

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**

**GAYNELL C. COLBURN, individually *
and on behalf of others similarly *
situated, *
1700 N. Gay Street *
Baltimore, Maryland 21212 ***

Plaintiff, *

v. *

Case No. 1:18-cv-1030

**DRIFTWOOD HOSPITALITY *
MANAGEMENT, *
11770 U.S. Hwy. One, Ste 202 *
N. Palm Beach, FL 33408 ***

Serve on: *

**Corporation Service Co. *
251 Little Falls Drive *
Wilmington, DE 19808 ***

Defendant.

* * * * *

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

Plaintiff, Gaynell C. Colburn, on behalf of herself and the proposed class (defined below), brings this action against Driftwood Hospitality Management. (“Defendant”):

INTRODUCTION

1. For more than 20 years, the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”) has required that individuals with disabilities by provided full and equal access to

the goods, services and facilities provided by hotel owners and operators.

2. This mandate requires hotel businesses to provide individuals with disabilities accessible transportation services.

3. Defendant owns and/or operates various hotels throughout the United States, and, as part of those operations, provides hotel customers transportation services.

4. Defendant has failed to make its transportation services fully accessible to individuals with disabilities, thereby denying those individuals the same benefits and privileges afforded to guests without disabilities.

5. Plaintiff accordingly seeks declaratory and injunctive relief establishing that Defendant has engaged in violations of the ADA, and requiring Defendant to comply with the ADA by providing individuals with disabilities accessible transportation services that are equivalent to the transportation services provided to non-disabled guests.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. The claims alleged arise under Title III such that this Court's jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 42 U.S.C. § 12188.

7. Personal jurisdiction exists for Defendant because it manages and/or operates multiple hotels located in Maryland, including the Hampton Inn Baltimore Washington International Airport, located at 829 Elkridge Landing Road, Linthicum, Maryland (the "Hampton Inn").

8. Venue in the District of Maryland is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred.

PARTIES

9. Plaintiff Gaynell C. Colburn, at all times relevant hereto, is and was a resident of Baltimore City, Maryland.

10. Plaintiff is a wheelchair user who is limited in the major life activity of walking.

11. As Plaintiff requires a wheelchair accessible vehicle in order to utilize transportation services offered by hotels, she has a personal interest in ensuring that hotels comply with federal requirements governing the provision of accessible transportation services.

12. Plaintiff is a tester in this litigation and a consumer who wishes to access Defendant's goods and services.

13. Defendant Driftwood Hospitality Management is organized under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business in Florida.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

14. Defendant owns, manages and/or operates many hotels throughout the United States.

15. As part of these operations, Defendant provides its customers transportation services, including, but not limited to, complimentary shuttle

services.

16. Within the applicable limitations period, Plaintiff called the Hampton Inn and was told by an agent of Defendant that the Hampton Inn provides a complimentary shuttle service for guests.

17. Plaintiff was told that the complimentary shuttle service was not wheelchair accessible.

18. Plaintiff was also told that Defendant would not provide alternative accessible transportation service.

19. An investigation performed on Plaintiff's behalf confirmed the allegations made by Plaintiff in Paragraphs 16 through 18.

20. The investigation performed on behalf of Plaintiff further confirmed that, in addition to the Hampton Inn, Defendant owns, manages and/or operates a substantial number of other hotels in the United States that offer transportation services to their guests, but do not offer equivalent transportation services to guests who use wheelchairs or scooters.

21. These hotels include, but are not limited to, the following locations:

A. Holiday Inn Phoenix-West, located at 1500 North 51st Street, Phoenix, Arizona.

B. Staybridge Suites Denver Tech Center, located at 7150 South Clinton Street, Centennial, Colorado.

C. DoubleTree by Hilton Gainesville, located at 3726 SW 40th

Boulevard, Gainesville, Florida.

