Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C. Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508) 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Telephone: 718-263-9591

CV 17- 00206

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MARIA BEATRIZ CONDO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-----X

-against-

CARIO, INC. d/b/a MAMA'S EMPANADAS, CASO, INC. d/b/a MAMA'S EMPANADAS, LAVIANA, INC. d/b/a MAMA'S EMPANADAS, and JAVIER GARCIA, as an individual,

Defendants.

IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

🖈 JAN 1 2 2017 🖈

BROOKLYN OFFICE

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED



 Plaintiff, MARIA BEATRIZ CONDO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by her attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., alleges, upon personal knowledge as to herself and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

-----X

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

2. Plaintiff, MARIA BEATRIZ CONDO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, through undersigned counsel, brings this action against CARIO, INC. d/b/a MAMA'S EMPANADS, CASO, INC. d/b/a MAMA'S EMPANADAS, LAVIANA, INC. d/b/a MAMA'S EMPANADAS, and JAVIER GARCIA, as an individual, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), to recover damages for egregious violations of state and federal wage and hour laws arising out of Plaintiffs' employment at the following MAMA'S EMPANADAS locations: 85-05 Northern Boulevard, Jackson Heights, New York 11372; 32-41 Steinway Street, Astoria, New York 11103; and 42-18 Greenpoint Avenue, Sunnyside, New York 11104. 3. As a result of the violations of Federal and New York State labor laws delineated below, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- Venue is proper in the EASTERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
- This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

THE PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff MARIA BEATRIZ CONDO residing at 91-16 43rd Avenue, Elmhurst, New York 11368, was employed from in or around 2004 until in or around July 2016 by Defendants at the following MAMA'S EMPANADAS locations: 85-05 Northern Boulevard, Jackson Heights, New York 11372; 32-41 Steinway Street, Astoria, New York 11103; and 42-18 Greenpoint Avenue, Sunnyside, New York 11104.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant, CARIO, INC. d/b/a MAMA'S EMPANADAS is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office at 85-05 Northern Boulevard, Jackson Heights, New York 11372.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant, CARIO, INC. d/b/a MAMA'S EMPANADAS is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA owns and/or operates CARIO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,

- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA is the Chairman of the Board of CARIO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA is the Chief Executive Officer of CARIO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA is an agent of CARIO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA has power over personnel decisions at CARIO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA has power over payroll decisions at CARIO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 17. Defendant JAVIER GARCIA has the power to hire and fire employees at CARIO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS, establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant, CASO, INC. d/b/a MAMA'S EMPANADAS is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office at 32-41 Steinway Street, Astoria, New York 11103.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant, CASO, INC. d/b/a MAMA'S EMPANADAS is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA owns and/or operates CASO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA is the Chairman of the Board of CASO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA is the Chief Executive Officer of CASO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA is an agent of CASO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA has power over personnel decisions at CASO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA has power over payroll decisions at CASO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,

- 26. Defendant JAVIER GARCIA has the power to hire and fire employees at CASO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS, establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 27. Upon information and belief, Defendant, LAVIANA, INC. d/b/a MAMA'S EMPANADAS is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office at 42-18 Greenpoint Avenue, Sunnyside, New York 11104.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant, LAVIANA, INC. d/b/a MAMA'S EMPANADAS is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.
- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA owns and/or operates LAVIANA, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 30. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA is the Chairman of the Board of LAVIANA, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 31. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA is the Chief Executive Officer of LAVIANA, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA is an agent of LAVIANA, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 33. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA has power over personnel decisions at LAVIANA, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 34. Upon information and belief, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA has power over payroll decisions at LAVIANA, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS,
- 35. Defendant JAVIER GARCIA has the power to hire and fire employees at LAVIANA, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS, establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 36. During all relevant times herein, Defendant JAVIER GARCIA was Plaintiff's employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 37. On information and belief, CARIO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS is, at present and has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been

moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

- 38. On information and belief, CASO, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS is, at present and has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.
- 39. On information and belief, LAVIANA, INC. D/B/A MAMA'S EMPANADAS is, at present and has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 40. Plaintiff MARIA BEATRIZ CONDO residing at 91-16 43rd Avenue, Elmhurst, New York 11368, was employed from in or around 2004 until in or around July 2016 by Defendants at the following MAMA'S EMPANADAS locations: 85-05 Northern Boulevard, Jackson Heights, New York 11372; 32-41 Steinway Street, Astoria, New York 11103; and 42-18 Greenpoint Avenue, Sunnyside, New York 11104.
- 41. During Plaintiff MARIA BEATRIZ CONDO'S employment by Defendants at the above MAMA'S EMPANADAS locations, Plaintiff's primary duties were as a food preparer, and performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around 2004 until in or around July 2016.
- 42. Plaintiff MARIA BEATRIZ CONDO was paid by Defendants approximately \$11.50 per hour from in or around 2011 until in or around 2014, approximately \$12.00 per hour from in or around January 2015 until in or around December 2015, and

approximately \$13.00 per hour from in or around January 2016 until in or around July 2016.

- 43. Although Plaintiff MARIA BEATRIZ CONDO worked approximately eighty-four (84) hours or more per week during his employment by Defendants from in or around 2004 until in or around July 2016, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 44. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
- 45. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to keep payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
- 46. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 47. Plaintiff bring this action on behalf of herself and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are the collective class.
- 48. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants at the following MAMA'S EMPANADAS locations: 85-05 Northern Boulevard, Jackson Heights, New York 11372; 32-41 Steinway Street, Astoria, New York 11103; and 42-18 Greenpoint Avenue, Sunnyside, New York 11104, as food preparers or other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required overtime wage compensation.

- 49. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed between 25 and 35 employees within the past three years subjected to similar payment structures.
- 50. Upon information and belief, Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the Collective Class to work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation.
- 51. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
- 52. Upon information and belief, Defendant had knowledge that Plaintiff and the Collective Class performed work requiring overtime pay.
- 53. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff and the Collective Class.
- 54. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have been denied overtime pay in violation of the FLSA and NYLL, who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
- 55. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
- 56. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the putative class.
- 57. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
- 58. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

- 59. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 60. Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

- 61. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 62. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 63. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage, to which Plaintiff was entitled under 29 U.S.C. §206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).
- 64. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made a good effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the Plaintiff.
- 65. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

- 66. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 67. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
- 68. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiff was entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3.
- 69. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to his unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as

reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 70. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 71. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff's primary language), of his rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 72. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 73. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 74. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with wage statements upon each payment of wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)
- 75. Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff unpaid overtime wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- d. Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- e. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and

f. Awarding such and further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: This <u>Litt</u> day of January 2017.

Roman Avshalumov, Esq. (RA 5508) Helen F. Dalton & Associates, PC 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Telephone: 718-263-9591 Fax: 718-263-9598

Case 1:17-c	v-00206-ENV-R		nt 1-1 Filed 01/13/2	17 Page 1 of 2 P	ageID #: 11 Ô
JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013)		CIVIL CO	OVER SHILET 7	7- NN	90C
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil do	This form, approved by the	ne Judicial Conference of	or supplement the filing and service of the United States in September 1 F THIS FORM.)	e of pleadings or one papers 974, is required for the use of	as Court of Court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS MARIA BEATRIZ CONDO similarly situated,	D, individually and on I	pehalf of all others	MAMA'S EMPANA	MAMA'S EMPANADAS, DAS, LAVIANA, INC. d/l JAVIER GARCIA, as ar	b/a MAMA'S
(b) County of Residence of		UEENS		of First Listed Defendant	QUEENS
(E)	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.		NOTE: IN LAND CO	<i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O</i> ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TH	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, J Helen F. Dalton & Associ 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 (7	ates, P.C.	LIANC Mann. M	Attorneys (<i>If Known</i>)	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TH OF LAND INVOLVED.	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 🗇 2 Incorporated and P of Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	0606
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)					
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	TO PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJUR	Y 0 625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES ☐ 375 False Claims Act
 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 	 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle a60 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 444 Housing/ 	 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability IPRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 501 Motions to Vacate Sentence 	LABOR RTY 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1000 Security	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 899 Administrative Procedure Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	 445 Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education 	 Softenered 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement 	 IMMICRATION IMMICRATION 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions 		FILED ICLERK'S OFFICE STRICT COURT E.D.N.Y IAN 13 2011
	moved from \Box 3 the Court	Appellate Court	(specify	er District Litigation	OKLYN OFFICE
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Fair Labor Standa Brief description of ca	ards Act	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N DEMAND \$ 100,000.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: : XI Yes I No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	$ \rightarrow $	DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 1/4/17 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SUCTIVATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF DECORD		
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE
4653110286	\$400	_	· ·		

EDATERE 13 STOR 0200- ENV-RLM Document 1-1 Filed 01/13/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 12 <u>CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY</u>

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, _____, counsel for _____, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):



monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

 \Box the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: <u>NO</u>
- If you answered "no" above:
 a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?

b) Did the events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

No

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. Yes No

X

7/

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

(If yes, please explain) Yes

Attorney Bar Code: RA5508

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above
Signature: Marian Clear

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Wage and Hour Lawsuit Filed Against Mama's Empanadas</u>