

1 Matthew Jacobs (SBN: 331916)
2 THE JACOBS LAW FIRM, PC
3 5743 Corsa Avenue, Suite 208
4 Westlake Village, CA 91362
5 Telephone: (805) 601-7504
6 Email: matt@jacobslawfirm.com

7
8 *Counsel for Plaintiff Chrysilios Chrysiliou and the Putative Class*

9
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **WESTERN DIVISION**
13

14 CHRYSILOS CHRYSILOU,
15 on Behalf of Himself and All Others
16 Similarly Situated,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE,
20

21 Defendant.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 2:25-cv-11625

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Plaintiff Chrysilios Chrysiliou (“Plaintiff” or “Mr. Chrysiliou”), on behalf of
2 himself and all others similarly situated, alleges, on information and belief, as
3 follows:

4 **INTRODUCTION**

5 1. Plaintiff brings this class action against Defendant Barclays Bank
6 Delaware (“Defendant” or “Barclays”) for violating the Equal Credit Opportunity
7 Act (the “ECOA”), 15 U.S.C. § 1691 *et seq.* The ECOA entitles certain persons
8 against whom adverse action is taken to a statement of reasons for such action from
9 the creditor. 15 U.S.C. § 1691(d). To satisfy this mandate, a creditor must provide a
10 written notice that includes the specific reasons for the adverse action or advise the
11 recipient of his or her right to a statement of reasons. 15 U.S.C. § 1691(d)(2) and
12 (3).

13 2. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff and class members with the
14 requisite notice after revoking their credit accounts. Instead, Defendant sent Plaintiff
15 and class members uniform letters in the form of Exhibit A hereto stating: “Negative
16 public record information identified.” This vague, boilerplate statement is
17 insufficient because it does not provide specific reasons for the adverse action, and it
18 omits any mention of the recipient’s right to a statement of specific reasons.

19 3. Plaintiff and the class seek statutory punitive damages of up to
20 \$500,000, a halt to Defendant’s unlawful practices, notice of the specific reasons for
21 Defendant’s adverse actions, and attorneys’ fees and costs.

22 4. The allegations herein that relate to Plaintiff’s personal actions are
23 made based on personal knowledge. The remaining allegations are made on
24 information and belief, including based on the investigation of counsel.

25 **PARTIES**

26 5. Plaintiff Chrysilios Chrysiliou is an individual who held a credit card
27 account issued by Defendant. Plaintiff received a notice from Defendant closing the
28

1 account as of August 15, 2023, and giving the following as the only reason for the
2 account closure: “Negative public record information identified.”

3 6. Defendant Barclays Bank Delaware is Delaware state-chartered bank
4 with its principal place of business in Wilmington Delaware. Barclays authorized to
5 conduct banking business in the United States, including issuing consumer credit
6 cards.

7 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

8 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331
9 because this action “aris[es] under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United
10 States.”

11 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant
12 provides banking services in California, including within the Central District of
13 California.

14 9. Venue lies in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a
15 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in the
16 Central District of California.

17 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

18 10. Originally enacted in 1974 to prohibit discrimination in credit
19 transactions, the ECOA was amended in 1976 to require creditors to provide written
20 notice of the specific reasons why adverse action was taken against certain persons
21 in regard to their credit. In addition to discouraging discriminatory practices, the
22 notice requirement is intended to provide consumers with a “valuable educational
23 benefit” and to allow for the correction of errors “where the creditor may have acted
24 on misinformation or inadequate information.” *Tyson v. Sterling Rental, Inc.*, 836
25 F.3d 571, 576 (6th Cir. 2016) (quoting S. Rep. No. 94-589, at 4 (1976)).

26 11. The ECOA authorizes the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
27 (“CFPB”) to issue regulations “to carry out the [ECOA’s] purposes.” 15 U.S.C. §
28

1 1691b(a). Under this authority, the CFPB issued regulations that are collectively
2 known as Regulation B. 12 C.F.R. § 1002.1(a).

3 12. The adverse action notice requirement is set forth at 15 U.S.C. §
4 1691(d), which provides in relevant part:

5 (2) Each applicant [for credit] against whom adverse
6 action is taken shall be entitled to a statement of reasons
7 for such action from the creditor. A creditor satisfies this
8 obligation by—

9 (A) providing statements of reasons in writing as a
10 matter of course to applicants against whom adverse
11 action is taken; or

12 (B) giving written notification of adverse action
13 which discloses (i) the applicant's right to a
14 statement of reasons within thirty days after receipt
15 by the creditor of a request made within sixty days
16 after such notification, and (ii) the identity of the
17 person or office from which such statement may be
18 obtained. Such statement may be given orally if the
19 written notification advises the applicant of his right
20 to have the statement of reasons confirmed in
21 writing on written request.

22 (3) A statement of reasons meets the requirements of this
23 section only if it contains the specific reasons for the
24 adverse action taken.