D. Hawthorn Suites by Wyndham Orlando International Drive, located at 7975 Canada Avenue, Orlando, Florida.

E. Four Points by Sheraton Boston Logan Airport Revere, located at 407 Squire Road, Revere, Massachusetts.

F. Hilton Durham Near Duke University, located at 3800 Hillsborough Road, Durham, North Carolina.

G. DoubleTree by Hilton Hotel Austin Northwest Arboretum, located at 8901 Business Park Drive, Austin, Texas.

H. Hyatt House Houston/Energy Corridor, located at 15405 Katy Freeway, Houston, Texas.

I. Sheraton Dallas Hotel by the Galleria, located at 4801 Lyndon B. Johnson Freeway, Dallas, Texas.

J. Sheraton Salt Lake City Hotel, located at 150 West 500 South, Salt Lake City, Utah.

22. Defendant's policy and practice of refusing to offer individuals with disabilities equivalent transportation services is discriminatory and in violation of the ADA.

23. Though Plaintiff is serving as a tester in this case, she would like to stay at one or more of the properties owned and/or managed by Defendant in the future and use the hotel's transportation services.

24. However, the lack of equivalent transportation services has deterred Plaintiff from staying at the Hampton Inn or using its shuttle service.

25. Plaintiff has been, and in the absence of an injunction will continue to be, injured by Defendant's policy and practice of failing to provide equivalent transportation services to persons with disabilities.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

26. Plaintiff brings this action under Rule 23(a) and (b)(2) of the federal rules of civil procedure and on behalf of herself and the following class: "All individuals who use wheelchairs or scooters for mobility and who have been, or in the future will be, denied the full and equal enjoyment of transportation services offered to guests at hotels owned and/or operated by Defendant because of the lack of equivalent accessible transportation services at those hotels."

27. Numerosity: The class described above is so numerous that joinder of all individual members in one action would be impracticable. The disposition of the individual claims of the respective class members through this class action will benefit both the parties and the Court, and will facilitate judicial economy.

28. Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the class. The claims of Plaintiff and members of the class are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful conduct.

29. Common Questions of Fact and Law: There is a well-defined community of interest and common questions of fact and law affecting members

of the class in that they all have been and/or are being denied their civil rights to full and equal access to, and use and enjoyment of, Defendant's goods, services and facilities due to the policies and practices described above.

30. Adequacy of Representation: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the class because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the members of the class. Plaintiff will fairly, adequately, and vigorously represent and protect the interests of the members of the class and has no interests antagonistic to the members of the class. Plaintiff has retained counsel who are competent and experienced in the prosecution of class action litigation, generally, and who possess specific expertise in the context of class litigation under the ADA.

31. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, making appropriate both declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to Plaintiff and the class as a whole.

CAUSE OF ACTION
Violations of 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181, et seq.

32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation herein.
33. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the class.
34. Plaintiff is an individual with a disability under the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12102(1)(A).
35. Defendant, a hospitality business, is public accommodation under

the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7).

36. Title III of the ADA prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

37. Defendant operates fixed route systems and/or demand responsive systems within the meaning of the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12181(3) and (4).

38. For fixed route systems, Defendant must meet the following requirements: a) for all purchases or leases after August 25, 1990, vehicles with a seating capacity over 16 passengers must be wheelchair-accessible; and b) for all purchases or leases after August 25, 1990, vehicles with a seating capacity of under 16 passengers must either be either wheelchair-accessible or equivalent service must be provided. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(B).

39. For demand responsive systems, Defendant must provide wheelchair-accessible vehicles or ensure that equivalent service is provided. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(C).

40. Defendant has engaged in illegal disability discrimination by, without limitation, failing to ensure that transportation vehicles in use at the hotels it owns, manages and/or operates are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs, by failing

to ensure that its hotels provide equivalent accessible transportation services to such individuals, and/or by failing to ensure that personnel are trained to proficiency with respect to the provision of accessible transportation services.

41. Moreover, by failing to provide accessible transportation, Defendant has engaged, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements, in illegal disability discrimination, as defined by Title III, including without limitation:

a) denying individuals with mobility disabilities opportunities to participate in and benefit from the goods, services and facilities available at Defendant's hotels;

b) affording individuals with mobility disabilities unequal access to goods, services or facilities;

c) utilizing methods of administration that (i) have the effect of discriminating on the basis of disability; or (ii) perpetuating the discrimination of others who are subject to common administrative control;

d) failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures where necessary to afford services, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with mobility disabilities.

