

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
FORT LAUDERDALE DIVISION

CASE NO.:

MILITA BARBARA DOLAN
on behalf of herself and all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CLASS ACTION

JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Milita Barbara Dolan, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, sues Defendant JetBlue Airways Corporation (“JetBlue”) and alleges as follows.

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a class action filed to redress injuries that Plaintiff and a class of consumers have suffered, and will continue to suffer, as a result of JetBlue’s deceptive practices relating to its presentation of the charge for trip insurance sold on its website. On its website, and throughout the online process of purchasing a flight ticket and trip insurance, JetBlue leaves the consumer with the false impression that the charge for trip insurance is a pass-through fee, i.e., a fee that is passed on to another entity and for which JetBlue has no financial interest. The net impression of JetBlue’s representations and omissions to consumers is that, when consumers purchase a trip insurance policy, the funds to cover the policy’s cost go to an independent third-party insurance company, whom JetBlue identifies as the company brokering the policy for sale to the consumer.

Indeed, JetBlue identifies this same insurance company as “the licensed producer,” or insurance agent, for the trip insurance policies. In reality, and despite lacking a license to broker insurance policies, JetBlue retains or ultimately receives an undisclosed kickback from every policy sold.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

2. Plaintiff is an individual who is domiciled in, and is thus a citizen of, Florida.

3. Defendant JetBlue is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in New York. It does business regularly throughout the United States, including in Florida. JetBlue also maintains a registered agent in Florida.

4. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A) because this is a class action for a sum exceeding \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and in which at least one class member is a citizen of a state different from JetBlue.

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over JetBlue because the causes of action asserted herein arise from (i) JetBlue operating, conducting, engaging in, or carrying on a business or business venture in this state and (ii) JetBlue committing a tortious act within this state. This Court further has personal jurisdiction over JetBlue based on JetBlue’s consent and waiver by establishing a registered agent in Florida for the purpose of receiving service of process. Moreover, JetBlue purposefully availed itself of Florida’s consumer market through the advertisement, promotion, and sale of trip insurance policies in Florida.

6. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) because JetBlue resides in this district for purposes of the statute as JetBlue is subject to the personal jurisdiction of this Court for purposes of this action. Moreover, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

7. In addition to operating flights nationwide, JetBlue operates hundreds of daily flights to and from nine different airports in Florida. As part of its business, JetBlue sells tickets to consumers through its website, www.JetBlue.com.

8. When a consumer visits JetBlue’s website, the site allows the consumer to select his or her preferred destination and travel dates.

9. Upon the consumer’s selection of his or her specific flights, JetBlue’s website provides the consumer with the price to purchase the selected flights.

10. Before the consumer completes his or her purchase, JetBlue’s website requires the consumer to make an election regarding purchasing a trip insurance policy with a third-party insurance provider. There is no way to purchase a ticket on JetBlue’s website without making an election regarding trip insurance.

11. JetBlue markets the third-party trip insurance to its consumers in a uniform fashion—each consumer sees the same marketing language when purchasing a ticket.

12. After the consumer selects the desired flights, the consumer ultimately reaches a “Payment” page.

13. The Payment page allows the consumer to review his or her flight details and then input payment and billing information.

14. At the top of this page is a heading in bold that states: “**Add Travel Protection.**”

15. Under this heading is a grey box with two options. The first option states: “Yes, add travel protection for only \$[Price] per traveler in this reservation. This is a total of \$[Price]. (Highly Recommended).” The “Yes” and “(Highly Recommended)” language is in green text.

Below this is the following language in bold: “**Peace of mind is only a click away.**” Below this language is a list of reasons to purchase trip insurance.

16. Below the list of reasons is the second option, which states: “No, I choose not to protect my \$[Fare] purchase and understand I am responsible for all cancellation fees and delay expenses.”

17. Below these options, in blue text, is a number followed by the language: “customers protected their trip in the last 7 days.” Below this is a quote from USA today: “And of course — always get travel insurance.”

18. This marketing is intended to create the impression that the trip insurance is in the consumer’s best interest—while hiding the fact that JetBlue is pushing the product because it is in its financial interest to generate sales. In other words, the consumer is deceived into believing that JetBlue is acting in the consumer’s best financial interest, and not its own.

19. For example, JetBlue includes in green text the phrase “(Highly Recommended)” next to the “Yes” option, and then represents to the consumer that the party “recommending” the purchase of insurance is AGA Service Company, not JetBlue. This representation hides JetBlue’s financial interest in the purchase of trip insurance policies.