25 13. ECOA defines “applicant” to mean “any person who applies to a
26 creditor directly for an extension, renewal, or continuation of credit, or applies to a
27
28

1 creditor indirectly by use of an existing credit plan for an amount exceeding a
2 previously established credit limit.” 15 U.S.C. § 1691a(b). “Consistent with
3 Congress’ intent in passing [ECOA]” a “person who has received an extension of
4 credit from a creditor”—that is, an existing holder of a credit account—qualifies as
5 an “applicant.” *Powell v. Pentagon Fed. Credit Union*, 10-cv-785, Dkt. 26, U.S.
6 Dist. LEXIS 9743, at *12 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 17, 2010). Likewise, Regulation B defines
7 “applicant” to include “any person who . . . who has received an extension of credit
8 from a creditor. . . .” 12 C.F.R. § 1002.2(e).

9 14. Plaintiff qualifies as an “applicant” under ECOA because Plaintiff
10 applied for a Mastercard Black Card issued by Defendant.

11 15. ECOA defines “adverse action” to include “revocation of credit” 15
12 U.S.C. § 1691(d)(6). Similarly, Regulation B defines “adverse action” to include
13 “[a] termination of an account . . . that does not affect all or substantially all of a
14 class of the creditor’s accounts.” 12 C.F.R. § 1002.2(c)(1).

15 16. Defendant took “adverse action” against Plaintiff through “revocation
16 of [Plaintiff’s] credit” and “termination of [Plaintiff’s] account” on August 15, 2023.
17 *Id.* Specifically, Defendant sent a letter (the “Letter”) to Plaintiff, dated August 15,
18 2023, notifying Plaintiff that “your account has been closed for the following
19 reason: Negative public information identified.” A copy of the Letter is attached
20 hereto as **Exhibit A**.

21 17. Regulation B provides that “a creditor shall notify an applicant of
22 action taken within . . . 30 days after taking adverse action on an existing account.”
23 12 CFR § 1002.9(a)(1)(ii). The notice must include, among other things either “a
24 statement of specific reasons for the action taken” or “a disclosure of the applicant’s
25 right to a statement of specific reasons within 30 days, if the statement is requested
26 within 60 days of the creditor’s notification.” 12 CFR § 1002.9(a)(2).

1 18. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with a “statement of specific
2 reasons for the action taken” or “a disclosure of the applicant’s right to a statement
3 of specific reasons” by September 14, 2023—*i.e.*, “within . . . 30 days after taking
4 adverse action” on August 15, 2023. *See* 12 CFR § 1002.9(a)(1)(ii) and (a)(2).

5 19. The Letter does not include a “statement of specific reasons for the
6 action taken” or “a disclosure of the applicant’s right to a statement of specific
7 reasons.” 12 CFR § 1002.9(a)(2).

8 20. Rather than include a “statement of specific reasons for the action
9 taken,” 12 CFR § 1002.9(a)(2), the letter merely states that Defendant “your account
10 has been closed for the following reason: Negative public information identified.”
11 *see* Exhibit A.

12 21. Regulation B requires that the statement of reasons “be specific and
13 indicate the principal reason(s) for the adverse action. Statements that the adverse
14 action was based on the creditor’s internal standards or policies or that the applicant,
15 joint applicant, or similar party failed to achieve a qualifying score on the creditor’s
16 credit scoring system are insufficient.” 12 C.F.R. § 1002.9(b)(2). The CFPB’s
17 official interpretation of Regulation B provides that: “[t]he specific reasons
18 disclosed under §§ 1002.9(a)(2) and (b)(2) must relate to and accurately describe the
19 factors actually considered or scored by a creditor.” 12 C.F.R. Pt. 1002, Supp. I,
20 19(b)(2)-2.

21 22. Defendant’s vague, boilerplate explanation for the adverse action taken
22 is not specific and does not accurately describe the factor(s) Defendant considered in
23 terminating Plaintiff’s account.

24 23. In addition, the Letter does not include a disclosure of Plaintiff’s “right
25 to a statement of specific reasons within 30 days, if the statement is requested within
26 60 days of the creditor’s notification.” “The disclosure shall include the name,
27 address, and telephone number of the person or office from which the statement of
28

1 reasons can be obtained.” 12 CFR § 1002.9(a)(2). The letter lacks any such
2 disclosure.

3 **Class Action Allegations**

4 24. Defendant violated the ECOA in the same way against other persons
5 similarly situated to Plaintiff. Plaintiff therefore brings this action on behalf of the
6 following class pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23:

7 All natural persons to whom Defendant sent a letter giving
8 “[n]egative public record information identified” or
9 similarly unspecific language as the only reason(s) for
10 revoking a credit account, in the period beginning 5 years
11 before the filing of this action and ending on the day of
12 class certification.

13 25. The following individuals are excluded from the class: officers and
14 directors of Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, and any entity in
15 which Defendant has a controlling interest; and all judges assigned to hear any
16 aspect of this litigation, as well as their immediate family members.

