IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

CONREDGE COLLINS, individually and on	Case No. 1:16-cv-2640
behalf of all others similarly situated,	
•	COMPLAINT
Plaintiff,	
00 /	CLASS ACTION
ν.	
	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
AMERICAN ATHLETIC CONFERENCE and	
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC	
ASSOCIATION,	
Defendants.	

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Conredge Collins brings this Class Action Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial against Defendants American Athletic Conference ("AAC") and National Collegiate Athletic Association ("NCAA") to obtain redress for all persons injured by their reckless disregard for the health and safety of generations of University of Pittsburgh ("Pittsburgh") student-athletes. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.

INTRODUCTION

1. Nearly one hundred thousand student-athletes sign up to compete in college football each year and it's no surprise why. Football is America's sport and Plaintiff and a Class of football players (defined below) were raised to live and breathe the game. During football season, there are entire days of the week that millions of Americans dedicate to watching the game. On game days, hundreds of thousands of fans fill stadium seats and even more watch around the world. Before each game, these players—often 18 year old freshmen in college—are

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 2 of 35 PageID #:2

riled up and told to do whatever it takes to win and, when playing, are motivated to do whatever it takes to keep going.

2. But up until 2010, Defendants AAC and NCAA kept players and the public in the dark about an epidemic that was slowly killing college athletes.

3. During the course of a college football season, athletes can receive more than 1,000 impacts greater than 10g's (gravitational force) and, worse yet, the majority of football-related hits to the head exceed 20g's, with some approaching 100g's. To put this in perspective, if you drove your car into a wall at twenty-five miles per hour and you weren't wearing a seatbelt, the force of you hitting the windshield would be around 100g's. That means each season these 18, 19, and 20 year old student-athletes are being subjected to the equivalent of several hundred car accidents.

4. Over time, the repetitive and violent impacts to players' heads led to repeated concussions that severely increased their risks of long term brain injuries, including memory loss, dementia, depression, Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy ("CTE"), Parkinson's disease, and other related symptoms. Meaning, long after they played their last game, they are left with a series of neurological events that could slowly strangle their brains.

5. Unfortunately, for decades, Defendants AAC and the NCAA knew about the debilitating long-term dangers of concussions, concussion-related injuries, and sub-concussive injuries (referred to as "traumatic brain injuries" or "TBIs") that resulted from playing college football, but actively concealed this information to protect the very profitable business of "amateur" college football.

6. While in school, Pittsburgh football players were under Defendants' care. But, unfortunately, Defendants did not care about the off-field consequences that would haunt their

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 3 of 35 PageID #:3

students for the rest of their lives.

7. Despite knowing for decades of a vast body of scientific research describing the danger of TBIs, Defendants failed to implement procedures to protect Plaintiff and other Pittsburgh football players from the long-term dangers associated with them. They did so knowingly and for profit.

8. As a direct result of Defendants' actions (or lack thereof), Plaintiff and a Class of former players (defined below) now suffer from neurological and cognitive damage, including symptoms of traumatic encephalopathy.

PARTIES

 Plaintiff Conredge Collins is a natural person and citizen of the State of North Carolina.

10. Defendant American Athletic Conference is a corporation organized under the laws of the District of Columbia with its principal place of business located at 15 Park Row West, Providence, Rhode Island 02903. As such, Defendant American Athletic Conference is a citizen of the State of Rhode Island and the District of Columbia pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1332(d)(10). Defendant American Athletic Conference conducts business throughout this District, the State of Indiana, and the United States.

11. Defendant NCAA is an unincorporated association with its principal office located at 700 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana 46206. Defendant identifies itself as a tax-exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. It is not organized under the laws of any State. As such, Defendant NCAA is a citizen of the State of Indiana pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1332(d)(10). Defendant NCAA conducts business throughout this District, the State of Indiana, and the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

12. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because (a) at least one member of the Class, which consists of at least 100 members, is a citizen of a state different from Defendants, (b) the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and (c) none of exceptions under that subsection apply to this action.

13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because they conduct significant business in this District, including establishing consumer and business contracts here and because the unlawful conduct alleged in the Complaint occurred in, was directed at, and/or emanated in part from this District.

14. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in and/or emanated from this District and because Defendant NCAA resides here.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

I. The NCAA and AAC Had a Duty to Protect Their Student-Athletes.

15. Defendant NCAA is the governing body of collegiate athletics that oversees twenty-three college sports and over 400,000 students who participate in intercollegiate athletics, including in the AAC and the football program at Pittsburgh. According to the NCAA, "[m]ore than 1,200 schools, conferences, and affiliate organizations collectively invest in improving the experiences of student-athletes – on the field, in the classroom, and in life."¹

16. To accommodate the wide spectrum of student-athletes at its member schools, the

¹ Membership, *National Collegiate Athletic Association*, http://www.ncaa.org/about/whowe-are/membership (last visited Oct. 4, 2016).

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 5 of 35 PageID #:5

NCAA has three different divisions of intercollegiate competition. Division I is the highest level of intercollegiate athletes sanctioned by the NCAA and includes many well-known schools, with high ranking teams, larger budgets, better facilities, and more athletics scholarships.

17. Defendant AAC was established in 1979 (originally as the "Big East") and was initially a basketball-focused conference, until 1991 when the conference added varsity football. Pittsburgh became a member of the AAC in 1982 and remained in the conference until 2012. The AAC promulgates rules, handbooks, and regulations for its member organizations in order to regulate its member institutions athletic departments. Each member institution, and each of the member institution's athletes, agree to abide by the rules and regulations issued by the NCAA and AAC.

18. Pittsburgh's football program has a strong following that generates millions of dollars per year for the school. Given its significant following and numerous on-field successes, Pittsburgh's football team attracts top talent from high schools around the country and has produced a number of professional players and Hall of Fame inductees.

19. Collectively, Defendants govern and regulate the Pittsburgh football program and owe a duty of care to safeguarding the well-being of its student-athletes.

20. In fact, since its founding in 1906, the NCAA (then the Intercollegiate Athletic Association of the United States ("IAAUS")), has claimed to be "dedicated to safeguarding the well-being of student-athletes and equipping them with the skills to succeed on the playing field, in the classroom and throughout life."² The IAAUS was specifically formed for this purpose because, at the turn of the 20th Century, head injuries were occurring at an alarming rate in

² About the NCAA, *National Collegiate Athletic Association*, http://www.ncaa.org/about (last visited Oct. 4, 2016).

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 6 of 35 PageID #:6

college football. In response, President Theodore Roosevelt convened a group of Ivy League university presidents and coaches to discuss how the game could be made safer. As a result of several subsequent meetings of colleges, the association was established.³ As such, the genesis of the NCAA was for a singular goal: student-athlete safety.

