IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

ERIC COBB, individually and on
behalf of other similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Case No.:

V.

DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, and CAROLE ANNE HICE, individually,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, ERIC COBB, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), by and through the undersigned attorney, sues the Defendants, DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, and CAROLE ANNE HICE, individually, (collectively referred to as "Defendants"), and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action by the Plaintiff against his former employers for overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 206 and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (the "FLSA"), and any other relief available.

2. This action is brought under the FLSA to recover from Defendants overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

PARTIES

- 3. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, he served as a roadside technician and performed related activities in DeKalb County, Georgia.
- 4. Defendant, DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, is a Georgia Corporation which operates and conducts business in several counties including DeKalb County, Georgia, and is therefore within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 5. Defendant, CAROLE ANNE HICE, is a corporate officer of, and exercised operational control over the activities of, corporate Defendant, DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, and resides in the State of Georgia. This Court has personal jurisdiction over this Defendant because said Defendant is a resident of the State of Georgia.

JURISDICTION

6. This action arises under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §210, et. seq. The Court has jurisdiction over the FLSA claim pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 206 and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

VENUE

7. The venue of this Court over this controversy is proper based upon the claim arising in the DeKalb County, Georgia.

FACTS

- 8. Defendants employed Plaintiff at their business within the relevant time period (2014 2017).
- 9. Plaintiff worked for Defendants without being paid the correct overtime premium rate of time and one-half his regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week.
- 10. Specifically, Defendants paid overtime hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week at the regular rate of pay for all hours.
- 11. Defendants each controlled and/or were responsible for the work of Plaintiff.
- 12. Plaintiff worked as a "service technician" for Defendants and performed related activities in DeKalb County, Georgia.
- 13. In this capacity, Plaintiff was responsible for performing, including, but not limited to: (a) perform basic diagnostic procedures and repairs; (b) tow

¹ All references to material times relevant to this action shall mean to encompass from 2014 through 2017.

disabled vehicles; and (c) recharge batteries, change tires, and disengage locks on vehicles.

- 14. Plaintiff worked for the Defendants from approximately November2015 Present.
- 15. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff was paid a salary at \$525 per week.
- 16. Plaintiff was not paid proper overtime wages for all hours worked on a weekly basis throughout the period when he was paid a salary.
- 17. Despite working more than forty (40) hours per week, Plaintiff was not paid all compensation for hours worked over forty (40) hours within a work week during several weeks of employment.
 - 18. Defendants were aware of the overtime hours worked.
- 19. Upon information and belief, the records, to the extent any exist, concerning the number of hours worked and amounts paid to Plaintiff are in the possession and custody of the Defendants.

COVERAGE

- 20. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendants were an enterprise covered by the FLSA, and as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203 (s).
- 21. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendants made gross earnings of at least \$500,000 annually.
- 22. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendants accepted payments from customers based on credit cards issued by out-of-state banks, nationwide.
- 23. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendants routinely ordered materials, merchandise, products, and supplies from out-of-state vendors and/or entities (i.e., battery chargers, gasoline tanks, and tire service tools, etc.).
- 24. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendants had two (2) or more employees engaged in commerce, handling or otherwise working on materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce (i.e., battery chargers, gasoline tanks, and tire service tools, etc.).
- 25. At all material times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was individually engaged in commerce during his employment with Defendants, by travelling on interstate highways and assisting customers with their interstate travel through interstate highways.

COLLECTIVE/CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 26. Plaintiff and the class members performed the same or similar job duties as one another for Defendants in that they provided road side service.
- 27. Further, Plaintiff and the class members were subjected to the same pay provisions in that they were **paid a salary** and not compensated at time and one-half their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.
- 28. Thus, the class members are owed overtime compensation for the same reasons as Plaintiff.
- 29. Defendants' failure to compensate employees for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek as required by the FLSA results from a policy or practice of failure to assure that roadside technicians were paid for all overtime hours worked based on the Defendants' failure to credit the roadside technician with all hours worked.
- 30. This policy or practice was applicable to Plaintiff and the class members.
- 31. Application of this policy or practice does not depend on the personal circumstances of Plaintiff or those joining this lawsuit, rather the same policies or

practices which resulted in the non-payment of overtime to Plaintiff also apply to all class members.

32. Accordingly, the class members are properly defined as:

All roadside technicians who worked for Defendant, DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, within the last three (3) years and who were paid a salary and not compensated at time and one-half their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.