20. Consumers are required to make an insurance election, as they are unable to proceed with purchasing their airline tickets on JetBlue’s website until they choose whether to purchase a trip insurance policy. The consumer cannot simply ignore the insurance offering and move on to purchasing a ticket.

21. After the section that portrays the trip insurance policies as something in the consumer’s best financial interest, JetBlue proceeds to conceal its financial motivation in pushing the product by disclaiming its role in the trip insurance policies being sold on its website.

22. Specifically, below the marketing language is the following language. “*Recommended by AGA Service Company, the licensed producer* and administrator of this plan. Terms, conditions and exclusions apply, learn more. Plan underwritten by Jefferson Insurance Company or BCS Insurance Company.” (emphasis added).

23. JetBlue’s website thus represents to the consumer that it is AGA Service Company, and not JetBlue, who is recommending the trip insurance and who is “the licensed producer” for the trip insurance policies.

24. A “producer” is insurance parlance for an insurance agent or broker, i.e., the one who makes a commission on the sale of insurance. Thus, by stating that AGA Service Company is “the” producer, JetBlue represents that it is not acting as an agent or broker, i.e., it is not making a commission on sales of trip insurance policies.

25. In fact, JetBlue cannot sell insurance to the consumer (and thus cannot receive commissions on sales) because it is not licensed as an insurer or insurance agent in Florida or anywhere else.

26. Like Florida, other states across the country prohibit the unlicensed sale or brokerage of insurance, including the receipt of commissions by people or entities without a license. For example, the New York Attorney General’s Office has issued an official opinion that an insurer may not pay any commission to transportation companies for the sale of travel insurance unless the transportation company is a licensed and appointed insurance agent or broker. *See Ex. 1, N.Y. General Counsel Opinion No. 4-23-2008* (“May an insurer pay an insurance commission to a cruise line or tour operator that is not a licensed and appointed insurance agent, or a licensed insurance broker? . . . No. An insurer may not pay an insurance commission to a cruise line or tour

operator that is not either a licensed and appointed insurance agent, or a licensed insurance broker.”).

27. If the consumer selects the “Yes” button, a new line item, “Insurance” will appear under the breakdown of charges on the Payment page, followed by the amount for the trip insurance. This amount is below line items for “Fare details” and “Taxes & Fees.” Notably, if a consumer purchases an “Add on” such as an upgraded seat, that cost is included within the “Fare details” charge rather than separately set out. This further enhances the idea that the trip insurance cost is a pass-through charge, and separate from the charges for which JetBlue has a financial interest.

28. Likewise, when a consumer buys a trip insurance policy on JetBlue’s website, the cost of the policy is not included in the consumer’s bill for the purchased airfare. Rather the consumer’s insurance cost is itemized separately even though the airfare and trip insurance cost are paid via a one-time credit card charge on JetBlue’s website. In contrast, when a consumer elects to purchase from JetBlue a seat upgrade or “Trip Extra,” the cost of that “add on” is included in the fare price. The lack of inclusion of the trip insurance cost in the consumer’s airfare purchase price further indicates to the consumer that JetBlue treats the insurance cost as a pass-through charge, not as a profit vehicle for itself.

29. Furthermore, in the above representation, “Recommended by AGA Service Company, the licensed producer and administrator of this plan. Terms, conditions and exclusions apply, learn more. Plan underwritten by Jefferson Insurance Company or BCS Insurance Company,” the “learn more” text is a hyperlink. If clicked, this link opens a popup page that contains only the Allianz Global Assistance (“Allianz”) logo. This popup page tells the consumer:

“Pricing – 6.75% of your total ticket cost and service fees.” Nowhere is there a disclosure that part of the pricing is a kickback or commission to JetBlue.

30. Further down on this popup page, the consumer is told that he or she is purchasing the trip insurance from Allianz rather than JetBlue. Specifically, this page states: “***By purchasing, you agree to Allianz Global Assistance’s purchase agreement*** and privacy policy (see below), including receiving notices and communications electronically.” (emphasis added).

31. This popup page also notes: “Since your satisfaction is our priority, we are pleased to give you 10 days to review your plan. If, during this 10-day period, you are not completely satisfied for any reason, you may cancel your plan and receive a full refund.” Conspicuously absent in that representation is any mention of JetBlue, further evidence that JetBlue represents the trip insurance as a “pass through” charge, wherein it is simply a conduit for funds to Allianz without any profit interest.

