

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION**

Brian Cox and Jessica Cox, individually
and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

EQUIFAX, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.

PLAINTIFFS' CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Brian and Jessica Cox (hereinafter, collectively, "Plaintiffs"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, allege the following against Equifax, Inc. ("Equifax"):

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. This case stems from the widely-publicized data breach caused by Defendant Equifax's failure to secure and safeguard consumers' personally identifiable information ("PII"). As part of its usual business practices as a consumer credit reporting agency ("CRA"), Equifax collects from various sources PII on millions of Americans.

2. On September 7, 2017, Equifax publicly announced a cybersecurity incident that resulted in the unauthorized disclosure of PIII potentially impacting approximately 143 million U.S. consumers (the "Data Breach"). The Data Breach was caused by cyberattackers exploiting a website application vulnerability that allowed them to gain access to files containing PII.

Equifax admitted the Data Breach occurred from mid-May through July 2017 and provided unauthorized access to PII primarily including names, Social Security numbers, birth dates, addresses and, in some instances, driver's license numbers. Equifax also admitted that approximately 209,000 U.S. consumers' credit card numbers were accessed. Equifax has known about the Data Breach since July 29, 2017, but delayed notification to the public for several weeks and has yet to provide an explanation for this delay.

3. Through the use of customary and routine data security methods, Equifax could have and should have prevented the unauthorized disclosure of the PII of millions of Americans. As a result of Equifax's negligence and unlawful conduct millions of Americans, including the Plaintiffs, are now subject to the threat of having their identities stolen and this PII is now readily available by cybercriminals for misuse. Through Equifax's lax security practices that diverge from the practices of other CRAs, the rights of Plaintiffs and the Class in the protection of their PII has been violated.

4. As a result of the Equifax Data Breach, and Equifax's intentional, illegal, and negligent conduct, Plaintiffs and the putative Class are likely to suffer the following:

- a. unauthorized use of their PII;
- b. theft of their personal and financial information;
- c. costs associated with the detection and prevention of identity theft and unauthorized use of their financial accounts;
- d. damages arising from the inability to use their PII;
- e. loss of use of and access to their account funds and costs associated with inability to obtain money from their accounts or being limited in the amount of money they were permitted to obtain from their accounts, including missed payments on bills and loans, late charges and fees, and adverse effects on their credit including decreased credit scores and adverse credit notations;
- f. costs associated with time spent and the loss of productivity or the

enjoyment of one's life from taking time to address and attempt to ameliorate, mitigate and deal with the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach, including finding fraudulent charges, purchasing credit monitoring and identity theft protection services, and the stress, nuisance and annoyance of dealing with all issues resulting from the Equifax Data Breach;

- g. the imminent and certainly impending injury flowing from potential fraud and identify theft posed by their PII being placed in the hands of criminals and already misused via the sale of Plaintiffs' and Class members' information on the Internet black market;
- h. damages to and diminution in value of their PII entrusted to Equifax for the sole purpose of purchasing products and services from Equifax; and
- i. the loss of Plaintiff's and Class members' privacy.

5. The aforementioned injuries are the direct and proximate result of the Data Breach caused by Equifax's wanton failure to implement or maintain adequate data security measures for PII.

6. Plaintiffs now seek a remedy for the harms caused by Equifax's conduct that lead to the Data Breach. Plaintiffs assert common law claims of negligence and violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") and state consumer protection statutes. Plaintiffs also seek reimbursement of out-of-pocket losses, other compensatory damages, further and more robust credit monitoring services with accompanying identity theft insurance, and injunctive relief including an order requiring Equifax to implement improved data security measures.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). The amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million exclusive of interest and costs and there are millions of putative class members, some of whom do not have the same citizenship as Equifax.

8. This Court may exercise personal jurisdiction over Equifax as Equifax does

business in this jurisdiction and maintains sufficient minimum contacts within this jurisdiction to satisfy the Constitutional requirements of this Court exercising jurisdiction over it.

9. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events, acts, and omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in this District.

PARTIES

10. Plaintiff Brian Cox is a resident of Pope County Arkansas. He has spent time and resources monitoring his credit and finances and reasonably believes his PII was compromised in the Data Breach. Plaintiff Brian Cox is the husband of Jessica Cox.

11. Plaintiff Jessica Cox is a resident of the Pope County, Arkansas. She has spent time and resources monitoring her credit and finances and reasonably believes her PII was compromised in the Data Breach. Plaintiff Jessica Cox is the wife of Brian Cox.

12. Defendant Equifax, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 1550 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, Georgia 30309. Equifax, Inc. may be served through its registered agent, Shawn Baldwin, at its principal office address identified above.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Background on Data Breach

13. Equifax is one of three nationwide credit-reporting agencies under FCRA (a "CRA") and its principal business is tracking and rating the financial history and credit of U.S. consumers. Equifax generates reports on these consumers that are used by financial institutions to determine the credit-worthiness of potential customers. CRAs like Equifax obtain information on consumers including the following: lines of credit, mortgage information, child support

payments, utility payments, rent payments, and addresses and contact information of employers. CRAs use this compiled information to create “credit scores” for consumers, which is used by the financial industry and others in determining whether to extend credit, loans, and services to U.S. consumers.

14. Because Equifax may obtain information on consumers who may not otherwise have a direct business relationship with Equifax, Equifax maintains PII on consumers that consumers did not affirmatively give Equifax. Additionally, Equifax may maintain PII on individuals that Equifax does not provide directly with services or products. This makes the present Data Breach different from other high-profile data security breaches in the past in that consumers affected by the Data Breach did not voluntarily provide information to Equifax.

15. Equifax issued the first public disclosure of the Data Breach on September 7, 2017 (the “Data Breach Announcement”). A copy of this Data Breach Announcement is attached hereto as Exhibit A. According to the Data Breach Announcement, Equifax discovered the Data Breach on July 29th. According to Equifax, cyberattackers “exploited a U.S. website application vulnerability to gain access to certain files.” According to the Data Breach Announcement, “[b]ased on [Equifax’s] investigation, the unauthorized access occurred from mid-May through July 2017.”

16. “The information accessed primarily includes names, Social Security numbers, birth dates, addresses and, in some instances, driver's license numbers. In addition, credit card numbers for approximately 209,000 U.S. consumers, and certain dispute documents with personal identifying information for approximately 182,000 U.S. consumers, were accessed.” This type of data should have received extra protection, not substandard protection, that would allow cyberattackers to access this information through a simple “website application.”

17. PII like that described in the foregoing paragraph is extremely valuable to certain criminal elements that may use phishing tactics and other technologies to steal the identity of individuals or open and use credit lines in the names of other individuals. At all relevant times, Equifax was well-aware, or reasonably should have been aware, that the PII collected, maintained and stored in the POS systems is highly sensitive, susceptible to attack, and could be used for wrongful purposes by third parties, such as identity theft and fraud.

18. In other words, the PII of Plaintiffs and Class members is private and sensitive in nature and Equifax failed to adequately protect this information from unauthorized disclosure. Equifax did not obtain Plaintiffs' and Class members' consent to disclose their PII to any cyberattacker or any person not authorized to hold such information as required by applicable law and industry standards

19. Many high profile data breaches have occurred effected millions of people and these data breaches have been the subject of numerous media reports that have made it generally well known that PII like that stolen from Equifax is highly coveted and a frequent target of cyberattackers. Despite the frequent public announcements concerning data breaches caused by corporations failing to properly protect important PII, Equifax maintained an insufficient and inadequate system to protect the PII of Plaintiffs and Class members. PII is a valuable commodity because a black market exists in which criminals and cyberattackers openly post or sell stolen social security numbers and other personal information on a number of websites on the dark Internet. PII can be used to open new financial accounts and take out loans in another person's name, incur charges on existing accounts, or clone ATM, debit, or credit cards.