17 26. Class certification is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
18 23 because this action satisfies the applicable numerosity, commonality, typicality,
19 adequacy, predominance, and superiority requirements.

20 27. Numerosity: The potential members of the class are so numerous that
21 joinder of all the members of the class is impracticable. On information and belief,
22 there are at least hundreds—if not thousands—of class members.

23 28. Commonality: There are questions of law and fact common to the class
24 that predominate over any individualized questions, including but not limited to:

- 25 a. Whether class members are “applicants” under the ECOA;
26 b. Whether Defendant is a “creditor” under the ECOA;

1 c. Whether Defendant's revocations of Plaintiff and class members'
2 credit accounts constitute "adverse actions" under the ECOA;

3 d. Whether the statement "negative public record information
4 identified" constitutes a specific reason for the adverse actions taken sufficient to
5 satisfy the requirements of the ECOA;

6 e. Whether Plaintiff and the class are entitled to an award of
7 punitive damages under the ECOA;

8 f. Whether Plaintiff and the class are entitled to injunctive relief
9 halting Defendant's unlawful practices; and

10 g. Whether Plaintiff and the class are entitled to injunctive relief
11 requiring Defendant to notify them of the specific reason(s) why the adverse action.

12 29. Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class in that
13 Plaintiff and the other class members each: (a) held credit accounts issued by
14 Defendant, (b) had an adverse action taken against them by Defendant, (c) were
15 given vague and unspecific reasons (*e.g.*, "negative public record information
16 identified") as the only reason for revocation of their credit accounts; and (d) were
17 not provided a "a disclosure of the applicant's right to a statement of specific
18 reasons" within 30 days after Defendant's adverse action. Defendant's conduct is
19 common to all class members and represents a common thread of conduct resulting
20 in injury to all members of the class. Plaintiff has suffered the harm alleged and has
21 no interests antagonistic to any other class member.

22 30. Adequacy: Plaintiff is a member of the class and will fairly and
23 adequately represent and protect the interests of the class. Plaintiff's interests do not
24 conflict with the interests of other class members. Furthermore, counsel for Plaintiff
25 and the class is competent and experienced in federal litigation, with experience
26 litigating class action and consumer protection matters. Plaintiff's counsel will fairly
27 and adequately protect and represent the interests of the class.
28

1 31. Superiority: A class action is superior to other available means for the
2 fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The claims of individual class
3 members are too small to warrant individual action. Individual joinder of all class
4 members is impracticable, and questions of law and fact common to the class
5 predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the class.
6 Class treatment will allow those similarly situated persons to litigate their claims in
7 the manner that is most efficient and economical for the parties and the judicial
8 system. Separate actions by individual class members would create the risk of
9 inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual class members that
10 would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant in opposing the
11 class.

12 **First Cause of Action for Violation of the ECOA**

13 32. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as through fully
14 repeated here.

15 33. Plaintiff and class members are “applicants” under 12 C.F.R. §
16 1002.2(e) because they applied for and received an extension of credit from
17 Defendant prior to Defendant’s adverse action.

18 34. Defendant is a “creditor” under 12 C.F.R. § 1002.2(e) because it
19 regularly participates in credit decisions, including setting the terms of credit, in the
20 ordinary course of business.

21 35. Plaintiff and class members each had an “adverse action” taken against
22 them by Defendant under 12 C.F.R. § 1002.2(c) in that Defendant revoked Plaintiff
23 and each class member’s credit account.

24 36. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff and class members with a
25 “statement of specific reasons for the action taken” or “a disclosure of the
26 applicant’s right to a statement of specific reasons” within 30 days after taking
27 adverse action against them.
28

1 37. Defendant's conduct substantially harmed Plaintiff and class members.

2 38. Defendant's conduct directly and proximately caused the harm Plaintiff
3 and class members suffered.

4 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

5 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the class, prays for
6 judgment as follows:

7 1. For punitive damages of up to \$500,000 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §
8 1691e(b) in an amount to be proven at trial.

9 2. For injunctive relief pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1691e(c) including: (a) an
10 order halting Defendant's unlawful practices; and (b) an order requiring Defendant
11 to notify class members of the specific reason(s) why the adverse action was taken
12 against them;

13 3. For attorneys' fees and costs of suit pursuant to applicable law
14 including, without limitation, 15 U.S.C. § 1691e(d); and

15 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
16

17 Dated: December 7, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

18 THE JACOBS LAW FIRM, PC

19
20 By:


Matthew Jacobs

21
22 *Counsel for Plaintiff Chrysilios Chrysiliou*
23 *and the Putative Class*
24
25
26
27
28

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all causes of action, claims, and issues that may be tried before a jury.

Dated: December 7, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

THE JACOBS LAW FIRM, PC

By:


Matthew Jacobs

*Counsel for Plaintiff Chrysilios Chrysiliou
and the Putative Class*

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Class Action Lawsuit Claims Barclays Bank Delaware Fails to Adequately Explain Credit Revocations](#)
