UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
ELSIE DAVID,	CIVIL ACTION NO.
Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, -against-	COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT
SCO FAMILY OF SERVICES, INC.,	
Defendant.	

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, as and for a collective action complaint against Defendant, alleges as follows:

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff brings this collective action on behalf of similarly situated hourly employees (the "Collective"), to seek redress for underpayment of overtime wages against Defendant, who is a provider of human and social services for the elderly and infirm in and around the City of New York and its metropolitan area.
- 2. Defendant, by failing to pay Plaintiff and the Collective the federal and state mandated overtime wage, violated the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq* and the New York Labor Law ("NYLL") §§ 650 *et seq*.
- 3. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all similarly situated current and former hourly employees of Defendant since November 2015 who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to remedy Defendant's violations of the wage and hour provisions of the FLSA which deprived Plaintiff and others similarly situated of their lawful overtime wages.

- 4. Plaintiff also brings this action on behalf of herself only for unpaid overtime wages pursuant to NYLL §§ 650 *et seq* and implementing regulations.
- 5. Plaintiff hereby seeks legal and declaratory relief against Defendant pursuant to federal and New York statutes.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 7. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) as Defendant resides, conducts business and employed Plaintiff in this judicial district.
- 8. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

#### **PARTIES**

- 9. Plaintiff is an adult individual who, at all times relevant to this Complaint, has been a resident citizen of the state of New York, County of Nassau.
- 10. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 USC § 203(e) and NYLL § 651.
- 11. At all relevant times, Defendant employed Plaintiff within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 12. Defendant SCO Family of Services, Inc. ("SCO") is a domestic not for profit corporation doing business within the state of New York that maintains its principal place of business at 1 Alexander Place, Glen Cove, NY 11542. SCO provides human and social services to elderly, ill and/or frail individuals throughout the state of New York.

- 13. Defendant was at all times herein relevant an enterprise engaged in and/or affecting interstate commerce with annual revenues in excess of \$500,000.00 and Plaintiff and others worked for Defendant in interstate commerce using the mails and wires and handling items which moved in interstate commerce, including but not limited to pens, papers, medical instruments, computers, office furniture, office equipment, telephones, *inter alia*.
- 14. At all times herein relevant, Defendant operated several elementary and secondary schools, early childhood learning centers and residential in-patient treatment centers for persons with and without developmental and/or mental disabilities throughout NYS, including but not limited to *Morningside I and II, Taft Academy, Early Head Start, Madonna Residential School* and *Close to Home*.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 15. Defendant at all relevant times herein employed Plaintiff as a nurse to work in the health care industry and provide assistance to Defendant's disabled, ill and elderly clients.
- 16. Plaintiff worked for Defendant full-time as a nurse from 1996 through February 2018 at Defendant's Long Island New York facility.
- 17. The job duties of Plaintiff and the Collective included, but were not limited to the following: providing health care services, personal care services, assistance with activities of daily living like walking, bathing, dressing, personal grooming, meal preparation, feeding and toileting; heavy and light cleaning, such as vacuuming, mopping, dusting, cleaning windows, cleaning bathrooms, doing laundry and taking out garbage; escorting clients.
- 18. Defendant had the right to control, and in fact did control, the hours, hourly pay, assignments, and schedule of Plaintiff and the Collective. Plaintiff and the Collective were paid on a W-2 basis and evaluated by Defendant.

- 19. At all relevant times, Defendant failed to post and/or keep posted a notice explaining employees' rights under the FLSA, in violation of 29 C.F.R. § 516.4.
- 20. Plaintiff and the Collective worked eight (8) or twelve (12) hour shifts for Defendant and at all times herein relevant Defendant required Plaintiff and the Collective to attend to and monitor their assigned clients throughout the duration of their respective work shifts; and controlled the manner in which Plaintiff did so in terms of frequency, priority and documentation, *inter alia*.
- 21. Defendant at all times monitored Plaintiff's and the Collective's compliance with Defendant's guidelines, procedures and policies to ensure compliance therewith in the discharge of Plaintiff's job duties.
- 22. Throughout the duration of Plaintiff's tenure, Defendant required her to punch in and out every day in order to track hours worked.
- 23. Defendant paid Plaintiff and the Collective on an hourly basis with overtime payments after forty (40) hours per week. (Attached as Exhibit 1).
- 24. In or about May 2016, Defendant paid Plaintiff and the Collective retroactive lump sum pay pursuant to contractual arrangement.
- 25. For example, during the weeks of 4/24/16-4/30/16 and 5/1/16-5/7/16, Plaintiff worked Monday through Friday 8:00 am to 6:00 pm and Saturday 1:00 am to 5:00 pm, for approximately 49 hours each of those weeks. Defendant paid Plaintiff and the Collective overtime wages for each of those weeks, but failed to include in its overtime calculation the lump sum retroactive pay that Plaintiff and the others received.
- 26. At all relevant times, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages based on the correct regular rate for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week, in violation of the overtime provisions of the FLSA and NYLL. (Attached as Exhibit 2).

