

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CHRYL CLAFLIN, on behalf of herself  
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PATHFINDER BANK,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 5:21-cv-380 (TJM/ML)

**JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Chryl Claflin, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated brings this class action complaint against Pathfinder Bank (“Pathfinder” or “Bank”), and alleges the following:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. When accountholders open a checking account with their Bank, they have to enter into a standard contract written by the Bank and its lawyers. All the Bank has to do is honor the contract it wrote and comply with the terms it dictated.

2. Pathfinder promises its customers that if their account balance drops too low to cover a particular “item,” such as a check, withdrawal, or service charge, Pathfinder will charge the customer a single \$32 insufficient funds fee (“NSF Fee”) or overdraft fee (“OD Fee”) per item. But as Plaintiff and customers all over the country have discovered, Pathfinder doesn’t abide by this promise. Instead, Pathfinder routinely charges its customers multiple fees for the same item, driving their account balances deeper into negative territory.

3. Pathfinder's customers have been injured by the Bank's improper practices to the tune of millions of dollars bilked from their accounts in violation Pathfinder's clear contractual commitments.

4. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and two Classes of similarly situated consumers, seeks to end Pathfinder's abusive and predatory practices and force it to refund all of these improper charges. Plaintiff asserts claims for breach of contract; breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing; violation of state consumer protection law; and/or unjust enrichment, and seeks damages, restitution, and injunctive relief, as set forth more fully below.

### **PARTIES**

5. Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of Sandy Creek, New York.

6. Defendant Pathfinder Bank is engaged in the business of providing retail banking services to consumers, including Plaintiff and members of the putative Classes. Pathfinder has its headquarters in Oswego, New York.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7. This Court has original jurisdiction of this action under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2) and (6), this Court has original jurisdiction because (1) the proposed Class is comprised of at least 100 members; (2) At least one member of the proposed class resides outside of New York; and (3) the aggregate claims of the putative class members exceed \$5 million, exclusive of interest and costs.

8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Pathfinder is subject to personal jurisdiction here and regularly conducts business in this District, and because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred in this district.

**FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

**I. PATHFINDER CHARGES MORE THAN ONE NSF FEE ON THE SAME ITEM**

9. Pathfinder's Account Documents allow it to charge a *single* \$32 NSF Fee or a *single* \$32 OD Fee when an item is returned for insufficient funds or paid despite insufficient funds.

10. Pathfinder breaches its contract by charging more than one \$32 NSF Fee on the same item, since the contract explicitly states—and reasonable consumers understand—that the same item can only incur a single NSF or OD Fee.

11. Pathfinder's abusive practices are not standard within the financial services industry. Indeed, major banks like JP Morgan Chase—the largest consumer bank in the country—charge one NSF Fee per item, even if that item is resubmitted for payment multiple times.<sup>1</sup> And while some other banks credit unions engage in the same practices as Pathfinder, they clearly disclose those charges in the deposit agreements with their customers.

12. Pathfinder's Deposit Agreement does not say that Pathfinder repeatedly charges customers multiple fees on a single item. To the contrary, the Deposit Agreement indicates it will only charge a single NSF Fee or OD Fee on an item.

**A. Plaintiff's Experience.**

13. In support of her claims, Plaintiff offers examples of fees that should not have been assessed against her checking account. As alleged below, Pathfinder: (a) reprocessed previously declined items; and (b) charged an additional fee upon reprocessing.

14. On July 16, 2015, Plaintiff attempted an ACH payment to AT&T.

---

<sup>1</sup> As indicated by Chase's printed disclosures, an "item" maintains its integrity even if multiple processes are affected on it: "If we return the same item multiple times, we will only charge you one Returned Item Fee for that item within a 30-day period."

15. Pathfinder rejected payment of that item due to insufficient funds in Plaintiff's account and charged a \$32 NSF Fee for doing so. Plaintiff does not dispute this initial fee, as it is allowed by Pathfinder's Deposit Agreement.

16. Unbeknownst to Plaintiff, and without her request to Pathfinder to reprocess the item, however, four days later, on July 20, 2015, Pathfinder processed the same item yet again. Again, Pathfinder returned the item unpaid and charged Plaintiff *another* \$32 NSF Fee for doing so.

