# Case 2:16-cv-06597-JS-GRB Document 1 Filed 11/29/16. Page 1 of 9 PageID #: 1 $\overline{CV}$ 1 6 $\overline{C}$ 6 5 9 7

Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C. Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508) 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375

2016 NOV 29 PM 2: 42

Celephone: 718-263-9591	Established Established Established	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	<u>(</u>	.: .	
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
	X		
ROCAEL ANTONIO CULAJAY, individually and or all others similarly situated,	a behalf of		
Plaintiff,	COLLECT COMPLA	TIVE ACTION INT	
-against-	JURY TRI	AT	
P.M.F. STEEL CORP., and HELDER CARDOSO and G. MARTINS, as individuals,	DEMAND		
Defendants.	X		

1. Plaintiff, ROCAEL ANTONIO CULAJAY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by his attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., alleges, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 2. Plaintiff, ROCAEL ANTONIO CULAJAY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, through undersigned counsel, brings this action against P.M.F. STEEL CORP., and HELDER CARDOSO and MARIA G. MARTINS, as individuals, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), to recover damages for egregious violations of state and federal wage and hour laws arising out of Plaintiffs' employment at P.M.F. STEEL CORP., located at 88 EAST MERRICK ROAD, FREEPORT, NEW YORK 11520.
- 3. As a result of the violations of Federal and New York State labor laws delineated below, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount

exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 6. Venue is proper in the EASTERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
- 7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

#### THE PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff ROCAEL ANTONIO CULAJAY residing at 590 FULTON AVENUE, HEMPSTEAD, NEW YORK 11550, was employed by Defendants at P.M.F. STEEL CORP. from in or around July 2012 until in or around May 2014.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant, P.M.F. STEEL CORP., is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office at 590 FULTON AVENUE, HEMPSTEAD, NEW YORK 11550.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant, P.M.F. STEEL CORP., is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant HELDER CARDOSO owns and/or operates P.M.F. STEEL CORP.,
- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant HELDER CARDOSO is the Chairman of the Board of P.M.F. STEEL CORP.,
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant HELDER CARDOSO is the Chief Executive Officer of P.M.F. STEEL CORP.,
- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant HELDER CARDOSO is an agent of P.M.F. STEEL CORP.,

- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant HELDER CARDOSO has power over personnel decisions at P.M.F. STEEL CORP.,
- 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant HELDER CARDOSO has power over payroll decisions at P.M.F. STEEL CORP.,
- 17. Defendant HELDER CARDOSO has the power to hire and fire employees at P.M.F. STEEL CORP., establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 18. During all relevant times herein, Defendant HELDER CARDOSO was Plaintiff's employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant MARIA G. MARTINS owns and/or operates P.M.F. STEEL CORP.,
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant MARIA G. MARTINS is the Chairman of the Board of P.M.F. STEEL CORP.,
- 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant MARIA G. MARTINS is the Chief Executive Officer of P.M.F. STEEL CORP.,
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant MARIA G. MARTINS is an agent of P.M.F. STEEL CORP.,
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant MARIA G. MARTINS has power over personnel decisions at P.M.F. STEEL CORP.,
- 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant MARIA G. MARTINS has power over payroll decisions at P.M.F. STEEL CORP.,
- 25. Defendant MARIA G. MARTINS has the power to hire and fire employees at P.M.F. STEEL CORP., establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 26. During all relevant times herein, Defendant MARIA G. MARTINS was Plaintiff's employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 27. On information and belief, P.M.F. STEEL CORP. is, at present and has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for

commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 28. Plaintiff ROCAEL ANTONIO CULAJAY was employed by Defendants at P.M.F. STEEL CORP. from in or around July 2012 until in or around May 2014.
- 29. During Plaintiff ROCAEL ANTONIO CULAJAY'S employment by Defendants at P.M.F. STEEL CORP., Plaintiff's primary duties were as a construction worker, welder, and performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around July 2012 until in or around May 2014.
- 30. Plaintiff ROCAEL ANTONIO CULAJAY was paid by Defendants approximately \$16.25 per hour from in our around July 2012 until in or around May 2014.
- 31. Although Plaintiff ROCAEL ANTONIO CULAJAY worked approximately forty-six (46) hours or more per week during his employment by Defendants from in or around July 2012 until in or around May 2014, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
- 33. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to keep payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
- 34. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

#### COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

35. Plaintiff bring this action on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are the collective class.

- 36. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as construction workers, welders, or other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required minimum and overtime wage compensation.
- 37. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed between 15 and 20 employees within the past three years subjected to similar payment structures.
- 38. Upon information and belief, Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the Collective Class to work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation.
- 39. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
- 40. Upon information and belief, Defendant had knowledge that Plaintiff and the Collective Class performed work requiring overtime pay.
- 41. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff and the Collective Class.
- 42. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have been denied overtime pay in violation of the FLSA and NYLL, who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
- 43. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
- 44. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the putative class.
- 45. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
- 46. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

- 47. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 48. Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 49. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 50. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 51. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage, to which Plaintiff was entitled under 29 U.S.C. §\$206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).
- 52. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made a good effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the Plaintiff.
- 53. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

- 54. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 55. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.