21. According to the NCAA, "[c]ollege and university presidents and chancellors guide each division, supported by an extensive committee structure guided by athletic administrators, faculty and student-athlete representatives[, but that each] division creates its own rules that follow the overarching principles of the NCAA."⁴

22. The overarching principles of the NCAA, including its purported commitment to safeguarding its student-athletes, are contained in the NCAA Constitution. The NCAA Constitution clearly defines the NCAA's purpose and fundamental policies to include maintaining control over and responsibility for intercollegiate sports and student-athletes. The NCAA Constitution states in pertinent part:

The purposes of this Association are:

- (a) To initiate, stimulate and improve intercollegiate athletics programs for student-athletes;
- (b) To uphold the principal of *institutional control* of, and responsibility for, all intercollegiate sports in conformity with the constitution and bylaws of this association;

NCAA Const., Art. 1, § 1.2(a)(b) (emphasis added).

23. The NCAA Constitution also defines one of its "Fundamental Policies" as the

requirement that "Member institutions shall be obligated to apply and enforce this legislation,

³ In 1910, the IAAUS changed its name to the National Collegiate Athletic Association.

⁴ Membership, *National Collegiate Athletic Association*, http://www.ncaa.org/about/whowe-are/membership (last visited Oct. 4, 2016).

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 7 of 35 PageID #:7

and the enforcement procedures of the Association shall be applied to an institution when it fails

to fulfill this obligation." NCAA Const., Art. 1, § 1.3.2.

24. Article 2.2 of the NCAA Constitution specifically governs the "Principle of

Student-Athlete Well-Being," and provides:

2.2 The Principle of Student-Athlete Well-Being.

Intercollegiate athletics programs shall be conducted in a manner designed to protect and enhance the physical and educational wellbeing of student athletes. (Revised: 11/21/05.)

2.2.3 Health and Safety.

It is the responsibility of each member institution to protect the health of, and provide a safe environment for, each of its participating student athletes. (Adopted: 1/10/95.)

25. To accomplish this purported purpose, NCAA promulgates and implements

standard sport regulations and requirements, such as the NCAA Constitution, Operating Bylaws, and Administrative Bylaws. These NCAA documents provide detailed instructions on game and practice rules, player eligibility, scholarships, and player well-being and safety. NCAA member institutions, including athletic conferences like the AAC, are required to abide by the NCAA rules and requirements. Specifically, according to the NCAA Constitution: "Each institution shall comply with all applicable rules and regulations of the Association in the conduct of its intercollegiate athletics programs . . . Members of an institution's staff, student-athletes, and other individuals and groups representing the institution's athletics interests shall comply with the applicable Association rules, and the member institution shall be responsible for such compliance." NCAA Const., Art. 2, § 2.8.1.

26. The NCAA publishes a health and safety guide termed the Sports MedicineHandbook (the "Handbook"). The Handbook, which is produced annually, includes the NCAA's

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 8 of 35 PageID #:8

official policies and guidelines for the treatment and prevention of sports-related injuries, as well as return-to-play guidelines, and recognizes that "student-athletes rightfully assume that those who sponsor intercollegiate athletics have taken reasonable precautions to minimize the risk of injury from athletics participation."⁵

27. To provide member institutions with the tools that they need to comply with NCAA legislation, the NCAA Constitution promises that the "Association shall assist the institution in its efforts to achieve full compliance with all rules and regulations. . . ." NCAA Const., Art. 2, § 2.8.2.

28. Likewise, according to the NCAA Constitution, a member conference is entitled to all of the privileges of active members, except the right to compete in NCAA championships. *See* NCAA Const., Art. 3, § 3.02.3.2. Member "conferences of [the NCAA] agree to administer their athletics programs in accordance with the constitution, bylaws and other legislation of the Association." NCAA Const., Art. 3, § 3.3.4.1.

29. The NCAA, therefore, holds itself out as both a proponent of and authority on the treatment and prevention of sports-related injuries upon which the student-athletes, AAC, and Pittsburgh (*i.e.*, a member institution) can rely upon for guidance on player-safety issues.

30. As a member conference, AAC was charged with implementing and enforcing those guidelines in a meaningful way to protect the health and safety of Pittsburgh football players, including Plaintiff.

31. As a member of the NCAA, the AAC was obligated to help protect the health and safety of its student-athletes and agreed to abide by the NCAA Constitution.

⁵ See, e.g., David Klossner, 2013-14 NCAA Sports Medicine Handbook, NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION (Aug. 2013), available at https://www.ncaa.org/sites/default/files/2013-14% 20Sports% 20Medicine% 20Handbook.pdf.

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 9 of 35 PageID #:9

32. As compared to Plaintiff and other Pittsburgh football players, the Defendants were in superior positions to know of and mitigate the risks of concussions and other TBIs.

II. Decades of Studies Firmly Establish the Dangers Associated with Football-Related Concussions.

33. Throughout the twentieth century and into the twenty-first century, studies have firmly established that repetitive and violent impacts to the head can cause concussions with a heightened risk of long term traumatic brain injuries (or TBI), including memory loss, dementia, depression, CTE, Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and other related symptoms. To better understand the results of these studies, a brief introduction to concussions in football follows.

A. <u>An Overview of Concussions in Football</u>.

34. A concussion is a traumatic brain injury caused by an impact that causes the head and brain to move rapidly back and forth. The movement causes the brain to bounce around or twist in the skull, damaging brain cells and creating chemical changes in the brain.

35. The human brain is made of soft tissue, cushioned by spinal fluid, and encased in a hard skull. During everyday activity, the spinal fluid protects the brain from crashing against the skull. But relatively minor impacts—including not only direct blows to the head but also blows to the body and movements that cause the neck to whiplash—can move the brain enough to press through the spinal fluid, knock against the inside of the skull, and cause concussions.

36. Concussions typically occur when linear and rotational accelerations impact the brain through either direct impacts to the head or indirect impacts that whiplash the head. During the course of a college football season, studies have shown athletes can receive more than 1,000 impacts greater than 10g (or gravitational) force. This is slightly more force than a fighter pilot receives doing maximal maneuvers. The majority of football-related hits to the head exceed

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 10 of 35 PageID #:10

20g's.

37. Kevin Guskiewicz, of the University of North Carolina's Sports Concussion Research Program, compared the impacts sustained in a routine college football practice to crashing a car: "If you drove your car into a wall at twenty-five miles per hour and you weren't wearing your seat belt, the force of your head hitting the windshield would be around 100[g']s: in effect, the player [who sustained two hits above 80g's,] had two car accidents that morning."⁶

i. <u>Concussion Symptoms</u>.