17. Then, two days later, on July 22, 2015, Pathfinder processed the same item yet again. Again, Pathfinder returned the item unpaid and charged Plaintiff *another* \$32 NSF Fee for doing so.

18. In sum, Pathfinder assessed Plaintiff \$92 in fees in its failed effort to process a single payment.

19. Plaintiff understood the payment to be a single item as is laid out in Pathfinder's contract, capable at most of receiving a single NSF Fee (if Investors returned it) or a single OD Fee (if Investors paid it).

20. The same pattern occurred numerous other times while Plaintiff was a Pathfinder accountholder.

**B. The Imposition of Multiple Fees on a Single Item Violates Pathfinder's Express Promises and Representations.**

21. Pathfinder's Account Documents state that the Bank will assess a single fee of \$32 for an item that is returned due to insufficient funds.

22. According to the Fee Schedule, at most a *single* fee will be assessed when an item is returned or paid into overdraft:

Returned ACH Items	\$35	Per item
--------------------	------	----------

Fee Schedule, Ex. A.

23. The same check, automatic bill payment, or other electronic payment on an account is not a new “item” each time it is rejected for payment then reprocessed, especially when—as here—Plaintiff took no action to resubmit the item.

9. The disclosures never discuss a circumstance where Pathfinder may assess multiple fees for an item that was returned for insufficient funds and later reprocessed one or more times and returned again.

10. Even if Pathfinder reprocesses an instruction for payment, it is still the same item. The Bank’s reprocessing is simply another attempt to effectuate an accountholder’s original order or instruction.

11. As alleged herein, Plaintiff took only a single action to make a single payment; she therefore created only one item and may be charged only a single fee.

12. In sum, Pathfinder promises that one \$32 NSF Fee or one \$32 OD Fee will be assessed “per item,” and this must mean all iterations of the same instruction for payment. As such, Pathfinder breached the contract when it charged more than one fee per item.

13. Customers reasonably understand, based on the language of the Account Documents, that the Bank’s reprocessing of checks or ACH payments are simply additional attempts to complete the original order or instruction for payment, and as such, will not trigger additional NSF Fees. In other words, it is always the same item.

14. Banks and credit unions like Pathfinder that employ this abusive multiple fee practice know how to plainly and clearly disclose it. Indeed, other banks and credit unions that do

engage in this abusive practice disclose it expressly to their accountholders—something Pathfinder never did.

15. For example, First Hawaiian Bank engages in the same abusive practices as Pathfinder, but at least currently discloses it in its online Banking agreement, in all capital letters, as follows:

**YOU AGREE THAT MULTIPLE ATTEMPTS MAY BE MADE TO SUBMIT A RETURNED ITEM FOR PAYMENT AND THAT MULTIPLE FEES MAY BE CHARGED TO YOU AS A RESULT OF A RETURNED ITEM AND RESUBMISSION.**

*Terms and Conditions of FHB Online Services*, First Hawaiian Bank 40, [https://www.fhb.com/en/assets/File/Home\\_Banking/FHB\\_Online/Terms\\_and\\_Conditions\\_of\\_FHB\\_Online\\_Services\\_RXP1.pdf](https://www.fhb.com/en/assets/File/Home_Banking/FHB_Online/Terms_and_Conditions_of_FHB_Online_Services_RXP1.pdf) (last accessed September 25, 2019) (emphasis added).

16. Klein Bank similarly states in its online banking agreement:

[W]e will charge you an NSF/Overdraft Fee each time: (1) a Bill Payment (electronic or check) is submitted to us for payment from your Bill Payment Account when, at the time of posting, your Bill Payment Account is overdrawn, would be overdrawn if we paid the item (whether or not we in fact pay it) or does not have sufficient available funds; or (2) we return, reverse, or decline to pay an item for any other reason authorized by the terms and conditions governing your Bill Payment Account. **We will charge an NSF/Overdraft Fee as provided in this section regardless of the number of times an item is submitted or resubmitted to us for payment, and regardless of whether we pay the item or return, reverse, or decline to pay the bill payment.**

*Consumer and Small Business Online Access Agreement*, Klein Bank ¶ H, <https://www.kleinbankonline.com/bridge/disclosures/ib/disclose.html> (last accessed September 25, 2019) (emphasis added).