- 56. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiff was entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3.
- 57. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to his unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 58. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 59. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff's primary language), of his rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 60. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 61. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 62. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with wage statements upon each payment of wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)
- 63. Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff unpaid overtime wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff unpaid minimum wages;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- f. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- g. Awarding such and further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

#### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: This 22 day of November 2016.

Roman Avshalumov, Esq. (RA 5508) Helen F. Dalton & Associates, PC

69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375

Telephone: 718-263-9591

Fax: 718-263-9598

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ROCAEL ANTONIO CULAJAY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

P.M.F. STEEL CORP., and HELDER CARDOSO and MARIA G. MARTINS, as individuals,

Defendants.

#### SUMMONS & COMPLAINT

HELEN F. DALTON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Phone (718) 263-9591 Fax (718) 263-9598

TO: P.M.F. STEEL CORP. 88 EAST MERRICK ROAD FREEPORT, NY 11520

HELDER CARDOSO 88 EAST MERRICK ROAD FREEPORT, NY 11520

MARIA G. MARTINS 88 EAST MERRICK ROAD FREEPORT, NY 11520

0

1-1 Filed 11/29/16 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 10 OVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

F - F	·			*					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ROCAEL ANTONIO CULAJAY, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated,				DEFENDANTS P.M.F. STEEL CORP., and HELDER CARDOSO and MARIA G. MARTINS, as individuals,					
(b) County of Residence of	First Listed Plaintiff N	ASSAU		County of Residence	ce of First Lis	ted Defendant	NASSAU		
(EZ	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)				PLAINTIFF CASES O			
		EYBERT, J.			CONDEMNAT CT OF LAND II	ION CASES, USE TI NVOLVED.	HE LOCATION	)F	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A				Attorneys (If Known	n)				
Helen F. Dalton & Associ 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 (7	B. B.	ROWN, M	l. J.			•			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only,		AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in and One Box fo		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)			PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pri		PTF	DEF
🗇 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	O 2 O 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		<b>5</b>	<b></b> 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	<b>3 3 3</b>	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT									
CONTRACT  110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		NKRUPTCY	OTHER		
☐ 120 Marine	310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury -	.1   02	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881		eal 28 USC 158 idrawal	☐ 375 False C ☐ 400 State R		
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	□ 69	0 Other	28 U	JSC 157	410 Antitru		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			PROPE	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 430 Banks a ☐ 450 Comme		ing
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury	1		□ 820 Cop		460 Deporta		
☐ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			☐ 830 Pate	nt	☐ 470 Rackete	er Influe	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability  ☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	l		☐ 840 Trad	lemark	Corrupt  480 Consun	Organiza	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability		LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	☐ 490 Cable/S		1
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		0 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA	(1395ff)	☐ 850 Securiti		odities/
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act		k Lung (923)	Exchar		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	10 72	0 Labor/Management Relations	□ 864 SSII	C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	☐ 890 Other S ☐ 891 Agricul		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	<b>(74</b>	0 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI		☐ 893 Enviror		
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage	O 75	1 Family and Medical			☐ 895 Freedor	n of Infor	rmation
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	<b>-</b> 79	Leave Act  O Other Labor Litigation			Act  896 Arbitrat	ion	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		1 Employee Retirement	FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	🗖 899 Admini		rocedure
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		Income Security Act	1	es (U.S. Plaintiff		iew or A	
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	.			efendant) —Third Party	Agency ☐ 950 Constitu	Decision	
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence	·			JSC 7609	State St		OI.
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General							
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty Other:		IMMIGRATION  2 Naturalization Application		•			
	Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Oth		5 Other Immigration	<sup>011</sup>		<b> </b> ~,		
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions		777	2016		
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -			1	12.9	$\simeq$		
		Conditions of				- 14 14 1 11 1	3		
		Confinement					I	20	
V., ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)						29		
		Remanded from (Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reop		sferred from her District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation	ict		
	Fair Labor Standa	tute under which you a	re filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictional s	tatutes unless d	iversity)	.;;		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca		vages				5		
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:									
COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 100,000.00 JURY DEMAND: Yes No									
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)									
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE //		<u> </u>	ZDOCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE , )		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEX	X XECORD					
11/22/16		Marian		bould					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		y commen		(					

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

4657108651

# EDNCare 2: 156 000-06/5997-35-GRB Document 1-1 Filed 11/29/16 Page 2: 0 2 PageID #: 11

exclusiv	e of intere	Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, st and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a contrary is filed.			
I,ineligi	ole for co	, counsel for, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ompulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):			
		monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,			
		the complaint seeks injunctive relief,			
		the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason			
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1			
		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:			
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)			
provides because same jud case: (A	that "A cases and mage and mag	is that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) ivil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the agistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power mine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the			
NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)					
1.)	Is the ci	vil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk			
2.)	If you a a) Did t County	nswered "no" above: he events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk YES			
	b) Did t District	the events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern ? YES			
Suffolk	County, olk Count	o question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau try?			
BAR ADMISSION					
I am cu	rrently ac	Imitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  No			
Are yo	u currentl	y the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?  Yes (If yes, please explain) No			
Attorn	ey Bar	Code: RA5508			
I certif		College Opposited above			

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: New York Steel Company Violated Federal Wage Laws, Suit Says