Adrian A. Miller Michelle M. Sullivan Sullivan Miller Law PLLC 2812 1st Ave. N, Suite 225 Billings, MT 59101 Telephone: (406) 403-7066 Fax: (406) 294-5702 michelle.sullivan@sullivanmiller.com adrian.miller@sullivanmiller.com

Michael Tompkins, Esq. LEEDS BROWN LAW, P.C. One Old Country Road, Suite 347 Carle Place, NY 11514 (516) 873-9550 mtompkins@leedsbrownlaw.com (*Pro hac vice application forthcoming*)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA BUTTE DIVISION

ANTHONY CORDERO, on behalf of	
himself and all others similarly) Cause No
situated,)
Plaintiffs,	 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
VS.))
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY,)
Defendant.)
)
)
)

Come now Plaintiffs, through counsel, and for their Complaint in the abovecaptioned matter allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a class action lawsuit on behalf of all persons who paid tuition and/or fees to attend Montana State University ("MSU") for an in person, hands-on educational services and experiences for the semesters or terms affected by Coronavirus Disease 2019 ("COVID-19"), including the Spring 2020 term or semester, and had their course work moved to online only learning.

2. Such individuals paid all or part of the tuition for an average semester that was approximately \$3,685 for in-state undergraduate students, and approximately \$13,700 for out-of-state undergraduate students, including mandatory fees listed on MSU's website: http://catalog.montana.edu/expenses/#Fee_Schedule ("Mandatory Fees").

3. MSU has not refunded any amount of the tuition or any of the Mandatory Fees, even though it implemented online distance learning starting in or around March 23, 2020.

4. Because of MSU's response to the COVID-19 pandemic, on or about March 23, 2020, MSU also stopped providing many of the services or facilities the Mandatory Fees were intended to cover.

5. MSU's failure to provide the services for which tuition and the

Case 2:20-cv-00046-BMM Document 1 Filed 09/14/20 Page 3 of 21

Mandatory Fees were intended to cover since approximately March 23, 2020 is a breach of the contracts and breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing between MSU and Plaintiff Anthony Cordero ("Cordero") and the members of the Class and is unjust.

6. In short, Cordero and the members of the Class have paid for tuition for a first-rate education and an on-campus, in person educational experience, with all the appurtenant benefits offered by a first-rate university, and were provided a materially deficient and insufficient alternative, which alternative constitutes a breach of the contracts entered into by Cordero and the Class Members with MSU.

7. As to the Mandatory Fees, Cordero and the Class Members have paid fees for services and facilities which are simply not being provided; this failure also constitutes a breach of the contracts entered into by Cordero and the Class Members with MSU.

8. Cordero seeks for himself and Class Members damages, including a refund of the pro-rated portion of their tuition and Mandatory Fees, proportionate to the amount of time in the respective semesters when MSU closed and switched to online only learning.

9. Cordero seeks for himself and Class Members protections including injunctive and declaratory relief protecting Class Members for paying the full cost of tuition and fees during the pendency of the pandemic in light of the educational

services, opportunities, and experiences Defendant can actually safely provide.

PARTIES

10. Plaintiff Cordero was an undergraduate student at MSU during the Spring 2020 semester. MSU charged Cordero approximately \$6,585.22 in tuition and fees for the Spring 2020 semester.

11. During the Spring 2020 semester, Plaintiff Cordero paid several Mandatory Fees, including an activity fee of \$40.00, a student building fee of \$22.50, a non-res building fee of \$73.50, a PE building fee of \$4.20, a building renewal and replacement fee of \$15.20, a bus fee of \$5.25, an equipment fee of \$23.22, an intramural fee of \$23.70, an information technology fee of \$46.10, an outdoor recreation fee of \$8.31, a recreational facility fee of \$13.38, a student facilities enhancement fee of \$27.90, a student leadership fee of \$6.37, a student organization fee of \$9.89, a student press fee of \$2.70, and several other fees for which MSU was obligated to provide services for.