38. When a student-athlete suffers a severe impact to the head, they may start experiencing concussion-related symptoms, including:

- "seeing stars" and feeling dazed, dizzy, or lightheaded;
- memory loss, such as trouble remembering things that happened right before and after the injury;
- nausea or vomiting;
- headaches;
- blurred vision and sensitivity to light;
- slurred speech or saying things that do not make sense;
- difficulty concentrating, thinking, or making decisions;
- difficulty with coordination or balance (such as being unable to catch a ball or other easy tasks);
- feeling anxious or irritable for no apparent reason; or
- feeling overly tired.
- 39. A student-athlete may not recognize the signs or symptoms of a concussion, and,

⁶ Malcolm Gladwell, Offensive Play, *The New Yorker* (October 19, 2009) http://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2009/10/19/offensive-play.

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 11 of 35 PageID #:11

more often, the effect of the concussion itself prevents him from recognizing them. Because of that, he may put himself at risk of further injury by returning to a game after a concussion. Brains that have not had time to properly heal from a concussion are particularly susceptible to further injury.

ii. <u>Post-Concussion Treatment</u>.

40. After a concussion, the brain needs time to heal. Doctors generally prohibit individuals from returning to normal activities—certainly including contact sports—until all symptoms have subsided. They do so because, immediately after a concussion, the brain is particularly vulnerable to further injury.

41. The length of the healing process varies from person to person and from concussion to concussion. Symptoms may even last for one or two weeks.

42. Individuals who do not recover from a concussion within a few weeks are diagnosed with post-concussion syndrome. The symptoms of post-concussion syndrome can last for months or sometimes even be permanent. Generally, people suffering from post-concussion syndrome are referred to specialists for additional medical help.

43. Many people think of concussions as short-term, temporary injuries. But scientific research demonstrates that the effects of concussions anything but temporary.

B. <u>Studies Confirm the Dangers and Long-Term Effects of Concussions.</u>

44. The two leading studies of the long-term effects of concussions were conducted by Boston University's Center for the Study of Traumatic Encephalopathy and the Brain Injury Research Institute. These studies showed the "devastating consequences" of repeated concussions, including that they lead to an increased risk of depression, dementia, and suicide. These studies have also demonstrated that repeated concussions trigger progressive degeneration

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 12 of 35 PageID #:12

of the brain tissue, including the build-up of an abnormal protein called tau.

45. Between 2002 and 2007, Dr. Omalu, of the Brain Injury Research Institute, examined the brains of five former NFL players: Andre Waters, Mike Webster, Terry Long, Justin Strzelcyyk, and Damien Nash. Waters and Nash killed themselves, Webster—homeless and cognitively impaired—died of heart failure, and Strzelcyyk died driving the wrong way down a highway at 90 miles per hour. Four of the five brains showed the telltale characteristics of CTE, which is a progressive degenerative disease of the brain found in people with a history of repetitive brain trauma.

46. Dr. Cantu, of the Boston University Center for the Study of Traumatic Encephalopathy, has found evidence of CTE in 90 of 94 (96%) of autopsied brains of former NFL players. He has found CTE in 79% of all autopsied brains of former football players (who played at *any* level).

47. Dr. Omalu now believes that more than 90% of former NFL players suffer from CTE.

48. Unfortunately, studies like Drs. Cantu's and Omalu's—which establish the devastating dangers related to TBIs—date back to the early twentieth century. Beginning with studies on the brain injuries suffered by boxers in the 1920s, medical science has long recognized the debilitating effects of concussions and other TBI, and found that that repetitive head impacts can cause permanent brain damage and increased risk of long-term cognitive decline and disability.

49. For instance, in 1928, pathologist Dr. Harrison Martland published a study called "Punch Drunk" in the *Journal of the American Medical Association*, where he described the clinical spectrum of abnormalities found in nearly 50 percent of boxers who had been knocked

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 13 of 35 PageID #:13

out or who had suffered a considerable impact to the head. *See* Dr. Harrison S. Martland, *Punch Drunk*, 91 JAMA 1103 (1928).

50. Countless studies were later conducted on boxers suffering chronic neurological damage as a result of repeated head injuries and who were displaying signs of dementia and impairment of motor function. As incidents of chronic encephalopathy increased, they were often characterized as a "Parkinsonian" pattern of progressive decline.

51. Nearly a decade after Dr. Martland's study, the American Football Coaches Association first published a report warning that players who suffer concussions should be removed from play. Then nearly twenty years after that, in 1952, an article published in *The New England Journal of Medicine* first recommended a three-strike rule for concussions in football, that recommended that players cease to play football permanently after receiving their third concussion.

52. Starting in the late 1960's, the medical community began focusing on the effects of concussion-related injuries in football. In a 1967 study, Drs. Hughes and Hendrix examined how severe impacts affected brain activity in football players by utilizing electroencephalograms (commonly known as "EEGs"). Shortly after that, a potentially fatal condition known as "Second Impact Syndrome" was identified, which is a re-injury to an already-concussed brain that triggers swelling that the skull cannot accommodate.

53. Study after study published in medical journals including the *Journal of the American Medical Association, Neurology, The New England Journal of Medicine*, and *Lancet* warned of the dangers of single concussions, multiple concussions, and/or football-related head trauma from multiple concussions. These studies collectively established that:

• repetitive head trauma in contact sports, including football, has potential dangerous long-term effects on brain function;

- encephalopathy (dementia pugilistica) is caused by repeated sub-concussive and concussive blows to the head;
- acceleration and rapid deceleration of the head that results in brief loss of consciousness also results in a tearing of the axons (brain cells) brainstem;
- with respect to head injury in athletes who play contact sports, there is a relationship between neurologic pathology and length of the athlete's career;
- immediate retrograde memory issues occur following concussions;
- head injury requires recovery time without risk of subjection to further injury;
- a football player who suffers a concussion requires significant rest before being subjected to further contact; and,
- minor head trauma can lead to neuropathological and neurophysiological alterations, including neuronal damage, reduced cerebral blood flow, altered brainstem evoked potentials and reduced speed of information processing.

54. As a result of these, and countless other studies, medical professionals began recommending changes to the game of football and how concussion-related injuries should be handled.

55. By 1991, Dr. Cantu, the American Academy of Neurology, and Colorado Medical Society developed return-to-play criteria for football players suspected of sustained head injuries.

56. In 2003, a NCAA concussion study concluded that football players who had previously sustained a concussion were more likely to have future concussion injuries. Another 2003 NCAA concussion study concluded that collegiate football players "may require several days for recovery of symptoms, cognitive dysfunction, and postural instability after [a] concussion," and that concussions are "followed by a complex cascade of ionic, metabolic, and

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 15 of 35 PageID #:15

physiological events that can adversely affect cerebral function for several days to weeks."7

57. Following these studies, a National Athletic Trainers' Association position statement in 2004 recommended baseline cognitive and postural-stability testing, as well as return-to-play recommendations including holding out athletes who exhibit symptoms of a suspected head injury.

