- 3. Through the Settlement Agreements, Settling Defendants will fully and completely satisfy the claims of Class Members relating to the claims alleged by Plaintiffs in the Third Amended Complaint by paying Class Members a total payment of \$2,150,000 (\$1.35 million from Kroger and \$800,000 from HIKC), and provide injunctive relief relating to the labeling of the Kona coffee products at issue. Attorneys' fees and costs of Class Counsel and administrative costs will be paid from the Settlement Fund. By entering into the Settlement Agreements, the Settling Defendants made no admissions relating to the claims raised in this lawsuit, nor did Plaintiffs make admissions relating to the Settling Defendants' Defenses.
- 4. The Settlement Class, as defined in each of the Settlement Agreements, includes the following: All persons and entities who, between February 27, 2015, and the date of Court's order granting preliminary approval to the settlement, farmed Kona coffee in the Kona District and then sold their Kona coffee. Excluded from the Settlement Class are any defendants to the action, as well as any judge assigned to the action, and the judge's immediate family and staff.
- 5. The Settlement Agreements describe the claims that are being settled on behalf of the Class (defined as the "Settled Claims"). The Settlement Agreements and their terms, including the definitions, are incorporated into this Final Judgment And Order of Dismissal (the "Final Judgment") as if fully set forth herein. The Settlement Agreements and Final Judgment shall be referred to collectively herein as the "Settlements."

- 6. This Court entered an Order dated February 14, 2022, directing that notice of the proposed Settlements be effectuated as to the Settlement Class (Dkt. 604) ("Preliminary Approval Order"). The Preliminary Approval Order set a hearing for June 3, 2022 to determine whether the proposed Settlements should be approved as fair, reasonable and adequate.
- 7. In accordance with the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, the Settlement Administrator caused to be mailed and emailed to potential members of the Settlement Class for whom addresses could be located, a notice (the "Settlement Notice") in the form approved by the Court in the Preliminary Approval Orders. Also in accordance with the Court's Preliminary Approval Orders, the Settlement Administrator also caused the publication notice to be placed in the *West Hawaii Today*. The Court finds that the Settlement Notice, along with the publication notice, provided to potential members of the Settlement Class constituted the best and most practicable notice under the circumstances, thereby complying fully with due process and Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court did not receive any objections to the Settlement from class members.
- 8. The Settling Defendants caused to be mailed to the appropriate federal and state officials the materials required to be submitted by the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1711, et seq. ("CAFA"). The Court finds that CAFA's notice requirements have been satisfied.
- 9. On June 3, 2022, the Court held a hearing on the proposed Settlements, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard. Furthermore, the Court has read and considered all submissions in connection with the Settlements. As explained below, the Court grants the motion for final approval of the Settlements.

Class Certification

- 10. The first question before the Court is whether to certify the Settlement Class. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) & (b). Class certification is proper if Plaintiffs demonstrate: (1) The Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members in a single proceeding would be impracticable; (2) Resolution of the claims will involve common questions of law and fact; (3) The named Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of the Settlement Class Members; (4) The named Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the interests of the Settlement Class and will continue to do so; (5) Questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class predominate over the questions affecting only individual Settlement Class Members, and (6) certification of the Settlement Class is superior to other available methods to the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. *Id*.
- 11. In its Preliminary Approval Order, the Court concluded that Plaintiffs showed that they were likely to satisfy these requirements. *See* Dkt. 604. The Court now finds no reason to disturb those conclusions. As such, the Court certifies the proposed Class.

Settlement Approval

12. The Court must also determine whether the Settlements are "fair, reasonable, and adequate." Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(2). The Court's Preliminary Approval Order applied these standards and concluded that the Settlement appeared to be "fair, reasonable, and adequate." Dkt. 604 ¶ 4. Plaintiffs explained, and the Court determined, that approval of the Settlements will bestow a substantial economic benefit on the Settlement Class, result in substantial savings in time and money to the litigants and the Court and will further the interests of justice, and that the Settlements are the product of good-faith arm's length negotiations between the Settling

1]
2	1
3	8
4	
5	
6	1
7	t
8	1
9	8
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	8
15	
16	
17]
18	,
19	
20]
21	,
22	
23	1
24	
25	(

Parties. The record is even more supportive of approval now that no Settlement Class Member has objected to the Settlements. The Court thus finds the Settlements to be fair, reasonable, and adequate. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e).

- 13. The Settlement Agreements, including all of the terms defined therein including but not limited to the definitions of "Settled Claims," are incorporated herein. Any terms used in this Final Judgment are governed by their definitions in the Settlement Agreements. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and all parties to this litigation, including all members of the Settlement Class.
- 14. The certified Settlement Class is defined for purposes of the Settlement Agreements and this Final Judgment as set forth in Paragraph 4 above.
- 15. Therefore, the Settlements are approved in all respects, and shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, all members of the Settlement Class.
 - 16. All Settled Claims are hereby dismissed with prejudice.
- 17. This Final Judgment may not be used as an admission by or against Settling Defendants of any fact, claim, assertion, matter, contention, fault, culpability, obligation, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever.
- 18. The Court has, by separate order, granted Class Counsel's "Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses." The amount of Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses awarded to Class Counsel shall be distributed to Class Counsel by the Settlement Administrator from the Settlement Funds.
- 19. The Court reserves jurisdiction over this matter, the Settling Parties, and all counsel herein, without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment, including over (a) the

1	implementation, administration, and enforcement of this Settlement and any award or
2	distribution from the Settlement Funds; (b) disposition of the Settlement Funds; and (c) other
3 4	matters related or ancillary to the foregoing.
5	20. Pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds
6	that there is no reason for delay in the entry of this Final Order and Judgment as a final order and
7	final judgment, and the Court further expressly directs the Clerk of the Court to file this Final
8	Order and Judgment as a final order and final judgment.
9	
10	Dated this 3rd day of June, 2022.
11 12	MAS Casnik
13	Robert S. Lasnik United States District Judge
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2526	
	$oldsymbol{1}$