12. Cordero is a resident of Yorba Linda, California.

13. Cordero paid tuition and fees for in-person educational services, experiences, opportunities, and other related collegiate services. Cordero has not been provided a pro-rated refund of the tuition for his in-person classes that were discontinued and moved online, or the Mandatory Fee he paid after MSU's facilities were closed and events were cancelled.

14. Defendant MSU is a public research university in Bozeman, Montana that was opened in 1895. MSU has an enrollment of approximately 15,000 undergraduate students and offers approximately sixty majors for undergraduate students, as well as a number of graduate programs.

15. MSU's undergraduate and graduate programs includes students from many, if not all, of the states in the country. Its principal campus is located in Bozeman, Montana. MSU is a citizen of Montana.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

16. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because this is a class action in which the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds Five Million Dollars (\$5,000,000.00) exclusive of interest and costs and one or more of the Class members are citizens of a state different from Defendant. This Court also has original jurisdiction because this is a civil action arising under the laws of the United States. 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

17. Venue is appropriate in the Butte division because Defendant MSU conducts business and maintains its principal place of business in Gallatin County, Montana and because Cordero and the Class Members attended MSU in Gallatin County, Montana. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2); D. Mont. L.R. 1.2(b)(2).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS.

18. Cordero and Class Members paid to attend MSU's Spring 2020

Case 2:20-cv-00046-BMM Document 1 Filed 09/14/20 Page 6 of 21

semester including tuition and the Mandatory Fees. The Spring 2020 semester started on January 7, 2020 and ended on or around May 8, 2020.

19. Tuition and fees at MSU for the Spring 2020 semester was approximately \$3,685 for in-state undergraduate students, and approximately \$13,700 for out-of-state undergraduate students, and approximately \$12,812 for in-state graduate students, and between \$18,425 for out-of-state graduate students,

20. Cordero and the Class Members paid tuition for the benefit of oncampus live interactive instruction and an on-campus educational experience throughout the semesters.

21. Plaintiffs and MSU entered into a contractual agreement where Plaintiffs would provide payment in the form of tuition and fees and MSU, in exchange, would provide in-person educational services, experiences, opportunities, and other related services. The terms of the contractual agreement were set forth in publications from MSU, including MSU's website and marketing materials, the application for admission submitted by Cordero and Class Members, and the acceptance letters received by Cordero and Class Members.

22. Prior to Cordero's enrollment at MSU, the University highlighted in marketing materials, advertisements, and other documents that in-person educational opportunities, experiences, and services were invaluable to Cordero's educational experiences.

Case 2:20-cv-00046-BMM Document 1 Filed 09/14/20 Page 7 of 21

23. Cordero made payments to MSU based on promises made by MSU in those documents in lieu of receiving education at other universities or academic institutions.

24. On March 12, 2020, MSU announced that all in-person classes were to be moved online beginning on March 23,2020.

25. Throughout March 2020, MSU made public announcements adjusting educational services and opportunities that affected Plaintiffs.

26. MSU did not hold any in-person classes from March 23, 2020 until August 17, 2020. All classes during that time were only offered in a remote online format with no in-person instruction or interaction.

27. Many of the services for which the Mandatory Fees were assessed were also terminated or cancelled at or about this time, such as access to University health and wellness facilities, programs or services; fitness facilities; student events or sports; and an in-person commencement. For example, Cordero could not complete a project for his Computer Engineering class which required collaborative-in person work with fellow students and access to a lab that MSU closed on or around March 23, 2020.

28. MSU has not provided reimbursement or refund information regarding tuition or the Mandatory Fees.

29. Students attending MSU's Spring 2020 semester and other semesters

impacted by COVID-19 did not choose to attend an online only institution of higher learning, but instead chose to enroll in MSU's in-person educational programs – with the understanding that MSU would provide in person educational opportunities, services, and experiences.

30. On its website, MSU markets the University's on-campus experience and opportunities as a benefit to students.

31. The online learning options being offered to MSU's students are subpar in practically every aspect as compared to what the educational experience afforded Cordero and the Class Members once was.

32. Students, like Cordero, have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique.

33. Access to facilities such as libraries, laboratories, computer labs, recitations, and study rooms, are integral to a college education.

34. Access to activities offered by campus life fosters intellectual and academic development and independence, and networking for future careers.