58. Building upon that, a convention of neurological experts met in Prague in 2004 with the aim of providing recommendations for the improvement of safety and health of athletes who suffer concussive injuries in ice hockey, rugby, football, and other sports based on the most up-to-date research. These experts recommended that a player never be returned to play symptomatic, and coined the phrase, "when in doubt, sit them out."

59. Ultimately, while Defendants knew of the harmful effects of TBI on studentathletes for decades, they ignored these facts and failed to institute any meaningful methods of warning and/or protecting student-athletes, including football players. For Defendants, the continued expansion and operation of college football was simply too profitable to put at risk.

III. Defendants Breached Their Duties to Their Student-Athletes By Concealing the Dangers of Concussions and Refusing to Implement Reasonable Concussion Management Protocols.

60. For decades, Defendants have been aware that severe head impacts can lead to long-term brain injury, including memory loss, dementia, depression, and CTE. Unfortunately, while Defendants knew about the harmful and devastating effects of these sub-concussive and concussive injuries, they actively concealed these facts from student-athletes and the public.

⁷ Michael McCrea, *et al.*, Acute Effects and Recovery Time Following Concussion in Collegiate Football Players, The NCAA Concussion Study, *The Journal of the American Medical Association* (November 19, 2003), *available at* http://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=197668.

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 16 of 35 PageID #:16

61. In fact, on information and belief, during every decade referenced above,

Defendants were advised by physicians and researchers of the severe risks associated with playing football, including the risks associated with TBI.

62. Rather than inform their student-athletes of these risks or implement protocols to protect and safeguard them from TBI-related injuries (as, at least, the NCAA and AAC promised to do through the NCAA Constitution, among other things), Defendants failed to meaningfully adopt the internationally accepted guidelines regarding concussion management and return to play protocols until 2010.

63. Instead, and in complete disregard of the vast body of known scientific evidence and the resources and authority possessed by Defendants, up until 2010, Defendants orchestrated an approach to football practices and games that:

- ignored the medical risks to Plaintiff and other Pittsburgh football players;
- aggravated and enhanced the medical risks to Plaintiff and other Pittsburgh football players;
- failed to educate Plaintiff and other Pittsburgh football players of the link between TBIs in amateur football and chronic neurological damage, illnesses, and decline;
- failed to implement or enforce any system that would reasonably have mitigated, prevented, or addressed TBIs suffered by Plaintiff and other Pittsburgh football players; and
- failed to timely implement "return to play" guidelines for student-athletes who sustain concussions.
- 64. Indeed, the NCAA didn't even acknowledge the dangers of concussions in its

Sports Medicine Handbook until 1994 when it added what it captioned "Guideline 2o":

"Concussions and Second Impact Syndrome." But rather than mandating a specific treatment

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 17 of 35 PageID #:17

protocol for member institutions, Guideline 20 left concussion management and treatment to the individual team's discretion.

65. For example, while the 1998–99 version of Guideline 20 reported that "[c]oncussion and the resulting potential complications, such as Second-impact syndrome, are potentially life-threatening situations that student-athletes may suffer as a result of their athletics participation," it also stated that the NCAA "does not endorse any specific concussion grading scale or return-to-play criteria."

66. In this way, Guideline 20 acted as a liability cover for the NCAA without any NCAA enforcement activity to actually protect student-athletes.

67. As such, despite having actual knowledge of the dangers of concussions, the NCAA refused to implement, endorse, or even recommend specific concussion grading scale or return-to-play criteria.

68. To make matters worse, Pittsburgh essentially ignored Guideline 20 and failed to adopt or implement adequate concussion safety measures or return to play guidelines for decades. In fact, the Pittsburgh football program did not have adequate concussion-related safety measures or protocols until 2010.

69. Moreover, neither the NCAA nor the AAC enforced—and thus Pittsburgh did not comply with—Guideline 2o's statement that: "A student athlete rendered unconscious for any period of time should not be permitted to return to the practice or game in which the head injury occurred. In addition, no student-athlete should be allowed to return to athletics activity while symptomatic."

70. Ultimately, until 2010, Defendants failed to:

• implement guidelines or rules to prevent repeated concussions and failed to educate players about the increased risk of concussive and sub-concussive

injury in football, particularly under circumstances when the helmet is used as a weapon when tackling, blocking, or running with the football;

- recommend or enforce return to play procedures or take any action to educate student-athletes about the risks of repetitive head injuries;
- conduct a football program that proactively encouraged Plaintiff and other Pittsburgh football players to avoid head injuries, instead compelling players to ignore concussion symptoms and continue to play football within moments of experiencing concussion symptoms. For instance, Pittsburgh coaches demanded that Pittsburgh football players, including Plaintiff, forego their own self-interest and continue playing despite sustaining head injuries for the purpose of advancing the Pittsburgh football program by winning games, obtaining fame and favorable publicity, and gaining millions of dollars in revenue for Pittsburgh, the AAC, and the NCAA; and
- contact Plaintiff and other Pittsburgh football players after they left Pittsburgh to inform them that had been exposed to an increased risk of long-term brain damage by the concussive and sub-concussive blows sustained while playing football for Pittsburgh.
- 71. It was also not until April 2010, under mounting public pressure, that the NCAA

made changes to its concussion treatment protocols, this time passing legislation that required its member institutions to have a Concussion Management Plan ("CMP") in place for all sports.

72. Under that new policy, schools were required to have a CMP on file "such that a student-athlete who exhibits signs, symptoms, or behaviors consistent with a concussion shall be removed from practice or competition and evaluated by an athletics healthcare provider with experience in the evaluation and management of concussions."

73. The policy further states that students diagnosed with a concussion "shall not return to activity for the remainder of that day" and the team physician would determine that medical clearance.

74. Finally, the policy required students to sign a statement "in which they accept the responsibility for reporting their injuries and illnesses, including signs and symptoms of concussion" to medical staff and noted that students would be provided educational materials on

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 19 of 35 PageID #:19

concussions during the signing process.

75. However, this policy too is flawed: due to the very nature of concussions, studentathletes suffering concussive injuries are in no position to police themselves or to give informed consent about whether to continue playing. As Defendants have long known, the types of questions used to screen players for concussions include "What's your name?", "What year is it?", and "What sport are we playing?". These types of questions are used for screening precisely because players experiencing concussions routinely fail to answer them correctly. A player who cannot state his or her own name is in no condition to make an informed decision about whether or not to continue playing, and is entirely dependent on others, such as NCAA, the AAC, and Pittsburgh, to identify concussive injuries in real-time and take appropriate remedial actions. For an injured student, Defendants stand in the role of a guardian tasked with making decisions in the student's best interest. For decades, Defendants have failed to fulfill that role and have instead acted in their own best interest, all to the life long detriment of thousands of 18 to 22 year olds.

