UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

SHARREL L. DICKENS, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:21-cv-00842

v.

CREDIT.COM,

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

NOW COMES SHARREL L. DICKENS, by and through her undersigned counsel, complaining of Defendant CREDIT.COM, as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action seeking redress for Defendant's violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA") pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227 et seq.
- 2. "The primary purpose of the TCPA was to protect individuals from the harassment, invasion of privacy, inconvenience, nuisance, and other harms associated with unsolicited, automated calls." *Parchman v. SLM Corp.*, 896 F.3d 728, 738-39 (6th Cir. 2018) citing Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Pub. L. No. 102-243, § 2, 105 Stat. 2394 (1991).
- 3. As the Supreme Court recently observed, "Americans passionately disagree about many things. But they are largely united in their disdain for robocalls." *Barr v. Am. Ass'n of Political Consultants*, 140 S. Ct. 2335, 2343 (2020).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 5. Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 6. SHARREL L. DICKENS ("Plaintiff") is a natural person, over 18-years-of-age, who at all times relevant resided in Chicago, Illinois.
 - 7. Plaintiff is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 8. CREDIT.COM ("Defendant") operates a website that facilitates the extension of credit to consumers and provides consumers with credit related services. According to its website, the credit extension offers listed on Defendant's website "are from companies from which Credit.com receives compensation."
 - 9. Defendant is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant develops marketing campaigns using a combination of sales channels, with an emphasis on outbound telemarketing.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant utilizes third party vendors to market its services.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant's vendors are essential to the success of its telemarketing campaigns.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant's ability to generate revenue depends significantly on its access to high-quality vendors.

2

¹ www.credit.com (last visited February 15, 2021)

- 14. Defendant is subject to liability under the TCPA for actions of its third party vendors who engage in outbound telemarketing efforts on its behalf.
- 15. Defendant's third party vendors identify themselves as representatives of Defendant.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 16. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was the sole operator, possessor, and subscriber of the cellular telephone number ending in 7809.
- 17. At all times relevant, Plaintiff's number ending in 7809 was assigned to a cellular telephone service as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 18. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was financially responsible for her cellular telephone equipment and services.
- 19. Plaintiff was on the market for a life insurance policy and completed an online inquiry form on a website not operated or maintained by Defendant.
- 20. In early January 2021, Plaintiff started to receive phone calls and prerecorded voicemails on her cellular phone from Defendant.
- 21. Shortly after Defendant's calls began, Plaintiff answered a call in an effort to determine the reason for Defendant's calls.
 - 22. During this call, Defendant offered Plaintiff credit related services.
- 23. Plaintiff advised Defendant that she was not interested in its services and requested that the solicitation calls cease.
- 24. Despite Plaintiff's request that Defendant cease its invasive solicitation calls, Defendant continued to place solicitation calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone.
 - 25. On numerous occasions, Defendant left prerecorded voicemails on Plaintiff's

cellular phone stating: "Hi, this is Credit.com. You recently submitted a request through one of our partner sites so we are calling to provide you with a free credit report consultation to help you with your credit needs. Please call us back at (844) 243-7661..."

- 26. In total, Defendant placed no less than 10 invasive solicitation calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone after Plaintiff requested that the calls cease.
- 27. Defendant placed the aforementioned solicitation calls from various numbers, including the phone number (844) 857-1276.
- 28. At no point in time did Plaintiff solicit Defendant's services or otherwise provide her cellular phone number to Defendant.

DAMAGES

- 29. Defendant's illegal solicitation practices have caused Plaintiff actual harm, including but not limited to, invasion of privacy, nuisance, wasting Plaintiff's time, the increased risk of personal injury resulting from the distraction caused by the solicitation calls, decreased productivity, aggravation that accompanies unwanted solicitation calls, frustration, loss of concentration, and the loss of battery charge.
- 30. Moreover, each time Defendant placed a solicitation call to Plaintiff, Defendant occupied Plaintiff's cellular phone such that Plaintiff was unable to receive other phone calls or otherwise utilize her cellular phone while her phone was ringing.
- 31. Concerned with the escalation of Defendant's invasive solicitation practices, Plaintiff retained counsel to file this action to compel Defendant to cease its invasive practices.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

32. All Paragraphs of this Complaint are expressly adopted and incorporated herein as though fully set forth herein.

33. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) and 23(b)(3) individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated ("Putative Class") defined as follows:

All persons in the United States (1) to whom Defendant placed, or caused to be placed, a phone call; (2) directed to a number assigned to a cellular telephone service; (3) using an artificial or prerecorded voice; (4) without the prior express written consent of the called party; (5) within the four years preceding the date of this complaint through the date of class certification.