32. At the bottom of this popup page is again the representation that “***AGA Service Company is the licensed producer*** and administrator of this plan and an affiliate of Jefferson Insurance Company.” (emphasis added).

33. Additionally, JetBlue’s website has a webpage for consumers who seek more information about the insurance offered on JetBlue’s website. This webpage contains the Allianz logo and repeats the statement: “AGA Service Company is the licensed producer and administrator of this plan.”

34. This webpage also states: “Allianz Global Assistance will process a full refund of the premium within 10 days of purchase, as long as you have not yet departed on your trip or filed a claim. No refunds shall be paid after 10 days of purchasing the program.”

35. On this webpage, JetBlue also represents that it is Allianz, not JetBlue, that is providing the trip insurance. Specifically, JetBlue states: “JetBlue wants every trip to be the best it can be. That’s why we’ve partnered with Allianz Global Assistance – a world leader in travel insurance and assistance services. Travel insurance *from Allianz* can provide coverage before and during your trip to help protect the investment you’ve made in your travel plans.” (emphasis added).

36. There is also a link to purchase a trip insurance policy. If clicked, the consumer is brought to a webpage for “Coverage Options.” This webpage also represents that the trip insurance is “from Allianz Global Assistance.”

37. Another webpage on JetBlue’s website is an FAQ for trip insurance. Two of the questions and answers are as follows:

Q: Why isn't my travel protection purchase displayed in my travel itinerary?
A: When you purchase Trip Insurance from Allianz Global Assistance, you'll receive a separate confirmation. All policy documentation will be sent directly to you from Allianz Global Assistance.

Q: Who provides the insurance for these plans?

A: Insurance coverage is underwritten by BCS Insurance Company (OH, Administrative Office: Oakbrook Terrace, IL), rated "A-" (Excellent) by A.M. Best Co., under BCS Form No. 52.201 series or 52.401 series, or Jefferson Insurance Company (NY, Administrative Office: Richmond, VA), rated "A" (Excellent) by A.M. Best Co., under Jefferson Form No. 101-C series or 101-P series, depending on the insured's state. Allianz Global Assistance and Allianz Travel Insurance are brands of AGA Service Company. AGA Service Company is the licensed producer and administrator of this plan and an affiliate of Jefferson Insurance Company. The insured shall not receive any special benefit or advantage because of the affiliation between AGA Service Company and Jefferson Insurance Company.

38. Notably, again JetBlue effectively disclaims that it provides or is the “producer” for the trip insurance policies. Further, JetBlue gives the impression that the charge for trip

insurance is separate—and thus a pass-through—because it will not appear on the consumer’s flight itinerary, and instead will be listed on a separate confirmation from Allianz.

39. These statements and omissions to the consumer on JetBlue’s website, especially JetBlue’s representation that another entity is “the producer” of the trip insurance policies, reinforce the impression of the trip insurance premium as a pass-through charge—one where JetBlue has no profit interest in the sales of trip insurance policies on its website.

40. JetBlue’s representation that another entity is “the producer” of the trip insurance is also an affirmative misrepresentation because JetBlue’s actual role in the sale of the trip insurance policies is analogous (if not identical) to that of an insurance agent, who receives commissions on policies sold. In addition to AGA Service Company, JetBlue is or acts as an insurance agent and is also a “producer” of the trip insurance policies.

41. JetBlue’s representations and omissions necessarily inform the consumer that JetBlue does not receive a commission or otherwise profit from the sale of trip insurance.

42. After a consumer elects to purchase a trip insurance policy and proceeds to complete the purchase of an accompanying airfare, Allianz, not JetBlue, sends the consumer an email containing a copy of the purchased insurance policy. Nowhere in that communication or accompanying insurance policy is there any reference to JetBlue having a role in the provision of the insurance. Instead, the “Letter of Confirmation” that the consumer receives containing the insurance policy has “Jefferson Insurance Company” as the header.

43. The net impression of all of JetBlue’s representations and omissions to its consumers on its website and during the online purchase process—including, but not limited to, JetBlue’s assertion that another entity is “the” producer for the insurance (and by necessary implication, JetBlue is not)—is that the cost of the trip insurance policies is a pass-through charge,

where JetBlue simply collects the money for the insurance policy from the consumer and forwards it on to the actual insurance provider, without any profit interest in the charge.

44. This net impression is reinforced by the fact that state laws generally prohibit the unlicensed sale of insurance, including receipt of commissions on sales of insurance.

45. It is thus reasonable for consumers to expect that JetBlue is not receiving a commission or any other remuneration from any sales of insurance.