76. In the end, these (still deficient) policies were implemented far too late for Plaintiff and the Class, who suffered reasonably foreseeable harm as a result of Defendants' actions.

FACTS SPECIFIC TO PLAINTIFF CONREDGE COLLINS

77. Plaintiff Conredge Collins played football at Pittsburgh from 2005–2009 as a full back.

78. Collins recalls suffering from a number of concussions while playing football at Pittsburgh. Collins frequently sustained impacts to the head during both games and practices, and even sustained hits during play that caused him to black out. Unfortunately, Pittsburgh failed to provide appropriate medical treatment during these incidents.

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 20 of 35 PageID #:20

79. Since the inception of Pittsburgh's football program, through at least 2010, there were no adequate concussion management protocols or policies in place to address and treat concussions sustained by student-athletes during practice and in games.

80. In fact, although Collins sustained repetitive concussive and sub-concussive hits in practices and games for their profit and promotion, the NCAA and the AAC failed to adopt or implement adequate concussion management safety protocols or return to play guidelines during his time on Pittsburgh's football team.

81. Accordingly, every time Collins suffered a concussive or sub-concussive hit, he would quickly be returned to the field of play.

82. Likewise, each time Collins suffered a concussive or sub-concussive hit, he was deprived by the NCAA and the AAC of the appropriate medical attention and treatment that they knew was necessary to monitor, manage, and mitigate risks associated with TBI.

83. As a result of these injuries, Collins now suffers from depression, light sensitivity, memory loss, and other debilitating issues.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

84. **Class Definitions**: Plaintiff Conredge Collins brings this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 23(b)(3) on behalf of himself a class and a subclass defined as follows:

<u>Class</u>: All individuals who participated in Pittsburgh's varsity football program between 1952 and 2010.

<u>AAC Subclass</u>: All individuals who participated in Pittsburgh's varsity football program between 1991 and 2010.

The following people are excluded from the Class and AAC Subclass (collectively "Class", unless otherwise indicated): (1) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this action and members

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 21 of 35 PageID #:21

of their families; (2) Defendants, Defendants' subsidiaries, parents, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which the Defendants or their parents have a controlling interest and its current or former employees, officers and directors; (3) persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Class; (4) persons whose claims in this matter have been finally adjudicated on the merits or otherwise released; (5) Plaintiff's counsel and Defendants' counsel; and (6) the legal representatives, successors, and assigns of any such excluded persons.

85. **Numerosity**: The exact number of the members of the Class is unknown and not available to Plaintiff at this time, but it is clear that individual joinder is impracticable. On information and belief, hundreds of Pittsburgh football players fall into the definition of the Class. Members of the Class can be identified through Defendants' records.

86. **Commonality**: There are many questions of law and fact common to the claims of Plaintiff and the Class, and those questions predominate over any questions that may affect individual members. Common questions for the Class include, but are not limited to the following:

- (a) Whether Defendants had a duty to adequately warn and educate players about the dangers and symptoms of concussions and concussion-related brain injuries;
- (b) Whether Defendants had a duty to enact rules and procedures to protect players from sustaining concussions and concussion-related traumatic brain injuries;
- (c) Whether Defendants' conduct as alleged herein constitutes a breach of duty;
- (d) Whether Defendants' conduct as alleged herein constitutes negligence;
- (e) Whether Defendants' conduct as alleged herein constitutes breach of contract;
- (f) Whether Defendants' conduct as alleged herein constitutes

fraudulent concealment;

- (g) Whether Defendants were unjustly enriched at the expense of Plaintiff and the Class; and
- (h) Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to equitable relief, including actual and compensatory damages, and other injunctive relief.

87. **Typicality**: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of other members of the Class, as Plaintiff and other members sustained damages arising out of the wrongful conduct of Defendants based upon the same negligent conduct.

88. Adequate Representation: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex litigation and class actions. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to those of the Class, and Defendants have no defenses unique to Plaintiff.

89. **Predominance and Superiority**: Class proceedings are superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, as joinder of all members is impracticable. The damages suffered by the individual members of the Class are relatively small in comparison to the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex litigation necessitated by Defendants' actions. It would be virtually impossible for the members of the Class to obtain effective relief from Defendants' misconduct on an individual basis. Even if members of the Class themselves could sustain such individual litigation, it would not be preferable to a class action, because individual litigation would increase the delay and expense to all parties due to the complex legal and factual controversies presented in this Complaint. By contrast, a class action presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court. Economies of time, effort, and expense will be fostered and uniformity of decisions will be

ensured.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENCE (Individually and on Behalf of the Class as Against Defendant NCAA and on Behalf of the AAC Subclass as Against Defendant AAC)

90. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations.

91. From its inception and by virtue of its role as the governing body in college athletics, the NCAA has historically assumed a duty to protect the health and safety of all student-athletes at member institutions. NCAA also assumed a duty of care by voluntarily taking steps to protect and promote the health and safety of its players, including promulgating safety handbooks and regulations. That duty included an obligation to supervise, regulate, and monitor the rules of its governed sports, and provide appropriate and up-to-date guidance and regulations to minimize the risk of injury to football players. Defendant AAC shared this same duty to supervise, regulate, and monitor the rules of its governed sports to minimize the risk of injury to football players.

92. The duties of all Defendants included an obligation to supervise, regulate, and monitor the rules of the Pittsburgh football program and provide appropriate and up-to-date guidance and regulations to minimize the risk of long-term and short-term brain damage to Pittsburgh football players.

93. Defendants NCAA and AAC had a duty to educate Pittsburgh and Pittsburgh football players on the proper ways to evaluate and treat TBI during football games and practices, including repetitive sub-concussive and concussive injury. Defendants' duty further included a duty to warn student athletes of the dangers of sub-concussive and concussive injuries and of the risks associated with football before, during, and after they played college football and as additional information came to light.