20. At all relevant times, Equifax knew, or reasonably should have known, of the importance of safeguarding PII and of the foreseeable consequences that would occur if

cyberattackers gained access to its data systems. In fact, shortly following the Data Breach Announcement, Equifax's own CEO, Rick Smith, issued a public statement in which he acknowledged that Equifax's Data Breach "impacted those who rely upon us to protect their personal information." In other words, Equifax knows that individuals have a right and an expectation that the information Equifax holds about them should be protected from cyberattacks. CEO Smith went on to further claim that Equifax has "more to do" on protecting against cyberattacks.

21. Further in the Data Breach Announcement CEO Smith further stated that "This is clearly a disappointing event for our company, and one that strikes at the heart of who we are and what we do. I apologize to consumers and our business customers for the concern and frustration this causes."

22. Unfortunately for consumers, Equifax's approach to cybersecurity was at the very least negligent and more likely lackadaisical, reckless, and unlawful and the ramifications of Equifax's failure to keep Plaintiffs' and Class members' data secure are severe.

23. On September 13, 2017, Equifax provided an update as to the source of the data breach. According to Equifax, "Equifax has been intensely investigating the scope of the intrusion with the assistance of a leading, independent cybersecurity firm to determine what information was accessed and who has been impacted. We know that criminals exploited a U.S. website application vulnerability. The vulnerability was Apache Struts CVE-2017-5638." Apache Struts is an open-sources software used across the corporate world to provide web applications in Java, and it powers front- and back-end applications, including Equifax's public website.

24. According to certain security experts, "The Apache flaw as first spotted around

March 7, 2017, when security firms began warning that attackers were actively exploiting a ‘zero day’ vulnerability in Apache Struts.” Zero day refers to software or hardware flaws that cyberattackers find and figure out how to use for commercial or personal gain before the vendor even knows about the flaws. The following day, March 8, 2017, Apache had released new versions of the software to mitigate the vulnerability. If this is the source of the vulnerability that lead to the Data Breach, this means that Equifax operated with this known vulnerability on its U.S. web application for months prior to the Data Breach and a simple update of this widely used open-source software could have prevented the Data Breach altogether. If this is the source of the Data Breach, Equifax’s failure to timely implement this patch to this vulnerability is a gross deviation of the standard of care Equifax owed to the Plaintiffs and Class Members to protect their PII that Equifax had collected.

25. Other media reports have also identified other Equifax vulnerabilities within Equifax’s online presence. Shortly following the Data Breach Disclosure, Hold Security, LLC began examining Equifax’s South American operations and almost immediately discovered that an online portal designed to let Equifax employees in Argentinian manage credit report disputes was easily hackable through information on Equifax’s own web application. Specifically, a simple review of the open code on this web application would reveal the names, usernames, and passwords of these employees and allow anyone who simply knew how to look at this information access to PII of several 715 pages worth of information on users. Although at this point it is unclear whether this particularly breach and lackadaisical approach to data security impacted U.S. consumers, it does reveal a particular propensity for Equifax to cavalierly handle the PII it has collected.

B. The Impact of the Data Breach

26. The FTC defines identity theft as “a fraud committed or attempted using the identifying information of another person without authority.” The FTC describes “identifying information” as “any name or number that may be used, alone or in conjunction with any other information, to identify a specific person.” The FTC recognizes, once identity thieves have personal information, “they can drain your bank account, run up your credit cards, open new utility accounts, or get medical treatment on your health insurance.”¹

27. Cybercriminals use personal information, such as that obtained by the cyberattackers that breached Equifax’s data security systems, to commit a variety of identity-theft related crimes, including various types of government fraud such as: filing a fraudulent tax return, seeking immigration-related benefits; obtaining a driver’s license or identification card in the victim’s name but with another’s picture; and/or using the victim’s information to obtain government benefits.

28. Consumers spend hours of their own time and money repairing the damage caused by identity theft. According to the Department of Justice’s Bureau of Justice Statistics (“BJS”) found that identity theft victims “reported spending an average of about 7 hours clearing up the issues” and resolving the consequences of fraud in 2014.²

29. According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office (“GAO”), which conducted a study regarding data breaches:

[L]aw enforcement officials told us that in some cases, stolen data may be held for up to a year or more before being used to commit identity theft. Further, once stolen data have been sold or posted on the Web, fraudulent use of that information may continue for

¹ Federal Trade Commission, *Warning Signs of Identity Theft*, available at: <https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0271-warning-signs-identity-theft> (last visited April 10, 2017).

² Victims of Identity Theft, 2014 (Sept. 2015) available at: <http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/vit14.pdf> (last visited April 10, 2017).

years. As a result, studies that attempt to measure the harm resulting from data breaches cannot necessarily rule out all future harm.³

30. The Data Breach was a direct and proximate result of Equifax's failure to properly safeguard and protect Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII from unauthorized access, use, and disclosure, as required by various state and federal regulations, industry practices, and the common law, including Equifax's failure to establish and implement appropriate administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to ensure the security and confidentiality of Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII to protect against reasonably foreseeable threats to the security or integrity of such information.

31. Equifax had the resources to prevent a breach, but neglected to adequately invest in data security, despite the growing number of well-publicized data breaches. Had Equifax remedied the deficiencies in its data security systems, updated and corrected known security threats to regularly used applications, followed security guidelines, and adopted security measures recommended by experts in the field, Equifax would have prevented the Data Breach and, ultimately, the theft of Plaintiffs and the Class's PII.

32. Equifax's conduct wrongful actions and inaction directly and proximately caused the theft and dissemination into the public domain of Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII, causing them to suffer, and continue to suffer, economic damages and other actual harm for which they are entitled to compensation, including:

- a. theft of their personal and financial information, including the imminent injury of potential identity theft by having their PII in the hands of cybercriminals and for sale on the dark Internet's black market;
- b. unauthorized charges on their debit and credit card accounts;

³ GAO, Report to Congressional Requesters, at 29 (June 2007), available at <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07737.pdf> (last visited April 10, 2017).

- c. unauthorized opening of credit lines and other loans in their names;
- d. the failure to timely and adequately inform Plaintiffs and the Class of the Data Breach;
- e. any ascertainable losses in the form of out-of-pocket expenses and the value of their time reasonably incurred to remedy or mitigate the effects of the Data Breach;
- f. any ascertainable losses in the form of the loss of cash back or other benefits as a result of their inability to use certain accounts and cards affected by the Data Breach;
- g. loss of use of and access to their account funds and costs associated with the inability to obtain money from their accounts or being limited in the amount of money they were permitted to obtain from their accounts, including missed payments on bills and loans, late charges and fees, and adverse effects on their credit including adverse credit notations; and,
- h. the loss of productivity and value of their time spent to address attempt to ameliorate, mitigate and deal with the actual and future consequences of the data breach, including finding fraudulent charges, cancelling and reissuing cards, purchasing credit monitoring and identity theft protection services, imposition of withdrawal and purchase limits on compromised accounts, and the stress, nuisance and annoyance of dealing with all such issues resulting from the Data Breach.

33. Plaintiffs and Class members now face years of constant surveillance of their financial and personal records, monitoring, and loss of rights. The Class is incurring and will continue to incur such damages in addition to any fraudulent use of their PII. As a direct and proximate result of Equifax's wrongful actions and inaction and the resulting Data Breach, Plaintiffs and Class members have been placed at an imminent, immediate, and continuing increased risk of harm from identity theft and identity fraud, requiring them to take the time which they otherwise would have dedicated to other life pursuits to mitigate the actual and potential impact of the Data Breach on their daily lives including:

- Seeking credit "freezes" with the CRAs;
- Monitoring or closing accounts with financial institutions;

- Obtaining and monitoring credit reports;
- Purchasing or otherwise procuring credit monitoring services.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

34. Plaintiffs seeks relief on behalf of themselves and as representatives of all others who are similarly situated. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), (b)(2), (b)(3) and (c)(4), Plaintiffs seeks certification of a Nationwide class defined as follows:

All persons residing in the United States whose personally identifiable information was acquired by unauthorized persons in the data breach announced by Equifax in September 2017 (the “Nationwide Class”).

35. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, and in the alternative to claims asserted on behalf of the Nationwide Class, Plaintiffs assert claims under the laws of the individual States, and on behalf of the separate statewide classes, defined as follows:

All persons residing in Arkansas and/or Georgia whose personally identifiable information was acquired by unauthorized persons in the data breach announced by Equifax in September 2017 (the “Statewide Classes”).

36. Excluded from each of the above Classes are Equifax and any of its affiliates, parents or subsidiaries; all employees of Equifax; all persons who make a timely election to be excluded from the Class; government entities; and the judges to whom this case is assigned and their immediate family and court staff.

37. Plaintiffs hereby reserve the right to amend or modify the class definition with greater specificity or division after having had an opportunity to conduct discovery.

38. Each of the proposed Classes meets the criteria for certification under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a), (b)(2), (b)(3) and (c)(4).

39. **Numerosity.** Consistent with Rule 23(a)(1), the members of the Class are so

numerous and geographically dispersed that the joinder of all members is impractical. Equifax has announced that it believes at least 143 million individuals whose PII was stolen as part of the Data Breach. Numerosity cannot reasonably be questioned given the size of the Data Breach in this case.

40. **Commonality.** Consistent with Rule 23(a)(2) (and Rule 23(b)(3)'s predominance inquiry) this action involves common questions of law and fact that predominate over any questions affecting individual Class members. The common questions include:

- Whether Equifax had a duty to protect PII;
- Whether Equifax knew or should have known of the susceptibility of their data security systems to a data breach;
- Whether Equifax's security measures to protect their systems were reasonable in light of the measures recommended by data security experts;
- Whether Equifax was negligent in failing to implement reasonable and adequate security procedures and practices;
- Whether Equifax's failure to implement adequate data security measures allowed the breach to occur;
- Whether Equifax's conduct, including their failure to act, resulted in or was the proximate cause of the breach of its systems, resulting in the loss of the PII of Plaintiffs and Class members;
- Whether Plaintiffs and Class members were injured and suffered damages or other acceptable losses because of Equifax's failure to reasonably protect its data security network; and
- Whether Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled to relief.

41. **Typicality.** Consistent with Rule 23(a)(3), Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of other Class members. Plaintiffs had their PII compromised in the Data Breach. Plaintiffs' damages and injuries are akin to other Class members and Plaintiffs seeks relief consistent with the relief of the Class.

42. **Adequacy.** Consistent with Rule 23(a)(4), Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class because Plaintiffs are members of the Class and are committed to pursuing this matter against Equifax to obtain relief for the Class. Plaintiffs have no conflicts of interest with the Class. Plaintiffs' Counsel are competent and experienced in litigating class actions, including privacy litigation. Plaintiffs intend to vigorously prosecute this case and will fairly and adequately protect the Class' interests.

43. **Superiority.** Consistent with Rule 23(b)(3), a class action is superior to any other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, and no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action. The present litigation involves a textbook example of the superior quality of a class action mechanism to resolve millions of claims in a single litigation that may otherwise be uneconomical to pursue in individual litigation. The burden and expense of litigating the present case in an individual capacity is comparatively high compared to the individual recovery of any individual Plaintiff or putative class member. Without the Rule 23 class mechanism, Plaintiffs and the Class would be without a practical path to remedy Equifax's wrongful conduct.

44. **Injunctive and Declaratory Relief.** Class certification is also appropriate under Rule 23(b)(2) and (c). Equifax, through its uniform conduct, has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class as a whole, making injunctive and declaratory relief appropriate to the Class as a whole. Equifax's own CEO has claimed in public statements that Equifax has "more to do" to protect Plaintiff's and the Class's PII and the Court should craft sufficient classwide injunctive relief to ensure that Equifax's data security systems comport with the law and industry standards.

45. Finally, all members of the proposed Classes are readily ascertainable. Equifax

has access to information regarding the Data Breach, the time period of the Data Breach, and which individuals were potentially affected. In fact, Equifax has established its own website to inform the public of whether they are affected by the Data Breach. Although problems with this website have been well documented in the media, the use of such a website indicates that Equifax itself knows or reasonably believes it knows, who the members of the class are. Using this information, the members of the Class can be identified and their contact information ascertained for purposes of providing notice to the Class.

COUNT I
NEGLIGENCE
(ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS AND THE NATIONWIDE CLASS,
OR, ALTERNATIVELY, PLAINTIFFS AND THE
SEPARATE STATEWIDE CLASSES)

46. Plaintiffs restate and reallege Paragraphs 1 through 45 as if fully set forth herein.

47. Upon accepting and storing the PII of Plaintiffs and Class Members in its computer systems and on its networks, Equifax undertook and owed a duty to Plaintiffs and Class Members to exercise reasonable care to secure and safeguard that information and to use commercially reasonable methods to do so. Equifax knew that the PII was private and confidential and should be protected as private and confidential.

48. Equifax owed a duty of care to Plaintiffs and the putative Class members to safeguard their PII because Plaintiffs and the putative Class members were foreseeable and probable victims of any cyberattack that successfully stole or obtained PII stored within Equifax's data security systems.

49. Equifax owed numerous duties to Plaintiffs and to members of the Nationwide Class, including the following:

- a. to exercise reasonable care in obtaining, retaining, securing, safeguarding, deleting and protecting PII in its possession; and

- b. to protect PII using reasonable and adequate security procedures and systems that are compliant with the law and industry-standard practices.

50. Equifax also breached its duty to Plaintiffs and the Class Members in that it failed to adequately protect and safeguard PII by knowingly disregarding standard information security principles, despite obvious risks, and by allowing unmonitored and unrestricted access to unsecured PII. Furthering their dilatory practices, Equifax failed to provide adequate supervision and oversight of the PII with which they were and are entrusted, in spite of the known risk and foreseeable likelihood of breach and misuse, which permitted unknown cyberattackers to gather PII of Plaintiffs and Class Members, misuse the PII and intentionally disclose it to others without consent.

51. Equifax knew, or should have known, of the risks inherent in collecting and storing PII, the vulnerabilities of its data security systems, and the importance of adequate security. Equifax knew about numerous, well-publicized data breaches, including the breach at Experian. Equifax knew, or should have known, that their data systems and networks did not adequately safeguard Plaintiffs' and Class Members' PII.

52. Because Equifax knew that a breach of its systems would damage millions of individuals, including Plaintiffs and Class members, Equifax had a duty to adequately protect their data systems and the PII contained thereon.

53. Equifax's own conduct also created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiffs and Class members and their PII. Equifax's misconduct included failing to: (1) secure its systems, despite knowing their vulnerabilities, (2) comply with industry standard security practices, (3) implement adequate system and event monitoring, and (4) implement the systems, policies, and procedures necessary to prevent this type of data breach. Specifically, Equifax had a duty to ensure that it timely updated its application to patch known security threats and leaving known

security threats open for only a few hours could provide cyberattackers enough time to breach Equifax's data systems. Any failure to timely patch these types of known data security threats is a gross deviation from the standard of care and routine, standard industry security practices.

