			
8	relief which may be necessary as a result of JJCI's wrongful conduct.			
9	75. The above-described unlawful business acts and practices, and each of them,			
10	present a threat and reasonable likelihood of deception to Plaintiffs and other members of the			
11	Nationwide Subclass in that JJCI has systematically perpetrated and continues to perpetrate such			
12	acts or practices on Plaintiffs and other members of the Nationwide Subclass by means of its			
13	deceptive manufacturing, marketing, distributing, and selling of the Products.			
14	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION			
15	Violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq. (On Behalf of the Nationwide Subclass)			
16	76. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in all			
17	preceding paragraphs of this complaint.			
18	77. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the			
19	proposed Nationwide Subclass against JJCI.			
20	78. This cause of action is brought pursuant to the California Consumers Legal			
21	Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq. ("CLRA").			
22	79. JJCI's actions, representations, and conduct, as described above, and each of them,			
23	have violated and continue to violate the CLRA, because they extend to transactions that are			
24	intended to result, or which have resulted, in the sale or lease of goods or services to consumers.			
25	80. Plaintiffs and others similarly situated will continue to suffer harm and are			
26	"consumers" as that term is defined by the CLRA in Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(d).			
27	81. The Products that Plaintiffs and members of the Nationwide Subclass purchased			
28	from JJCI were "goods" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(a).			

24 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1 82. By engaging in the actions, misrepresentations, and misconduct set forth above, 2 JJCI have violated, and continues to violate, \S 1770(a)(5) of the CLRA. 3 83. Specifically, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(5), JJCI's acts and practices 4 constitute deceptive methods of competition, in that it misrepresents the safety of the Products 5 and omits that the Products contain a dangerous carcinogen. 6 84. By engaging in the actions, misrepresentations, and misconduct set forth above, 7 JJCI has violated, and continues to violate, § 1770(a)(7) of the CLRA. Specifically, JJCI's acts 8 and practices constitute deceptive methods of competition, in that JJCI misrepresents the 9 particular standard, quality, or grade of the Products, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(7). 10 85. By engaging in the actions, misrepresentations, and misconduct set forth above, 11 JJCI has violated, and continues to violate, § 1770(a)(16) of the CLRA. Specifically, in violation 12 of Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(16), JJCI's acts and practices constitute deceptive methods of 13 competition, in that JJCI represents that the Products have been supplied in accordance with a 14 previous representation when they have not. 15 86. Plaintiffs request that this Court enjoin JJCI from continuing to employ the 16 unlawful methods, acts, and practices alleged herein, and any other solely declaratory or 17 injunctive relief the Court deems proper pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1780 and 1781. If JJCI is 18 not restrained from engaging in these types of practices on the future, Plaintiffs and other 19 members of the Nationwide Subclass will continue to suffer harm. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 20 Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500, et seq. 21 (On Behalf of the Nationwide Subclass) 22 87. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in all 23 preceding paragraphs of this complaint. 24 88. At all material times, JJCI engaged in a scheme of offering the Products for sale to 25 Plaintiffs and others similarly situated by way of, inter alia, commercial marketing. These 26 marketing materials misrepresented or omitted the safety of the Products and the fact that they 27 may contain benzene, a dangerous carcinogen. Said advertisements and inducements originated 28 and were made from the State of California and come within the definition of advertising as

Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 27 of 32

contained in Business and Professions Code § 17500, et seq. in that such marketing materials were intended as inducements to purchase the Products and are statements disseminated by JJCI 3 to Plaintiffs and other members of the National Subclass and were intended to reach Plaintiffs and 4 other members of the National Subclass. JJCI knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should 5 have known, that these statements were untrue or misleading.

6 89. In furtherance of this plan and scheme, JJCI has prepared and distributed from the 7 State of California via commercial marketing, statements that deceptively represent the safety of 8 the Products and omitted that a dangerous carcinogen that may be present in the Products. 9 Consumers, including Plaintiffs and other members of the Nationwide Subclass necessarily and 10 reasonably relied on these materials concerning the Products. Consumers, including Plaintiffs 11 and other members of the Nationwide Subclass were among the intended targets of such 12 representations and omissions and would reasonably be deceived by such materials.

13 90. JJCI's above acts, in disseminating deceptive and untrue statements from the State 14 of California and throughout the United States to consumers, were and are likely to deceive 15 reasonable consumers, including Plaintiffs and other members of the Nationwide Subclass, by 16 obfuscating the true nature of the Products, all in violation of California Business and Professions 17 Code § 17500.

