IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

MARCUS D'ADDIO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,))
Plaintiff,)
) Cause No.
vs.) .
) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
WELLS FARGO CLEARING SERVICES,)
LLC (formerly known as WELLS FARGO)
ADVISORS LLC), d/b/a WELLS FARGO)
ADVISORS,)
)
Defendant.	ĵ

COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff Marcus D'Addio, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys Brown, LLC and Engelmeyer & Pezzani, LLC, and for his cause of action against Defendant Wells Fargo Clearing Services, LLC (formerly known as Wells Fargo Advisors LLC), doing business as Wells Fargo Advisors, states and avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a collective and class action brought pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 by Plaintiff, Marcus D'Addio, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated persons employed by Defendant, arising from Defendant's willful violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*, and the Missouri Minimum Wage Law, Mo. Rev. Stat. §§ 290.500, *et seq.* ("MMWL").

2. Defendant offers nationwide financial advisory, brokerage, asset management and other financial services.

3. Plaintiff and other client associates were hourly-paid, non-exempt employees of

Case: 4:21-cv-00054 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 01/13/21 Page: 2 of 13 PageID #: 2

Defendant and regularly worked over 40 hours per week, but did not receive overtime compensation for all such hours due to Defendant's common unlawful policies that violate the FLSA and MMWL, including causing hourly-paid employees to report fewer hours on their timesheets than they actually worked.

4. Plaintiff bring his FLSA claims (Count I) on a collective basis pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated client associates employed by Defendant at any time within the three years preceding the commencement of this action through the date of judgment (the "Collective"), and seeks declaratory relief and unpaid overtime pay, liquidated damages, fees and costs, and any other remedies to which he may be entitled.

5. Plaintiff bring his MMWL claims (Count II), as well as claims for breach of contract under Missouri common law (Counts II and III), pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated client associates employed by Defendant in Missouri at any time within the two years preceding the commencement of this action through the date of judgment, and seeks declaratory relief and unpaid straight-time wages and overtime, liquidated damages, fees and costs, and any other remedies to which he may be entitled.

THE PARTIES

6. Plaintiff is an adult individual who resides in St. Charles County, State of Missouri.

7. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a client associate from approximately March 2011 to May 2020 and worked in Defendant's office in Frontenac, Missouri.

8. Defendant Wells Fargo Clearing Services, LLC (formerly known as Wells Fargo Advisors LLC) is Delaware limited liability company registered in Missouri with its principal place of business at 1 North Jefferson Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.

Case: 4:21-cv-00054 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 01/13/21 Page: 3 of 13 PageID #: 3

9. Defendant does business as "Wells Fargo Advisors" and offers financial advisory, brokerage, asset management and other financial services.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

11. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

12. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it is domiciled in Missouri and because Plaintiff's claims arise out of Defendant's presence in Missouri.

13. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendant resides in this District and because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claim occurred in this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

14. Defendant has and continues to employ client associates.

15. Client associates are responsible for providing support and assistance for the processing of securities transactions.

16. Client associates are non-exempt employees under the FLSA and MMWL.

17. Client associates are paid an hourly rate of pay.

18. Defendant pays client associates based on the number of hours they report in Defendant's electronic timekeeping system.

19. Client associates are scheduled to work at least 5 shifts in most weeks, with most if not all shifts lasting at least 8 hours.

Case: 4:21-cv-00054 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 01/13/21 Page: 4 of 13 PageID #: 4

20. Client associates regularly work more hours in a day and/or week than the hours for which they are scheduled.

21. Client associates regularly work in excess of 8 hours in a day and/or 40 hours in a week.

22. Defendant maintains a *de facto* policy of prohibiting client associates from reporting more hours in a day and/or week than the hours for which they are scheduled.

23. Defendant's *de facto* policy causes associates to only report their scheduled hours, despite working additional hours.

24. As a result, in many weeks client associates have not been paid for all hours worked, including hours in excess of 40 in a workweek.

25. Defendant knew and/or recklessly disregarded that its client associates were working hours in addition to those for which they were scheduled and/or reported in the timekeeping system.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

26. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all preceding paragraphs of the Complaint inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.

27. Plaintiff brings this claim for relief for violation of the FLSA, both individually and as a collective action pursuant to Section 216(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The proposed collective is defined as follows:

All client associates employed by Defendant at any time within the three years preceding the commencement of this action through the date of judgment.

28. Plaintiff has filed his consent in writing pursuant to section 216(b). See Exhibit 1.

29. With respect to the claims set forth in this action, a collective action under the FLSA is appropriate because the employees described above are "similarly situated" to Plaintiff under

29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The collective of employees on behalf of whom Plaintiff brings this collective action are similarly situated because: (a) they have been or are employed in the same or similar positions; (b) they were or are subject to the same or similar unlawful practices, policy, or plan (i.e. Defendant causing hourly-paid employees to report fewer hours on their timesheets than they actually worked); and (c) their claims are based upon the same factual and legal theories.

30. The employment relationships between Defendant and every Collective member are the same and differ only by name and rate of pay. The key issues—the amount of uncompensated time owed to each Collective member—do not vary substantially among the Collective members.

31. Plaintiff estimates the Collective, including both current and former employees over the relevant period, will include several hundred members. The precise number of Collective members should be readily available from a review of Defendant's personnel and payroll records.

RULE 23 CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

32. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) and (b)(3) on his own behalf and on behalf of:

All client associates employed by Defendant in Missouri at any time within the two years preceding the commencement of this action through the date of judgment.

Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this definition as necessary.

33. Excluded from the proposed Class are Defendant's executives, administrative, and professional employees, including computer professionals and outside sales persons.

34. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all Class members in this case would be impractical. Plaintiff reasonably estimates that there are at least 200 Class members. Class members should be easy to identify from Defendant's computer systems and electronic payroll and personnel records.

Case: 4:21-cv-00054 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 01/13/21 Page: 6 of 13 PageID #: 6

35. There is a well-defined community of interest among Class members and common questions of law and fact predominate in this action over any questions affecting individual members of the Class. These common legal and factual questions, include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. Whether Class members worked more than forty (40) hours per week;

- b. Whether Defendant's policies caused Class members to not report hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek in their time sheets;
- c. Whether Defendant knew that Class members were not reporting hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek on their time sheets; and

d. Whether Defendant's conduct was willful and/or not in good faith.

36. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the Class in that they and all other Class members suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's common and systemic payroll policies and practices. Plaintiff's FLSA and MMWL claims arise from the same policies, practices, promises and course of conduct as all other Class members' claims and his legal theories are based on the same legal theories as all other Class members.

37. Plaintiff will fully and adequately protect the interests of the Class and has retained counsel who are qualified and experienced in the prosecution of nationwide wage and hour class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor his counsel have interests that are contrary to, or conflicting with, the interests of the Class.

38. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, because, *inter alia*, it is economically infeasible for Class members to prosecute individual actions of their own given the relatively small amount of damages at stake for each individual along with the fear of reprisal by their employer. Prosecution of this case as a Rule 23 Class action will also eliminate the possibility of duplicative lawsuits.

39. This case will be manageable as a Rule 23 Class action. Plaintiff and his counsel know of no unusual difficulties in this case and Defendant has advanced, networked computer and payroll systems that will allow the class, wage, and damages issues in this case to be resolved with relative ease.

40. Because the elements of Rule 23(b)(3) are satisfied in this case, class certification is appropriate. *Shady Grove Orthopedic Assoc.*, *P.A. v. Allstate Ins. Co.*, 559 U.S. 393; 130 S. Ct. 1431, 1437 (2010) ("[b]y its terms [Rule 23] creates a categorical rule entitling a plaintiff whose suit meets the specified criteria to pursue his claim as a class action").

41. Because Defendant acted and refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the Class and declaratory relief is appropriate in this case with respect to the Class as a whole, class certification pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2) is also appropriate.

COUNT I (Brought on an Individual and Collective Basis) <u>VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT,</u> 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. -- FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME

42. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates all previous paragraphs herein.

43. 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1) provides:

[N]o employer shall employ any of his employees who in any workweek is engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or is employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, for a workweek longer than forty hours unless such employee receives compensation for his employment in excess of the hours above specified at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he is employed.

44. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was an employer under 29 U.S.C. §

203(d) of the FLSA, subject to the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.

45. Defendant is an enterprise whose annual gross volume of sales made or business

done exceeds \$500,000.

VIOLATIONS OF MO. REV. STAT. § 290.500 FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME

- 54. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates all previous paragraphs herein.
- 55. Mo. Rev. Stat. § 290.505 provides, in relevant part:

1. No employer shall employ any of his employees for a workweek longer than forty hours unless such employee receives compensation for his employment in excess of the hours above specified at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he is employed.

•••

3. With the exception of employees described in subsection (2), the overtime requirements of subsection (1) shall not apply to employees who are exempt from federal minimum wage or overtime requirements including, but not limited to, the exemptions or hour calculation formulas specified in 29 U.S.C. Sections 207 and 213, and any regulations promulgated thereunder.