54. Equifax breached its duties to Plaintiffs and Class members in numerous ways, including:

- a. by failing to provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems and data security practices to safeguard PII of Plaintiffs and Class members;
- b. by failing to timely update or patch known security holes in the application Equifax routinely used in its normal course of business;
- c. by creating a foreseeable risk of harm through the misconduct previously described;
- d. by failing to implement adequate security systems, protocols and practices sufficient to protect Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII both before and after learning of the Data Breach; and
- e. by failing to comply with the minimum industry data security standards during the period of the Data Breach.

55. Through Equifax's acts and omissions described in this Complaint, including Equifax's failure to provide adequate security and its failure to protect PII of Plaintiffs and Class members from being foreseeably captured, accessed, disseminated, stolen and misused, Equifax unlawfully breached its duty to use reasonable care to adequately protect and secure PII of Plaintiffs and Class members during the time it was within Equifax possession or control.

56. Upon information and belief, Equifax improperly and inadequately safeguarded PII of Plaintiffs and Class Members in deviation of standard industry rules, regulations, and practices at the time of the unauthorized access. Equifax's failure to take proper security measures to protect sensitive PII of Plaintiffs and Class members as described in this Complaint, created conditions conducive to a foreseeable, intentional criminal act, namely the unauthorized access of PII of Plaintiffs and Class members.

57. Equifax's conduct was grossly negligent and departed from all reasonable standards of care, including, but not limited to: failing to adequately protect the PII; failing to conduct regular security audits; and failing to provide adequate and appropriate supervision of persons having access to PII of Plaintiffs and Class members.

58. Neither Plaintiffs nor the other Class members contributed to the Data Breach and subsequent misuse of their PII as described in this Complaint.

59. As a direct and proximate cause of Equifax's conduct, Plaintiffs and the Class suffered damages including, but not limited to: damages arising from the unauthorized charges on their debit or credit cards or on cards that were fraudulently obtained through the use of the PII of Plaintiffs and Class Members; damages arising from Plaintiffs' inability to use their debit or credit cards because those cards were cancelled, suspended, or otherwise rendered unusable as a result of the Data Breach and/or false or fraudulent charges stemming from the Data Breach, including but not limited to late fee charges and foregone cash back rewards; damages from lost time and effort to mitigate the actual and potential impact of the Data Breach on their lives including, inter alia, by placing "freezes" and "alerts" with credit reporting agencies, contacting their financial institutions, closing or modifying financial accounts, closely reviewing and monitoring their credit reports and accounts for unauthorized activity, and filing police reports and damages from identity theft, which may take months if not years to discover and detect, given the far-reaching, adverse and detrimental consequences of identity theft and loss of privacy. The nature of other forms of economic damage and injury may take years to detect, and the potential scope can only be assessed after a thorough investigation of the facts and events surrounding the theft mentioned above.

COUNT II
VIOLATION OF THE ARKANSAS AND GEORGIA
STATE DATA BREACH STATUTES
(ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS AND THE
SEPARATE STATEWIDE CLASSES)

60. Plaintiffs restate and reallege Paragraphs 1 through 59 as if fully set forth herein.

61. Equifax also had independent duties under state and federal laws that required Equifax to reasonably safeguard Plaintiff's and Class members' PII and promptly notify them about the data breach.

62. The legislatures in Arkansas and Georgia have enacted state data breach statutes. *See* Ark. Code Ann. § 4-110-105(a), et seq.; Ga. Code Ann. § 10-1-912(a), et seq. These statutes generally require that any person or business conducting business within the state that owns or licenses computerized data that includes personal information shall disclose any breach of the security of the system to any resident of the state whose personal information was acquired by an unauthorized person. The statutes further require that the disclosure of the breach be made in the most expedient time possible and without unreasonable delay so that residents can take appropriate measures to mitigate damages, protect against adverse consequences, and thwart future misuse of their PII.

63. The Equifax data breach constitutes a breach of the security system of Equifax within the meaning of the Arkansas and Georgia data breach statutes and the data breached is protected and covered by the below data breach statutes.

64. Plaintiffs' and Class Members' names, birth dates, Social Security numbers, credit card numbers, driver's license numbers, and documents pertaining to disputed charges constitute personal information under and subject to the Arkansas and Georgia data breach statutes.

65. Equifax breached its duty to notify Plaintiffs and Class Members of the

{005246/17259/00430419.DOCX / Ver.1}

unauthorized access by waiting many months after learning of the breach to notify Plaintiffs and Class Members and then by failing to provide Plaintiffs and Class Members information regarding the breach until September 2017. Instead, its executives disposed of at least \$1.8 million worth of shares in the company after Equifax learned of the data breach but before it was publicly announced. To date, Equifax has not provided sufficient information to Plaintiffs and Class Members regarding the extent of the unauthorized access and continues to breach its disclosure obligations to Plaintiffs and the Class.

66. Equifax failed to disclose to Plaintiffs and Class Members without unreasonable delay and in the most expedient time possible, the breach of security of Plaintiffs' and Class Members' personal and financial information when Equifax knew or reasonably believed such information had been compromised.

67. Through its failure to provide timely and clear notification of the Data Breach to consumers, Equifax prevented Plaintiffs and Class Members from taking meaningful, proactive steps to secure their financial data and bank accounts.

68. Plaintiffs and Class Members suffered harm directly resulting from Equifax's failure to provide and the delay in providing Plaintiffs and Class Members with timely and accurate notice as required by the Arkansas and Georgia data breach statutes.

69. Had Equifax provided timely and accurate notice of the data breach, Plaintiffs and Class Members would have been able to avoid and/or attempt to ameliorate or mitigate the damages and harm resulting in the unreasonable delay by Equifax in providing notice.

70. Plaintiffs and Class Members seek all remedies available under the Arkansas and Georgia data breach statutes, including but not limited to a) damages suffered by Plaintiffs and Class Members as alleged above, b) equitable relief, including injunctive relief, and c)

reasonable attorney fees and costs, as provided by law.

COUNT III
NEGLIGENCE PER SE
(ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS AND THE NATIONWIDE CLASS,
OR, ALTERNATIVELY, PLAINTIFFS AND THE
SEPARATE STATEWIDE CLASSES)

71. Plaintiffs restate and reallege Paragraphs 1 through 70 as if fully set forth herein.

72. Section 5 of the FTC Act prohibits “unfair . . . practices in or affecting commerce,” including, as interpreted and enforced by the FTC, the unfair act or practice by businesses, such as Equifax, of failing to use reasonable measures to protect PII. The FTC publications and orders described above also form part of the basis of Equifax’s duty in this regard.

73. Equifax violated Section 5 of the FTC Act by failing to use reasonable measures to protect PII and not complying with applicable industry standards, as described in detail herein. Equifax’s conduct was particularly unreasonable given the nature and amount of PII it obtained and stored, and the foreseeable consequences of a data breach at a corporation such as Equifax, including, specifically, the immense damages that would result to Plaintiffs and Class Members.

74. Equifax’s violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act constitutes negligence *per se*.

75. Plaintiffs and Class Members are within the class of persons that the FTC Act was intended to protect.

76. The harm that occurred as a result of the Equifax Data Breach is the type of harm the FTC Act was intended to guard against. The FTC has pursued enforcement actions against businesses, which, as a result of their failure to employ reasonable data security measures and avoid unfair and deceptive practices, caused the same harm as that suffered by Plaintiffs and the Class.

77. As a direct and proximate result of Equifax’s negligence *per se*, Plaintiffs and the

Class have suffered, and continue to suffer, injuries damages arising from Plaintiffs' inability to use their debit or credit cards because those cards were cancelled, suspended, or otherwise rendered unusable as a result of the Data Breach and/or false or fraudulent charges stemming from the Data Breach, including but not limited to late fees charges and foregone cash back rewards; damages from lost time and effort to mitigate the actual and potential impact of the Data Breach on their lives including, inter alia, by placing "freezes" and "alerts" with credit reporting agencies, contacting their financial institutions, closing or modifying financial accounts, closely reviewing and monitoring their credit reports and accounts for unauthorized activity, and filing police reports and damages from identity theft, which may take months if not years to discover and detect, given the far-reaching, adverse and detrimental consequences of identity theft and loss of privacy.