18 As a result of the above violations of California Business and Professions Code 91. 19 § 17500, et seq., JJCI has been unjustly enriched at the expense of Plaintiffs and the members of 20 the Nationwide Subclass.

21 92. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code § 17535, Plaintiffs and the Nationwide 22 Subclass are entitled to an order of this Court enjoining JJCI from such future conduct, and such 23 other orders and judgments which may be necessary to disgorge JJCI's ill-gotten gains and 24 restore to any person in interest any money paid for the Products as a result of JJCI's wrongful 25 conduct.

26

1

2

27

28

Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in all 93.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349 et seq. (On Behalf of the New York Subclass)

Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 28 of 32

1	preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
2	94. Plaintiff Meijer brings this claim on behalf of the New York Subclass for violation
3	of § 349 of New York's Consumer Protection from Deceptive Acts and Practices Law, N.Y. Gen.
4	Bus. Law § 349 et seq.
5	95. Section 349 prohibits "[d]eceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any business,
6	trade or commerce or in the furnishing of any service in [the State of New York]." N.Y. Gen.
7	Bus. Law § 349(a).
8	96. JJCI's marketing and labeling of the Products, as alleged herein, constitute
9	"deceptive" acts and practices, as such conduct misled Plaintiff Meijer and the New York
10	Subclass as to the characteristics and value of the Products.
11	97. Subsection (h) of § 349 grants private plaintiffs a right of action for violation of
12	New York's Consumer Protection from Deceptive Acts and Practices Law, as follows:
13	In addition to the right of action granted to the attorney general pursuant to this section,
14	any person who has been injured by reason of any violation of this section may bring an action in
15	his own name to enjoin such unlawful act or practice, an action to recover his actual damages or
16	fifty dollars, whichever is greater, or both such actions. The court may, in its discretion, increase
17	the award of damages to an amount not to exceed three times the actual damages up to one
18	thousand dollars, if the court finds the defendant willfully or knowingly violated this section. The
19	court may award reasonable attorney's fees to a prevailing plaintiff.
20	98. In accordance with N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349(h), Plaintiff Meijer seeks an order
21	enjoining JJCI from continuing the unlawful deceptive acts and practices set out above. Absent a
22	Court order enjoining these types of practices in the future, Plaintiff Meijer and other members of
23	the New York Subclass will continue to suffer harm.
24	99. As a consequence of JJCI's deceptive acts and practices, Plaintiff Meijer and other
25	members of the New York Subclass suffered an ascertainable loss of monies. By reason of the
26	foregoing, Plaintiff Meijer and other members of the New York Subclass also seek actual
27	damages or statutory damages of \$50 per violation, whichever is greater, as well as punitive
28	damages.

I	Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 29 of 32
1	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
2	Violation of N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 350 et seq. (On Behalf of the New York Subclass)
3	100. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in all
4	preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
5	101. Plaintiff Meijer brings this claim on behalf of the New York Subclass for violation
6	of § 350 of New York's Consumer Protection from Deceptive Acts and Practices Law, N.Y. Gen.
7	Bus. Law § 350 et seq.
8	102. Section 350 prohibits "[f]alse advertising in the conduct of any business, trade or
9	commerce or in the furnishing of any service in [the State of New York]." N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law
10	§ 350.
11	103. Section 350-a defines "false advertising" as "advertising, including labeling, of a
12	commodity, or of the kind, character, terms or conditions of any employment opportunity if such
13	advertising is misleading in a material respect." N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 350-a.1. The section also
14	provides that advertising can be false by omission, as it further defines "false advertising" to
15	include "advertising [that] fails to reveal facts material in the light of such representations with
16	respect to the commodity to which the advertising relates." Id.
17	104. JJCI's labeling, marketing, and advertising of the Products, as alleged herein, are
18	"misleading in a material respect" and, thus, constitute "false advertising," as they falsely
19	represent the Products as being safe and failing to inform consumers of the risk the Products
20	contain benzene.
21	105. Plaintiff Meijer seeks an order enjoining JJCI from continuing this false
22	advertising. Absent enjoining this false advertising, JJCI will continue to mislead Plaintiff Meijer
23	and the other members of the New York Subclass as to the characteristics of the Products and, in
24	doing so, irreparably harm each of the New York Subclass members.
25	106. As a direct and proximate result of JJCI's violation of New York General Business
26	Law § 350, Plaintiff Meijer and the other members of the New York Subclass have also suffered
27	an ascertainable loss of monies. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff Meijer and other members
28	

```
28
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
```

Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 30 of 32

1 of the New York Subclass also seek actual damages or statutory damages of \$500 per violation, 2 whichever is greater, as well as punitive damages. N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 350-e. 3 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION** Unjust Enrichment/Quasi-Contract 4 (On Behalf of the Nationwide Subclass) 5 Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in all 107. 6 preceding paragraphs of this complaint. 7 108. Despite the serious risks of harm inherent in potentially exposing consumers to 8 high levels of benzene, JJCI has not disclosed these risks, and in fact has actively obfuscated the 9 dangers of the Products by promising consumers the Products are safe. Plaintiffs and other 10 members of the Nationwide Class would not have bought the Products if they had known that the 11 promises JJCI makes regarding the Products are false. 12 As a result of JJCI's deceptive marketing and labeling of its Products, JJCI 109. 13 receives a benefit at the expense of Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Subclass, and it is unjust for 14 JJCI to retain that benefit. 15 Under the circumstances, it is against equity and good conscience to permit JJCI to 110. 16 retain the ill-gotten benefits that it received from Plaintiffs and members of the Nationwide Class 17 in light of the fact that the Products they purchased were not what JJCI represented the Products 18 to be. Thus, it is unjust or inequitable for JJCI to retain the benefit without restitution to Plaintiffs 19 and other members of the Nationwide Class. 20 As a direct and proximate result of JJCI's actions, JJCI has been unjustly enriched. 111. 21 Plaintiffs and other members of the Nationwide Class have a right to restitution in an amount to 22 be proven at trial. 23 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 24 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request, individually and on behalf of the alleged 25 Classes, that the Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants as follows: 26 27 28

	Case 3:2	21-cv-05419-JSC	Document 1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 31 of 32
1 2 2	А.	Procedure and name	the Classes under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil ing Plaintiffs as the representatives for the Classes and Plaintiffs'
3	D	attorneys as Class C	
4 5	B.		Defendants from selling the Products;
5 6	C.		the Defendants' conduct violates the causes of action referenced
7	D.	herein;	forces of Disintiffs and the Classes on all counts asserted harving
8	D. E.	-	favor of Plaintiffs and the Classes on all counts asserted herein; utory, and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the
0 9	E.		utory, and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the
10	F.	Court and/or jury;	st on all amounts awarded;
11	G.		ion and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
12	Ы.		pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and
12	II. I.		Plaintiffs and the Classes their reasonable attorneys' fees and
13	1.	expenses and costs	
15		-	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
16	Dure		of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of any
17		es in this action so tri	
18	Dated: July		Respectfully Submitted,
19	Dated. Jul	y 14, 2021	/s/ Kimberly Channick
20			Kimberly Channick (#325089)
20			kchannick@alexwalshlaw.com WALSH LAW PLLC
22			13428 Marcella Avenue, #203 Marina del Rey, CA 90292
22			
24			Alex Walsh (<i>pro hac vice forthcoming</i>) awalsh@alexwalshlaw.com
25			WALSH LAW PLLC 1050 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 500
26			Washington D.C. 20036
27			Telephone: (213) 863-4276 Fax: (202) 780-3678
28			Seth Meyer (pro hac vice forthcoming)