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 24 of 35 PageID #:24

94. All Defendants had a duty not to conceal material information from Pittsburgh football players, including Plaintiff.

95. Defendants breached their duties to Plaintiff by failing to implement, promulgate, or require appropriate and up-to-date guidelines regarding the evaluation and treatment of TBIs on the playing field, in locker rooms, and in the weeks and months after Pittsburgh football players sustained TBIs, as well as providing treatment for the latent effects of TBI. These failings include, but are not limited to:

- (a) failing to recognize and monitor concussive and subconcussive injury during football practices and games;
- (b) failing to inform the student football players of the dangers of concussive and sub-concussive injuries;
- (c) failing to implement return to play regulations for student football players who sustained concussive and/or subconcussive injuries and/or is suspected of sustaining such injuries;
- (d) failing to implement procedures to monitor the health of football players who have sustained (or are suspected of sustaining) concussive and/or sub-concussive injuries;
- (e) failing to inform the football players' extended families of concussive and/or sub-concussive injuries the student football players had sustained; and
- (f) failing to provide adequate notification, warning and treatment for latent neuro-cognitive and neuro-behavioral effects of concussive and sub-concussive injuries, after the time Plaintiff left Pittsburgh.
- 96. Defendants breached their duties to Plaintiff by fraudulently concealing and/or

failing to disclose and/or failing to recognize and/or being willfully blind to: (a) material information regarding the long-term risks and effects of repetitive head trauma they possessed or should have possessed; (b) the dangers of concussive and sub-concussive injuries; and (c) the

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 25 of 35 PageID #:25

proper ways to evaluate, treat, and avoid concussive and sub-concussive trauma to student football players.

97. Plaintiff relied upon the guidance, expertise, and instruction of Defendants in understanding risks associated with the serious and life-altering medical issue of concussive and sub-concussive risk in football.

98. At all times, Defendants had superior knowledge of material information regarding the effect of repeated traumatic head injuries. Because such information was not readily available to Plaintiff, Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff would act and rely upon the guidance, expertise, and instruction of Defendants on this crucial medical issue, while at Pittsburgh and thereafter.

99. Repetitive TBIs during college football practices and games have a pathological and latent effect on the brain. Repetitive exposure to rapid accelerations to the head causes deformation, twisting, shearing, and stretching of neuronal cells such that multiple forms of damage take place, including the release of small amounts of chemicals within the brain, such as protein, which is a signature pathology of the same phenomenon as boxer's encephalopathy (or "punch drunk syndrome") studied and reported by Harrison Martland in 1928.

100. Plaintiff experienced repetitive sub-concussive and concussive brain impacts during his college football career that significantly increased his risk of developing neurodegenerative disorders and diseases, including but not limited to CTE, Alzheimer's disease, and other similar cognitive-impairing conditions.

101. The repetitive head accelerations and hits to which Plaintiff was exposed presented risks of latent and long-term debilitating chronic illnesses. Absent Defendants' negligence and concealment, the risk of harm to Plaintiff would have been materially lower, and

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 26 of 35 PageID #:26

Plaintiff would not have sustained the brain damage from which he currently suffers.

102. The repetitive head impacts and TBIs Plaintiff sustained while playing football at Pittsburgh resulted in neuro-cognitive and neuro-behavioral changes in Plaintiff, including neuro-cognitive disability, decline, and forgetfulness, all of which will require future medical care.

103. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has incurred damages in the form of permanent brain damage, emotional distress, past and future medical costs, health care, home care expenses, other out of pocket expenses, lost time, lost future earnings, and other damages. Plaintiff will likely incur future damages caused by Defendants' negligence.

104. As a result of their misconduct, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for the full measure of damages allowed under applicable law. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, seeks actual damages for Defendants' negligence, as well as interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs to the extent allowable.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT (Individually and on Behalf of the Class as Against Defendant NCAA and on Behalf of the AAC Subclass as Against Defendant AAC)

105. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations.

106. Defendants knew that repetitive head impacts in football games and full-contact practices created a risk of harm to student-athletes that was similar or identical to the risk boxers' faced when receiving repetitive impacts to the head during boxing practices and matches, and professional football players, many of whom were forced to retire from professional football because of head injuries.

107. Defendants were aware of and understood the significance of the published

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 27 of 35 PageID #:27

medical literature described in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint, which detailed the serious risk of short-term and long-term brain injury associated with repetitive traumatic impacts to the head to which Pittsburgh football players were exposed.

108. Defendants were willfully blind to and/or knowingly concealed from Plaintiff and the Class the risks of TBI in NCAA football games and practices, including the risks associated with returning to physical activity too soon after sustaining a sub-concussive or concussive injury.

109. Through concealment of material facts, Defendants intended to induce a false belief, under circumstances creating a duty to speak. Defendants intended to induce a false belief that Plaintiff and the Class should continue to play football and should not be prevented from playing football after a concussion or several concussions that should have required time to heal.

110. Plaintiff and the Class could not have reasonably been expected to know or discover the truth about the risks associated with sub-concussive or concussive injuries, or were prevented or mislead from obtaining such truthful information. Plaintiff and the Class were under the care and treatment of Defendants and justifiably relied on their silence as representing facts that did not exist.

111. Given Defendants' superior and unique vantage point, Plaintiff reasonably looked to Defendants for guidance on head injuries and concussions, including the later-in-life consequences of the repetitive head impacts he sustained as a football player at Pittsburgh.

112. The concealed information was such that Plaintiff and the Class would have acted differently if they had been aware of the material facts known to, and concealed by, Defendants. Had Plaintiff and members of the Class known the full facts in Defendants' possession, they would: (i) not have continued to play after an injury; (ii) have taken additional time to allow their

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 28 of 35 PageID #:28

brain injuries to heal before returning to play; (iii) have taken additional precautions while playing football; or (iv) not have continued to play college football at all. Despite Defendants' knowledge, they failed to act reasonably by developing appropriate guidelines or rules regarding return to play criteria and other safety procedures. The Defendants' inaction and concealment increased the risk of long-term injury and illness in their student-athletes.

113. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' knowing concealment and/or willful blindness, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer substantial injuries, emotional distress, pain and suffering, and economic and non-economic damages that are ongoing and continuing in nature.

114. As a result of their misconduct, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for the full measure of damages allowed under applicable law. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, seeks actual damages for Defendants' fraudulent concealment, as well as interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs to the extent allowable.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF EXPRESS CONTRACT (Individually and on Behalf of the Class as Against Defendant NCAA)

115. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations.

116. As a football player at Pittsburgh, an institution governed by the NCAA, Plaintiff was required to, and did, enter into a contract with the NCAA as a prerequisite to sports participation. The contract required Plaintiff to complete a form affirming that he had read the NCAA regulations and applicable NCAA Division manual, which expressly encompassed the NCAA Constitution, Operating Bylaws, and Administrative Bylaws, and further, that he agreed to abide by NCAA Division bylaws.

117. In exchange for Plaintiff's agreements, the NCAA promised to perform certain

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 29 of 35 PageID #:29

services and functions, including, among other things:

- (a) conducting intercollegiate athletics in a manner designed to protect and enhance the physical and educational wellbeing of student-athletes;
- (b) requiring that each member institution protect the health of, and provide a safe environment for, each of its participating student-athletes; and
- (c) requiring that each member institution must establish and maintain an environment in which a student-athlete's activities are conducted as an integral part of the student-athlete's educational experience.