42. Defendant's ongoing and continuing violations of Title III have caused, and in the absence to an injunction will continue to cause harm to the Plaintiff and the class.

43. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188 and the remedies, procedures and rights set forth and incorporated therein, Plaintiff requests relief as set forth below.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgement as follows:

1. A Declaratory Judgment that at the commencement of this action Defendant was in violation of the specific requirements of Title III of the ADA described above, and the relevant implementing regulations of the ADA, in that Defendant failed to take action reasonably calculated to ensure that Defendant's transportation services were fully accessible to, and independently usable by, individuals with visual disabilities;

2. A permanent injunction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(2) and 28 CFR § 36.504(a) which directs Defendant to take all steps necessary to bring its transportation services into full compliance with the requirements set forth in the ADA, and its implementing regulations, so that those transportation services are fully accessible to, and independently usable by, individuals with disabilities, and which further directs that the Court shall retain jurisdiction for a period to be determined to ensure that Defendant has adopted and is following an institutional policy that will in fact cause Defendant to remain fully in compliance with the law;

3. An Order Certifying the proposed class, naming Plaintiff as the

representative of the class, and designating counsel for Plaintiff as class counsel;

4. Payment of costs and reasonable attorneys' fees as provided for by law; and

5. Such other additional or alternative relief as the Court finds just and proper.

Dated: April 10, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ E. David Hoskins

E. David Hoskins, Esq., No. 06705
The Law Offices of E. David Hoskins, LLC
16 East Lombard Street, Suite 400
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(410) 662-6500 (Tel.)
davidhoskins@hoskinslaw.com

/s/ Kathleen P. Hyland

Kathleen P. Hyland, Esq., No. 30075
Hyland Law Firm, LLC
16 East Lombard Street, Suite 400
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(410) 777-5396 (Tel.)
kat@lawhyland.com

/s/ R. Bruce Carlson

R. Bruce Carlson, No. 29344
Carlson Lynch Sweet Kilpela & Carpenter, LLP
1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor
Pittsburgh PA, 15222
(412) 322-9243 (Tel.)
bcarlson@carlsonlynch.com

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
GAYNELL C. COLBURN, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Baltimore City
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
E. David Hoskins, Esquire, The Law Offices of E. David Hoskins, LLC, 16 E. Lombard St., Ste. 400, Balto., MD 21202

DEFENDANTS
DRIFTWOOD HOSPITALITY MANAGEMENT
County of Residence of First Listed Defendant
NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.
Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
2 U.S. Government Defendant
3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
Citizen of This State
Citizen of Another State
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country
PTF DEF
1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State
2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State
3 3 Foreign Nation

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)
Table with columns: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, CIVIL RIGHTS, TORTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)
1 Original Proceeding
2 Removed from State Court
3 Remanded from Appellate Court
4 Reinstated or Reopened
5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
42 U.S.C. § 12188
Brief description of cause:
Violations of the Americans with Disability Act

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:
CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.
DEMAND \$
CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY
(See instructions):
JUDGE Catherine C. Blake
DOCKET NUMBER See Attached

DATE April 10, 2018
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ E. David Hoskins

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

Case 1:18-cv-01030-MJG Document 1-1 Filed 04/10/18 Page 2 of 3
INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
- United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
- United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
- Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
- Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
- Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
- Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
- Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
- Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
- Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
- Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
- Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.
- PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
- Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
- Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Civil Cover Sheet Cont.

VIII. Related Cases if Any

Judge Catherine C. Blake
Judge Catherine C. Blake
Judge Catherine C. Blake

Case No.: 1:18-cv-00730-CCB
Case No.: 1:18-cv-00775-CCB
Case No.: 1:18-cv-00801-CCB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Maryland

GAYNELL C. COLBURN, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

DRIFTWOOD HOSPITALITY MANAGEMENT

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-1030

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) DRIFTWOOD HOSPITALITY MANAGEMENT
SERVE ON:
Corporation Service Co.
251 Little Falls Drive
Wilmington, DE 19808

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: E. David Hoskins, Esquire
The Law Offices of E. David Hoskins, LLC
16 E. Lombard Street, Ste. 400
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(410) 662-6500

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Disabled Woman Sues Over Hampton Inn's Alleged Lack of Accessible Shuttle Services](#)