46. In reality, and completely unbeknownst to its consumers, the trip insurance is a hidden profit center for JetBlue, as JetBlue retains or ultimately receives for itself a portion of the funds for every trip insurance policy its consumers purchase on its website.

47. JetBlue, instead of disclosing its profit interest in the trip insurance policies sold on its website, disguises its financial interest by leaving consumers with the impression that the cost of an insurance policy is a “pass through” charge. Indeed, JetBlue affirmatively tells consumers that a different entity is “the producer” of the insurance, without any suggestion or indication that JetBlue is also retaining or ultimately receiving a portion of the charge.

48. This is in contrast to other charges that JetBlue offers on its website, such as more desirable seats and early boarding rights, where JetBlue bundles the cost of these optional fees into the overall price of a consumer’s ticket, thereby signaling to the consumer JetBlue’s profit interest.

49. The trip insurance program on JetBlue’s website represents an illegal kickback scheme—one in which JetBlue hides, and misleads consumers about, its role and profit interest in the trip insurance policies sold on its website.

50. The price for the trip insurance sold on JetBlue’s website is a price of Allianz, not JetBlue.

51. JetBlue provides no services to the consumer in connection with the sale of trip insurance on its website.

52. No contractual relationship is formed between the consumer and JetBlue in connection with a consumer's purchase of trip insurance on JetBlue's website.

53. No bargained-for exchange takes place between the consumer and JetBlue in connection with a consumer's purchase of trip insurance on JetBlue's website.

54. On July 6, 2017, Plaintiff purchased a trip insurance policy on JetBlue's website. Plaintiff received an email from the insurance provider attaching her policy, neither of which referenced JetBlue.

55. JetBlue has never disclosed to Plaintiff, or any of the class members, the true nature of its relationship with Allianz, Jefferson Insurance Company, or BCS Insurance Company. Specifically, JetBlue has not disclosed the fact that it retains or receives a substantial kickback or commission on the policies made available on its website.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

56. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

Class Definition

57. Plaintiff seeks to represent the following class:

All persons who purchased a trip insurance policy on JetBlue's website within the applicable limitations period (the "Class Period").

Excluded from this class are JetBlue, its affiliates, subsidiaries, agents, board members, directors, officers, and employees. Also excluded from the class are the district judge and magistrate judge assigned to this case, their staff, and their immediate family members.

58. This class action is brought pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2) because JetBlue has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to all the members of the class, thereby making final

injunctive relief or declaratory relief concerning the class appropriate.

59. This class action is also brought pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) because the questions of law or fact common to Plaintiff's claim and the class members' claims predominate over any question of law or fact affecting only individual class members and a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the controversy.

60. JetBlue has subjected Plaintiff and the members of the class to the same unfair, unlawful, and deceptive practices and harmed them in the same manner. The conduct described above is JetBlue's standard business practice.

A. Numerosity

61. The individual class members are so numerous that joinder of all members in a single action is impracticable. JetBlue operates thousands of flights a day, and upon information and belief, it has sold thousands of trip insurance policies during the Class Period.

62. While Plaintiff estimates the proposed class numbers in the thousands, the exact number of class members, as well as the class members' names and addresses, can be identified from JetBlue's business records.

B. Commonality/Predominance

63. Common questions of law and fact exist as to Plaintiff's and the class members' claims. These common questions predominate over any questions solely affecting individual class members, including, but not limited to, the following:

a. Whether JetBlue engaged in a deceptive and unfair business practice by misleading the class about its financial interest in making available trip insurance policies and its receipt or retention of a kickback;

- b. Whether the representations made about insurance premiums collected by JetBlue would lead the reasonable consumer to believe it was a pass-through charge;
- c. Whether JetBlue receives undisclosed kickbacks, commissions, or fees from the sale of trip insurance;
- d. Whether JetBlue manipulated the class through trip insurance products in order to maximize its own profits at the expense of the class;
- e. Whether JetBlue retains or receives a commission or kickback for the sale of trip insurance policies without a license;
- f. Whether and to what extent JetBlue's conduct has caused injury to the Plaintiff and the class members; and
- g. Whether JetBlue unlawfully enriched itself at the expense of the class.

C. Typicality

64. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the putative class members' claims because of the similarity, uniformity, and common purpose of JetBlue's unlawful conduct. Plaintiff, like all class members, was damaged through his payment of money that JetBlue deceptively presented as a pass-through charge to the insurance company, when in fact JetBlue enriched itself in this process.