35. MSU priced the tuition and Mandatory fees based on the in person educational services, opportunities and experiences it was providing on campus.

36. MSU has not made any refund of any portion of the tuition Cordero and Class Members paid for the semesters affected by Covid-19.

37. MSU has not refunded any portion of the Mandatory Fees it collected

Case 2:20-cv-00046-BMM Document 1 Filed 09/14/20 Page 9 of 21

from Cordero or Class Members for the affected semester even though it closed or ceased operating the services and facilities for which the Mandatory Fees were intended to pay.

38. Cordero and Class Members are entitled to a pro-rated refund of the tuition and Mandatory Fees they paid for the Spring 2020 semester for the remaining days of that semester after classes moved from in-person to online and facilities were closed, as well as for other semesters impacted by COVID-19.

39. MSU's practice of failing to provide reimbursements for tuition and Mandatory Fees despite the diminished value of the education and other experiences that it provided, and the reduced benefits associated with the fees, as alleged herein, violates generally accepted principles of business conduct.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

40. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior allegations.

41. Cordero brings this case individually and, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.

23(a), on behalf of the class defined as:

All persons who paid tuition and/or the Mandatory Fees for a student to attend in-person class(es) during the Spring 2020, or any other semester affected by Covid-19 at MSU but had their educational experiences and class(es) moved to online only learning (the "Class").

42. Cordero reserves the right to modify or amend the definition of the proposed Class if necessary before this Court determines whether certification is

appropriate.

43. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained on behalf of the Class proposed herein under the criteria of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a).

44. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Although the precise number of Class members is unknown to Cordero, MSU has reported that an aggregate of 29,000 or more undergraduate and graduate students were enrolled for the 2019-2020 school year. The names and addresses of all such students are known to MSU and can be identified through MSU's records. Class Members may be notified of the pendency of this action by recognized, Courtapproved notice dissemination methods, which may include U.S. Mail, electronic mail, Internet postings, and/or published notice.

45. The questions here are ones of common law or facts such that there is a well-defined community of interest among the Class Members. These questions predominate over questions that may affect only individual members of the Class because MSU has acted on grounds generally applicable to the classes. Such common legal or factual questions include, but are not limited to:

> a. Whether MSU accepted money from Cordero and the Class Members in exchange for the promise to provide an in-person and on-campus live education, as well as certain facilities and services throughout the semesters affected by Covid-19;

- b. Whether MSU breached its contracts with Cordero and Class Members
 by failing to provide them with an in-person and on-campus live
 education after March 23, 2020;
- c. Whether MSU breached its contracts with Cordero and Class Members by failing to provide the services and facilities to which the Mandatory Fees pertained after mid-March 2020; and
- d. Whether MSU breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing with Cordero and Class Members by failing to provide them with an inperson and on-campus live education after March 23, 2020;
- e. Whether MSU breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing with Cordero and Class Members by failing to provide the services and facilities to which the Mandatory Fees pertained after mid-March 2020;
- f. The amount of damages and other relief to be awarded to Cordero and the Class Members.

46. Cordero's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class because Cordero and the other Class Members each contracted with MSU for it to provide an in-person and on-campus live education for the tuition they paid and the services and facilities for the Mandatory Fee that they paid, that MSU stopped providing in mid-March.

47. Cordero will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. In

particular:

- a) Cordero is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated and has retained competent counsel experienced in the prosecution of class actions and, in particular, class action litigation;
- b) because Cordero's interests do not conflict with the interests of the other Class Members whom he seeks to represent
- c) Cordero anticipates no difficulty in the management of this litigation as a class action; and
- d) Cordero's legal counsel has the financial and legal resources to meet the substantial costs and legal issues associated with this type of litigation.