COUNT IV
WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE
FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT ("FCRA")
(ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS AND THE NATIONWIDE CLASS,
OR, ALTERNATIVELY, PLAINTIFFS AND THE
SEPARATE STATEWIDE CLASSES)

78. Plaintiffs restate and reallege Paragraphs 1 through 77 as if fully set forth here.

79. Plaintiffs and Class Members are consumers entitled to the protections of the FCRA. 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).

80. Under the FCRA, a "consumer reporting agency" is defined as "any person which, for monetary fees, dues, or on a cooperative nonprofit basis, regularly engages in whole or in part in the practice of assembling or evaluating consumer credit information or other information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties . . ." 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).

81. Equifax is a "consumer reporting agency" under the FCRA. As part of its standard business because, for monetary fees, it regularly engages in the practice of assembling

or evaluating consumer credit information or other information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties.

82. As a consumer reporting agency, the FCRA requires Equifax to “maintain reasonable procedures designed to . . . limit the furnishing of consumer reports to the purposes listed under section 1681b of this title.” 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(a).

83. Under the FCRA, a “consumer report” is defined as “any written, oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer’s credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or expected to be used or collected in whole or in part for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer’s eligibility for -- (A) credit . . . to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes; . . . or (C) any other purpose authorized under section 1681b of this title.” 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(1). The compromised data was a consumer report under the FCRA because it was a communication of information bearing on Class members’ credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living used, or expected to be used or collected in whole or in part, for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the Class members’ eligibility for credit.

84. As a consumer reporting agency, Equifax may only furnish a consumer report under the limited circumstances set forth in 15 U.S.C. § 1681b, “and no other.” 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(a). None of the purposes listed under 15 U.S.C. § 1681b permit credit reporting agencies to furnish consumer reports to unauthorized or unknown entities, or computer cyberattackers such as those who accessed the Nationwide Class members’ PII. Equifax violated § 1681b by furnishing consumer reports to unauthorized or unknown entities or computer cyberattackers, as

detailed above.

85. Equifax furnished the Nationwide Class members' consumer reports by disclosing their consumer reports to unauthorized entities and computer cyberattackers; allowing unauthorized entities and computer cyberattackers to access their consumer reports; knowingly and/or recklessly failing to take security measures that would prevent unauthorized entities or computer cyberattackers from accessing their consumer reports; and/or failing to take reasonable security measures that would prevent unauthorized entities or computer cyberattackers from accessing their consumer reports.

86. The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") has pursued enforcement actions against consumer reporting agencies under the FCRA for failing to "take adequate measures to fulfill their obligations to protect information contained in consumer reports, as required by the" FCRA, in connection with data breaches.

87. Equifax willfully and/or recklessly violated § 1681b and § 1681e(a) by providing impermissible access to consumer reports and by failing to maintain reasonable procedures designed to limit the furnishing of consumer reports to the purposes outlined under section 1681b of the FCRA. The willful and reckless nature of Equifax's violations is supported by, among other things, former employees' admissions that Equifax's data security practices have deteriorated in recent years, and Equifax's numerous other data breaches in the past. Further, Equifax touts itself as an industry leader in breach prevention; thus, Equifax was well aware of the importance of the measures organizations should take to prevent data breaches, and willingly failed to take them.

88. Equifax also acted willfully and recklessly because it knew or should have known about its legal obligations regarding data security and data breaches under the FCRA. These obligations are well established in the plain language of the FCRA and in the promulgations of the

Federal Trade Commission. *See, e.g.*, 55 Fed. Reg. 18804 (May 4, 1990), 1990 Commentary On The Fair Credit Reporting Act. 16 CFR Part 600, Appendix To Part 600, Sec. 607 2E. Equifax obtained or had available these and other substantial written materials that apprised them of their duties under the FCRA. Any reasonable consumer reporting agency knows or should know about these requirements. Despite knowing of these legal obligations, Equifax acted consciously in breaching known duties regarding data security and data breaches and depriving Plaintiffs and other members of the classes of their rights under the FCRA.

89. Equifax's willful and/or reckless conduct provided a means for unauthorized intruders to obtain and misuse Plaintiffs' and Nationwide Class members' personal information for no permissible purposes under the FCRA.

90. Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class members have been damaged by Equifax's willful or reckless failure to comply with the FCRA. Therefore, Plaintiffs and each of the Nationwide Class members are entitled to recover "any actual damages sustained by the consumer . . . or damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1,000." 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A).

91. Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class members are also entitled to punitive damages, costs of the action, and reasonable attorneys' fees. 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2) & (3).

COUNT V
NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE
FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT
(ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS AND THE NATIONWIDE CLASS,
OR, ALTERNATIVELY, PLAINTIFFS AND THE
SEPARATE STATEWIDE CLASSES)

92. Plaintiffs restate and reallege Paragraphs 1 through 91 as if fully set forth herein.

93. Equifax was negligent in failing to maintain reasonable procedures designed to limit the furnishing of consumer reports to the purposes outlined under section 1681b of the

FCRA. Equifax's negligent failure to maintain reasonable procedures is supported by, among other things, former employees' admissions that Equifax's data security practices have deteriorated in recent years, and Equifax's numerous other data breaches in the past. Further, as an enterprise claiming to be an industry leader in data breach prevention, Equifax was well aware of the importance of the measures organizations should take to prevent data breaches, yet failed to take them.

94. Equifax's negligent conduct provided a means for unauthorized intruders to obtain Plaintiffs' and the Nationwide Class members' PII and consumer reports for no permissible purposes under the FCRA.

95. Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class members have been damaged by Equifax's negligent failure to comply with the FCRA. Therefore, Plaintiffs and each of the Nationwide Class member are entitled to recover "any actual damages sustained by the consumer." 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1).

96. Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class members are also entitled to recover their costs of the action, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees. 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(2).

COUNT VI
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
(ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS AND THE NATIONWIDE CLASS,
OR, ALTERNATIVELY, PLAINTIFFS AND THE
SEPARATE STATEWIDE CLASSES)

97. Plaintiffs restate and reallege Paragraphs 1 through 96 as if fully set forth herein.

98. As previously alleged, Plaintiffs and Class members entered into an implied contract that required Equifax to provide adequate security for the PII it collected from their payment card transactions. As previously alleged, Equifax owes duties of care to Plaintiffs and Class members that require it to adequately secure PII.

99. Equifax still possesses PII pertaining to Plaintiffs and Class members. Equifax has

made no announcement or notification that it has remedied the vulnerabilities in its computer data systems, and, has publicly acknowledged that it “must do more” to secure the PII in its possession. Accordingly, Equifax has not satisfied its obligations and legal duties to Plaintiffs and Class members. In fact, now that Equifax’s lax approach towards data security has become public, the PII in its possession is more vulnerable than previously.

100. Actual harm has arisen in the wake of the Equifax Data Breach regarding Equifax’s contractual obligations and duties of care to provide data security measures to Plaintiffs and Class members.