65. Each class member has sustained, and will continue to sustain, damages in the same manner as Plaintiff as a result of JetBlue's wrongful and deceptive conduct.

D. Adequacy

66. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interest of each member of the class because she has suffered the same wrongs as the class members.

67. Plaintiff is fully cognizant of her responsibilities as class representative and has retained León Cosgrove, LLP to prosecute this case. León Cosgrove, LLP is experienced in

complex class action litigation, including litigation related to unfair and deceptive trade practices, and has the financial and legal resources to meet the costs of and understand the legal issues associated with this type of litigation.

68. Class action treatment is superior to the alternatives, if any, for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy alleged herein because such treatment will permit a large number of similarly-situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of evidence, effort, and expense that numerous individual actions would engender.

E. The Prerequisites of Rule 23(b)(2) Are Satisfied.

69. The prerequisites to maintaining a class action for injunctive and equitable relief pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) exist as JetBlue has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive and equitable relief with respect to the class as a whole.

70. JetBlue's actions are generally applicable to the class as a whole, and Plaintiff seeks, among other things, equitable remedies with respect to the class as a whole.

F. The Prerequisites of Rule 23(b)(3) Are Satisfied.

71. The questions of law and fact enumerated above predominate over questions affecting only individual members of the class, and a class action is the superior method for fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

72. The likelihood that individual members of the class will prosecute separate actions, and their interest in so doing, is small due to the extensive time and considerable expense necessary to conduct such litigation.

73. This action will be prosecuted in a fashion to ensure the Court's able management of this case as a class action on behalf of the class. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

COUNT I
VIOLATION OF FLORIDA DECEPTIVE AND UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES ACT

74. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 73 as if fully set forth herein and further alleges the following.

75. This count is brought pursuant to the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act ("FDUTPA").

76. At all times material, Plaintiff and all members of the class were consumers within the meaning of Section 501.203, Fla. Stat., and are entitled to relief under FDUTPA in accordance with Section 501.211, Fla. Stat.

77. At all times material, JetBlue conducted trade and commerce within the meaning of Section 501.203, Fla. Stat.

78. JetBlue has engaged in unlawful schemes and courses of conduct through one or more of the unfair and deceptive acts and practices alleged above.

79. The misrepresentations and deceptions, and concealment and omissions of material facts, alleged in the preceding paragraphs occurred in connection with JetBlue's trade and commerce in Florida.

80. JetBlue's unfair and deceptive acts and practices violate FDUTPA, Sections 501.201 and 501.211, Fla. Stat.

81. As a direct and proximate result of JetBlue's FDUTPA violations, Plaintiff and the class have been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial. Plaintiff and the class have a monetary, out of pocket loss, as they paid money to JetBlue as a result of its deceptive conduct.

82. Plaintiff and the class are entitled to actual damages, declaratory and injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs, and all other remedies available under FDUTPA.

**COUNT II
UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

83. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 73 as if fully set forth herein and further alleges the following.

84. This is a count for unjust enrichment.

85. Plaintiff and each member of the class conferred a direct benefit on JetBlue through their payment for trip insurance, allowing JetBlue to enrich itself to the detriment of the class.

86. JetBlue appreciated, accepted, and retained this benefit, as it garnered substantial profits by virtue of its insurance kickback scheme.

87. Under the circumstances, it would be unjust and inequitable to allow JetBlue to retain this benefit, as it was obtained through deceptive representations.

88. Independently, it would also be unjust and inequitable to allow JetBlue to retain this benefit because JetBlue is not legally entitled to receive commissions for sales of trip insurance in the first place because it does not have a license to broker insurance.

89. Plaintiff and the class suffered damages as a result of JetBlue's unjust enrichment.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Named Plaintiff and the plaintiff class request the following relief:

- a. Certification of the class;
- b. A jury trial and judgment against JetBlue;
- c. An order requiring JetBlue to make full disclosure to consumers of its receipt or retention of trip insurance premiums sold on its website and the amount of the kickback it retains or receives;

- d. The costs of suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees, in accordance with FDUTPA;
- e. General, actual, and compensatory and exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- f. Restitution of the amount JetBlue was unjustly enriched as a result of the wrongs alleged herein, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- g. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by applicable law; and
- h. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial as to all claims so triable.