30 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	sam@kellerlenkner.com
2	Alex Dravillas (pro hac vice forthcoming)
3	ajd@kellerlenkner.com KELLER LENKNER LLC
3	150 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 4270
4	Chicago, Illinois 60606
5	Telephone: (312) 741-5220 Fax: (312) 971-3502
6	1 ux. (312) 371 3302
	Warren Postman (#330869)
7	wdp@kellerlenkner.com KELLER LENKNER LLC
8	1300 I Street, N.W., Suite 400E
9	Washington, D.C. 20005
9	Telephone: (202) 918-1123
10	Fax: (312) 971-3502
11	David B. Byrne III (pro hac vice
12	forthcoming)
	david.byrne@beasleyallen.com BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW, METHVIN, PORTIS
13	& MIKES, P.C.
14	218 Commerce Street
15	Montgomery, Alabama 36104
	Telephone: (334) 269-2343
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 10/2020) Case 3:21-cv-05419-JSC Document 1-1 Filed 07/14/21 Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a)	PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS					
JOHA	ANNA DOMINGUEZ and SHARRON MEIJER, individually and on behalf of all others simil	JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER, INC.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Riverside County, California (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)					
		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c)	Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)						
	verly Channick, Walsh Law PLLC, 13428 Marcella Avenue, #203, Marina del R 2, (310) 596-4545							
II.	BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	TIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff r Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)						
1	U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
		Citizen of This State		× ¹	1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	4	4
2	U.S. Government Defendant X 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State		2	2	Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place of Business In Another State	5	× 5
			en or Subject of a gn Country	3	3	Foreign Nation	6	6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORTS FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY **OTHER STATUTES** 110 Insurance 625 Drug Related Seizure of 422 Appeal 28 USC § 158 375 False Claims Act PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY Property 21 USC § 881 120 Marine 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury - Product 690 Other \$ 157 § 3729(a)) 130 Miller Act Liability 315 Airplane Product Liability 400 State Reapportionment LABOR PROPERTY RIGHTS 140 Negotiable Instrument 367 Health Care/ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander Pharmaceutical Personal 410 Antitrust 150 Recovery of 330 Federal Employers' 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 820 Copyrights Injury Product Liability 430 Banks and Banking Overpayment Of Liability 720 Labor/Management 830 Patent 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Veteran's Benefits 450 Commerce 340 Marine Relations 835 Patent-Abbreviated New Product Liability 151 Medicare Act 460 Deportation 740 Railway Labor Act 345 Marine Product Liability Drug Application PERSONAL PROPERTY 152 Recovery of Defaulted 470 Racketeer Influenced & 350 Motor Vehicle 751 Family and Medical 840 Trademark Student Loans (Excludes × 370 Other Fraud Corrupt Organizations 880 Defend Trade Secrets 355 Motor Vehicle Product Leave Act Veterans) 371 Truth in Lending 480 Consumer Credit Act of 2016 790 Other Labor Litigation Liability 153 Recovery of 380 Other Personal Property 485 Telephone Consumer 360 Other Personal Injury 791 Employee Retirement SOCIAL SECURITY Overpayment Damage Protection Act Income Security Act 362 Personal Injury -Medical of Veteran's Benefits 861 HIA (1395ff) 385 Property Damage Product 490 Cable/Sat TV Malpractice 160 Stockholders' Suits IMMIGRATION Liability 862 Black Lung (923) 850 Securities/Commodities/ 190 Other Contract 462 Naturalization 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS Exchange Application 195 Contract Product Liability 864 SSID Title XVI 890 Other Statutory Actions 440 Other Civil Rights HABEAS CORPUS 465 Other Immigration 196 Franchise 865 RSI (405(g)) 891 Agricultural Acts 441 Voting 463 Alien Detainee Actions REAL PROPERTY FEDERAL TAX SUITS 893 Environmental Matters 442 Employment 510 Motions to Vacate 895 Freedom of Information 210 Land Condemnation 443 Housing/ Sentence 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Act Defendant) Accommodations 530 General 220 Foreclosure 896 Arbitration 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 445 Amer. w/Disabilities-535 Death Penalty 899 Administrative Procedure Employment § 7609 240 Torts to Land OTHER Act/Review or Appeal of 446 Amer. w/Disabilities-Other 245 Tort Product Liability 540 Mandamus & Other Agency Decision 448 Education 290 All Other Real Property 550 Civil Rights 950 Constitutionality of State 555 Prison Condition Statutes 560 Civil Detainee-Conditions of Confinement **ORIGIN** (Place an "X" in One Box Only) V. Original Removed from Remanded from Multidistrict 8 Multidistrict \mathbf{X} 1 2 3 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from 6 Litigation-Transfer Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District (specify) Litigation-Direct File Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VI. CAUSE OF 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A) ACTION Brief description of cause: Violation of State Consumer Fraud and False Advertising laws VII. **REOUESTED IN** < CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$ 5,000,000.00** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P. JURY DEMAND: × Yes No **COMPLAINT:** VIII. RELATED CASE(S), JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER **IF ANY** (See instructions): **DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)** IX. × SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND SAN JOSE EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/ Kimberly Channick

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable <u>class action lawsuit database</u>