101. Plaintiffs, therefore, seek a declaration that (a) Equifax’s existing data security measures do not comply with its contractual obligations and duties of care, and (b) in order to comply with its contractual obligations and duties of care, Equifax must implement and maintain reasonable security measures, including, but not limited to:

- a. engaging third-party security auditors/penetration testers as well as internal security personnel to conduct testing, including simulated attacks, penetration tests, and audits on Equifax’s systems on a periodic basis, and ordering Equifax to promptly correct any problems or issues detected by such third-party security auditors;
- b. engaging third-party security auditors and internal personnel to run automated security monitoring;
- c. auditing, testing, and training its security personnel regarding any new or modified procedures;
- d. segmenting PII by, among other things, creating firewalls and access controls so that if one area of Equifax is compromised, cyberattackers

- cannot gain access to other portions of Equifax systems;
- e. purging, deleting, and destroying in a reasonable secure manner PII not necessary for its provisions of services;
- f. conducting regular database scanning and securing checks;
- g. routinely and continually conducting internal training and education to inform internal security personnel how to identify and contain a breach when it occurs and what to do in response to a breach; and
- h. educating its customers about the threats they face as a result of the loss of their financial and personal information to third parties, as well as the steps Equifax customers must take to protect themselves.

COUNT VII
VIOLATION OF GEORGIA FAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES ACT
O.C.G.A. § 10-1-390, *ET SEQ.*
(ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS AND THE NATIONWIDE CLASS)

102. Plaintiffs restate and reallege Paragraphs 1 through 101 as if fully set forth herein.

103. Equifax is engaged in, and their acts and omissions affect, trade and commerce pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 10-1-392(28). Equifax's acts, practices, and omissions at issue in this matter were directed and emanated from its headquarters in Atlanta, Georgia.

104. As alleged herein, Equifax engaged in unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of consumer transactions, including the following, in violation of the GFBPA:

- a. failure to maintain adequate computer systems and data security practices to safeguard PII;
- b. failure to disclose that its computer systems and data security practices were inadequate to safeguard PII from theft;
- c. failure to timely and accurately disclose the Data Breach to Plaintiffs and

Class members;

- d. continued acceptance of PII and storage of other personal information after Equifax knew or should have known of the security vulnerabilities of the systems that were exploited in the Data Breach; and
- e. continued acceptance of PII and storage of other personal information after Equifax knew or should have known of the Data Breach and before it allegedly remediated the Breach.

105. Furthermore, as alleged above, Equifax's failure to secure consumers' PII violates the FTCA and therefore violates the GFBPA. Equifax knew or should have known that its computer systems and data security practices were inadequate to safeguard the PII of Plaintiffs and Class members, deter cyberattackers, and detect a breach within a reasonable time, and that the risk of a data breach was highly likely.

106. As a direct and proximate result of Equifax's violation of the GFBPA, Plaintiffs and Class members suffered damages including, but not limited to: damages arising from the unauthorized charges on their debit or credit cards or on cards that were fraudulently obtained through the use of the PII of Plaintiffs and Class Members; damages arising from Plaintiffs' inability to use their debit or credit cards or accounts because those cards or accounts were cancelled, suspended, or otherwise rendered unusable as a result of the Data Breach and/or false or fraudulent charges stemming from the Data Breach, including but not limited to late fees charges and foregone cash back rewards; damages from lost time and effort to mitigate the actual and potential impact of the Data Breach on their lives including, *inter alia*, by placing "freezes" and "alerts" with credit reporting agencies, contacting their financial institutions, closing or modifying financial accounts, closely reviewing and monitoring their credit reports and accounts

for unauthorized activity, and filing police reports and damages from identity theft, which may take months if not years to discover and detect, given the far-reaching, adverse and detrimental consequences of identity theft and loss of privacy. The nature of other forms of economic damage and injury may take years to detect, and the potential scope can only be assessed after a thorough investigation of the facts and events surrounding the theft mentioned above.

107. Also as a direct result of Equifax's knowing violation of the GFBPA, Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled to damages and injunctive relief, including, but not limited to:

- a. Ordering that Equifax engage third-party security auditors/penetration testers as well as internal security personnel to conduct testing, including simulated attacks, penetration tests, and audits on Equifax's systems on a periodic basis, and ordering Equifax to promptly correct any problems or issues detected by such third-party security auditors;
- b. Ordering that Equifax engage third-party security auditors and internal personnel to run automated security monitoring;
- c. Ordering that Equifax audit, test, and train its security personnel regarding any new or modified procedures;
- d. Ordering that Equifax segment PII by, among other things, creating firewalls and access controls so that if one area of Equifax is compromised, cyberattackers cannot gain access to other portions of Equifax systems;
- e. Ordering that Equifax purge, delete, and destroy in a reasonable secure manner PII not necessary for its provisions of services;
- f. Ordering that Equifax conduct regular database scanning and securing

checks;

- g. Ordering that Equifax routinely and continually conduct internal training and education to inform internal security personnel how to identify and contain a breach when it occurs and what to do in response to a breach; and
- h. Ordering Equifax to meaningfully educate its customers about the threats they face as a result of the loss of their financial and personal information to third parties, as well as the steps Equifax customers must take to protect themselves.

108. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and Class Members for the relief requested above and for the public benefit in order to promote the public interests in the provision of truthful, fair information to allow consumers to make informed purchasing decisions and to protect Plaintiffs and Class members and the public from Equifax's unfair methods of competition and unfair, deceptive, fraudulent, unconscionable and unlawful practices. Equifax's wrongful conduct as alleged in this Complaint has had widespread impact on the public at large.

109. Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled to a judgment against Equifax for actual and consequential damages, exemplary damages and attorneys' fees pursuant to the GFBPA, costs, and such other further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of all Class members proposed in this Complaint, respectfully request that the Court enter judgment in their favor and against Equifax as follows:

- a. For an Order certifying the Classes, as defined herein, and appointing Plaintiffs and their Counsel to represent the Nationwide Class, or in the alternative the

- separate Statewide Class;
- b. For equitable relief enjoining Equifax from engaging in the wrongful conduct complained of herein pertaining to the misuse and/or disclosure of Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII, and from refusing to issue prompt, complete and accurate disclosures to the Plaintiffs and Class members;
 - c. For equitable relief compelling Equifax to use appropriate cyber security methods and policies with respect to consumer data collection, storage and protection and to disclose with specificity to Class members the type of PII compromised;
 - d. For an award of damages, as allowed by law in an amount to be determined;
 - e. For an award of attorneys' fees costs and litigation expenses, as allowable by law;
 - f. For prejudgment and post judgment interest on all amounts awarded; and
 - g. Such other and further relief as this court may deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiffs demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

This 15th day of September 2017.

s/Benjamin A. Gastel

Benjamin A. Gastel (Georgia Bar No 432776)
J. Gerard Stranch, IV (TN BPR #23045) (PHV
forthcoming)
Michael G. Stewart (TN BPR# 16920) (PHV
forthcoming)
BRANSTETTER, STRANCH &
JENNINGS, PLLC
223 Rosa L. Parks Ave., Suite 200
Nashville, Tennessee 37203
(615) 254-8801

gerards@bsjfirm.com
beng@bsjfirm.com
mikes@bsjfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Alex G. Streett (65038, PHV
forthcoming))
James A. Streett (2007092, PHV
forthcoming)
STREETT LAW FIRM, P.A.
107 West Main
Russellville, AR 72801
(479) 968-2030
Fax: (479) 968-6253
Alex@StreettLaw.com
James@StreettLaw.com

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED)

I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S)

Brian Cox and Jessica Cox, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

DEFENDANT(S)

Equifax, Inc.