Dated: September 17, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Alec H. Schultz

Scott B. Cosgrove

Fla. Bar No. 161365

Alec H. Schultz

Fla. Bar No. 35022

John R. Byrne

Fla. Bar No. 126294

Jeremy L. Kahn

Fla. Bar No. 105277

LEÓN COSGROVE, LLP

255 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800

Coral Gables, Florida 33134

Tel: 305.740.1975

Email: scosgrove@leoncosgrove.com

Email: aschultz@leoncosgrove.com

Email: jbyrne@leoncosgrove.com

Email: jkahn@leoncosgrove.com

Counsel for Plaintiff and the Class

EXHIBIT 1



[Home](#) [About Us](#) [Consumers](#) [Banking Industry](#) [Insurance Industry](#) **LEGAL** [Reports & Publications](#)
[Regulations](#) [Opinions](#) [Industry Guidance](#) [Interagency Agreements & MOUs](#) [FOIL Requests](#) [Legislative Summaries](#)

The Office of General Counsel issued the following opinion on April 23, 2008, representing the position of the New York State Insurance Department.

RE: Selling Travel Insurance

Questions Presented:

1. May an insurer pay an insurance commission to a cruise line or tour operator that is not a licensed and appointed insurance agent, or a licensed insurance broker?
2. May a cruise line or tour operator share an insurance commission with a travel agent who is a licensed agent but who has not been appointed by the respective insurer?
3. May a cruise line or tour operator pay a commission on a trip package that includes travel insurance to a travel agent that is not licensed to sell insurance?

Conclusions:

1. No. An insurer may not pay an insurance commission to a cruise line or tour operator that is not either a licensed and appointed insurance agent, or a licensed insurance broker.
2. No. A cruise line or tour operator may not share an insurance commission with a travel agent who is a licensed agent but who has not been appointed by the respective insurer.
3. No. A cruise line or tour operator may not pay a commission on a trip package that includes travel insurance to a travel agent that is not licensed to sell insurance.

Facts:

The inquirer reports that some travel agents in New York solicit and sell travel insurance in connection with the sale of cruises and other tour packages. The inquirer also states that, in some cases, a cruise line or tour operator pays insurance commissions to travel agents. Further, the inquirer reports that in some instances, a cruise line or tour operator pays the travel agent a general commission based upon the total purchase price of a vacation package, which includes the cost of insurance.

As an example, the inquirer submitted a copy of a "Booked Confirmation Invoice – Agent Copy", which itemizes the charges for a particular cruise. For some of the charges, the commission rate applies directly to the particular charge. The invoice lists the price, commission rate and commission earned for the "ABC-Vacation Protection Plan," which the Department surmises to be the insurance to which the inquirer refers. The inquirer states that the commission is paid by the insurer to the cruise line, and that the cruise line pays a commission to the travel agent.

Analysis:

Insurer Payment of Commissions

N.Y. Ins. Law §§ 2114, 2115 and 2116 (McKinney Supp. 2008) are germane to the inquirer's query. These statutes prohibit an insurer or an insurance agent from paying a commission to a person who acts as an insurance agent or broker without a license. Insurance Law § 2115 applies to property/casualty insurance agents. It reads in relevant part as follows:

(a)(1) No insurer doing business in this state, and no agent or other representative thereof . . . shall pay any commission or other compensation to any person, firm, association or corporation for acting as insurance agent in this state, except to a licensed insurance agent of such insurer

Insurance Law § 2114, which applies to life, accident and health agents, contains similar language.¹

Insurance Law § 2116 applies to brokers. It states:

No insurer authorized to do business in this state, and no officer, agent or other representative thereof, shall pay any money or give any other thing of value to any person, firm, association or corporation for or because of his or its acting in this state

as an insurance broker, unless such person, firm, association or corporation is authorized so to act by virtue of a license issued or renewed pursuant to the provisions of section two thousand one hundred four of this article

Insurance Law § 2101(a) defines "agent" in relevant part as follows:

(a) In this article, "insurance agent" means any authorized or acknowledged agent of an insurer, fraternal benefit society or health maintenance organization issued a certificate of authority pursuant to article forty-four of the public health law, and any sub-agent or other representative of such an agent, who acts as such in the solicitation of, negotiation for, or sale of, an insurance, health maintenance organization or annuity contract, other than as a licensed insurance broker, except that such term shall not include:

Insurance Law § 2101(c) defines "insurance broker" in relevant part as follows:

(c) In this article, "insurance broker" means any person, firm, association or corporation who or which for any compensation, commission or other thing of value acts or aids in any manner in soliciting, negotiating or selling, any insurance or annuity contract or in placing risks or taking out insurance, on behalf of an insured other than himself, herself or itself or on behalf of any licensed insurance broker. . .

In addition to the proscription against paying commissions to nonlicensees, Insurance Law §§ 2114 and 2115 prohibit an insurer from paying a commission to a licensed insurance agent other than an insurance agent "of such insurer." An agent "of such insurer" is an agent who has been appointed pursuant to the procedures set out in Insurance Law § 2112. See Opinion of Office of General Counsel No. 04-04-17 (April 20, 2004). Accordingly, an insurer may not pay a commission to an agent unless the agent is both licensed and appointed. Further, the agent must be licensed and appointed at the time the agent places the business upon which the commission is based. See Opinion of Office of General Counsel No. 04-04-17 (April 20, 2004).

In view of the statutory framework, an insurer may not pay an insurance commission to a cruise line or tour operator that is not either a licensed and appointed insurance agent, or a licensed insurance broker.

Moreover, Insurance Law § 2102 generally prohibits the sale of insurance without a license. According to Insurance Law § 2102(a)(1):

No person, firm, association or corporation shall act as an insurance producer or insurance adjuster in this state without having authority to do so by virtue of a license issued and in force pursuant to the provisions of this chapter.

Insurance Law § 2101(k), in turn, defines an "insurance producer" as "an insurance agent, insurance broker, reinsurance intermediary, excess line broker, or any other person required to be licensed under the laws of this state to sell, solicit or negotiate insurance." Thus, a cruise line or tour operator that acts as an insurance agent but is unlicensed as such runs afoul of Insurance Law § 2102. Nevertheless, a travel agent may apply for a limited license to act as an insurance agent with respect to travel insurance, pursuant to Insurance Law § 2103(g). That statute authorizes:

a baggage or accident and health insurance agent's license to any ticket selling agent or representative of a railroad company, steamship company, carrier by air, or public bus carrier, who shall act thereunder as insurance agent only in reference to the issuance of baggage or accident insurance tickets primarily for the purpose of covering risk of travel.

Licensed Agent not Appointed

As noted above, Insurance Law §§ 2114 and 2115 prohibit an insurer from paying a commission to a licensed agent who has not been appointed by the insurer. At the same time, the statutes apply to an "agent or other representative" of the insurer. Thus, a cruise line or tour operator that sells or assists the insurer to sell insurance would constitute "an agent or other representative." Accordingly, the cruise line or tour operator may not pay or share any commission with a licensed agent who has not been appointed by the insurer.

Travel Package Including Insurance

The inquirer asks whether a cruise line or tour operator may pay a commission as a flat percentage of the total price of a trip package where the package includes the cost of insurance to a travel agent who is not licensed as an insurance agent. Where, as here, a non-insurance commission is based in part on the sale of insurance, the commission is (at least in part) an insurance commission. As discussed above, an insurer and its agent or other representative may not pay a commission to a person who acts as an insurance agent without a license, or to a licensed insurance agent who has not been appointed by the insurer. Thus, a cruise line or tour operator may not calculate its commissions in this manner. Rather, the price of the travel insurance must be excluded from the package price before applying the commission rate when the commission for the trip package is to be paid to a person who is not licensed as an insurance agent or broker.

Moreover, if the cruise line or the tour operator were to require the purchase of, or otherwise provide, insurance in connection or conjunction with the purchase of travel services, such conduct would run afoul of Insurance Law § 2324 and/or § 4224. See Opinion of Office of General Counsel No. 00- 07-19 (July 27, 2000).

The cruise line or tour operator also would violate these statutes if the cruise line or the tour operator were to pay the traveler's cost for the insurance (or otherwise "absorb" it into the cost of the travel package) rather than offer the insurance for a separate charge. See Opinion of Office of General Counsel No. 00- 07-19 (July 27, 2000). Insurance Law §§ 2324 and 4224 prohibit an insurer or its agent from offering or giving a rebate not specified in the policy.² These statutes also proscribe the "tying" of the sale of insurance to the purchase of a particular product or service. Insurance Law § 2324(a) reads as follows:

(a) No authorized insurer, no licensed insurance agent, no licensed insurance broker, and no other representative of any such broker shall make, procure or negotiate any contract of insurance other than as plainly expressed in the policy or written contract issued or to be issued as evidence thereof, or shall directly, or indirectly, by giving or sharing a commission or in any manner whatsoever, pay or allow or offer to pay or allow to the insured as an inducement to the making of insurance or after insurance has been effected, any rebate from the premium which is specified in the policy, or any special favor or advantage

in the dividends or other benefit to accrue thereon, or shall give or offer to give, any valuable consideration or inducement of any kind which is not specified in such policy or contract

Insurance Law § 4224(c) sets forth similar provisions with regard to life and accident and health insurance and annuities.