- 27. As part of its regular business practice, Defendant intentionally, willfully and repeatedly engaged in a pattern, practice and/or policy of violating the FLSA and NYLL including but not limited to failing to pay Plaintiff and the Collective one and one half times their regular rates of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant's unlawful conduct as described herein was pursuant to a policy or practice of attempting to minimize labor costs by violating the FLSA and NYLL.
- 29. Defendant's unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated and consistent; and was not undertaken based on advice of counsel nor guidance from a competent court or governmental agency.
- 30. Throughout Plaintiff's tenure, Defendant provided Plaintiff with pay statements with each payment of wages that did not include the actual hourly and overtime pay rates, *inter alia*.

#### **COLLECTIVE ALLEGATIONS**

- 31. Plaintiff brings the FLSA claims on behalf of herself and all similarly situated persons who worked for Defendant as hourly non-exempt employees since November 2015, who worked more than forty (40) hours in any week and received retroactive pay from Defendant during that time period ("FLSA Collective").
- 32. Defendant is liable under the FLSA for failing to properly pay the overtime wages for all hours worked greater than forty (40) per week. As such, notice should be sent to the FLSA Collective.
- 33. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendant who have been similarly underpaid in violation of the FLSA and who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit and the opportunity to join.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: FLSA OVERTIME (29 U.S.C. §§ 206, 216) (On Behalf of Plaintiff and Collective Members)

- 34. Plaintiffs allege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs.
- 35. Defendant has engaged in a widespread pattern and practice of violating the FLSA, as detailed in this Complaint.
- 36. Plaintiff consents to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 37. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and the Collective were employed by Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).
- 38. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and the Collective were engaged in commerce and Defendant was an enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 39. Defendant violated the rights of Plaintiff and the Collective by failing to pay overtime compensation at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rates of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per week, in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).
- 40. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and the Collective overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 255.
- 41. Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Collective who opt in to this action for their unpaid overtime compensation, plus an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, reasonable attorney's fees and costs, and any other appropriate relief pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: NY OVERTIME WAGES (Labor Law §§ 652, 663) (On Behalf of Plaintiff Only)

- 42. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs.
- 43. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was Defendant's employee within the meaning of NYLL § 651(5).
- 44. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was the employer of Plaintiff within the meaning of NYLL § 651(6).
- 45. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for all hours worked greater than forty (40) per week in violation of NYLL § 652 and 12 N.Y.C.R.R. §§ 142-3.2.
- 46. Defendant willfully violated the rights of Plaintiff by failing to pay overtime wages due and owing for work performed in violation of NYLL.
- 47. Due to Defendant's NYLL violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant her unpaid overtime wages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, liquidated damages, and other compensatory and equitable relief pursuant to NYLL § 663.

# <u>THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: NY WAGE THEFT</u> (Labor Law §§ 195, 198) (On Behalf of Plaintiff Only)

- 48. Plaintiff repeats the foregoing paragraphs in their entirety.
- 49. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with wage statements with each wage payment that contained all required information, such as hourly and overtime pay rates, hours worked, overtime hours worked, etc.