118. By signing and agreeing to abide by NCAA regulations, and thereafter participating in NCAA sanctioned sports programs in accordance with such regulations, Plaintiff and the Class fulfilled their contractual obligations to the NCAA.

119. As described in the foregoing allegations, the NCAA breached the Parties' agreements by failing to ensure that their student-athletes were provided with a safe environment in which to participate in NCAA sport activities. The NCAA further breached the contract by concealing and/or failing to properly educate and warn players about the symptoms and long-term risks of concussions and concussion-related traumatic injury.

120. Plaintiff and the Class entered into a written agreement with NCAA in which they committed to play football at Pittsburgh, to attend Pittsburgh as students, and to comply with all codes of conduct and obligations as both football players and students at Pittsburgh.

121. Plaintiff fulfilled his obligations under the contract by playing football at Pittsburgh.

122. NCAA's contractual breaches with Plaintiff and the Class caused Plaintiff and the Class to suffer physical injury and damages in the form of past, ongoing, and future medical expenses.

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 30 of 35 PageID #:30

123. As a result of its misconduct, Defendant NCAA is liable to Plaintiff for the full measure of damages allowed under applicable law. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, seeks actual damages for NCAA's contractual breaches, as well as interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs to the extent allowable.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF IMPLIED CONTRACT (Individually and on Behalf of the Class as Against Defendant NCAA and on Behalf of the AAC Subclass as Against Defendant AAC)

124. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations.

125. To the extent that an express written contract cannot be established among Plaintiff, the Class, and Defendants, the facts set forth above support the finding of an implied contract.

126. Under the implied contract, student-athletes agreed to be bound by NCAA and AAC rules and regulations in exchange for their participation in NCAA and the AAC controlled athletic programs, including the Pittsburgh football program. As a condition of the implied contract, the NCAA agreed to abide by, and the AAC agreed to implement, the promises set forth in its own Constitution and Bylaws, as described above.

127. Plaintiff and the Class indicated their acceptance of the contract, and further, fully performed under the contract, by participating in the Pittsburgh football program in accordance with NCAA and AAC rules and regulations.

128. Defendants breached their implied contractual duties by failing to ensure that student-athletes were provided with a safe environment in which to participate in football activities. Defendants further breached their contracts by concealing and/or failing to properly educate and warn players about the symptoms and long-term risks of concussions and concussion-related traumatic injury.

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 31 of 35 PageID #:31

129. Defendants' breach caused Plaintiff and the Class to suffer physical injury and damages in the form of past, ongoing, and future medical expenses, other out of pocket expenses, lost time, lost future earnings, and other damages. Further, Plaintiff and the Class will likely incur future damages caused by Defendants' breaches.

130. As a result of their misconduct, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for the full measure of damages allowed under applicable law. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, seeks actual damages for Defendants' contractual breaches, as well as interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs to the extent allowable.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF EXPRESS CONTRACT (Individually and on Behalf of the Class as Third-Party Beneficiaries <u>as Against Defendant NCAA</u>)

131. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations.

132. To the extent that no express or implied contract is found to exist between Plaintiff and Defendants, an express contract existed between the NCAA and Pittsburgh.

133. Under the terms of that contract, Pittsburgh agreed to abide by the applicable NCAA rules and regulations, including those expressly set forth in the NCAA's Division Manuals, Constitution, and Bylaws.

134. Under the terms of that contract, as set forth in the NCAA Constitution and encompassed within the NCAA Division Manuals, Pittsburgh and NCAA agreed to, among other things: (1) conduct intercollegiate athletic programs in a manner designed to protect and enhance the physical and educational well-being of student athletes; and (2) protect the health of and provide a safe environment for each of its participating student-athletes.

135. Plaintiff and the Class are the intended third-party beneficiaries of the contract between the NCAA and Pittsburgh. Such an intention can be found in the express language of

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 32 of 35 PageID #:32

the NCAA's rules and regulations, as well as the stated purpose and principles of the NCAA organization.

136. NCAA breached the contractual duties owed to Plaintiff and the Class under that contract by: (1) failing to implement or require rules of play and return to play criteria to minimize or prevent the risk of concussions and concussion-related injuries; and (2) failing to adequately inform and educate Pittsburgh football players on the symptoms and long-term dangers of concussions and concussion-related injuries.

137. As a direct result of NCAA's breach, Plaintiff and the Class suffered physical injury and damages in the form of past, ongoing, and future medical expenses, and other out of pocket expenses, lost time, lost future earnings, and other damages. Further, Plaintiff and the Class will likely incur future damages caused by NCAA's conduct.

138. As a result of its misconduct, Defendant NCAA is liable to Plaintiff for the full measure of damages allowed under applicable law. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, seeks actual damages for NCAA's contractual breaches, as well as interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs to the extent allowable.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION UNJUST ENRICHMENT (In the Alternative to Breach of Contract) (Individually and on Behalf of the Class as Against Defendant NCAA and on Behalf of the AAC Subclass as Against Defendant AAC)

139. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations, excluding paragraphs115–138.

140. Defendants receive significant revenues from the collegiate football played by student-athletes. These revenues include, but are not limited to, contractual revenues from broadcasting, merchandising agreements, and ticket sales.

141. Defendants appreciate and have knowledge of such benefits.

142. Under principles of equity and good conscience, Defendants should not be permitted to retain the profits they receive at the expense of Plaintiff and the Class while refusing to pay for medical expenses incurred as a result of their unlawful actions or otherwise failing to prevent such injuries.

143. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, seeks restitution and/or disgorgement of all monies Defendants have unjustly received as a result of their conduct alleged herein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Conredge Collins, individually and on behalf of the Class, requests that the Court enter an Order providing for the following relief:

A. Certify this case as a class action on behalf of the Class defined above, appoint Plaintiff as Class Representative, and appoint his counsel as Class Counsel;

B. Declare that Defendants' actions, as set out above, constitute negligence, fraudulent concealment, breach of contract, and unjust enrichment;

C. Award all economic, monetary, actual, consequential, compensatory, and punitive damages caused by Defendants' conduct, including without limitation damages for past, present, and future medical expenses, other out of pocket expenses, lost time and interest, lost future earnings, and other damages. Further, Plaintiff and the Class will likely incur future damages caused by Defendants' misconduct;

D. Award Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable litigation expenses and attorneys' fees;

E. Award Plaintiff and the Class pre- and post-judgment interest, to the extent

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 34 of 35 PageID #:34

allowable;

F. Enter injunctive and/or declaratory relief as is necessary to protect the interests of

Plaintiff and the Class; and

G. Award such other and further relief as equity and justice may require.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury for all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

CONREDGE COLLINS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Dated: October 4, 16

By: /s/ Jeff Raizner

One of Plaintiff's Attorneys

Jeff Raizner jraizner@raiznerlaw.com RAIZNER SLANIA LLP 2402 Dunlavy Street Houston, Texas 77006 Tel: 713.554.9099 Fax: 713.554.9098

Jay Edelson* jedelson@edelson.com Benjamin H. Richman* brichman@edelson.com EDELSON PC 350 North LaSalle Street, 13th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60654 Tel: 312.589.6370 Fax: 312.589.6378

Rafey S. Balabanian* rbalabanian@edelson.com EDELSON PC 123 Townsend Street San Francisco, California 94107 Tel: 415.212.9300 Fax: 415.373.9435 Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 35 of 35 PageID #:35

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the putative Class

*Admission to be sought.