The only exception to the requirement that the coverage be offered as optional and for a separate charge pertains to accident and health insurance offered to certain groups expressly provided by statute. See Insurance Law § 4235 (c)(1)(L).³ There are no such similar exceptions set forth in the Insurance Law for property/casualty coverages; in fact, group property/casualty insurance is not permissible. Thus, a policy that contains both types of coverage must be offered on an optional basis and for a separate charge. But if property/casualty coverages are involved, they must be offered on an individual, not group, basis.

For further information you may contact Senior Attorney Brenda Gibbs at the Albany Office.

¹ Insurance Law § 2114 and 2115 each may be relevant here because a travel insurance policy may provide life, accident and health coverage, as well as property/casualty coverage.

² This is not to imply, however, that an insurer may include any inducement it wants in the policy. See Opinion of General Counsel No. 07-06-03 (June 4, 2007).

³ Insurance Law § 4235(c)(1)(L) describes, as a permissible group for accident and health insurance, customers of certain types of financial institutions, provided certain criteria are met. The statute allows a financial institution to pay the insurance premiums of the group members provided that all eligible members of the group are insured under the policy.

Department of Financial Services

Consumer Quicklinks

- [File a Complaint](#)
- [Obtain a Lien Release on a Car](#)
- [File a FOIL Request](#)
- [Learn about Tenant's Rights](#)
- [File an External Appeal](#)
- [Report Fraud](#)
- [DMV Insurance Codes](#)

Industry Quicklinks

- [Check Insurance License Status](#)
- [Serve Process](#)
- [File a 90-Day Foreclosure Notice](#)
- [Get Approval for a Title](#)
- [Report Fraud](#)
- [Independent Adjusters](#)

Website

- [Accessibility](#)
- [Disclaimer](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [Site Map](#)
- [PDF Reader](#)

Language Assistance

- [Español \(Spanish\)](#)
- [中文 \(Chinese\)](#)
- [Русский \(Russian\)](#)
- [Italiano \(Italian\)](#)
- [Kreyòl ayisyen \(Haitian-Creole\)](#)
- [한국어 \(Korean\)](#)
- [Polski \(Polish\)](#)

CONNECT WITH US

[DFS Secure Portal](#)



REGISTER TO VOTE

[Sign up online or download and mail in your application.](#)

[REGISTER NOW](#)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Milita Barbara Dolan DEFENDANTS JetBlue Airways Corporation

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Broward County, FL County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Scott B. Cosgrove, Alec H. Schultz, John R. Byrne, Jeremy L. Kahn, Leon Cosgrove, LLP, 255 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800, Coral Gables, FL Attorneys (If Known)

(d) Check County Where Action Arose: MIAMI-DADE MONROE BROWARD PALM BEACH MARTIN ST. LUCIE INDIAN RIVER OKEECHOBEE HIGHLANDS

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Grid for Basis of Jurisdiction and Citizenship of Principal Parties with checkboxes for U.S. Government Plaintiff/Defendant, Federal Question, Diversity, Citizen of This/Another State, Foreign Nation, etc.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions

Large grid for Nature of Suit with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, CIVIL RIGHTS, PERSONAL INJURY, TORTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Re-filed (See VI below) 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation Transfer 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File 9 Remanded from Appellate Court

VI. RELATED/ RE-FILED CASE(S) (See instructions): a) Re-filed Case YES NO b) Related Cases YES NO JUDGE: DOCKET NUMBER:

VII. CAUSE OF ACTION 28 U.S.C. Section 1332 Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and Write a Brief Statement of Cause (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): LENGTH OF TRIAL via 5 days estimated (for both sides to try entire case)

VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND \$ more than \$5,000,000 JURY DEMAND: Yes No

ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE DATE September 17, 2018 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ Alec H. Schultz

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida

Milita Barbara Dolan,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

JetBlue Airways Corporation,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) JetBlue Airways Corporation
c/o NRAI Services, Inc.
1200 South Pine Island Road
Plantation, FL 33324

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Scott B. Cosgrove, Esq.
Alec H. Schultz, Esq.
John R. Byrne, Esq.
Jeremy L. Kahn, Esq.
255 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800
Coral Gables, FL 33134

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: 09/17/2018

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____ , who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print

Save As...

Reset