- 33. The precise size and identity of the class should be ascertainable from the business records, tax records, and/or employee or personnel records of Defendants.
- 34. The exact number of members of each class can be determined by reviewing Defendants' records. Plaintiff, under information and belief, is informed there are numerous of eligible individuals in the defined class.
- 35. Defendants failed to keep accurate time and pay records for Plaintiff and all class members pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 211(c) and 29 C.F.R. Part 516.
- 36. Defendants were aware of the requirements of the FLSA yet it acted willfully in failing to pay Plaintiff and the class members in accordance with the law.

- 37. Plaintiff has hired the undersigned law firm to represent him in this matter and is obligated to pay them reasonable attorneys' fees and costs if they prevail.
- 38. The claims under the FLSA may be pursued by others who opt-in to this case pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 39. A collective action suit, such as the underlying, is superior to other available means for fair and efficient adjudication of the lawsuit. The damages suffered by individual members of the class may be relatively small when compared to the expense and burden of litigation, making it virtually impossible for members of the class to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them

COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION AGAINST DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC

- 40. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-39 above.
- 41. Plaintiff is entitled to be paid time and one-half his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week.
- 42. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, Plaintiff worked overtime hours but was not paid time and one-half his regular rate of pay for the same during several weeks.

- 43. As a result of Defendant's, DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff time and one-half his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff has suffered damages plus incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 44. Defendant, DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, became aware that its salary provisions for its roadside technicians did not satisfy the overtime requirements of the FLSA in 2016.
- 45. Defendant, DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, change its roadside technician pay to a system based on a set amount per job and began paying additional overtime compensation.
- 46. However, DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, did not pay any back overtime pay to its roadside technicians.
- 47. Defendant, DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, neither maintained nor kept accurate time records as required by the FLSA for Plaintiff.
- 48. Also, Defendant, DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, failed to post required FLSA informational listings as required by law.
- 49. As a result of Defendant's, DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, willful violation of the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages.

COUNT II RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION AGAINST CAROLE ANNE HICE

- 50. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-39 above.
- 51. Defendant, CAROLE ANNE HICE, is the Owner and President of DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC.
- 52. Defendant, CAROLE ANNE HICE, is an Owner who acted with direct control over the work, pay, and job duties of Plaintiff.
- 53. Defendant, CAROLE ANNE HICE, had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff.
- 54. Defendant, CAROLE ANNE HICE, supervised and controlled Plaintiff's work schedule, job duties and responsibilities, and/or conditions of employment.
- 55. Defendant, CAROLE ANNE HICE, determined Plaintiff's rate and method of payment.
 - 56. Defendant, CAROLE ANNE HICE, maintained employment records.
- 57. Defendant, CAROLE ANNE HICE, determines DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC's overtime policies.

58. As such, Defendant, CAROLE ANNE HICE, is charged with the

responsibility for violations of Plaintiff's rights to overtime and resulting damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ERIC COBB, individually and on behalf of other

similarly situated demands judgment against DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, and

CAROLE ANNE HICE, individually, for the payment of all unpaid wages,

overtime hours at time and one-half the regular rate of pay for the hours worked by

them for which Defendants did not properly compensate them, liquidated damages,

reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and any and all further

relief this Court determines to be just and appropriate.

issues so triable.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury of all

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Carlos V. Leach

Carlos V. Leach, Esq.

Ga. Bar No.: 488443

MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

191 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 4200

Post Office Box 57007

Atlanta, Georgia 30343-1007

Main: (404) 965-8811 Facsimile: (404) 965-8812

E-mail: <u>CLeach@forthepeople.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

11

Case 1:17-cv-00802-TWT_Document 1-1 Filed 03/06/17 Page 1 of 2 ${ m CIVIL}$ COVER SHEET

JS44 (Rev. 6/16 NDGA) The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED)