(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Pope County, Arkansas (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT Fulton County, Georgia (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED

(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUMBER, AND E-MAIL ADDRESS)

Benjamin A. Gastel beng@bsjfirm.com
Branstetter, Stranch & Jennings, PLLC
223 Rosa L. Parks Ave. Suite 200
Nashville, TN 37203
(615) 254-8801

ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- 1 U.S. GOVERNMENT PLAINTIFF
2 U.S. GOVERNMENT DEFENDANT
3 FEDERAL QUESTION (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY)
4 DIVERSITY (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES IN ITEM III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY)

- PLF DEF PLF DEF
1 1 CITIZEN OF THIS STATE 4 4 INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE
2 2 CITIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE 5 5 INCORPORATED AND PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE
3 3 CITIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A FOREIGN COUNTRY 6 6 FOREIGN NATION

IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- 1 ORIGINAL PROCEEDING
2 REMOVED FROM STATE COURT
3 REMANDED FROM APPELLATE COURT
4 REINSTATED OR REOPENED
5 TRANSFERRED FROM ANOTHER DISTRICT (Specify District)
6 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION - TRANSFER
7 APPEAL TO DISTRICT JUDGE FROM MAGISTRATE JUDGE JUDGMENT
8 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION - DIRECT FILE

V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY)

28 USC 1332(d)

(IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW)

- 1. Unusually large number of parties.
2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses.
3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex.
4. Greater than normal volume of evidence.
5. Extended discovery period is needed.
6. Problems locating or preserving evidence.
7. Pending parallel investigations or actions by government.
8. Multiple use of experts.
9. Need for discovery outside United States boundaries.
10. Existence of highly technical issues and proof.

CONTINUED ON REVERSE

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT \$ APPLYING IFP MAG. JUDGE (IFP)
JUDGE MAG. JUDGE (Referral) NATURE OF SUIT CAUSE OF ACTION

VI. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

CONTRACT - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT
- 152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS (Excl. Veterans)
- 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERAN'S BENEFITS

CONTRACT - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 110 INSURANCE
- 120 MARINE
- 130 MILLER ACT
- 140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT
- 151 MEDICARE ACT
- 160 STOCKHOLDERS' SUITS
- 190 OTHER CONTRACT
- 195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY
- 196 FRANCHISE

REAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 210 LAND CONDEMNATION
- 220 FORECLOSURE
- 230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT
- 240 TORTS TO LAND
- 245 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY
- 290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY

TORTS - PERSONAL INJURY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 310 AIRPLANE
- 315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY
- 320 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER
- 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY
- 340 MARINE
- 345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY
- 350 MOTOR VEHICLE
- 355 MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LIABILITY
- 360 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY
- 362 PERSONAL INJURY - MEDICAL MALPRACTICE
- 365 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY
- 367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CARE/ PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY
- 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY

TORTS - PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 370 OTHER FRAUD
- 371 TRUTH IN LENDING
- 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE
- 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY

BANKRUPTCY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 422 APPEAL 28 USC 158
- 423 WITHDRAWAL 28 USC 157

CIVIL RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS
- 441 VOTING
- 442 EMPLOYMENT
- 443 HOUSING/ ACCOMMODATIONS
- 445 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Employment
- 446 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Other
- 448 EDUCATION

IMMIGRATION - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 462 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION
- 465 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS

PRISONER PETITIONS - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 463 HABEAS CORPUS- Alien Detainee
- 510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE
- 530 HABEAS CORPUS
- 535 HABEAS CORPUS DEATH PENALTY
- 540 MANDAMUS & OTHER
- 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed Pro se
- 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed Pro se
- 560 CIVIL DETAINEE: CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT

PRISONER PETITIONS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed by Counsel
- 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed by Counsel

FORFEITURE/PENALTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 625 DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY 21 USC 881
- 690 OTHER

LABOR - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT
- 720 LABOR/MGMT. RELATIONS
- 740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT
- 751 FAMILY and MEDICAL LEAVE ACT
- 790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION
- 791 EML. RET. INC. SECURITY ACT

PROPERTY RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 820 COPYRIGHTS
- 840 TRADEMARK

PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 830 PATENT
- 835 PATENT-ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATIONS (ANDA) - a/k/a Hatch-Waxman cases

SOCIAL SECURITY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 861 HIA (1395f)
- 862 BLACK LUNG (923)
- 863 DIWC (405(g))
- 863 DIWW (405(g))
- 864 SSID TITLE XVI
- 865 RSI (405(g))

FEDERAL TAX SUITS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 870 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
- 871 IRS - THIRD PARTY 26 USC 7609

OTHER STATUTES - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 375 FALSE CLAIMS ACT
- 376 Qui Tam 31 USC 3729(a)
- 400 STATE REAPPORTIONMENT
- 430 BANKS AND BANKING
- 450 COMMERCE/ICC RATES/ETC.
- 460 DEPORTATION
- 470 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS
- 480 CONSUMER CREDIT
- 490 CABLE/SATELLITE TV
- 890 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS
- 891 AGRICULTURAL ACTS
- 893 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS
- 895 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
- 899 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT / REVIEW OR APPEAL OF AGENCY DECISION
- 950 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES

OTHER STATUTES - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 410 ANTI-TRUST
- 850 SECURITIES / COMMODITIES / EXCHANGE

OTHER STATUTES - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 896 ARBITRATION (Confirm / Vacate / Order / Modify)

*** PLEASE NOTE DISCOVERY TRACK FOR EACH CASE TYPE. SEE LOCAL RULE 26.3**

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.Civ.P. 23 DEMAND \$ >\$5,000,000

JURY DEMAND YES NO (CHECK YES ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT)

VIII. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY

JUDGE Totenberg DOCKET NO. 1:17-cv-03476-AT

CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX)

- 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.
- 2. SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.
- 3. VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT OF THE SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.
- 4. APPEALS ARISING OUT OF THE SAME BANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERETO WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME BANKRUPTCY JUDGE.
- 5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY PRO SE LITIGANTS.
- 6. COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO CASE(S) BEING SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED (INCLUDE ABBREVIATED STYLE OF OTHER CASE(S)):

7. EITHER SAME OR ALL OF THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. _____, WHICH WAS DISMISSED. This case IS IS NOT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE.

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

DATE

[Return to equifax.com](#)

To enroll in complimentary identity theft protection and credit file monitoring, click [here](#).

Cybersecurity Incident & Important Consumer Information

- [Home](#)
- [Consumer Notice](#)
- [FAQs](#)
- [Potential Impact](#)
- [Enroll](#)
- [TrustedID Premier](#)
- [Contact Us](#)

A Progress Update for Consumers

September 13, 2017

1) Updated information on U.S. website application vulnerability.

Equifax has been intensely investigating the scope of the intrusion with the assistance of a leading, independent cybersecurity firm to determine what information was accessed and who has been impacted. We know that criminals exploited a U.S. website application vulnerability. The vulnerability was Apache Struts CVE-2017-5638. We continue to work with law enforcement as part of our criminal investigation, and have shared indicators of compromise with law enforcement.

2) Temporary interruption to credit freeze sign-up link.

Due to the high volume of security freeze requests, we experienced temporary technical difficulties and our system was offline for approximately an hour at 5PM ET on September 13, 2017 to address this issue. We apologize for any inconvenience.

3) More details on consumer exemption from arbitration clause.

Questions continue to be raised about the arbitration clause and class action waiver language that was originally in the terms of use for the free credit file monitoring and identity theft protection products that we are offering called TrustedID Premier. We have removed that language from the TrustedID Premier Terms of Use and it will not apply to the free products offered in response to the cybersecurity incident or for claims related to the cybersecurity incident itself. The arbitration language will not apply to any consumer who signed up before the language was removed.

A Progress Update for Consumers

September 11, 2017

We are committed to keeping consumers updated on the steps we are taking to provide them with the support they need and address any issues they are facing in response to this incident. We recognize that some consumers continue to face challenges and in response we have made the following updates:

1) Adjusted our PIN Generation for Security Freezes

We understand and appreciate that consumers have questions about how a PIN is currently generated for a consumer initiating an Equifax security freeze solution. All consumers placing a security freeze will be provided a randomly generated PIN.