17. Central Pacific Bank, a leading bank in Hawai'i, states in its Fee Schedule under the "MULTIPLE NSF FEES" subsection: "Items and transactions (such as, for example, checks and electronic transactions/payments) returned unpaid due to insufficient/non-sufficient ("NSF")

funds in your account, may be resubmitted one or more times for payment, and a \$32 fee will be imposed on you each time an item and transaction resubmitted for payment is returned due to insufficient/nonsufficient funds.” *Miscellaneous Fee Schedule*, Central Pacific Bank 1 (Feb. 15, 2019), <https://www.centralpacificbank.com/PDFs/Miscellaneous-Fee-Schedule.aspx>.

18. BP Credit Union likewise states: “We may charge a fee each time an item is submitted or resubmitted for payment; therefore, you may be assessed more than one fee as a result of a returned item and resubmission(s) of the returned item.”

19. Pathfinder provides no such disclosure, and in so doing, breaches its contracts with accountholders, engages in bad faith conduct, and deceives its accountholders.

**C. The Imposition of Multiple Fees on a Single Item Breaches Pathfinder’s Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing.**

20. Parties to a contract are required not only to adhere to the express conditions in the contract, but also to act in good faith when they are vested with a discretionary power over the other party. In such circumstances, the party with discretion is required to exercise that power and discretion in good faith. This creates an implied promise to act in accordance with the parties’ reasonable expectations and means that the Bank is prohibited from exercising its discretion to enrich herself and gouge its customers. Indeed, the Bank has a duty to honor transaction requests in a way that is fair to Plaintiff and its other customers and is prohibited from exercising its discretion to pile on ever greater penalties. Here—in the adhesion agreements Pathfinder foisted on Plaintiff and its other customers—Pathfinder has provided herself numerous discretionary powers affecting customers’ Credit Union accounts. But instead of exercising that discretion in good faith and consistent with consumers’ reasonable expectations, the Bank abuses that discretion to take money out of consumers’ accounts without their permission and contrary to their reasonable expectations that they will not be charged multiple fees for the same transaction.

21. Pathfinder exercises its discretion in its own favor—and to the prejudice of Plaintiff and its other customers—when it defines “item” in a way that directly leads to more NSF Fees. Further, Pathfinder abuses the power it has over customers and their Credit Union accounts and acts contrary to their reasonable expectations under the Deposit Agreement. This is a breach of the Bank’s implied covenant to engage in fair dealing and act in good faith.

22. By exercising its discretion in its own favor—and to the prejudice of Plaintiff and other customers—by charging more than one NSF Fee on a single item, Pathfinder breaches the reasonable expectation of Plaintiff and other customers and in doing so violates the implied covenant to act in good faith.

23. It was bad faith and totally outside Plaintiff’s reasonable expectations for Pathfinder to use its discretion to assess two or three NSF Fees for a single attempted payment.

### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

24. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all others similarly situated pursuant to Federal Rule 23. The Classes include:

All Pathfinder accountholders who, within the applicable statute of limitations period, were charged multiple fees on the same item (the “National Class”).

All Pathfinder accountholders in the state of New York who, within the applicable statute of limitations period, were charged multiple fees on the same item (the “New York Class”).

25. Excluded from the Classes are Pathfinder and its subsidiaries, affiliates, and any entities in which it has a controlling interest, and each of the officers, directors, immediate family members, legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns of any such excluded party, the judicial officer(s) to whom this action is assigned, and the members of their immediate families.



26. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the definition of the proposed Classes and/or to add subclasses, if necessary, before this Court determines whether certification is appropriate.

27. The questions here are ones of common or general interest such that there is a well-defined community of interest among the members of the Classes. These questions predominate over questions that may affect only individual Class members because Pathfinder has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Classes. Such common legal or factual questions include, but are not limited to:

- a) Whether Pathfinder improperly charged multiple fees on the same item;
- b) Whether any of the conduct enumerated above violates the contract;
- c) Whether any of the conduct enumerated above violates the covenant of good faith and fair dealing;
- d) Whether any of the conduct enumerated above constitutes unjust enrichment; and
- e) The appropriate measure of damages.