48. Class Members' interests will be fairly and adequately protected by Cordero and his counsel.

49. It is impracticable to bring members of the Classes individual claims before the Court. Class treatment permits a large number of similarly situated persons or entities to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of evidence, effort, expense, or the possibility of inconsistent or contradictory judgments that numerous individual actions would engender. The benefits of the class mechanism, including providing injured persons or entities with a method for obtaining redress on claims that might not be practicable to pursue individually, substantially outweigh any difficulties that may arise in the management of this class action. A class action

Case 2:20-cv-00046-BMM Document 1 Filed 09/14/20 Page 13 of 21

is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation. The damages or financial detriment suffered by individual Class members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense of individual litigation of their claims against MSU. It would, thus, be virtually impossible for the Class, on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs committed against them. Furthermore, individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast, the class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court, and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF CONTRACT (On Behalf of Cordero and the Class)

50. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the factual allegations above, as if fully alleged herein.

51. Cordero brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class.

52. In return for payment of MSU's tuition and the Mandatory Fees for the Spring 2020 semesters, MSU agreed to, among other things, provide an in-person

Case 2:20-cv-00046-BMM Document 1 Filed 09/14/20 Page 14 of 21

and on-campus live education as well as the services and facilities to which the Mandatory Fees they paid pertained throughout those semesters. As a result, Cordero and each member of the Class entered into a binding contract with MSU.

53. MSU has agreed to provide in-person educational opportunities, experiences, and services to enrolled students.

54. MSU has promoted its in-person educational services as being valuable to students' educational experiences and their development in marketing materials and other documents provided to Cordero and the Class Members.

55. MSU provided Cordero with an acceptance letter that he accepted based on the promise of in-person educational experiences, opportunities, and services that MSU would provide.

56. MSU has breached its contract with Cordero and Class Members by failing to provide the promised in-person and on-campus live education as well as the services and facilities to which the Mandatory Fees pertained throughout the semesters affected by Covid-19, yet has retained monies paid by Cordero and Class Members for a live in-person education and access to these services and facilities during these semesters.

57. Cordero and Class Members have therefore been denied the benefit of their bargain.

58. Cordero and Class Members have performed all of the obligations on

them pursuant to their agreement – including by making such payments or securing student loans or scholarships to pay for such education.

59. Cordero and Class Members have suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of MSU's breach in the amount of the prorated portion of the tuition and Mandatory Fees they each paid during the portion of time the semesters affected by Covid-19 in which in-person classes were discontinued and facilities were closed by MSU.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF THE TAKINGS CLAUSE - 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (On Behalf of Cordero and the Class)

60. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the factual allegations above as if fully set forth herein.

61. Cordero brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class.

62. The Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment provides property shall not "be taken for public use, without just compensation." U.S. Const. Amend. V. The Takings Clause is made applicable to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment. *See* U.S. Const. Amend. XIV; *Murr v. Wisconsin*, 137 S. Ct. 1933, 1942, 198 L. Ed. 2d 497 (2017) (citing *Chicago, B. & Q.R. Co. v. Chicago*, 166 U.S. 226, 17 S.Ct. 581, 41 L.Ed. 979 (1897)). Thus, the Takings Clause of the U.S. Constitution prohibits states, and state entities like MSU, from taking private

Case 2:20-cv-00046-BMM Document 1 Filed 09/14/20 Page 16 of 21

property for public use without just compensation.

63. A property owner has an actionable Fifth Amendment takings claim when the government has taken his property without just compensation, and he may bring his claim in federal court under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. *See, e.g., Knick v. Township of Scott, Pennsylvania*, 139 S. Ct. 2162 (2020).

64. Takings claims may properly be brought against state entities (such as MSU) and are not barred by sovereign immunity.

65. Common law has recognized that there is a property right by an owner in funds held in an account managed by another.

66. Here, MSU received payments of tuition and Mandatory Fees from private citizens, as consideration for the benefit of receiving in-person course instruction and other on-campus benefits – the funds are thus private in nature but held by a public entity. Cordero and Class Members have a protected property right in all sums they paid to MSU.

67. MSU violated the Takings Clause by failing to return to Cordero and Class Members that portion of the tuition and Mandatory Fees for which they received nothing, or significantly less, than what they bargained for in return.

68. The portion of tuition and Mandatory Fees were taken from Cordero and Class Member for public use, as MSU is a public university.

69. Cordero and the Class Members are entitled to just and reasonable

compensation for the taking of their property.

<u>THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION</u> DUE PROCESS – 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

70. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the factual allegations above, as if fully alleged herein.

71. Cordero brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class.

72. Government actors must provide adequate due process procedures when depriving citizens of protected property interests. U.S. Const. Amend. XIV.

73. The Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution prohibits the State of Montana and the governmental entities, such as MSU, from depriving citizens of a protected property interest without due process of law.

74. Cordero and Class Members had a constitutionally protected property interest in the tuition and Mandatory Fees they paid for in-person education and on-campus services and opportunities but were denied due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

75. MSU took action affecting Cordero's and the Class Members' constitutionally protected property interest by retaining portions of their paid tuition and Mandatory Fees.

76. MSU deprived Cordero and Class Members of their protected property interests without due process of law by, for example:

i.Failing to provide timely notice to Cordero and the other members of the Class, whose identity and contact information MSU either knew, or by exercise or reasonable diligence should have known, of the refundable nature of the tuition and Mandatory Fees;

- ii.Failing to design and implement criteria by which the tuition and Mandatory Fees can be refunded to Cordero and the other members of the Class in light of the action by MSU to cease or severely limit all oncampus, in-person classes and activities due to the COVID-19 pandemic; and
- iii.Failing to design and implement a mechanism by which Cordero and the other members of the Class can obtain a refund of the tuition in light of the action by MSU to cease or severely limit all on-campus, inperson classes and activities due to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 77. MSU's failure to comply with the requirements of due process has

damaged Cordero and the Class Members.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION UNJUST ENRICHMENT (On Behalf of Cordero and the Class)

78. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the factual allegations above as if fully set forth herein.

79. By paying MSU tuition and the Mandatory Fees for the Spring 2020 semester, MSU agreed to, among other things, provide an in-person and on-campus live education as well as the services and facilities to which the Mandatory Fees they paid pertained throughout that semester.

80. MSU knew that Cordero and the Class Members would pay full tuition and fees for Spring 2020 semester and is aware of the benefits that it retained as a result.

81. MSU has retained the benefits of the amount of tuition and fees that Plaintiffs paid – without providing the associated experience, services, facilities, and education that Plaintiffs are owed.

82. MSU failed to provide reimbursements for tuition and fees despite the diminished value of the education and other experiences that it provided.

83. It would be inequitable for MSU to retain these benefits without reimbursing Cordero and Class Members.

<u>FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION</u> <u>INVERSE CONDEMNATION – § 29, Art. 2, Montana Constitution</u> (On Behalf of Cordero and the Class)

84. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the factual allegations above as if fully set forth herein.

85. By retaining Cordero and the Class Members' full tuition and fees for Spring 2020 semester, MSU has deliberately taken private personal property for public use.

86. Cordero and the Class Members have been damaged because MSU has taken their private personal property.

87. The damages to Cordero and the Class Members were proximately caused by MSU's failure to refund portions of tuition and fees for Spring 2020 semester.

88. Pursuant to Section 29, Article II of the Montana Constitution, Cordero and the Class Members are entitled to just compensation for MSU's inverse condemnation of their personal property.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Cordero respectfully requests that judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff Cordero and the Class against Defendant MSU as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying the Class and naming Plaintiff Cordero as representative of the Class and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class;
- (b) For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff Cordero and the Class on all counts asserted herein;
- (c) For compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact;
- (d) For an order compelling a refund of the money MSU retained from its misconduct;
- (e) For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- (f) For just compensation to be awarded for MSU's taking of private property for public purposes;

- (g) For an order awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses;
- (h) For an order awarding pre- and post-judgment interest on any amounts awarded; and,
- (i) For an order awarding such other and further relief as may be just and proper, including injunctive relief and declaratory relief.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable of right.

Dated this 14th day of September, 2020.

/s/ Adrian A. Miller

Adrian A. Miller Michelle M. Sullivan Sullivan Miller Law PLLC 2812 1st Avenue North, Ste. 225 Billings, Montana 59101 (406) 403-7066 (phone) (406) 294-5702 (fax) adrian.miller@sullivanmiller.com michelle.sullivan@sullivanmiller.com

Michael Tompkins, Esq. LEEDS BROWN LAW, P.C. One Old Country Road, Suite 347 Carle Place, NY 11514 (516) 873-9550 <u>mtompkins@leedsbrownlaw.com</u> (*Pro hac vice application forthcoming*)

Case 2:20-cv-00046-BMM Document 1-1 Filed 09/14/20 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 09/19)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
Anthony Cordero, individually and on behalf of others similary situated.				Montana State University			
Anthony Cordero, individually and on Schah of Obiors similary situated.					orony		
(b) County of Residence o		range County, CA		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Gallatin County, MT			
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	NDEMNATION CASES, USE 1 OF LAND INVOLVED.	THE LOCATION OF	
				THE TOTOL	of Entro Involved.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address and Telephone Number	•)		Attorneys (If Known)			
	•						
Adrian Miller, Sullivan Mil		st Ave. North, Suite	e 225				
Billings, MT, 59101, 406-	403-7066						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place on "X" in O	ne Box Ouly)	III. CI	FIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
		ne box om <u>i</u> y	1	For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)	
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		1	РТ		PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government l	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		n of This State			
					of Business In	This State	
1 2 U.S. Government	🕱 4 Diversity	sity		n of Another State 🛛 🕱	2 🗇 2 Incorporated and	Principal Place 🖸 5 🗇 5	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)			of Business In Another State		
				Citizen or Subject of a 🛛 3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation 🛛 6 🗆 6			
			1	n or Subject of a 🛛 🗇 eign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place on "X" in One Roy Or	h)	<u></u>		Click here for: Nature	of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT		RTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR	Ī	5 Drug Related Seizure	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act	
□ 120 Marine	□ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal	🗇 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	🗂 690	0 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a))	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/				☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	🗇 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 	□ 450 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce	
151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Persona	1		835 Patent - Abbreviated	□ 460 Deportation	
Student Loans	I 340 Marine	Injury Product			New Drug Application	470 Racketeer Influenced and	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability			840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations	
□ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPE		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		0 Fair Labor Standards	 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 	(15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer	
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal 		Act 0 Labor/Management	□ 863 D1WC/D1WW (405(g))	Protection Act	
□ 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	□ 864 SSID Title XVI	490 Cable/Sat TV	
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act	🗇 865 RSI (405(g))	850 Securities/Commodities/	
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	D 75	I Family and Medical		Exchange	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	MR 70	Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts	
CONTENT Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		1 Employee Retirement	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	893 Environmental Matters	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	1 440 Ouler Civil Rights	J 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act	or Defendant)	□ 895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacat	e		D 871 IRS-Third Party	Act	
D 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence			26 USC 7609	896 Arbitration	
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	🗂 530 General				□ 899 Administrative Procedure	
290 All Other Real Property	1 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -		5 16	IMMIGRATION		Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
	Employment 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Oti		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration		□ 950 Constitutionality of	
	Other	□ 550 Civil Rights		Actions		State Statutes	
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition					
		560 Civil Detainee -					
		Conditions of Confinement					
VODICIN		L	L				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	* 7	D 110				ani a C A Aniti di atalat	
	moved from D 3 ate Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reor		rred from 🛛 6 Multidis r District Litigatio		
Troceding Su	ile court	reppendie court	1009	(specify)		Direct File	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	atute under which you a	are filing (1	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	BA Sector		83				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIN	IBTIEL DESCRIPTION OF C	ause:	tuition or	d food			
and the set of the set	Class action laws	suit for recovery of					
VII. REQUESTED IN	🗷 CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTIO	- 1	EMAND \$	CHECK YES onl	y if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	23, F.R.Cv.P.		5,000,000	JURY DEMANI	D: X Yes 🗆 No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S)						
	(See instructions):				BOOMENTS THE		
IF ANY		JUDGE		1	DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TTORNEY O	OF RECORD			
09/14/2020		Cont		11 ~	And an an a state of the state		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
				** ***	111 m W	IDCE	
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Montana State University Hit with Class Action Seeking Tuition</u>, Fee Refunds for COVID-19-Affected <u>Semesters</u>