34. The following individuals are excluded from the Putative Class: (1) any Judge or Magistrate Judge presiding over this action and members of their families; (2) Defendant, Defendant's subsidiaries, parents, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest and their current or former employees, officers and directors; (3) Plaintiff's attorneys; (4) persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Putative Class; (5) the legal representatives, successors or assigns of any such excluded persons; and (6) persons whose claims against Defendant have been fully and finally adjudicated and/or released.

A. Numerosity

- 35. Upon information and belief, the members of the Putative Class are so numerous that joinder of them is impracticable.
- 36. The exact number of the members of the Putative Class is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, and can only be determined through targeted discovery.
- 37. The members of the Putative Class are ascertainable because the Class is defined by reference to objective criteria.
- 38. The members of the Putative Class are identifiable in that their names, addresses, and telephone numbers can be identified in business records maintained by Defendant.

B. Commonality and Predominance

- 39. There are many questions of law and fact common to the claims of Plaintiff and the claims of the members of the Putative Class.
- 40. Those questions predominate over any questions that may affect individual members of the Putative Class.

C. Typicality

41. Plaintiff's claims are typical of members of the Putative Class because Plaintiff and members of the Putative Class are entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's conduct.

D. Superiority and Manageability

- 42. This case is also appropriate for class certification as class proceedings are superior to all other available methods for the efficient and fair adjudication of this controversy.
- 43. The damages suffered by the individual members of the Putative Class will likely be relatively small, especially given the burden and expense required for individual prosecution.
- 44. By contrast, a class action provides the benefits of single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.
- 45. Economies of effort, expense, and time will be fostered and uniformity of decisions ensured.

E. Adequate Representation

- 46. Plaintiff will adequately and fairly represent and protect the interests of the Putative Class.
- 47. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to those of the members of the Putative Class and Defendant has no defenses unique to Plaintiff.
- 48. Plaintiff has retained competent and experienced counsel in consumer class action litigation.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF COUNT I:

Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. § 227 et. seq.) (On behalf of Plaintiff and the Members of the Putative Class)

- 49. All paragraphs of this Complaint are expressly adopted and incorporated herein as though fully set forth herein.
- 50. Among other things, the TCPA prohibits certain calls to wireless and residential numbers unless the caller has the prior express consent of the called party. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 51. Under the TCPA consent rules, some types of calls require prior express written consent, while other types of calls do not require that the consent be in writing.
- 52. "Prior express written consent" is required for (a) all telemarketing/promotional calls/texts made using an ATDS placed to wireless numbers, and (b) all artificial or prerecorded telemarketing/promotional voice calls to wireless and residential numbers. ²
- 53. The TCPA consent rules define "prior express written consent" as "an agreement, in writing, bearing the signature of the person called that clearly authorizes the seller to deliver or cause to be delivered to the person called advertisements or telemarketing messages using an ATDS or an artificial or prerecorded voice, and the telephone number to which the signatory authorizes such advertisements or telemarketing messages to be delivered."
- 54. Defendant violated § 227 (b)(1)(A)(iii) of the TCPA by placing or causing to be placed non-emergency calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, utilizing an artificial or prerecorded voice, without Plaintiff's prior express written consent.
- 55. As pled above, Defendant used an artificial or prerecorded voice that automatically played upon the call reaching Plaintiff's voicemail.

-

² 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1200(a)(2) and (3).

- 56. As pled above, Plaintiff never provided Defendant with her cellular phone number or otherwise consent to receiving phone calls from Defendant.
- 57. Moreover, as pled above, Plaintiff revoked any prior consent Defendant may have believed it had during a phone call that Plaintiff answered.
- 58. Upon information and belief, it is a systemic practice of Defendant to call consumers without their prior express written consent, a practice designed to maximize profits at the expense of consumers.
- 59. Upon information and belief, Defendant does not maintain adequate procedures that effectively document consumers' requests that the calls cease, thus resulting in consumers receiving solicitation calls after they have requested that the solicitation calls cease.
- 60. As a result of Defendant's violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff and the members of the Putative Class are entitled to receive \$500.00 in damages for each such violation.
- 61. As a result of Defendant's knowing and willful violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff and the members of the Putative Class are entitled to receive up to \$1,500.00 in treble damages for each such violation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the members of the Putative Class, requests the following relief:

- A. an order granting certification of the proposed Class, including the designation of Plaintiff as the named representative, and the appointment of the undersigned as Class Counsel;
- B. an order finding that Defendant violated the TCPA;
- C. an order enjoining Defendant from placing or causing to place further violating calls to consumers;

- D. an award of \$500.00 in damages to Plaintiff and the members of the Putative Class for each such violation;
- E. an award of treble damages up to \$1,500.00 to Plaintiff and the members of the Putative Class for each such violation; and
- F. an award of such other relief as this Court deems just and proper

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury.