the first of court and the first court of the court of the purpose of minimal give as a second (cold first court of the co			
I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S)		DEFENDANT(S)	
ERIC COBB, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated		DEERFIELD ROADSIDE, LLC, and CAROLE ANNE HICE,	
		individually	
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF DeKalb County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED	
		DEFENDANT Barrow County (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)	
(EACELLIN OSTEALTHY CASES)		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND	
(-) ATTODNEYS		INVOLVED	
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUMBER, AND E-MAIL ADDRESS)		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)	
Carlos V. Leach, Esq., MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.,			
191 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 4200, P.O			
Atlanta, GA 30343-1007; Tel: (404) 965-8811; Fax: (404) 965-8812; Email:CLeach@forthepeople.com			
(10.7) 100 10.2, 2.1.0			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	III CITI	ZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES	
(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)		N "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)	
	PLF DEF	(FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY) PLF DEF	
1 U.S. GOVERNMENT 3 FEDERAL QUESTION			
PLAINTIFF (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY)			
L2 U.S. GOVERNMENT L4 DIVERSITY DEFENDANT (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES IN ITEM III) L2 L2 CITIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE 5 5 INCORPORATED AND PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHE			
		TIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A 6 6 FOREIGN NATION OREIGN COUNTRY	
IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X "IN ONE BOX ONLY)			
1 ORIGINAL PROCEEDING 2 REMOVED FROM APPELLATE COURT APPELLATE COURT	4 REINSTATED (REOPENED	TRANSFERRED FROM 5 ANOTHER DISTRICT (Specify District) MULTIDISTRICT 7 FROM MAGISTRATE JUDGE 1 JUDGMENT	
MULTIDISTRICT 8 LITIGATION - DIRECT FILE			
V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE U.S. CIVIL STATUTES UNI	UNDER WHICH YOU LESS DIVERSITY)	ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE	
29 U.S.C. § 216(b), unpaid wages			
(IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW)	_		
1. Unusually large number of parties.	6. Prob	lems locating or preserving evidence	
2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses.	7. Pend	ling parallel investigations or actions by government.	
3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex	8. Multiple use of experts.		
4. Greater than normal volume of evidence.	9. Need for discovery outside United States boundaries.		
☐ 5. Extended discovery period is needed.	∐10. Exist	tence of highly technical issues and proof.	
	O NIMITA I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	ON DEVELOR	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	UNTINUED (ON REVERSE	
RECEIPT # AMOUNT \$	APPLYING	G IFP MAG. JUDGE (IFP)	
JUDGE MAG. JUDGE(Referral)	NATURE (OF SUIT CAUSE OF ACTION	

VI. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

VI. IVALUKE OF BUIL (PLACE AN "X"	IN ONE BOX ONLY)	
CONTRACT - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT 152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS (ESCI. Veterans) 153 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS (ESCI. Veterans) 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERAN'S BENEFITS CONTRACT - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 110 INSURANCE 120 MARINE 130 MILLER ACT 140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT 151 MEDICARE ACT 160 STOCKHOLDERS' SUITS 190 OTHER CONTRACT 195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY 196 FRANCHISE 196 FRANCHISE 197 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY 196 FRANCHISE 190 OTHER CONTRACT 195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY 190 FRANCHISE 190 OTHER CONTRACT 195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY 190 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY 190 FRANCHISE 190	CIVIL RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS 441 VOTING 442 EMPLOYMENT 443 HOUSING/ ACCOMMODATIONS 444 WELFARE 445 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Employment 446 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Other 448 EDUCATION MMIGRATION - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 462 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION 465 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS PRISONER PETITIONS - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 463 HABEAS CORPUS - Alien Detainee 510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE 330 HABEAS CORPUS DEATH PENALTY 540 MANDAMUS & OTHER 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed Pro se 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed Pro se 556 CIVIL DETAINEE: CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT PRISONER PETITIONS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed by Counsel 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed by Counsel 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed by Counsel 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - FILED BY COUNSEL 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 1 USC 881 690 OTHER LABOR - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT 720 LABOR/MGMT. RELATIONS 740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT 731 FAMILY and MEDICAL LEAVE ACT 790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION 791 EMPL RET. INC. SECURITY ACT PROPERTY RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 820 COPYRIGHTS 840 TRADEMARK PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 840 TRADEMARK PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 840 TRADEMARK PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 840 TRADEMARK PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 840 TRADEMARK PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 840 TRADEMARK PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 840 TRADEMARK PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 840 TRADEMARK PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 840 TRADEMARK PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 840 TRADEMARK PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 840 TRADEMARK PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 840 TRADEMARK PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 840 TRADEMARK PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 84	SOCIAL SECURITY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLA ☐ CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R JURY DEMAND ☑ YES ☐ NO (CHECK YES VIII. RELATED/REFILED CAS	2.Civ.P. 23 DEMAND \$ Unknown ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT) SE(S) IF ANY	
 □ 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER □ 2. SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT □ 3. VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT OF THE □ 4. APPEALS ARISING OUT OF THE SAME BANKRUPTCY JUDGE. □ 5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY PRO SE I □ 6. COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO C. □ 7. EITHER SAME OR ALL OF THE PARTIE 	OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK INCLUE BANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERE LITIGANTS. ASE(S) BEING SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED (INCLUDE ABE INCLUDE	AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. ED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. FO WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME BREVIATED STYLE OF OTHER CASE(S)): LVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS
	March	n 6, 2017

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

DATE

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Technician Sues Deerfield Roadside over Unpaid Overtime Wages</u>