JS 44 (Rev 07/16) Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #:1-1-Eiled: 10/04/16 Page 1 of 2 PageID #:36

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	5				
CONREDGE COLLINS, i situated	1.		-	AMERICAN ATHL	HLETIC AS	SSOCIATION	nd the NATI	ONAL	
(b) County of Residence of		Granville County, N	С	County of Residence					
(EX	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)		NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT		LAINTIFF CASES O ON CASES, USE TI IVOLVED		OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	ddress, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known))				
Raizner Slania LLP 2402 Dunlavy St.,Houston Tel: 713.554.9099	n, TX 77006			in uit series					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	me Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box f	for Plaintiff
□ 1 US Government □ 3 Federal Question				(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF		and One Box f	for Defende PTF	ant) DEF
Plaintiff			Citiz		01 01	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		□ 4	X 4
□ 2 US Government Defendant			Citiz	en of Another State	★ 2 □ 2	2 D 2 Incorporated and H of Business In A		□ 5	5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3 3	Foreign Nation		06	06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						PUBTON	0.77	CT 1 T	EC.
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		5 Drug Related Seizure		ALL 28 USC 158	OTHER	STATUT	
□ 120 Marine	310 Airplane	🗇 365 Personal Injury -	and the second	of Property 21 USC 881	□ 423 With	drawal	🗇 376 Qui Ta	m (31 USC	
 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	D 69	0 Other	28 U	SC 157	3729(a		iment
150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				RTYRIGHTS	 410 Antitru 430 Banks 	ist	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			 820 Copy 830 Pater 		□ 450 Banks □ 450 Comm		ng
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	1		□ 840 Trade	emark	 460 Deport 470 Racket 		bre beau
(Excludes Veterans)	□ 345 Marine Product	Liability		LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY		t Organiza	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER	RTY [] 71	0 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861 HIA	(1395ff) c Lung (923)	□ 480 Consus □ 490 Cable/		
□ 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 355 Motor Vehicle	O 371 Truth in Lending	0 72	0 Labor/Management	□ 863 DIW	C/DIWW (405(g))	□ 850 Securit		odities/
 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	G 74	Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	□ 864 SSID □ 865 RSI (Exchar 890 Other S		ctions
□ 196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		1 Family and Medical	10 000 1001	103(E)/	D 891 Agricu	ltural Acts	
94	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	0 79	Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation			 893 Enviro 895 Freedo 		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		1 Employee Retirement		AL TAX SUITS	Act		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		s (US Plaintiff efendant)	 896 Arbitra 899 Admin 		rocedure
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	e		🗆 871 IRS-	-Third Party	Act/Re	view or Ap	opeal of
 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 	Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			26 U	SC 7609	☐ 950 Constit	Decision	
□ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			State S		
	Employment 446 Amer w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration	n				
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions					
	□ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	Que Ben Quela	Commement	6		2.03	2			
X1 Original □ 2 Ren	noved from 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reop		er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatic Direct F	m -
		tute under which you a	re filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictional sta	ter.				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	biler description of ca	ause: Idulent Concealmei	nt Brea	ch of Contract, Unju	st Enrichm	ent			
VII. REQUESTED IN	_	IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		HECK YES only	if demanded in	1 complai	nt:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.		5000000	J	URY DEMAND:	X Yes	🗆 No	<u> </u>
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE See Attac	hment		DOCKE	TNUMBER SE	e Attachme	nt	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT		OF RECORD	DOCKL				
October 4, 2016		s/ Jeff Raizner							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							2.02		
RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG JUI	DGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1-2 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 1 of 2 PageID #:38

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

CONREDGE COLLINS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No.: 1:16-cv-2640

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN ATHLETIC CONFERENCE, and the NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION,

Defendants.

ATTACHMENT TO CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY:

Presiding Judge:		
Judge John Z. Lee		

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1-2 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 2 of 2 PageID #:39

Case No.:	Presiding Judge:
No. 1:16-cv-8584	Judge John Z. Lee
No. 1:16-cv-8583	Judge John Z. Lee
No. 1:16-cv-02342	Judge William T. Lawrence
No. 1:16-cv-02333	Judge William T. Lawrence
No. 1:16-cv-02334	Judge William T. Lawrence
No. 1:16-cv-02336	Judge Jane Magnus-Stinson
No. 1:16-cv-02337	Judge Tanya Walton Pratt
No. 1:16-cv-02339	Judge Tanya Walton Pratt
No. 1:16-cv-02341	Judge Tanya Walton Pratt
No. 3:16-cv-05056	Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Spero
No. 1:16-cv-02358	Judge Larry J. McKinney
No. 1:16-cv-02595	Judge Sarah Evans Barker
No. 1:16-cv-2622	Judge Jane Magnus-Stinson
No. 1:16-cv-02629	Judge Tanya Walton Pratt
No. 1:16-cv-02632	Judge Jane Magnus-Stinson
No. 1:16-cv-02631	Judge Richard L. Young

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1-3 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 1 of 2 PageID #:40

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

)

)

Southern District of Indiana

CONREDGE COLLINS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

V.

AMERICAN ATHLETIC CONFERENCE, and the NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

American Athletic Conference 15 Park Row West, Providence Rhode Island 02903

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Jeff Raizner Raizner Slania LLP 2402 Dunlavy St., Houston, TX 77006 Tel: 713.554.9099 Fax: 713.554.9098

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

October 4, 2016 Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 1:16-cv-2640

Case: 1:16-cv-09991 Document #: 1-3 Filed: 10/04/16 Page 2 of 2 PageID #:41

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 1:16-cv-2640

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nat	me of individual and title, if any)					
was rec	ceived by me on (date)							
	□ I personally served	l the summons on the indiv	vidual at <i>(place)</i>					
	on (date)					; or		
	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)							
			-	ble age and discretion who res	sides th	ere,		
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	□ I served the summons on (name of individual)					, who is		
	designated by law to	accept service of process of	on behalf of (nam	e of organization)				
	On (date)				; or			
	□ I returned the summons unexecuted because					; or		
	□ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$		for services, for a total of \$		0.		
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:								
	Server's signature							
	Printed name and title							

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: