United States District Court Eastern District of New York	1:18-cv-01497 ()()
Franco Condon individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated	
Plaintiff	
- against -	Complaint
Eat Real Snacks USA LLC	
Defendant	

The above-named plaintiff Franco ("plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by attorneys, alleges upon information and belief, except for those allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

- 1. The last ten (10) years have seen consumer acceptance of food products of international origin.
- 2. Three of the most impactful foods/ingredients are quinoa, a pseudocereal, and lentils and chickpeas leguminous crops known as pulses.
- 3. These three are perceived by consumers as superior to traditional grains and cereals, like corn, wheat and rice, for various reasons:
 - twice as much protein as whole grains like wheat, making them a viable protein source in place or instead of animal products
 - high in fiber (increased satiety)
 - high in vitamins and minerals like iron, potassium, magnesium and zinc
 - effect of lowering blood sugar levels (glycemic index/GI), relevant to those with diabetes

•

- naturally gluten-free, which appeals to the increasing percentage of people seeking to limit or exclude gluten and/or grains from their diet
- not made from or consist of genetically modified organisms (GMOs), a key selling point to those wanting to eat more natural foods
- 4. As consumers increasingly place importance on of how the food they eat impacts the world around them, these foods
 - have a smaller carbon footprint than an equivalent amount of animal protein would require in other words, it's better for the environment
 - leaves behind nitrogen in the soil, providing valuable nutrients to future crops, and disrupts disease and insect cycles (lentils and chickpeas)
 - can thrive in non-optimal conditions with a fraction of water required by other crops, helping reducing hunger and malnutrition (quinoa)
- 5. Secular societal trends towards more snacking and fewer meals causes consumers to seek products which feel like an indulgence yet also provide nutrients and protein in a way traditional snacking fare would not have.
- 6. As a result, food companies have incorporated these novel ingredients to give a "fresh" take on existing snack foods.
- 7. For example, chips were once only a product made from potatoes, then were made from corn, and now are made from kale.
- 8. Eat Real Foods USA, LLC ("defendant") manufactures and sells snack products made from lentils, chickpeas and quinoa under the brand "EatReal" accompanied by slogans and advertising promoting the healthy and unique aspects of its Products.
- 9. The relevant products are "Quinoa Puffs," "Quinoa Chips," "Lentil Chips," and "Hummus Chips" (the "Products"), sold to consumers in bags of various sizes through third-parties via brick-and-mortar stores and online.

- 10. For each Product, various flavors are offered such as Tomato and Basil, Jalapeno and Cheddar, Sea Salt and White Cheddar, indicated on the front label in a large circular graphic.
- 11. The Products contain common representations with respect to information unrelated to the particular flavor of the Product, such that they focus exclusively on quinoa, lentil and chickpeas, through the Product names, "Quinoa Puffs," "Quinoa Chips," "Lentil Chips," and "Hummus Chips."
- 12. The labels of the Quinoa Puffs and Quinoa Chips are shown below, where they are also described as a "SUPER GRAIN SNACK."





- 13. The Products' back labels contain one or more paragraphs touting the health and nutritional aspects of quinoa, lentils and chickpeas.
 - 14. For example, the Quinoa Puffs state:

Quinoa Puffs are ideal for those wanting to enjoy a healthier snack. Quinoa, widely known as a "superfood", is highly valued for its nutritional benefits now and Eat Real is delighted to bring you these mouthwateringly flavored puffs – they taste great!

- 15. The Products each represent through their name that that they are derived exclusively from quinoa, lentils and chickpeas and tout the unique benefits from their namesake ingredients.
- 16. The Quinoa Products are misleading because despite their names they are puffs and chips derived mainly from corn, indicated through their ingredient lists:

Quinoa Puffs

Quinoa Chips

Quinoa Puffs (Corn Meal, Quinoa), Soybean Oil, Tapioca Maltodextrin, Salt, Sugar, Yeast Extract, Natural Flavors, Lactic Acid Quinoa Flour, Corn Flour, Rice Flour, Corn Starch, Lentil Flour, Pea Flour, Rapeseed Oil, Sugar, Salt, Chili & Lime Seasoning (Sugar, Rice Flour, Salt, Dried Bell Pepper, Spices (Cayenne, Cumin), Garlic Powder, Citric Acid, Natural Flavorings, Herb (Parsley), Onion Powder

- 17. Though the Quinoa Puffs lists "Quinoa Puffs" as the first and most predominant ingredient, the listing of its sub-ingredients reveals "Corn Meal" present in an amount greater than quinoa. 21 C.F.R. § 101.4(b).
- 18. The Quinoa Chips list "Quinoa Flour" as the first ingredient, the second ingredient is "Corn Flour" and the fourth ingredient is "Corn Starch," making it probable that corn is present in the Quinoa Chips in an amount greater than quinoa.
- 19. The Lentil and Hummus Chips' lists lentil flour and chickpea flour as their first ingredients.
- 20. However, because lentil flour (48%) and chickpea flour (45%) are each present in an amount less than half, it is probable that the substantive, non-flavoring ingredients are actually present in an amount which exceeds lentil and chickpea flour.
- 21. The second ingredients in the Lentil Chips and Hummus Chips are potato starch and rice, respectively.
 - 22. The third ingredient in the Hummus Chips is potato starch.

- 23. In the United Kingdom, where defendant's parent company is based, potato starch and potato flour are used interchangeably.
- 24. Therefore, the Lentil and Hummus chips contain more potato and rice ingredients than those derived from lentils and chickpeas.
- 25. Plaintiff and reasonable consumer get the impression from the representations that the substantive, non-seasoning and functional ingredients, in the Products either consist entirely of quinoa, lentils and chickpeas or at a minimum that those ingredients are present in an amount which greatly exceeds any other non-substantive ingredients.
- 26. This impression is reinforced by each Product being prominently identified with a large circle denoting the specific flavor i.e., White Cheddar Flavor such that they will conclude the flavor is "White Cheddar" when actually the Products are corn, rice and potato snacks that are *flavored with quinoa, lentils and chickpeas*.
- 27. This gives a reasonable consumer the erroneous impression that quinoa, lentils and chickpeas are present in an amount greater than is the case, in terms of percentage and absolute amount, because there is no mention other than the small font ingredient list that other components make up the Products.
- 28. This is misleading because the presence of quinoa, lentils and chickpeas has a material bearing on price and consumer acceptance of the product, evidenced by the Product's names and marketing.
- 29. Finally, "quinoa puffs" or "puffed quinoa" is a distinct food expanded through a "gun puffer" and consumed directly, which does not contain added rice or pea protein concentrate.
- 30. Excluding tax, the Products cost no less than \$4.99, a premium price compared to other similar products.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 31. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).
- 32. Upon information and belief, the aggregate amount in controversy is more than \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs.
- 33. This Court has personal jurisdiction over defendant because it conducts and transacts business, contracts to supply and supplies goods within New York.
- 34. Venue is proper because plaintiff and many class members reside in this District and defendant does business in this District and in New York.
- 35. A substantial part of events and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

Class Allegations

- 36. The classes consist of all consumers in all states and all consumers in New York State who purchased any Products bearing any actionable representations herein during the statutes of limitation periods.
- 37. A class action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 38. The class is so numerous that joinder of all members, even if permitted, is impracticable, as there are likely hundreds of thousands of members.
- 39. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether the representations were likely to deceive reasonable consumers and if plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.
- 40. Plaintiff's claims and the basis for relief are typical to other members because all were subjected to the same representations.

- 41. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because his/her interests do not conflict with other members.
- 42. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.
- 43. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest.
- 44. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to adequately and fairly protect class members' interests.
- 45. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue, with the injunctive class maintained as a class action because it meets the same criteria as the non-injunctive class.

Parties

- 46. Plaintiff is a citizen of Nassau County, New York.
- 47. Defendant is a Georgia limited liability company with a principal place of business in Marietta, Georgia and upon information and belief, none of its members are New York citizens.
 - 48. Defendant is a subsidiary of the United Kingdom snack food conglomerate, Cofresh.
- 49. In 2017, plaintiff purchased the Product(s) for no less than \$4.09, excluding tax, at a store within this District.
- 50. Plaintiff paid this premium because prior to purchase, plaintiff saw and relied on the front label identification and description, "Quinoa Puffs."

Violations of New York General Business Law §§ 349 & 350

51. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all allegations in foregoing paragraphs.

- 52. Defendant's acts, practices, advertising, labeling, packaging, representations and omissions are not unique to the parties and have a broader impact on the public.
- 53. The representation, description and identification of the Products as are false and misleading for the reasons described herein.
- 54. No reasonable consumer would expect products represented as consisting of quinoa, lentil and chickpeas to be made from mostly rice, corn and potatoes.
- 55. The representations and omissions were relied on by plaintiff and class members, who paid more than they would have without getting all they bargained for.

Breach of Express Warranty and Implied Warranty of Merchantability

- 56. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all allegations in foregoing paragraphs.
- 57. Defendant manufactures and sells snack products purporting to consist exclusively of quinoa, lentils and chickpeas and warranted same to plaintiff and class members.
- 58. The Products did not conform to their affirmations of fact and promises, wholly due to defendant's actions.
- 59. Plaintiff and class members relied on defendant's claims, paying more than they would have otherwise.

Fraud

- 60. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all allegations in foregoing paragraphs.
- 61. Defendant described and identified the Products in a deceptive way and touted quinoa, lentils and chickpeas exclusively, when it could have used non-misleading names or descriptions.
- 62. Defendant's purpose was to mislead consumers who increasingly seek products made of predominantly non-traditional, yet healthier ingredients instead of corn, rice or potatoes.

- 63. Defendant's intent was to distinguish its Products in the marketplace amongst the numerous other companies producing "better for you" snack products.
- 64. Plaintiff and class members observed and relied on the representations, which they understood to mean that quinoa, lentils and chickpeas were the sole substantive (i.e., non-flavoring) ingredient.
- 65. Plaintiff and class members paid more than they would have due to the false representations, entitling them to damages.

Unjust Enrichment

- 66. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 67. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Products were not as represented, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of such inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, prays for judgment:

- 1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying plaintiff as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
- 2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing defendant to correct its practices to comply with the law;
- 3. Awarding monetary damages and interest, including treble and punitive damages, pursuant to the common law and GBL claims;

- 4. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
- 5. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: March 10, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

Levin-Epstein & Associates, P.C. /s/Joshua Levin-Epstein
Joshua Levin-Epstein
1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527
New York, NY 10119
Tel: (212) 792-0046
joshua@levinepstein.com

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. /s/Spencer Sheehan
Spencer Sheehan
891 Northern Blvd., Suite 201
Great Neck, NY 11021
Tel: (516) 303-0552
spencer@spencersheehan.com

1:18-cv-01497 () () United States District Court Eastern District of New York				
Franco Condon individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated				
Plaintiff				
- against -				
Eat Real Snacks USA LLC				
Defendant				
Complaint				
Levin-Epstein & Associates, P.C. 1 Penn Plaza Suite 2527 New York, NY 10119 Tel: (212) 792-0046 Fax: (212) 563-7108				

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the contentions contained in the annexed documents are not frivolous.

Dated: March 10, 2018

/s/ Joshua Levin-Epstein
Joshua Levin-Epstein

Date:

situated

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Eastern District of New York Joe Doe individually and on behalf of all others similarly Plaintiff(s)Civil Action No. v. Defendant(s) SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION To: (Defendant's name and address) Simple Mills, Inc. c/o The Corporation Trust Company A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Levin Epstein & Associates, P.C. 1 Penn Plaza, # 2527, New York, NY 10119 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

DOUGLAS C. PALMER

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

CLERK OF COURT

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

Case 2:18-cv-01497 Document 2-1 Filed 03/10/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 14

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	THIS FO	PRM.)	, 1	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Franco Condon individually and on behalf of all others similarly sit (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Nassau (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Levin Epstein & Associates, P.C., 1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527, New NY 10119, (212) 792-0046			ıated	DEFENDANTS Eat Real Snacks U	SA LLC	
			York,	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	ot a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	★ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship)	o of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	of Business In A	Another State
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			F(ODEELTHDE/DENIAL TSV	D A NIZDI IDTCV	OTHER OT ATHER
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	7	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 12 Naturalization Application 15 Other Immigration Actions	322 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 425 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 426 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 427 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 428 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 428 Withdrawal 28 USC 167 428 Withdrawal 28	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC
	Cite the U.S. Civil Stat 28 USC \$ 1332	Appellate Court ute under which you are	Reop	stated or 5 Transfe enend Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation Transfer	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	False advertising ED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION			EMAND \$ 5,000,000.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 03/10/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of attr /s/ Joshua Levir				
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Joshua Lev	on-Epstein, counsel for plaintiff, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):			
ineligible	for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):			
X	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,			
X	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,			
	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason			
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1				
	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:			
RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)				
provides that because the same judge a case: (A) inv	Il cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) at "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil volves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the			
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)			
	the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk ounty: No			
a)	you answered "no" above: Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk ounty? Yes			
b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes				
If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?				
(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).				
BAR ADMISSION				
I am currer	ntly admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. Yes No			
Are you cu	rrently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No			
I certify the	e accuracy of all information provided above.			

Signature: /s/ Joshua Levin-Epstein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Franco Condon, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated)))				
Plaintiff(s)					
v.) Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-01497				
Eat Real Snacks USA LLC)				
))				
Defendant(s))				
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION					
To: (Defendant's name and address) Eat Real Snacks USA LL 1860 SANDY PLAINS RO SUITE 204, MARIETTA, GA, 30068					
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you				
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Levin Epstein & Associates, P.C., 1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527, New York, NY 10119					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.				
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT				
Date:					
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Eat Real Snacks Sued Over Quinoa, Lentil, Chickpea Products</u> '<u>Misleading</u>' <u>Labels</u>