2) Call Center Support

When we recognized that Hurricane Irma could impact some of our call center wait times, we arranged to ramp up agents quickly to replace agents impacted by the storm and updated our website to make consumers aware of the situation.

3) Clarification Regarding Automatic Sign-Up to TrustedID Premier

We are not requesting consumers' credit card information when they sign up for the free credit file monitoring and identity theft protection we are offering to all U.S. consumers. Consumers who sign up for TrustedID Premier will not be automatically enrolled or charged after the conclusion of the complimentary year of TrustedID Premier.

4) Obvious Link from Equifax.com

To make it easier for consumers to find the website dedicated to providing information about this incident, we have reconfigured our website, www.equifax.com, to feature the link more prominently.

5) Adjusted the TrustedID Premier and Clarified Equifax.com

We've added an FAQ to our website to confirm that enrolling in the free credit file monitoring and identity theft protection that we are offering as part of this cybersecurity incident does not waive any rights to take legal action. We removed that language from the Terms of Use on the website, www.equifaxsecurity2017.com. The Terms of Use on www.equifax.com do not apply to the TrustedID Premier product being offered to consumers as a result of the cybersecurity incident.

We are listening to issues consumers have experienced and their suggestions. These are helping to further inform our actions, and we are now sharing regular updates on this website. Thank you for your continued patience and feedback as we continue to improve this process.

Call Center Update

[Click here for an important update on call times due to Hurricane Irma.](#)

A Progress Update for Consumers

September 8, 2017

We understand that some consumers are experiencing difficulties getting the answers and support they need through our website and call center. Ramping up the website and call center to handle the anticipated volume is ongoing and we are focused on making improvements as quickly as possible. We apologize for any inconvenience this process has created.

Thus far today, we've made the following adjustments:

1) You Can Determine Your Status Immediately

Some consumers who visited the website soon after its launch failed to receive confirmation clarifying whether or not they were potentially impacted. That issue is now resolved, and we encourage those consumers to revisit the site to receive a response that clarifies their status.

2) No Waiver Of Rights For This Cyber Security Incident

In response to consumer inquiries, we have made it clear that the arbitration clause and class action waiver included in the Equifax and TrustedID Premier terms of use does not apply to this cybersecurity incident.

3) Expanded Our Call Center

We have tripled our call center team to over 2000 agents and continue to add agents.

Our goal is to make this process as convenient and consistent as possible. We will continue to identify steps to improve this process.

And we will continue as well to listen to your comments and suggestions.

Equifax Announces Cybersecurity Incident Involving Consumer Information

Rick Smith, Chairman and CEO of Equifax, on Cybersecurity Incident Involving Consum...

No Evidence of Unauthorized Access to Core Consumer or Commercial Credit Reporting Databases

Company to Offer Free Identity Theft Protection and Credit File Monitoring to All U.S. Consumers

September 7, 2017 — Equifax Inc. (NYSE: EFX) today announced a cybersecurity incident potentially impacting approximately 143 million U.S. consumers. Criminals exploited a U.S. website application vulnerability to gain access to certain files. Based on the company's investigation, the unauthorized access occurred from mid-May through July 2017. The company has found no evidence of unauthorized activity on Equifax's core consumer or commercial credit reporting databases.

The information accessed primarily includes names, Social Security numbers, birth dates, addresses and, in some instances, driver's license numbers. In addition, credit card numbers for approximately 209,000 U.S. consumers, and certain dispute documents with personal identifying information for approximately 182,000 U.S. consumers, were accessed. As part of its investigation of this application vulnerability, Equifax also identified unauthorized access to limited personal information for certain UK and Canadian residents. Equifax will work with UK and Canadian regulators to determine appropriate next steps. The company has found no evidence that personal information of consumers in any other country has been impacted.

Equifax discovered the unauthorized access on July 29 of this year and acted immediately to stop the intrusion. The company promptly engaged a leading, independent cybersecurity firm that has been conducting a comprehensive forensic review to determine the scope of the intrusion, including the specific data impacted. Equifax also reported the criminal access to law enforcement and continues to work with authorities. While the company's investigation is substantially complete, it remains ongoing and is expected to be completed in the coming weeks.

"This is clearly a disappointing event for our company, and one that strikes at the heart of who we are and what we do. I apologize to consumers and our business customers for the concern and frustration this causes," said Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Richard F. Smith. "We pride ourselves on being a leader in managing and protecting data, and we are conducting a thorough review of our overall security operations. We also are focused on consumer protection and have developed a comprehensive portfolio of services to support all U.S. consumers, regardless of whether they were impacted by this incident."

Equifax has established a dedicated website, www.equifaxsecurity2017.com, to help consumers determine if their information has been potentially impacted and to sign up for credit file monitoring and identity theft protection. The offering, called TrustedID Premier, includes 3-Bureau credit monitoring of Equifax, Experian and TransUnion credit reports; copies of Equifax credit reports; the ability to lock and unlock Equifax credit reports; identity theft insurance; and Internet scanning for Social Security numbers – all complimentary to U.S. consumers for one year. The website also provides additional information on steps consumers can take to protect their personal information. Equifax recommends that consumers with additional questions visit www.equifaxsecurity2017.com or contact a dedicated call center at 866-447-7559 ([Click here for an important update on call times due to Hurricane Irma](#)), which the company set up to assist consumers. The call center is open every day (including weekends) from 7:00 a.m. – 1:00 a.m. Eastern time.

In addition to the website, Equifax will send direct mail notices to consumers whose credit card numbers or dispute documents with personal identifying information were impacted. Equifax also is in the process of contacting U.S. state and federal regulators and has sent written notifications to all U.S. state attorneys general, which includes Equifax contact information for regulator inquiries.

Equifax has engaged a leading, independent cybersecurity firm to conduct an assessment and provide recommendations on steps that can be taken to help prevent this type of incident from happening again.

CEO Smith said, “I’ve told our entire team that our goal can’t be simply to fix the problem and move on. Confronting cybersecurity risks is a daily fight. While we’ve made significant investments in data security, we recognize we must do more. And we will.”

About Equifax

Equifax is a global information solutions company that uses trusted unique data, innovative analytics, technology and industry expertise to power organizations and individuals around the world by transforming knowledge into insights that help make more informed business and personal decisions.

Headquartered in Atlanta, Ga., Equifax operates or has investments in 24 countries in North America, Central and South America, Europe and the Asia Pacific region. It is a member of Standard & Poor’s (S&P) 500[®] Index, and its common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol EFX. Equifax employs approximately 9,900 employees worldwide.

Forward-Looking Statements

This release contains forward-looking statements and forward-looking information. These statements can be identified by expressions of belief, expectation or intention, as well as estimates and statements that are not historical fact. These statements are based on certain factors and assumptions with respect to the investigation of the cybersecurity incident to date. While the company believes these factors and assumptions to be reasonable based on information currently available, they may prove to be incorrect.

Several factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, the final results of the investigation, including the final scope of the intrusion, the type of information accessed and the number of consumers impacted. A summary of additional risks and uncertainties can be found in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, including without limitation under the captions “Item 1. Business — Governmental Regulation” and “– Forward-Looking Statements” and “Item 1A. Risk Factors,” and in our other filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Forward-looking statements are given only as at the date of this release and the company disclaims any obligation to update or revise the forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

Contacts:

Ines Gutzmer
Corporate Communications
mediainquiries@equifax.com
404-885-8555

[Read More](#)

[Potential Impact](#)

[Enroll](#)

[Contact Us](#)



- [Privacy Policy](#) |
- [Terms of Use](#) |

- [FACT Act](#)

Copyright 2017 Equifax, Inc. All rights reserved

Equifax and the Equifax marks used herein are trademarks of Equifax Inc. Other product and company names mentioned herein are the property of their respective owners.