28. The parties are numerous such that joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, and subject to class discovery, the Classes consist of thousands of members or more, the identities of whom are within the exclusive knowledge of and can be ascertained only by resort to Pathfinder's records. Pathfinder has the administrative capability through its computer systems and other records to identify all members of the Classes, and such specific information is not otherwise available to Plaintiff.

29. It is impracticable to bring Class members' individual claims before the Court. Class treatment permits a large number of similarly situated persons or entities to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of evidence, effort, expense, or the possibility of inconsistent or contradictory

judgments that numerous individual actions would engender. The benefits of the class mechanism, including providing injured persons or entities with a method for obtaining redress on claims that might not be practicable to pursue individually, substantially outweigh any difficulties that may arise in the management of this class action.

30. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the Classes in that they arise out of the same wrongful business practices by Pathfinder, as described herein.

31. Plaintiff is more than an adequate representative of the Classes in that Plaintiff has a Pathfinder checking account and has suffered damages as a result of Pathfinder's contract violations, Pathfinder's violations of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and Pathfinder's unjust enrichment. In addition:

- a) Plaintiff is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated and has retained competent counsel experienced in the prosecution of class actions and, in particular, class actions on behalf of consumers against financial institutions;
- b) There is no conflict of interest between Plaintiff and the unnamed members of the Classes;
- c) Plaintiff anticipates no difficulty in the management of this litigation as a class action; and
- d) Plaintiff's legal counsel has the financial and legal resources to meet the substantial costs and legal issues associated with this type of litigation.

32. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty to be encountered in the maintenance of this action that would preclude its treatment as a class action.

33. Pathfinder has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to each of the Classes, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to each Class as a whole.

34. All conditions precedent to bringing this action have been satisfied and/or waived.

**CAUSES OF ACTION**

**COUNT I**  
**BREACH OF CONTRACT**  
**(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Classes)**

35. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

36. Plaintiff and Pathfinder contracted for checking account services, as embodied in the Deposit Agreement and Fee Schedule.

37. Defendant mischaracterized in the Account Documents its true NSF Fee practices and breached the express terms of the Account Documents.

38. No contract provision authorizes Defendant to charge more than one NSF Fee on the same item.

39. Defendant has breached its contracts with Plaintiff and the Classes through its NSF fee policies and practices as alleged herein.

40. Plaintiff and members of the putative Classes have performed all of the obligations on them pursuant to the Bank's agreements.

41. Plaintiff and members of the putative Classes have sustained monetary damages as a result of each of Defendant's breaches.

**COUNT II**  
**BREACH OF THE COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING**  
**(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Classes)**

42. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

43. Plaintiff and Pathfinder contracted for checking account services, as embodied in the Deposit Agreement and Fee Schedule.

44. Under New York law and the states wherein Pathfinder does business, a covenant of good faith and fair dealing is implied in every contract. The covenant of good faith and fair dealing constrains Defendant's discretion to abuse self-granted contractual powers.

45. This good faith requirement extends to the manner in which a party employs discretion conferred by a contract.

46. Good faith and fair dealing, in connection with executing contracts and discharging performance and other duties according to their terms, means preserving the spirit—not merely the letter—of the bargain. Put differently, the parties to a contract are mutually obligated to comply with the substance of their contract in addition to its form. Evading the spirit of the bargain and abusing the power to specify terms constitute examples of bad faith in the performance of contracts.

47. Subterfuge and evasion violate the obligation of good faith in performance even when an actor believes her conduct to be justified. A lack of good faith may be overt or may consist of inaction, and fair dealing may require more than honesty. Other examples of violations of good faith and fair dealing are willful rendering of imperfect performance, abuse of a power to specify terms, and interference with or failure to cooperate in the other party's performance.

48. Pathfinder breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing through its NSF fee policies and practices as explained herein.

49. Each of Defendant's actions was done in bad faith and was arbitrary and capricious.

50. Plaintiff and members of the putative Classes have performed all of the obligations imposed on them pursuant to the Deposit Agreement.