- 50. As a result of the foregoing, Defendant violated NYLL §§ 195(3) and Plaintiff is entitled to recover civil penalties and record-keeping violation liability from Defendant for the duration of said violations.
- 51. By reason of the aforesaid statutory violation, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff.
- 52. Defendant willfully violated the rights of Plaintiff by the aforementioned course of action.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, prays for the following relief:

A. That, at the earliest possible time, Plaintiff be permitted to give notice of this collective action, or that the Court issue such notice to all persons who are presently, or have at any time during the three years immediately preceding the filing of this suit, up through and including the date of this Court's issuance of court-supervised notice, been employed by Defendant as an hourly employee and received lump sum retroactive pay. Such notice shall inform them that this civil action has been filed, of the nature of the action, and of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied proper hourly compensation and/or overtime wages;

- B. An award of unpaid overtime, attorneys' fees, costs, and interest pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 and New York Labor Law § 663;
- C. An award of liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 and NYLL § 663;
- D. Certification of this case as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;
- E. Designation of Plaintiff as representative of the Collective, and counsel of record as Collective Counsel; and

9

F. Issuance of a declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the NYLL.

#### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to FRCP 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

October 17, 2018

LAW OFFICE OF DAVID WIMS
BY: David C. Wims, Esq. (DW-6964)

Attorneys for Plaintiff
1430 Pitkin Ave., 2<sup>nd</sup> Fl.

Brooklyn, NY 11233
(646) 393-9550

### EXHIBIT 1

### **EXHIBIT 1**

820328

SCO Family of Services

Voucher No:

910637

1 Alexander Pl. Glen Cove, NY

Check Date: Pay Period: 04/29/16

04/10/16..04/23/16

516-671-1253

ELSIE DAVID

00248655

Excess Group Term Life

**Total Fringe Earnings** 

			•		Net Pay	2,534.13			30,541.63	
**	WESTBURY	, NY 11590-0	0000		Net Pay YTD	19,760.22		Earnings YTD		
	Withholding Status		Additional Withholding	Retirement Number	Sick Balance	Personal Balance	Vacation Balance			
FEDERAL	MARRIED	1			631.72	0	157.42			
NYSTATETAX	MARRIED	1								
Earnings Desc	cription	Hrs/Days	Rate	Earnings	Deduction / B	enefit	Curren	t Y-T-D		
Regular Hourly	Pay	80	37.4954	2,999.63	403B Mass Mu	itual Before	150.00	1,350.00		
Overtime Hour	ly Pay	16	56.24	899.84	Dental Before	Tax	6.67	60.03		
					Aetna Before	Гах	79.6	716.49		
					Federal Incom	е Тах	471.49	3,316.45		
					New York Stat	e Tax	202.29	1,518.34		
					New York Disa	ability	1.20	10.80		
					Medicare		55.4	431.60		
					Social Security	/	236.95	1,845.45		
					Excess Group	Term Life	8.53	76.77		
					<b>UNUM LTD</b>		138.28	1,244.52		
					UNUM STD		23.4	210.96		
Total Earning	s			3,899.47	Total Deducti	ons	1,373.8	7 10,781.41		

8.53

8.53

164.80

YOUR NET PAY HAS BEEN ELECTRONICALLY DEPOSITED AS FOLLOWS:

Pay Distribution
Savings: \*\*\*\*\*
Checking: \*\*\*\*

Amount 100.00 2,434.13

2,534.13

Total Net Pay

### **EXHIBIT 2**

### **EXHIBIT 2**

920328

SCO Family of Services

1 Alexander Pl. Glen Cove, NY

Voucher No: Check Date: 913938

516-671-1253

Pay Period:

05/13/16 04/24/16..05/07/16

00248655 **ELSIE DAVID** 

> STREET WESTBURY, NY 11590-0000

Net Pay

Earnings Deduction / Benefit

2,999.63 Dental Before Tax

Medicare

Social Security Excess Group Term Life

**UNUM LTD** 

**UNUM STD** 

6,180.07 Total Deductions

2,140.00 )403B Mass Mutual Before

Aetna Before Tax

Federal Income Tax

New York State Tax

New York Disability

3,898.94 23,659.16

Earnings YTD

36,730.23

Status **FEDERAL** MARRIED MARRIED NYSTATETAX

**Earnings Description** 

Regular Hourly Pay

Overtime Hourly Pay

Retro Pay

Withholding Additional **Exemptions Withholding** 1

Hrs/Days

80

18.5

Retirement Number

1,040.44

Rate

37.4954 56.24

Sick Balance 635.41

Net Pay YTD

Personal Balance 0

Vacation Balance 164.8

Current

150.00

6.67

79.61

1,041.64

373.47

1.20

88.48

8.53

138.28

23.44

2,289.66

378.34

Y-T-D 1,500.00 66.70 796.10 4,358.09 1,891.81 12.00 520.08 2,223.79

85.30

1,382.80

13,071.07

234.40

**Total Earnings** 

Excess Group Term Life

**Total Fringe Earnings** 

8.53

8.53

YOUR NET PAY HAS BEEN ELECTRONICALLY DEPOSITED AS FOLLOWS:

Pay Distribution

Savings: \*\*\*\*\*

Checking: \*\*\*\*

Amount 100.00

3,798.94

**Total Net Pay** 

3,898.94

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the

101	iic .
Eastern District	of New York
Elsie David	
Plaintiff(s)  V.  SCO Family of Services, Inc.	Civil Action No. 18CV 5803
Defendant(s)	
SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) SCO Family of Services, Inc.	One Alexander Place, Glen Cove, NY 11542
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answ the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion whose name and address are:  Law Office of David Wims, 14	er to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,  30 Pitkin Avenue, 2nd Floor, Brooklyn, NY 11233,
646-393-9550; dwims@wims	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be en You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
Deter	

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15) Case 2:18-cv-05803 Deciment 1 4 Filed 10/17/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 15

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		33		DEFENDANT	S			1~		
Elsie David				SCO Family of Services, Inc.						
				Soo raining or Services, Inc.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Nassau (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF						
(c) Attornous (Firm N				THE TRAC	CT OF LAND I	NVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name				Attorneys (If Known)						
Law Office of David Win 11233, 646-393-9550; d	wims@wimslaw.com	e, 2nd Floor, Brookly	n, NY	Jackson Lewis, P	C, 58 Sout	h Service Roa	ıd, Melville, I	NY 1174	7	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPA	I DADTIEC				
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff Plain				I. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an ".  (For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF  Output  Def  Citizen of This State  1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place					or Plaintiff int) DEF	
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens	Citize	n of Another State	0 2 0 2	of Business In Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place	<b>D</b> 5	<b>5</b>		
			Citize	n or Subject of a	3 3 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	<b>D</b> 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box (	Only)	For	eign Country						
CONTRACT		ORTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUTE	00 1	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	☐ 422 Appe ☐ 423 Witho 28 U	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal ☐ 28 USC 157		OTHER STATUTES  ☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product	Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER'  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  385 Property Damage Product Liability		LABOR	820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark		□ 410 Antitrust     □ 430 Banks and Banking     □ 450 Commerce     □ 460 Deportation     □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and			
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Liability  □ 350 Motor Vehicle  □ 355 Motor Vehicle  Product Liability  □ 360 Other Personal  Injury  □ 362 Personal Injury -  Medical Malpractice		☐ 720 ☐ 740 ☐ 751	Pair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act	☐ 861 HIA (☐ 862 Black	1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit  490 Cable/Sat TV  850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange  890 Other Statutory Actions  891 Agricultural Acts  893 Environmental Matters			
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	S 🗆 791	Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement	FEDERA	L TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedon	n of Informa	ation	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	□ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -		Income Security Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		Act  896 Arbitration  899 Administrative Procedure  Act/Review or Appeal of  Agency Decision  950 Constitutionality of				
	Employment  □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other  □ 448 Education	□ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 462 ☐ 465	IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions			State Statutes			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)							-		
	noved from 3 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinst Reope		er District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation	ct			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 29 USC 201 et se Brief description of ca Unpaid overtime v	tute under which you are eq nuse:	filing (Do	not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless dive	ersity):				
/II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2:	IS A CLASS ACTION	DE	MAND \$		ECK YES only in	f demanded in a			
III. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		<u> </u>		NUMBER	Z res	□ No		
O/17/2018	na.	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF	RECORD	DUCKET	NUMBEK				
OR OFFICE USE ONLY			-	-						
RECEIPT # AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	7	MAG. JUDO	GE			

#### Case 2:18-c/CUBSUEICDOCOMENF1ARBETTECATURN/ILLICHELLITY 12 Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed. Case is Eligible for Arbitration do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for Plaintiff I, David C. Wims counsel for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, the complaint seeks injunctive relief, the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1 Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks: RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form) Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court." NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk 1.) County? Yes No 2.) If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes No c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts). **BAR ADMISSION** I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No Yes Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? No (If yes, please explain Yes

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <a href="SCO Family of Services Underpaid Nurse for Overtime Work, Lawsuit Alleges">SCO Family of Services Underpaid Nurse for Overtime Work, Lawsuit Alleges</a>