Dated: February 15, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

SHARREL L. DICKENS

By: /s/ Mohammed O. Badwan

Mohammed O. Badwan, Esq. Victor T. Metroff, Esq. Counsel for Plaintiff
Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd
2500 S Highland Ave, Suite 200
Lombard, IL 60148
(630) 575-8180
mbadwan@sulaimanlaw.com
vmetroff@sulaimanlaw.com

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Case: 1:21-cv-00842 Document $\#$: 1-1 Filed: 02/15/21 Page 1 of 2 PageID $\#$:10 } \\ \text{CIVIL COVER SHEET} \end{array}$

The ILND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil decket sheet. (See instructions on next neces of this form.)

of initiating the civil docket she	et. (See instructions on next p	page of this form.)			, <u>1</u>	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
SHARREL L. DICKENS, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated				CREDIT.COM		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Cook County (Except in U.S. plaintiff cases)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (In U.S. plaintiff cases only)		
				Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved.		
(c) Attorneys (firm name, address, and telephone number)				Attorneys (if known)		
Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd. 2500 South Highland Avenue, S (630) 575-8181	suite 200, Lombard, IL 60148					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Check one box, or	ıly.)	III. CITI	ZENSHIP OF PRI	NCIPAL PARTIES (For I	Diversity Cases Only.)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government not a party)			(Check <u>one</u> box, only for plaintiff and <u>one</u> box for defendant.) PTF DEF Citizen of This State _ 1 _ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State PTF _ 4 _ DEF			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	_ ,		Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 of Business in Another State			
W. NATURE OF CHIEF	,			or Subject of a gn Country	3 Greign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Check one box, only.) CONTRACT TORTS PRISONER PETITIONS LABOR OTHER STATUTES						
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 151 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 530 General 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 422 Appeal 28 USC 15 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 15 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 15 424 Withdrawal 28 USC 15 425 Withdrawal 28 USC 15 426 Naturalization 467 Application 468 Habeas Corpus - A Detainee (Prisoner Pecons) 465 Other Immigration Actions	530 535 Hal 540 550 550 560 67 67 690	Motions to Vacate Sentence General Death Penalty Death Penalty Deas Corpus: Mandamus & Other Civil Rights Prison Condition Civil Detainee – Conditions Confinement RFEITURE/PENALTY Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other	710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 790 Comparison 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark 801 HIA (1395ff) 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) 700 FEDERAL TAXES 700 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 711 RS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729 (a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
V. ORIGIN (Check one box, or a large proceeding large) 1 Original large proceeding large procedure proceeding large proceeding large procedure procedu	moved from 3 Rema	anded from Ulate Court	4 Reinsta Reopen		isferred from 6 Multidistr ther District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION write a brief statement of cause.) Telephone Consumer Protection			case numb		PTCY MATTERS (For naturated bankruptcy matter previously add.)	,
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Check if this is a class action Under rule 23, F.R.CV.P.			Demand \$ Check Yes only if demanded in complaint. Jury Demand: Yes No		
IX. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instructions) Judge Case Number					1 200 11 210
X. Is this a previously dismissed or remanded case?						

Case: 1:231-60-70843-0R994-WRELTH CO-1-ELFANG 02-1-15-60-1-ELFANG 02-1-ELFANG 02-ELFANG 02-ELFANG 02-ELFANG 02-ELFANG 02-ELFANG 02-ELFANG 02-ELFAN

Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- **VII. Previous Bankruptcy Matters** For nature of suit 422 and 423 enter the case number and judge for any associated bankruptcy matter previously adjudicated by a judge of this court. Use a separate attachment if necessary.
- VIII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- **IX. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- **X. Refiling Information.** Place an "X" in the Yes box if the case is being refiled or if it is a remanded case, and indicate the case number and name of judge. If this case is not being refiled or has not been remanded, place an "X" in the No box.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Credit.com Hit with Class Action Over Alleged Robocalls