4. Except as may be otherwise provided under sections 290.500 to 290.530, this section shall be interpreted in accordance with the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. Section 201, *et seq.*, as amended, and the Portal to Portal Act, 29 U.S.C. Section 251, *et seq.*, as amended, and any regulations promulgated thereunder.

56. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was an employers under Mo. Rev. Stat.

§ 290.500(4), subject to the provisions of the MMWL.

57. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and other Class members were

"employees" of Defendant within the meaning of Mo. Rev. Stat. § 290.500(3).

58. Plaintiff and other Class members worked many workweeks in excess of 40 hours

within the last two (2) years.

59. Defendant caused Plaintiff and other members of the Class to not report all of the

hours they worked in excess of forty (40) in a workweek.

60. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and other Class

minimum wage of \$7.25 per hour but less than 40 hours per week (i.e., "gap time" claims).

69. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches of the contracts alleged herein, Plaintiff and other Rule 23 Missouri Class members have been damaged, in an amount to be determined at trial.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Marcus D'Addio, individually and on behalf of all others

similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, requests an entry of an Order the following relief:

- a. Certifying this case as a collective action in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) with respect to the FLSA claims set forth herein (Count I);
- b. Certifying this action as a class action (for the Rule 23 Class) pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2) and (b)(3) with respect to Plaintiff's MMWL and common law claims (Counts II and III);
- c. Ordering Defendant to disclose in computer format, or in print if no computer readable format is available, the names and addresses of all Collective members and Rule 23 Class members, and permitting Plaintiff to send notice of this action to all those similarly situated individuals, including the publishing of notice in a manner that is reasonably calculated to apprise the class members of their rights by law to join and participate in this lawsuit;
- d. Designating Plaintiff as the representatives of the FLSA Collective and the Rule 23 Class and undersigned counsel as Class counsel for the same;
- e. Declaring Defendant willfully violated the FLSA and the Department of Labor's attendant regulations as cited herein;
- f. Declaring Defendant violated Mo. Rev. Stat. § 290.505 and that said violations were intentional, willfully oppressive, fraudulent and malicious;
- g. Granting judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant and awarding Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective and Rule 23 Class the full amount of damages and liquidated damages available by law;
- h. Granting judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for violation of the Civil Rights Act and awarding Plaintiff the full amount of damages and liquidated damages available by law;

- i. Awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Plaintiff in filing this action as provided by statute;
- j. Awarding pre- and post-judgment interest to Plaintiff on these damages; and
- k. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Marcus D'Addio, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, hereby demands a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the court rules and statutes made and provided with respect to the aboveentitled cause.

Dated: January 13, 2021

. .

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: /s Anthony M. Pezzani Anthony M. Pezzani, #52900MO tony@epfirm.com Emily W. Kalla, #66300MO emily@epfirm.com ENGELMEYER & PEZZANI, LLC 13321 N. Outer Forty Road, Suite 300 Chesterfield, MO 63017 Phone: (636) 532-9933 Fax: (314) 863-7793

Local Counsel for Plaintiff

Case: 4:21-cv-00054 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 01/13/21 Page: 11 of 13 PageID #: 11

Jason T. Brown jtb@jtblawgroup.com Nicholas Conlon nicholasconlon@jtblawgroup.com BROWN, LLC 111 Town Square Place, Suite 400 Jersey City, NJ 07310 Phone: (877) 561-0000 Fax: (855) 582-5297

Lead Counsel for Plaintiff

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

)

)

)

)

)

)

MARCUS D'ADDIO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff

V. WELLS FARGO CLEARING SERVICES, LLC (formerly known as WELLS FARGO ADVISORS LLC), d/b/a WELLS FARGO ADVISORS,

Defendant

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

and address) WELLS FARGO CLEARING SERVICES, LLC 1 North Jefferson Street St. Louis, Missouri 63103

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Lason T. Brown

Jason T. Brown Brown, LLC 111 Town Square Place Suite 400 Jersey City, NJ 07310

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (nar	ne of individual and title, if any)							
was re	ceived by me on (date)								
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individual at	(place)						
		on (date)	; or						
	□ I left the summons	J I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)							
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,								
	on (date)	(date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	\Box I served the summa	, who is							
	designated by law to a	esignated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
			on (date)	; or ; or					
	\Box I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because							
	Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00 .					
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.								
Date:									
Date:			Server's signature						
			Printed name and title						
			Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

MARCUS D'ADDIO, individually and on)
behalf of all others similarly situated,)
Plaintiff,)
) Cause No.
vs.)
WELLS EADCO CLEADING SEDVICES)
WELLS FARGO CLEARING SERVICES,) ·
LLC (formerly known as WELLS FARGO)
ADVISORS LLC), d/b/a WELLS FARGO)
ADVISORS,)
)
Defendant.)