51. Plaintiff and members of the putative Classes have sustained monetary damages as a result of each of Defendant's breaches of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Classes, demands a jury trial on all claims so triable and judgment as follows:

A. Certifying the proposed Classes pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, appointing the Plaintiff as representative of the Classes, and appointing counsel for Plaintiff as lead counsel for the Classes;

B. Declaring that Pathfinder's policies and practices as described herein constitute a breach of contract and a breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing or unjust enrichment;

C. Enjoining Pathfinder from the wrongful conduct as described herein;

D. Awarding restitution of all fees at issue paid to Pathfinder by Plaintiff and the Classes as a result of the wrongs alleged herein in an amount to be determined at trial;

E. Compelling disgorgement of the ill-gotten gains derived by Pathfinder from its misconduct;

F. Awarding actual and/or compensatory damages in an amount according to proof;

G. Awarding pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by applicable law;

H. Reimbursing all costs, expenses, and disbursements accrued by Plaintiff in connection with this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, pursuant to applicable law and any other basis; and

I. Awarding such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff and all others similarly situated hereby demand trial by jury on all issues in this Class Action Complaint that are so triable.

Dated: April 1, 2021.

/s/ Jeffrey D. Kalief  
Jeffrey D. Kalief (Bar Roll No. 518372)  
Sophia G. Gold (Bar Roll No. 701241)  
**Kalief Gold PLLC**  
1100 15th Street NW, 4th Floor  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: (202) 350-4783  
jkalief@kaliefgold.com  
sgold@kaliefgold.com

# **EXHIBIT A**



# RETAIL SCHEDULE OF FEES AND SERVICE CHARGES

EFFECTIVE MARCH 1, 2020

## MONTHLY MAINTENANCE FEES

Product	Minimum Balance Requirement	Fee	Information
Extra Checking Account	\$500	\$7	If balance falls below minimum any day during the month.
Money Management Checking	\$1,500 in this account, or \$50,000 in combined qualifying deposits.	\$20	If balance falls below minimum any day during the month.
Second Chance Checking	No minimum balance requirement	\$10	Flat monthly fee, not eligible for overdraft protection.
Statement Savings Account	\$300	\$5	If balance falls below minimum any day during the month.
Extra Savings Account	\$500	\$5	If balance falls below minimum any day during the month.
Money Market Deposit Account	\$2,500	\$10	If balance falls below minimum any day during the month.

## ATM/DEBIT CARD FEES

You may also be charged a fee when you use an ATM we do not own or operate by the ATM owner/operator and the owner/operator may charge you a fee for a balance inquiry even if you do not complete a transfer or withdrawal.

Deposits/Withdrawals	\$2	Per transaction, when performed at an ATM we do not own or operate.
Inquiries/Transfers	\$2	Per transaction, when performed at an ATM we do not own or operate.
Reject/Denial	\$.55	Per transaction, when performed at an ATM we do not own or operate.
Discretionary Extended Overdraft (EOD, NSF, or UNF Fee)	\$35	Per withdrawal request paid on non-sufficient funds.
International Deposit/Withdrawal	\$3	Per transaction
International Inquiry/Transfer	\$2	Per inquiry
International ATM/POS Currency Conversion Fee	2.00%	Per transaction, percentage of amount withdrawn or spent will be charged as a fee
Card Replacement Fee	\$10	Per card (ATM or Debit)

## COLLECTIONS, TRANSFERS, ACH

Outgoing Domestic Wire Transfer	\$25	Each
Outgoing International Wire Transfer	\$50	Each
Incoming Wire Transfer	\$20	Each
Incoming Collection from Other Bank	\$20	Each
Coupon Collection Fee	\$5	Each
Automatic Loan Payment Not Processed	\$10	After 3 attempts to make your payment
Returned ACH Items	\$35	Per item
Stop Payment on ACH	\$35	Per stop payment
Discretionary Extended Overdraft (EOD, NSF, or UNF Fee)	\$35	Per ACH item paid on non-sufficient funds
Continuous Day Overdraft Fee	\$5	Per day, after 5 days with a continuous negative balance (up to \$35 per occurrence)