CONSENT TO SUE

I hereby consent to be a Plaintiff in the Fair Labor Standards Act case captioned above. I hereby consent to the bringing of any claims I may have under the Fair Labor Standards Act (for unpaid minimum wages, overtime, liquidated damages, attorney's fees, costs and other relief) and applicable state wage and hour law against the Defendant(s). I further consent to bringing these claims on a collective and/or class basis with other current/former employees of Defendant(s), to be represented by Brown, LLC and Engelmeyer & Pezzani, LLC, and to be bound by any settlement of this action or adjudication by the Court.

Marcus E. D'Addio Dated: 01 / 07 / 2021 Signed:

Name: Marcus E. D'Addio



Case: 4:21-cv-00054 Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 01/13/21 Page: 1 of 1 PageID #: 15 JS 44 (Rev. 10/20) CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN	TS					
MARCUS D'ADDIO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated				WELLS FARGO CLEARING SERVICES, LLC (formerly known as WELLS FARGO ADVISORS LLC), d/b/a WELLS FARGO ADVISORS						
(b) County of Residence	-	St. Charles, MO		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	ASES)		NOTE: IN LAN	D CONI	DEMNATIO	AINTIFF CASES CON CASES, USE T	/	NOF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numb	er)		Attorneys (If Knd		F LAND IN	VOLVED.			
Jason T. Brown	Ruite 400									
111 Town Square Pl Jersey City, New Jer	rsey 07310									
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases O	F PRI	NCIPA		(Place an "X" in and One Box for		
l U.S. Government Plaintiff	X Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	PTF	DEF	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	rincipal Place	PTF	DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2	2	Incorporated and I of Business In A		5	5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUI			and the second				for: Nature of S			
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	ORTS PERSONAL INJUR		S Drug Belated Sainurg		-	KRUPTCY		STATUT	
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 0 Other		423 With 28 U	JSC 157	376 Qui T 3729(400 State 1	Reapportio	SC
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen	1 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			965	820 Copy	TY RIGHTS	410 Antitr 430 Banks		ing
151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			F	830 Pater		450 Comn	nerce	
Student Loans	340 Marine	Injury Product				New	Drug Application	460 Depor 470 Racke	teer Influe	nced and
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	TY	LABOR	210/0672	840 Trad 880 Defe	emark nd Trade Secrets	– '	pt Organiza Imer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		0 Fair Labor Standards			of 2016	(15 U	SC 1681 of	r 1692)
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending	H72	Act 0 Labor/Management	1544	SOCIAL	LSECURITY		hone Consu ction Act	umer
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations] 861 HIA		490 Cable		
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical	F		k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securi Excha	ities/Comm	odities/
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice			Leave Act	E] 864 SSID	Title XVI		Statutory A	Actions
210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus:	Contraction of the local division of the loc	0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement		865 RSI ((405(g))	Contract of Contra	ultural Acts onmental N	
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act	1.2	FEDERA	L TAX SUITS	895 Freedo		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	:				s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	Act 896 Arbitr		
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General					-Third Party	896 Arbitr 899 Admir		rocedure
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -			IMMIGRATION	(WALK	26 U	JSC 7609		eview or A	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth	er 46	2 Naturalization Applica 5 Other Immigration	ation			Agenc 950 Consti	y Decision itutionality	
	Other	550 Civil Rights	Г	Actions					Statutes	UI .
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)	Confinement								
▼ 1 Original 2 Rea		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop	ened And	nsferred other Di ecify)		6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatic Direct F	on -
	East abox Standards	atute under which you ar	e filing (I	o not cite jurisdictional	statutes	s unless div	ersity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et s ause: Immer and current employ								
VII. REQUESTED IN X CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION			EMAND \$			IECK YES only	if demanded in	n complai	int:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.				JU	RY DEMAND:	× Yes	No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCKE	T NUMBER			s - 1-1-1 s - 1-1-1-1
DATE 01/13/2021		SIGNATURE OF ATT Anthony M. Pezza		F RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		-								
RECEIPT # AN	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	3		MAG IUD	OGE		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Wells Fargo Advisors Failed to Pay Client Associates for Every Hour Worked, Lawsuit Claims</u>