## ACCOUNT USAGE AND MAINTENANCE

Early Closeout Fee	\$25	For checking and savings accounts, if account is closed within first 6 months of opening.
Early Withdrawal Fee	\$50	For Certificates, when a withdrawal is performed before maturity. Additional fees may apply. Refer to your account disclosure for more information.
Nonsufficient Funds	\$35	Per transaction
Uncollected Funds	\$35	Per transaction
Returned Loan Payment	\$20	Per returned payment
Return of Deposited Item	\$12	Per transaction
Discretionary Extended Overdraft (EOD, NSF, or UNF Fee)	\$35	Per transaction
Checking Account Inactive Fee	\$10	Per month, if account has had no customer generated activity for 6 consecutive months.
Savings/Money Market Inactive Fee	\$5	Per month, if account has had no customer generated activity for 12 consecutive months.
Savings Overdraft Fee	\$10	Per occurrence, when your savings account is linked to your checking account and an overdraft occurs. Charged to your checking account.

## BANK AND MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS

Stop Payment	\$35	Per each stop payment you request on a personal check.
Check Protest	\$25	Per protest (refers to a formal, written declaration stating that a check presented was dishonored.)
Certified Check	\$25	Per check written in which the bank guarantees the funds.
Check Orders	----	Prices vary



**RETAIL SCHEDULE OF FEES AND SERVICE CHARGES (cont.)**  
Effective March 1, 2020**BANK AND MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS continued**

Return of Paid Checks	\$20	Per month
Check Images	\$5	Per statement cycle
CD of Account Statements and Images	\$50	Per disc
Money Orders	\$3	Per money order
Stop Payment on Money Orders	\$35	Per stop payment
Bank Checks	\$7	Per bank check
Stop Payment on Bank Check	\$35	Per stop payment
Visa Gift Card	\$3.95	Per card, when sold to a customer
	\$5.95	Per card, when sold to a non-customer (\$1,000 per day maximum)
Foreign Currency Orders	\$25	Per \$2,500 for overnight processing
	\$28	Per \$2,500 for priority overnight processing
Foreign Currency Exchange	1%	Of total exchanged
Foreign Draft Processing	\$10	Per occurrence
Locking Zipper Bag	\$50	Per bag

**ADMINISTRATIVE AND INFORMATION SERVICES**

IRA Administration Fee	\$10	Annually, for plans in distribution
IRA Transfer Fee	\$25	To transfer plan to another institution
Processing Legal Papers	\$100	Per occurrence
Cash Advance Fee	\$3	Per advance
Signature Guarantee	\$10	Per guarantee, unless funds are transferred to Pathfinder Bank or Pathfinder Investment Services.
Account Research/Reconciliation	\$40	Per hour, with a minimum of \$10
Checking Statement Mailing Fee	\$2	Per month, if receiving paper statements for a checking account
Returned Statement Fee	\$5	Per statement that is returned to us as undeliverable
Copy of Account Statements and other bank items	\$5	Per item(s) copied (other items include tax forms, personal checks, money orders, bank checks.)

**INTERNET AND MOBILE BANKING**

Monthly Maintenance Fee	Free
Bill Payments	Free
Mobile Banking	Free

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

CHRYL CLAFLIN, on behalf of herself and all others

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Oswego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Kaliel Gold PLLC, 1100 15th Street NW, 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005 (2020 350-4783)

DEFENDANTS

PATHFINDER BANK

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Oswego (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

214 West First Street, Oswego, New York 13126

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, PTF DEF, 1 1, 2 2, 3 3, 4 4, 5 5, 6 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

Table with columns: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES. Includes various legal categories like Personal Injury, Property Damage, Labor, etc.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District, 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, Rule 23. Brief description of cause: Breach of contract; Breach of Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing;

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

April 1, 2021 /s/ Jeffrey D. Kaliel

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

ANYNDC-5481289 RECEIPT #

AMOUNT \$402.00

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE TJM

MAG. JUDGE ML

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44**

## Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.  
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.  
 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.  
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.  
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.  
 Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.  
 Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.  
 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.  
 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.  
 Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.  
 Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.  
 Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.  
 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.  
 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Class Action Claims Pathfinder Bank Wrongfully Charges Multiple Overdraft Fees on Single Transactions](#)

---